

## EXPONENTS AND DIAMETERS OF STRONG PRODUCTS OF DIGRAPHS\*

BYEONG MOON KIM<sup>†</sup>, BYUNG CHUL SONG<sup>†</sup>, AND WOONJAE HWANG<sup>‡</sup>

**Abstract.** The exponent of the strong product of a digraph of order m and a digraph of order n is shown to be bounded above by m+n-2, with equality for  $Z_m \boxtimes Z_n$ . The exponent and diameter of the strong product of a graph and a digraph are also investigated.

Key words. Strong product of digraphs, Exponent, Diameter.

AMS subject classifications. 15A48, 05C50, 05C76.

**1. Introduction.** Let D=(V,A) be a digraph on n vertices. Throughout this paper, we assume that D has no loops and no multiple arcs. A walk from u to v in D is a sequence  $u=u_0,u_1,\ldots,u_k=v$  of vertices such that there is an arc from  $u_i$  to  $u_{i+1}$  in D for each i. We denote the walk by  $u\to u_1\to u_2\to\cdots\to u_{k-1}\to v$  and its length is k. We use the notation  $u\overset{k}{\longrightarrow}v$  when there exits a walk in D of length k from u to v. The digraph is primitive if there is a k such that  $u\overset{k}{\longrightarrow}v$  for each pair of vertices u and v. Conventionally  $u\overset{0}{\longrightarrow}u$  is permitted. We say that the smallest such value of k is the exponent of D, which is denoted by  $\exp(D)$ . Wielandt [9] found that the maximum exponent of a primitive digraph on n vertices is  $W_n=n^2-2n+2$ . See [1] for more details. Suppose that two digraphs  $D=(V_D,A_D)$  and  $E=(V_E,A_E)$  are given. Let  $V=V_D\times V_E$ . We define

$$A_1 = \{((u_1, u_2), (v_1, v_2)) \in V \times V | ((u_1, v_1) \in A_D \text{ and } u_2 = v_2)$$
  
or  $((u_2, v_2) \in A_E \text{ and } u_1 = v_1) \},$ 

and

$$A_2 = \{((u_1, u_2), (v_1, v_2)) \in V \times V | (u_1, v_1) \in A_D \text{ and } (u_2, v_2) \in A_E \}.$$

The strong product  $D \boxtimes E$  of D and E is the digraph  $(V, A_1 \cup A_2)$ . The Cartesian product  $D \times E$  and the direct product  $D \otimes E$  of D and E are defined by  $(V, A_1)$ 

<sup>\*</sup>Received by the editors on July 24, 2010. Accepted for publication on October 24, 2011. Handling Editor: Brvan L. Shader.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Department of Mathematics, Gangneung-Wonju National University, Gangneung 210-702, Korea (kbm@gwnu.ac.kr, bcsong@gwnu.ac.kr).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>Department of Information and Mathematics, Korea University, Jochiwon 339-700, Korea (woon-jae@korea.ac.kr).

1107

and  $(V, A_2)$  respectively. The strong product of graphs is used to define the concept of Shannon capacity which plays an important role in the information theory [8]. Definitions and related results on the product of graphs are provided in [2]. In 1979, Lamprey and Barnes [6] showed that  $\exp(D \times E) \leq (n+m)^2 - 4(n+m) + 5$  for digraphs D and E on n and m vertices, respectively. They also showed  $\exp(D \otimes E) = \max\{\exp(D), \exp(E)\}$  for primitive digraphs D and E. In 1987, Kwasnik [5] studied the exponent of other types of products such as the disjunction and lexicographic products of graphs. Recently, it has been proved in [3] that if D and E are digraphs on E and E vertices, respectively, and E is primitive, then E is primitive graph E and a strongly connected bipartite digraph E0, and they computed the exponent of the Cartesian product of two cycles [4]. In this paper, we show

$$\exp(D \boxtimes E) \le n + m - 2 \tag{1.1}$$

for strongly connected digraphs D and E on n and m vertices, respectively. Let  $Z_n$  and  $Z_m$  be the directed cycles of order n and m respectively. We also prove that

$$\exp(Z_n \boxtimes Z_m) = n + m - 2.$$

As a consequence, the bound in (1.1) is tight. A graph G is considered as a digraph by treating the edges of G as bidirectional. In particular, a cycle  $C_n$  of length n is considered as a digraph in the same manner. For a connected graph G and a strongly connected digraph E, we show  $\exp(G \boxtimes E)$  is  $\operatorname{diam}(G \boxtimes E)$  or  $\operatorname{diam}(G \boxtimes E) + 1$ , and we find the condition under which the latter case holds. As a consequence, we compute  $\exp(C_n \boxtimes Z_m)$ .

## 2. Upper bound on the exponent of strong products of two digraphs.

LEMMA 1. Let D and E be digraphs,  $u, v \in V_D$  and  $z, w \in V_E$ . If  $u \xrightarrow{t} v$  in D and  $z \xrightarrow{s} w$  in E, then  $(u, z) \xrightarrow{\alpha} (v, w)$  in  $D \boxtimes E$  for all  $\alpha$  with  $\max\{t, s\} \leq \alpha \leq t + s$ .

*Proof.* We may assume that  $t \leq s$ . Let  $u \to u_0 \to u_1 \to \cdots \to u_t = v$  in D and  $z = z_0 \to z_1 \to \cdots \to z_s = w$  in E. If  $i = \alpha - s$  for  $0 \leq i \leq t$ , then  $(u, z) = (u_0, z_0) \to (u_1, z_1) \to \cdots \to (u_{t-i}, z_{t-i}) \to (u_{t-i}, z_{t-i+1}) \to \cdots \to (u_{t-i}, z_s) \to (u_{t-i+1}, z_s) \to \cdots \to (u_t, z_s) = (v, w)$  is a walk of length  $t - i + s - (t - i) + t - (t - i) = s + i = \alpha$  in  $D \boxtimes E$ .  $\square$ 

Lemma 2. Let D and E be strongly connected digraphs,  $u, v \in V_D$  and  $z, w \in V_E$ . If there are a cycle C passing through v of length k in D,  $u \xrightarrow{t} v'$  in D for some vertex v' of C, and  $z \xrightarrow{s} w$  in E for some  $s \geq k-1$ , then, for all  $\alpha$  with  $\max\{t+k,s\} \leq \alpha$ ,  $(u,z) \xrightarrow{\alpha} (v,w)$  in D  $\boxtimes$  E.

*Proof.* Since v and v' are vertices of C,  $v' \xrightarrow{l} v$  in D for some l with  $0 \le l \le k-1$ . So  $t+l \le t+k$ . Since  $\alpha-t-l \ge \alpha-t-k \ge 0$ , there is a  $q \ge 0$  such that

1108

B.M. Kim, B.C. Song, and W. Hwang

 $kq \leq \alpha - t - l \leq kq + k - 1$ . Since  $u \xrightarrow{t} v' \xrightarrow{l} v \xrightarrow{kq} v$ ,  $u \xrightarrow{kq+t+l} v$  in D. Since  $z \xrightarrow{s} w$  in E and  $\max\{kq+t+l,s\} \leq \alpha \leq kq+t+l+k-1 \leq kq+t+l+s$ , by Lemma 1,  $(u,z) \xrightarrow{\alpha} (v,w)$  in  $D \boxtimes E$ .  $\square$ 

THEOREM 1. Let D and E be strongly connected digraphs on n and m vertices  $(n, m \ge 2)$ , respectively. Then  $D \boxtimes E$  is primitive and

$$\exp(D \boxtimes E) \le n + m - 2.$$

*Proof.* It suffices to show that for each pair of vertices  $(u, z), (v, w) \in D \boxtimes E$  and for each  $\alpha \geq n + m - 2$ , we have  $(u, z) \xrightarrow{\alpha} (v, w)$  in  $D \boxtimes E$ . Let k be the minimum length of the cycles in D passing through v, and C be one such cycle. Let t be the distance from u to C in D. Then  $k + t \leq n$ .

Let l be the minimum length of the cycle in E passing through w and s be the distance from z to w. Then  $l,s \leq m$ . If  $s \geq k-1$ , then, by  $\alpha \geq n \geq t+k$  and  $\alpha \geq m \geq s$ , Lemma 2 implies that  $(u,z) \xrightarrow{\alpha} (v,w)$ . If s < k-1, then there is a  $q \geq 0$  such that  $lq < k-s-1 \leq l(q+1)$ . Then  $l(q+1)+s = l+lq+s \leq l+k-2 \leq m+k-2 \leq n+m-2 \leq \alpha$ . Since  $z \xrightarrow{s} w \xrightarrow{l(q+1)} w$ ,  $z \xrightarrow{l(q+1)+s} w$ . By Lemma 2,  $(u,z) \xrightarrow{\alpha} (v,w)$ .  $\square$ 

Theorem 2. For  $n, m \geq 2$ ,

$$\exp(Z_n \boxtimes Z_m) = n + m - 2.$$

Proof. Let  $Z_n$  be a directed cycle  $v_0 \to v_1 \to \cdots \to v_{n-1} \to v_0$  and  $Z_m$  be a directed cycle  $w_0 \to w_1 \to \cdots \to w_{m-1} \to w_0$ . We may assume  $n \leq m$ . Let r be the residue of m-2 modulo n. Suppose  $(v_0,w_0) \xrightarrow{n+m-3} (v_r,w_{n-2})$ . Let  $(v_0,w_0) = (x_0,y_0) \to (x_1,y_1) \to \cdots \to (x_{n+m-3},y_{n+m-3}) = (v_r,w_{n-2})$  be a path in  $Z_n \boxtimes Z_m$  from  $(v_0,w_0)$  to  $(v_r,w_{n-2})$ . Then there are  $i_0 < i_1 < \cdots < i_s$  and  $j_0 < j_1 < \cdots < j_t$  such that  $i_0 = j_0 = 0$ , for all p,q with  $0 \leq p \leq s-1$  and  $0 \leq q \leq t-1$ ,  $x_{i_p} = x_{i_p+1} = \cdots = x_{i_{p+1}-1} \neq x_{i_{p+1}}, y_{j_q} = y_{j_q+1} = \cdots = y_{j_{q+1}-1} \neq y_{j_{q+1}}$  and  $x_{i_s} = x_{i_s+1} = \cdots = x_{n+m-3}, y_{j_t} = y_{j_t+1} = \cdots = y_{n+m-3}$ . Then for all  $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n+m-3$ ,  $(x_i,y_i) = (x_{i_p},y_{j_q})$  for some p and q. If  $0 \leq i \leq n+m-4$  and  $(x_i,y_i) = (x_{i_p},y_{j_q})$ , since  $(x_{i+1},y_{i+1}) \neq (x_i,y_i), x_{i+1} = x_{i_{p+1}}$  or  $y_{i+1} = y_{j_{q+1}}$ . So if  $(x_i,y_i) = (x_{i_p},y_{j_q})$ , we can show  $i \leq p+q$ , by induction. If  $x_{i_p} = v_l$  and  $l \neq n-1$ , since  $(x_{i_p},x_{i_{p+1}}) \in A_{Z_n}, x_{i_{p+1}} = v_{l+1}$ . If  $x_{i_p} = v_{n-1}$ , since  $x_{i_p} = v_{n-1} \to x_{i_{p+1}}, x_{i_{p+1}} = v_0$ . Since  $x_{i_0} = v_0$ , we can show by induction that if  $x_{i_p} = v_l, p \equiv l \pmod{n}$ . Similarly, we can show that if  $y_{j_q} = w_k, q \equiv k \pmod{m}$ . Since  $y_{j_t} = w_{n-2}, t \equiv n-2 \pmod{n}$ . Since  $t \leq n+m-3$  and  $n \leq m, t = n-2$ . Since  $x_{i_s} = v_r, s \equiv r \pmod{n}$ . So  $s \equiv r \equiv m-2 \pmod{n}$ .

Exponents and Diameters of Strong Products Digraphs

Since  $s \leq n+m-3$ ,  $s \leq m-2$ . So  $n+m-3 \leq s+t \leq (m-2)+(n-2)=n+m-4$ . This is a contradiction. So  $(v_0,w_0) \stackrel{n+m-3}{\nrightarrow} (v_r,w_{n-2})$ . Thus, using Theorem 1,  $\exp(Z_n \boxtimes Z_m) = n+m-2$ .  $\square$ 

3. Exponents and diameters of strong products of digraphs. For any  $u, v \in V_D$ , the distance  $\operatorname{dist}(u, v)$  from u to v is the smallest k such that there is a walk from u to v of length k. The diameter  $\operatorname{diam}(D)$  of the strongly connected digraph D is the maximum of  $\operatorname{dist}(u, v)$  for all  $u, v \in V_D$ .

Proposition 1. If D and E are strongly connected digraphs, then

$$diam(D \boxtimes E) = max\{diam(D), diam(E)\}.$$

*Proof.* If  $u, v \in V_D$  and  $z, w \in V_E$ , then by Lemma 1, we have

$$dist((u, z), (v, w)) \le \max\{dist(u, v), dist(z, w)\}.$$

Thus,  $\operatorname{diam}(D \boxtimes E) \leq \max\{\operatorname{diam}(D), \operatorname{diam}(E)\}$ .

Conversely, if  $u, v \in V_D$ ,  $z, w \in V_E$ , and  $\operatorname{dist}((u, z), (v, w)) = \alpha$ , then  $(u, z) = (u_0, z_0) \to (u_1, z_1) \to \cdots \to (u_\alpha, z_\alpha) = (v, w)$  for some  $(u_i, z_i) \in V_{D\boxtimes E}$  where  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, \alpha$ . Thus, there are  $0 = i_0 < i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_s \le \alpha$  such that  $u_{i_p} = u_{i_p+1} = \cdots = u_{i_{p+1}-1} \neq u_{i_p+1}$  for all  $p = 0, 1, \ldots, s-1$ . Since  $u = u_{i_0} \to u_{i_1} \to \cdots \to u_{i_s} = u_\alpha = v$ ,  $\operatorname{dist}(u, v) \le s \le \alpha = \operatorname{dist}((u, z), (v, w))$ . So  $\operatorname{diam}(D) \le \operatorname{diam}(D\boxtimes E)$ . Similarly,  $\operatorname{diam}(E) \le \operatorname{diam}(D\boxtimes E)$ . Thus,  $\operatorname{diam}(D\boxtimes E) = \max\{\operatorname{diam}(D), \operatorname{diam}(E)\}$ .  $\square$ 

LEMMA 3. Let G be a connected graph and D be a strongly connected digraph. If  $(u,z), (v,w) \in V_{G\boxtimes D}$ ,  $((u,z), (v,w)) \in A_{G\boxtimes D}$  and  $z \neq w$ , then  $(u,z) \xrightarrow{k} (v,w)$  in  $G\boxtimes D$  for all  $k \geq 1$ .

Proof. Since  $z \neq w$ ,  $(z, w) \in A_D$ . Since G is connected, there is  $x \in V_G$  such that  $\{u, x\} \in E_G$ . Since  $(u, z) \to (x, z) \to (u, z)$ ,  $(u, z) \xrightarrow{2t} (u, z)$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . If u = v, since  $(u, z) \xrightarrow{2t} (u, z) \xrightarrow{1} (u, w)$  and  $(u, z) \xrightarrow{2t} (u, z) \xrightarrow{1} (x, z) \xrightarrow{1} (u, w)$ ,  $(u, z) \xrightarrow{2t+1} (u, w) = (v, w)$  and  $(u, z) \xrightarrow{2t+2} (u, w) = (v, w)$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . If  $u \neq v$ ,  $u \to v$ . Since  $(u, z) \xrightarrow{2t} (u, z) \xrightarrow{1} (v, w)$  and  $(u, z) \xrightarrow{2t} (u, z) \xrightarrow{1} (u, w) \xrightarrow{1} (v, w)$ ,  $(u, z) \xrightarrow{2t+1} (v, w)$  and  $(u, z) \xrightarrow{2t+2} (v, w)$  for all  $t \geq 0$ .  $\square$ 

THEOREM 3. If G is a connected graph and D is a strongly connected digraph such that  $|V_G| \ge 2$  and  $|V_D| \ge 2$ , then  $\exp(G \boxtimes D)$  is  $\operatorname{diam}(G \boxtimes D)$  or  $\operatorname{diam}(G \boxtimes D) + 1$ . Moreover,  $\exp(G \boxtimes D) = \operatorname{diam}(G \boxtimes D) + 1$  if and only if G and D satisfy the following:

1. 
$$\operatorname{diam}(D) \ge \operatorname{diam}(G)$$
,

1109

1110

B.M. Kim, B.C. Song, and W. Hwang

- 2. there is  $v \in V_D$  such that  $v \stackrel{l}{\rightarrow} v$  for all l = 1, 2, ..., diam(D),
- 3. either G is not primitive or G is primitive and  $\exp(G) > \operatorname{diam}(D)$ .

Proof. Let  $\operatorname{diam}(G) = m$  and  $\operatorname{diam}(D) = n$ . For all  $u, v \in V_D$ , there is  $u' \in V_D$  such that  $(u, u') \in A_D$ . Since  $\operatorname{diam}(D) = n$ ,  $u' \stackrel{t}{\longrightarrow} v$  for some  $t \leq n$ . For all  $x, y \in V_G$ ,  $x \stackrel{s}{\longrightarrow} y$  for some  $s \leq m$ . If  $\alpha = \max\{m, n\}$ , by Lemma 1,  $(x, u') \stackrel{l}{\longrightarrow} (y, v)$  for some  $l \leq \alpha$ . If  $k \geq \alpha + 1$ , by Lemma 3,  $(x, u) \stackrel{k-l}{\longrightarrow} (x, u')$ . Since  $(x, u) \stackrel{k-l}{\longrightarrow} (x, u') \stackrel{l}{\longrightarrow} (y, v)$ ,  $(x, u) \stackrel{k}{\longrightarrow} (y, v)$ . So  $\exp(G \boxtimes D) \leq \alpha + 1 = \operatorname{diam}(G \boxtimes D) + 1$ . Since  $\operatorname{diam}(G \boxtimes D) \leq \exp(G \boxtimes D)$ ,  $\exp(G \boxtimes D)$  is  $\operatorname{diam}(G \boxtimes D)$  or  $\operatorname{diam}(G \boxtimes D) + 1$ .

If  $\exp(G\boxtimes D)=\alpha+1$ , then there are  $(x,u),(y,v)\in V_{G\boxtimes D}$  such that  $(x,u)\stackrel{\alpha}{\nrightarrow}(y,v)$  in  $G\boxtimes D$ . If  $u\stackrel{l}{\longrightarrow} v$  for some l with  $1\leq l\leq \alpha$ , then there is  $u'\in V_D$  such that  $(u,u')\in A_D$  and  $u'\stackrel{l-1}{\longrightarrow} v$ . If  $x\neq y$ , then there is  $x'\in V_G$  such that  $\{x,x'\}\in E_G$  and  $x'\stackrel{s-1}{\longrightarrow} y$  where  $s=\mathrm{dist}(x,y)$ . If  $\max\{s,l\}=p$ , then  $p\leq \alpha$ . By Lemmas 1 and 3,  $(x',u')\stackrel{p-1}{\longrightarrow} (y,v)$  and  $(x,u)\stackrel{\alpha-p+1}{\longrightarrow} (x',u')$ . So  $(x,u)\stackrel{\alpha}{\longrightarrow} (y,v)$ . This is a contradiction. If x=y, by Lemma 3,  $(x,u)\stackrel{\alpha-l+1}{\longrightarrow} (x,u')$ . Since  $(x,u)\stackrel{\alpha-l+1}{\longrightarrow} (x,u')\stackrel{l-1}{\longrightarrow} (x,v), (x,u)\stackrel{\alpha}{\longrightarrow} (x,v)=(y,v)$ . This is a contradiction. So  $u\stackrel{l}{\rightarrow} v$  for all l such that  $1\leq l\leq \alpha$ . If  $u\neq v$ , let  $d=\mathrm{dist}(u,v)$ . Then  $1\leq d\leq \alpha$  and  $u\stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} v$ . This is a contradiction. So u=v and u and u and u are u and u and u are u and u are u and u are u and u are u are u and u are u are u are u and u are u are u and u are u and u are u are u and u and u are u are u are u and u are u are u and u are u are u are u are u are u and u are u are u are u and u are u are u are u are u and u are u are u and u are u

Since D is strongly connected, there is  $\tilde{u} \in V_D$  such that  $(\tilde{u}, u) \in A_D$ . If  $\operatorname{dist}(u, \tilde{u}) = r \leq \alpha$ , since  $u \xrightarrow{r+1} u$ ,  $r+1 \geq \alpha+1$ . Since  $r \leq n \leq \alpha$ ,  $r=n=\alpha$ . So  $n \geq m$ . Thus, G and D satisfy condition (1). Since  $(x, u) \xrightarrow{\alpha} (y, u)$ ,  $x \xrightarrow{\alpha} y$  in G. So G and D satisfy condition (3).

Conversely, if G and D satisfy conditions (1), (2) and (3), then there is  $u \in V_D$  such that  $u \stackrel{l}{\to} u$  for all l such that  $1 \leq l \leq n = \alpha$ , and there are  $x, y \in V_G$  such that  $x \stackrel{l}{\to} y$  in G. If  $(x, u) \stackrel{\alpha}{\longrightarrow} (y, u)$ , then  $(x, u) = (x_0, u_0) \to (x_1, y_1) \to \cdots \to (x_\alpha, y_\alpha) = (y, u)$  for some  $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_\alpha \in V_G$  and  $u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_\alpha \in V_D$ . If  $u_0 = u_1 = \cdots = u_\alpha = u$ , since  $x = x_0 \to x_1 \to \cdots \to x_\alpha = y$ ,  $x \stackrel{\alpha}{\longrightarrow} y$ . This is a contradiction. If  $u_i \neq u$  for some i, there are  $0 = i_0 < i_1 < \cdots < i_s \leq \alpha$  such that  $u_{i_p} = u_{i_p+1} = \cdots = u_{i_{p+1}-1} \neq u_{i_{p+1}}$  for all  $p = 0, 1, \ldots, s-1$  and  $u_{i_s} = u_{i_s+1} = \cdots = u_\alpha$ . Since  $u = u_{i_0} \to u_{i_1} \to \cdots \to u_{i_s} \to u_\alpha = u$ ,  $u \stackrel{s}{\to} u$ . Since  $u_i \neq u$  for some i,  $1 \leq s \leq \alpha$ . This is a contradiction. So  $(x, u) \stackrel{\beta}{\to} (y, u)$ . Thus,  $\exp(G \boxtimes D) = \alpha + 1$ .  $\square$ 

COROLLARY 1. If G and H are connected graphs, then

$$\exp(G \boxtimes H) = \operatorname{diam}(G \boxtimes H)$$

except when both G and H are complete graphs.

*Proof.* If  $\exp(G \boxtimes H) = \operatorname{diam}(G \boxtimes H) + 1$ , since  $v \xrightarrow{2} v$  for all  $v \in V_H$ , by Theorem 3,  $1 \leq \operatorname{diam}(G) \leq \operatorname{diam}(H) = 1$ . So G and H are complete graphs.  $\square$ 

Exponents and Diameters of Strong Products Digraphs

1111

Note that the strong product of two complete graphs is also a complete graph, whose exponent is 2.

Corollary 2.

$$\exp(C_n \boxtimes Z_m) = \begin{cases} \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor, & \text{if } n \ge 2m \\ m-1, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } n \le m \\ m, & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } n \le 2m-2, \text{ or } n \text{ is odd} \\ & \text{and } m+1 \le n \le 2m-1. \end{cases}$$

 $\begin{array}{l} Proof. \ \ \mathrm{If} \ \exp(C_n\boxtimes Z_m) = \mathrm{diam}(C_n\boxtimes Z_m) + 1, \ \mathrm{diam}(C_n) = \lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor \leq \mathrm{diam}(Z_m) = \\ m-1. \ \ \mathrm{So} \ n \leq 2m-1. \ \ \mathrm{Moreover}, \ C_n \ \mathrm{is} \ \mathrm{not} \ \mathrm{primitive}, \ \mathrm{or} \ C_n \ \mathrm{is} \ \mathrm{primitive} \ \mathrm{and} \\ \exp(C_n) = n-1 > \mathrm{diam}(Z_m) = m-1. \ \ \mathrm{So} \ n \ \mathrm{is} \ \mathrm{even}, \ \mathrm{or} \ n \ \mathrm{is} \ \mathrm{odd} \ \mathrm{and} \ n \geq m+1. \\ \mathrm{Thus}, \ \mathrm{if} \ n \ \mathrm{is} \ \mathrm{even}, \ n \leq 2m-2. \ \ \mathrm{And} \ \mathrm{if} \ n \ \mathrm{is} \ \mathrm{odd}, \ m+1 \leq n \leq 2m-1. \ \ \mathrm{In} \ \mathrm{this} \ \mathrm{case}, \\ \exp(C_n\boxtimes Z_m) = (m-1)+1 = m. \ \ \mathrm{Otherwise}, \ \exp(C_n\boxtimes Z_m) = \mathrm{diam}(C_n\boxtimes Z_m) = \\ \max\{\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor, m-1\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor, & n \geq 2m \\ m-1, & \mathrm{if} \ n \leq 2m-1. \end{array} \right. \ \square$ 

**Acknowledgments** The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for valuable comments and suggestions. This work was supported by the Research Institute of Natural Science of Gangneung-Wonju National University.

## REFERENCES

- R.A. Brualdi and H.J. Ryser. Combinatorial Matrix Theory. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.
- [2] W. Imrich and S. Klavžar. Product Graphs: Structure and Recognition. John Wiley and Sons, New York, 2000.
- [3] B.M. Kim, B.C. Song, and W. Hwang. Wielandt type theorem for Cartesian product of digraphs. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 429:841–848, 2008.
- [4] B.M. Kim, B.C. Song, and W. Hwang. The exponent of Cartesian product of cycles. Appl. Math. Lett., 22:561–564, 2009.
- [5] M. Kwasnik. Index of primitivity of the disjunction and the composition of two directed graphs. Math. Nachr., 134:231–235, 1987.
- [6] R. Lamprey and B. Barnes. Primitivity of products of digraphs. Proceedings of the Tenth Southeastern Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing, Florida Atlantic Univ., Boca Raton, Fla., Congress. Numer., vol XXIII-XXIV, 637–644, 1979.
- [7] M. McAndrew. On the product of directed graphs. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 14:600–606, 1963.
- [8] C.E. Shannon. The zero error capacity of a noisy channel. IRE Trans. Inform. Theory, 2:8–19, 1956.
- [9] H. Wielandt. Unzerleghare, nicht negative Matrizen. Math. Z., 52:642-645, 1950.