

A NOTE ON LIDSKII'S THEOREM FOR THE DIRECTIONAL DERIVATIVES OF THE EIGENVALUES OF SYMMETRIC MATRICES*

HRISTO SENDOV†

Abstract. Lidskii's theorem is a classical perturbation result for the spectrum of symmetric matrices. It states that a change in the spectrum caused by a perturbation is majorized by the original spectrum. We present a generalization and a refinement of Lidskii's theorem involving the directional derivatives of the spectrum of a symmetric matrix.

Key words. Lidskii's theorem, Schur's theorem, Eigenvalues, Directional derivative, Majorization.

AMS subject classifications. 47A55, 15A18, 90C22.

1. Majorization. Denote by P^n the set of all $n \times n$ permutation matrices. For any vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ denote by $[x]$ the vector obtained from x by permuting its coordinates in nonincreasing order $[x]_1 \geq \dots \geq [x]_n$. Denote by \mathbb{R}_{\geq}^n the set of all vectors in \mathbb{R}^n with coordinates ordered non-increasingly.

DEFINITION 1. For any two vectors $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we say that x is majorized by y , denoted by $x \prec y$, if the following relationships hold

$$\begin{aligned} [x]_1 &\leq [y]_1, \\ [x]_1 + [x]_2 &\leq [y]_1 + [y]_2, \\ &\vdots \\ [x]_1 + \dots + [x]_{n-1} &\leq [y]_1 + \dots + [y]_{n-1}, \\ [x]_1 + \dots + [x]_{n-1} + [x]_n &= [y]_1 + \dots + [y]_{n-1} + [y]_n. \end{aligned}$$

The following famous theorem is due to Weyl, Birkhoff, and Hardy-Littlewood-Polya (see [2], [4], [6], [7]).

THEOREM 2. Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

1. $x \prec y$.
2. $x \in \text{conv} \{Py \mid P \in P^n\}$.
3. $a^T x \leq [a]^T [y]$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
4. There exists an $n \times n$ doubly stochastic matrix S , such that $x = Sy$.
5. The inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n f(y_i),$$

holds for any convex function f on \mathbb{R} .

*Received by the editors on April 9, 2025. Accepted for publication on May 6, 2025. Handling Editor: Ren-Cang Li. Corresponding Author: Hristo Sendov.

†Department of Statistical and Actuarial Sciences, Department of Mathematics, Western University, 1151 Richmond Str., London, ON, N6A 5B7 Canada, (hsendov@uwo.ca). The author was partially supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (NSERC) of Canada. (Grant number RGPIN-2020-06425.)

6. *The inequality*

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq f(y_1, \dots, y_n),$$

holds for any convex symmetric function f on \mathbb{R}^n .

We now introduce a refinement of the notion of majorization. Let π be a partitioning of the set $\mathbb{N}_n := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Each element of the partitioning is called a *block*. Denote by P_π^n the subgroup of P^n that preserves the blocks of the partitioning.

DEFINITION 3. For any two vectors $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we say that x is π -majorized by y , denoted by $x \prec_\pi y$, if $x \in \text{conv} \{Py \mid P \in P_\pi^n\}$.

For example, when $\pi = \{\{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}$, then $x \prec_\pi y$ if and only if $x \prec y$. When $\pi = \{\{1\}, \{2\}, \dots, \{n\}\}$, then $x \prec_\pi y$ if and only if $x = y$. Clearly, if $x \prec_\pi y$ for some partition π of \mathbb{N}_n , then $x \prec y$. Every vector λ in \mathbb{R}^n defines a partition of the set \mathbb{N}_n such that, two numbers i and j are in the same block if $\lambda_i = \lambda_j$. The partition defined in this way by a vector $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is denoted by $\pi(\lambda)$.

2. Lidskii and Schur's theorems.

2.1. **A generalization of Lidskii's theorem.** Denote by S^n the space of $n \times n$ real symmetric matrices. For $A \in X$ denote the vector of eigenvalues, counting multiplicities by $\lambda(A) := (\lambda_1(A), \dots, \lambda_n(A))$. We assume that the eigenvalues are ordered non-increasingly:

$$\lambda_1(A) \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n(A).$$

One of the central results in matrix perturbation theory and a main theme in [1] is the following theorem.

THEOREM 4 (Lidskii). For any two matrices $A, B \in S^n$

$$\lambda(A + B) - \lambda(B) \prec \lambda(A).$$

An immediate corollary of Lidskii's theorem and the definition of majorization is that the sum of the k largest eigenvalues of $A \in S^n$, denoted by $\sigma_k(A)$, is a convex function on S^n . Indeed,

$$\sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_i(A + B) - \lambda_i(B)) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k [\lambda(A + B) - \lambda(B)]_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i(A)$$

shows that the sum of the k largest eigenvalues is a subadditive function. Since the eigenvalues are also positively homogeneous, the convexity claim follows. Every convex function is directionally differentiable in the interior of their domain, [8]. Since $\lambda_i(A) = \sigma_i(A) - \sigma_{i-1}(A)$, we obtain that each eigenvalue is directionally differentiable. In other words, the following limit exists and is finite for every $A, X \in S^n$:

$$\lambda'_i(A; X) := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\lambda_i(A + tX) - \lambda_i(A)}{t}.$$

Several trivial examples are

$$\lambda'(A; I) = (1, \dots, 1)^T, \quad \lambda'(I; A) = \lambda(A), \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda'(A; A) = \lambda(A).$$

Notice that $\lambda'_i(\alpha A; \beta X) = \beta \lambda'_i(A; X)$ for all $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$. Our goal is to show the following generalization of Lidskii's theorem.

THEOREM 5 (Lidskii for directional derivatives). *For any* $A, X, Y \in S^n$

$$(2.1) \quad \lambda'(A; X + Y) - \lambda'(A; Y) \prec_{\pi(\lambda(A))} \lambda'(A; X).$$

The statement is a genuine generalization of Lidskii's theorem, since if we take $A = I$ in (2.1), we obtain $\lambda(X + Y) - \lambda(Y) \prec \lambda(X)$, using that $\pi(\lambda(I)) = \{\{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}$. On the other hand, Theorem 5 is not a trivial consequence of Theorem 4. Indeed, observe first that Theorem 4 implies

$$\frac{\lambda(A + t(X + Y)) - \lambda(A + tY)}{t} \in \text{conv} \{P\lambda(X) \mid P \in P^n\}, \quad \text{for all } t > 0.$$

Adding and subtracting $\lambda(A)$ in the numerator and taking the limit as $t \rightarrow 0^+$ give

$$(2.2) \quad \lambda'(A; X + Y) - \lambda'(A; Y) \prec \lambda(X).$$

Analogously, from

$$\frac{\lambda(A + tX) - \lambda(A)}{t} \in \text{conv} \{P\lambda(X) \mid P \in P^n\}, \quad \text{for all } t > 0,$$

in the limit as $t \rightarrow 0^+$, we obtain

$$(2.3) \quad \lambda'(A; X) \prec \lambda(X).$$

Thus, (2.2) and (2.3) together are weaker statements than (2.1).

2.2. Schur's theorem for directional derivatives. A theorem that is closely related to Lidskii's theorem is the Schur's theorem. Define the linear map $\text{diag} : S^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ by $\text{diag}(A) := (A_{11}, A_{22}, \dots, A_{nn})^T$, the vector of diagonal entries of A . Its adjoint map is given by $\text{Diag} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow S^n$, where $\text{Diag}(a)$ is the diagonal matrix with vector a on its diagonal.

THEOREM 6 (Schur). *For any symmetric matrix* $A \in S^n$

$$\text{diag}(A) \prec \lambda(A).$$

A generalization of Schur's theorem for the directional derivatives of the eigenvalues was proved in [5, Theorem 4]. The proof there is involved.

THEOREM 7 (Schur for directional derivatives). *Any vector* $a \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq}^n$ *and a matrix* $X \in S^n$ *satisfy*

$$(2.4) \quad \text{diag}(X) \prec_{\pi(a)} \lambda'(\text{Diag } a; X).$$

Taking vector a to be the all one vector, we get $\lambda'(\text{Diag } a; X) = \lambda(X)$, showing that Theorem 7 is indeed a generalization of Theorem 6. Combining (2.3) and (2.6) shows that Schur's theorem is a limiting case of Lidskii theorem. Finally, (2.3) gives the following refinement

$$\text{diag}(X) \prec_{\pi(a)} \lambda'(\text{Diag } a; X) \prec \lambda(X).$$

2.3. Proof of Theorem 5. As pointed out, the eigenvalues are directionally differentiable as a function of the symmetric matrix argument. A formula for the directional derivative was first obtained in [3, Theorem 3.12]. We now describe it.

Let $A, X \in S^n$. Assume that A has r distinct eigenvalues with the following multiplicities:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1(A) = \cdots = \lambda_{k_1}(A) &> \lambda_{k_1+1}(A) = \cdots = \lambda_{k_2}(A) \\ &> \cdots > \lambda_{k_{r-1}+1}(A) = \cdots = \lambda_{k_r}(A). \end{aligned}$$

It is convenient to define $k_0 := 0$. Let U be an orthogonal matrix such that $A = U(\text{Diag } \lambda(A))U^T$ is the ordered spectral decomposition of A . Let e_1, \dots, e_n be the standard basis in \mathbb{R}^n and let u_i be the i th column of U . For every $i = 1, \dots, r$ define the $n \times (k_i - k_{i-1})$ matrices

$$U_i := [u_{k_{i-1}+1}, \dots, u_{k_i}] \text{ and } E_i := [e_{k_{i-1}+1}, \dots, e_{k_i}].$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda'(A; X) &= (\lambda(E_1^T U X U^T E_1)^T, \lambda(E_2^T U X U^T E_2)^T, \dots, \lambda(E_r^T U X U^T E_r)^T)^T \\ (2.5) \quad &= (\lambda(U_1^T X U_1)^T, \dots, \lambda(U_r^T X U_r)^T)^T. \end{aligned}$$

If A has distinct eigenvalues, then we simply have

$$\lambda'(A; X) = \text{diag}(U^T X U),$$

and in this case the eigenvalues are analytic functions locally around A . In particular, if vector $a \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq}^n$ has distinct entries, then

$$(2.6) \quad \lambda'(\text{Diag } a; X) = \text{diag}(X).$$

Using Formula (2.5) and the classical Lidskii's theorem applied to each block gives an immediate verification of Theorem 5.

The derivation of Formula (2.5) is quite involved and that motivates a search for a direct approach to Theorem 5. That, most likely, was the motivation behind the direct proof of Theorem 7 given in [5].

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Bhatia. *Matrix Analysis*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
- [2] G. Hardy, J.E. Littlewood, and G. Polya. *Inequalities*, 2nd edition. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [3] J.-B. Hiriart-Urruty and D. Ye. Sensitivity analysis of all eigenvalues of a symmetric matrix. *Numer. Math.*, 70:45–72, 1995.
- [4] L. Hörmander. *Notions of Convexity*. Progress in Mathematics, vol. 127. Birkhäuser, Boston, 1994.
- [5] A.S. Lewis. Nonsmooth analysis of eigenvalues. *Math. Program.*, 84:1–24, 1999.
- [6] M. Marcus and H. Minc. *A Survey on Matrix Theory and Matrix Inequalities*. Allyn and Bacon, Boston, 1964.
- [7] A.W. Marshall and I. Olkin. *Inequalities: Theory of Majorization and Its Applications*. Mathematics in Science and Engineering, vol. 143. Academic Press, New York, 1979.
- [8] R.T. Rockafellar. *Convex Analysis*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1970.