

SOME NEW LOWER BOUNDS FOR THE MINIMUM EIGENVALUE OF THE HADAMARD PRODUCT OF AN M -MATRIX AND ITS INVERSE*

YAOTANG LI[†], XIN LIU[†], XIAOYING YANG[†], AND CHAOQIAN LI[†]

Abstract. For the Hadamard product $A \circ A^{-1}$ of an M -matrix A and its inverse A^{-1} , some new lower bounds for the minimum eigenvalue of $A \circ A^{-1}$ are given. These bounds improve the results of [H.B. Li, T.Z. Huang, S.Q. Shen, and H. Li. Lower bounds for the minimum eigenvalue of Hadamard product of an M -matrix and its inverse. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 420:235-247, 2007] and [Y.T. Li, F.B. Chen, and D.F. Wang. New lower bounds on eigenvalue of the Hadamard product of an M -matrix and its inverse. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 430:1423-1431, 2009].

Key words. Hadamard product, M -matrix, Inverse, Minimum eigenvalue, Lower bounds.

AMS subject classifications. 15A06, 15A15, 15A48.

1. Introduction. For a positive integer n , N denotes the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. For $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, we write $A \geq 0$ ($A > 0$) if $a_{ij} \geq 0$ ($a_{ij} > 0$) for all $i, j \in N$. If $A \geq 0$, we say A is a *nonnegative matrix*, and if $A > 0$, we say A is a *positive matrix*. The *Perron eigenvalue* of an $n \times n$ nonnegative matrix P is denoted by $\rho(P)$.

A matrix $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is called an *M -matrix* if there exists a nonnegative matrix B and a nonnegative real number λ , such that $A = \lambda I - B$ with $\lambda \geq \rho(B)$, where I is the identity matrix. If $\lambda > \rho(B)$ (resp., $\lambda = \rho(B)$), then the M -matrix A is nonsingular (resp., singular); see [1].

For $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, define $\tau(A) = \min\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(A)\}$, where $\sigma(A)$ denotes the spectrum of A .

The *Hadamard product* of two matrices $A = [a_{ij}]$ and $B = [b_{ij}]$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is the matrix $A \circ B = [a_{ij}b_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. If A and B are M -matrices, then it was proved in [5] that $A \circ B^{-1}$ is also an M -matrix. For an M -matrix A , Fiedler et al. showed in [4] that $0 < \tau(A \circ A^{-1}) \leq 1$. In [5], Fiedler and Markham gave a lower bound on

*Received by the editors on December 10, 2009. Accepted for publication on May 20, 2011.
 Handling Editor: Miroslav Fiedler.

[†]School of Mathematics and Statistics, Yunnan University, Kunming, Yunnan, 650091, PR China (liyaotang@ynu.edu.cn, liuxin01668@163.com, yangxiaoying134@163.com, lichaoqian05@163.com). Supported by National Natural Science Foundations of China (No. 10961027, No. 30770500) and the Natural Science Foundation of Yunnan Province (No. 2009CD011).

$\tau(A \circ A^{-1})$,

$$(1.1) \quad \tau(A \circ A^{-1}) \geq \frac{1}{n},$$

and proposed the following conjecture:

$$(1.2) \quad \tau(A \circ A^{-1}) \geq \frac{2}{n}.$$

This conjecture has been proved by Yong ([13, 14]), Song ([10]) and Chen ([3]) independently.

In [12], Xiang used the spectral radius of the Jacobi iterative matrix of an $n \times n$ M -matrix A , and proved that

$$(1.3) \quad \tau(A \circ A^{-1}) \geq 1 - \rho(J_A)^2,$$

and

$$(1.4) \quad \tau(A \circ A^{-1}) \geq \frac{1 + \rho(J_A)^{\frac{1}{n+2}}}{1 + (n-1)\rho(J_A)^{\frac{1}{n+2}}},$$

where $\rho(J_A)$ denotes the spectral radius of the Jacobi iterative matrix of A .

Obviously, the lower bounds (1.1) and (1.2) are simple, but they are not accurate enough. For the lower bounds (1.3) and (1.4), it is difficult to calculate the lower bound of $\tau(A \circ A^{-1})$ by using these formulas, since it is difficult to calculate $\rho(J_A)$ when the order of A is large.

In [7], Li obtained the following result:

$$(1.5) \quad \tau(A \circ A^{-1}) \geq \min_i \left\{ \frac{a_{ii} - s_i R_i}{1 + \sum_{j \neq i} s_{ji}} \right\},$$

which only depends on the entries of $A = [a_{ij}]$, where $R_i = \sum_{k \neq i} |a_{ik}|$, $d_i = \frac{R_i}{|a_{ii}|}$, $i \in N$;

$s_{ji} = \frac{|a_{ji}| + \sum_{k \neq j, i} |a_{jk}| d_k}{|a_{jj}|}$, $j \neq i$, $j \in N$; $s_i = \max_{j \neq i} \{s_{ij}\}$, $i \in N$. In [8], Li improved the bound (1.5) in some cases, and obtained the following result:

$$(1.6) \quad \tau(A \circ A^{-1}) \geq \min_i \left\{ \frac{a_{ii} - m_i R_i}{1 + \sum_{j \neq i} m_{ji}} \right\},$$

where $r_{li} = \frac{|a_{li}|}{|a_{li}| - \sum_{k \neq l, i} |a_{lk}|}$, $l \neq i$; $r_i = \max_{l \neq i} \{r_{li}\}$, $i \in N$; $m_{ji} = \frac{|a_{ji}| + \sum_{k \neq j, i} |a_{jk}| r_i}{|a_{jj}|}$, $j \neq i$;
 $m_i = \max_{j \neq i} \{m_{ij}\}$, $i \in N$.

Recently, in [9], Li has proved the following bound:

$$\tau(B \circ A^{-1}) \geq \min_i \left\{ \frac{b_{ii} - n_i \sum_{j \neq i} |b_{ji}|}{a_{ii}} \right\},$$

where $r_{li} = \frac{|a_{li}|}{|a_{ll}| - \sum_{k \neq l, i} |a_{lk}|}$, $l \neq i$; $r_i = \max_{l \neq i} \{r_{li}\}$, $i \in N$; $n_{ji} = \frac{|a_{ji}| + \sum_{k \neq j, i} |a_{jk}| r_k}{|a_{jj}|}$, $j \neq i$; $n_i = \max_{j \neq i} \{n_{ji}\}$, $i \in N$. When $B = A$, the bound gives a lower bound of $\tau(A \circ A^{-1})$:

$$(1.7) \quad \tau(A \circ A^{-1}) \geq \min_i \left\{ \frac{a_{ii} - n_i R_i}{1 + \sum_{j \neq i} n_{ji}} \right\}.$$

In this paper, we present some new lower bounds on $\tau(A \circ A^{-1})$. The bounds improve the results in [7, 8].

2. Preliminaries and notation. In this section, we give some lemmas which give bounds on the entries of the inverse matrix A^{-1} of a nonsingular matrix A . The following is the list of notations that we use throughout: For $i, j, k, l \in N$,

$$R_i = \sum_{k \neq i} |a_{ik}|, \quad C_i = \sum_{k \neq i} |a_{ki}|, \quad d_i = \frac{R_i}{|a_{ii}|}, \quad \hat{c}_i = \frac{C_i}{|a_{ii}|};$$

$$r_{li} = \frac{|a_{li}|}{|a_{ll}| - \sum_{k \neq l, i} |a_{lk}|}, \quad l \neq i; \quad r_i = \max_{l \neq i} \{r_{li}\}, \quad i \in N;$$

$$c_{il} = \frac{|a_{il}|}{|a_{ll}| - \sum_{k \neq l, i} |a_{kl}|}, \quad l \neq i; \quad c_i = \max_{l \neq i} \{c_{il}\}, \quad i \in N;$$

$$m_{ji} = \frac{|a_{ji}| + \sum_{k \neq j, i} |a_{jk}| r_k}{|a_{jj}|}, \quad j \neq i; \quad m_i = \max_{j \neq i} \{m_{ij}\}, \quad i \in N;$$

$$n_{ji} = \frac{|a_{ji}| + \sum_{k \neq j, i} |a_{jk}| r_k}{|a_{jj}|}, \quad j \neq i; \quad n_i = \max_{j \neq i} \{n_{ij}\}, \quad i \in N;$$

$$s_{ji} = \frac{|a_{ji}| + \sum_{k \neq j, i} |a_{jk}| d_k}{|a_{jj}|}, \quad j \neq i, \quad j \in N; \quad s_i = \max_{j \neq i} \{s_{ij}\}, \quad i \in N;$$

$$T_{ji} = \min\{m_{ji}, n_{ji}\}, \quad j \neq i; \quad T_i = \max_{j \neq i}\{T_{ij}\}, \quad i \in N.$$

LEMMA 2.1. [8, Lemma 2.2] *Let A be an $n \times n$ real matrix.*

(a) *If $A = [a_{ij}]$ is a strictly row diagonally dominant M -matrix, then $A^{-1} = [b_{ij}]$ satisfies*

$$b_{ji} \leq \frac{|a_{ji}| + \sum_{k \neq j, i} |a_{jk}| r_i}{a_{jj}} b_{ii}, \quad i, j \in N, \quad i \neq j.$$

(b) *If $A = [a_{ij}]$ is a strictly column diagonally dominant M -matrix, then $A^{-1} = [b_{ij}]$ satisfies*

$$b_{ij} \leq \frac{|a_{ij}| + \sum_{k \neq j, i} |a_{kj}| c_i}{a_{jj}} b_{ii}, \quad i, j \in N, \quad i \neq j.$$

LEMMA 2.2. [9, Lemma 2.2] *Let A be an $n \times n$ real matrix.*

(a) *If $A = [a_{ij}]$ is a strictly row diagonally dominant M -matrix, then $A^{-1} = [b_{ij}]$ satisfies*

$$b_{ji} \leq \frac{|a_{ji}| + \sum_{k \neq j, i} |a_{jk}| r_k}{a_{jj}} b_{ii}, \quad i, j \in N, \quad i \neq j.$$

(b) *If $A = [a_{ij}]$ is a strictly column diagonally dominant M -matrix, then $A^{-1} = [b_{ij}]$ satisfies*

$$b_{ij} \leq \frac{|a_{ij}| + \sum_{k \neq j, i} |a_{kj}| c_k}{a_{jj}} b_{ii}, \quad i, j \in N, \quad i \neq j.$$

LEMMA 2.3. *If $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a strictly row diagonally dominant M -matrix, then $A^{-1} = [b_{ij}]$ satisfies*

$$b_{ji} \leq T_{ji} b_{ii}, \quad i, j \in N, \quad i \neq j.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.1 (a) and Lemma 2.2 (a), we have

$$b_{ji} \leq n_{ji} b_{ii}, \quad b_{ji} \leq m_{ji} b_{ii}, \quad i, j \in N, \quad i \neq j.$$

From $T_{ji} = \min\{m_{ji}, n_{ji}\}$, we get

$$b_{ji} \leq T_{ji} b_{ii}, \quad i, j \in N, \quad i \neq j. \quad \square$$

LEMMA 2.4. [8, Theorem 3.1] *If $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is an M -matrix and $A^{-1} = [b_{ij}]$ is a doubly stochastic matrix, then*

$$b_{ii} \geq \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{j \neq i} m_{ji}}, \quad i \in N.$$

LEMMA 2.5. [7, Theorem 2.1] *Let A be an $n \times n$ real matrix.*

(a) *If $A = [a_{ij}]$ is a strictly row diagonally dominant matrix, then $A^{-1} = [b_{ij}]$ satisfies*

$$|b_{ji}| \leq \frac{|a_{ji}| + \sum_{k \neq j, i} |a_{jk}| d_k}{|a_{jj}|} |b_{ii}|, \quad i, j \in N, \quad i \neq j.$$

(b) *If $A = [a_{ij}]$ is a strictly column diagonally dominant matrix, then $A^{-1} = [b_{ij}]$ satisfies*

$$|b_{ij}| \leq \frac{|a_{ij}| + \sum_{k \neq j, i} |a_{kj}| \hat{c}_k}{|a_{jj}|} |b_{ii}|, \quad i, j \in N, \quad i \neq j.$$

LEMMA 2.6. [7, Theorem 2.3] *If $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a strictly row diagonally dominant M -matrix, then $A^{-1} = [b_{ij}]$ satisfies*

$$b_{ii} \geq \frac{1}{a_{ii}}, \quad i \in N.$$

LEMMA 2.7. [14, Lemma 2.3] *If A^{-1} is a doubly stochastic matrix, then $Ae = e$, $A^T e = e$, where $e = [1, 1, \dots, 1]^T$.*

LEMMA 2.8. [11, P. 719] *Let $A = [a_{ij}]$ be an $n \times n$ complex matrix and $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ be positive real numbers. Then all the eigenvalues of A lie in the region*

$$\bigcup_i \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - a_{ii}| \leq x_i \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{x_j} |a_{ji}|, \quad i \in N \right\}.$$

LEMMA 2.9. [14, Lemma 2.1] *If P is an irreducible M -matrix, and $Pz \geq kz$ for a nonnegative nonzero vector z , then $\tau(P) \geq k$.*

The following result can be found in [2].

LEMMA 2.10. *If $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is an M -matrix, then there exists a diagonal matrix D with positive diagonal entries such that $D^{-1}AD$ is a strictly row diagonally dominant M -matrix.*

LEMMA 2.11. [6, Lemma 5.1.2] *Let $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, and suppose that $D \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $E \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ are diagonal matrices. Then*

$$D(A \circ B)E = (DAE) \circ B = (DA) \circ (BE) = (AE) \circ (DB) = A \circ (DBE).$$

3. Main results. In this section, we present some new lower bounds for $\tau(A \circ A^{-1})$.

THEOREM 3.1. *If $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is an M -matrix, and $A^{-1} = [b_{ij}]$ is a doubly stochastic matrix, then*

$$b_{ii} \geq \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{j \neq i} n_{ji}}, i \in N; \text{ and } b_{ii} \geq \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{j \neq i} T_{ji}}, i \in N.$$

Proof. We first prove $b_{ii} \geq \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{j \neq i} n_{ji}}, i \in N$. Since A^{-1} is doubly stochastic, by Lemma 2.7, we know that $Ae = e$, so A is a strictly diagonally dominant matrix by row. By Lemma 2.2 (a), for $i \in N$,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= b_{ii} + \sum_{j \neq i} |b_{ji}| \\ &\leq b_{ii} + \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{|a_{ji}| + \sum_{k \neq j, i} |a_{jk}| r_k}{|a_{jj}|} b_{ii} \\ &= \left(1 + \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{|a_{ji}| + \sum_{k \neq j, i} |a_{jk}| r_k}{|a_{jj}|} \right) b_{ii} \\ &= (1 + \sum_{j \neq i} n_{ji}) b_{ii}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$b_{ii} \geq \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{j \neq i} n_{ji}}, i \in N.$$

Similarly, we can prove $b_{ii} \geq \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{j \neq i} T_{ji}}, i \in N$. $\square$

THEOREM 3.2. *Let $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be an irreducible M -matrix, and let $A^{-1} = [b_{ij}]$ be a doubly stochastic matrix. Then*

$$\tau(A \circ A^{-1}) \geq \min_i \left\{ \frac{a_{ii} - T_i R_i}{1 + \sum_{j \neq i} T_{ji}} \right\}.$$

Proof. Since A is irreducible, from Lemma 2.7, we know that $Ae = e$, so A is a strictly diagonally dominant matrix by row. Therefore, $0 < T_i < 1$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Let $\tau(A \circ A^{-1}) = \lambda$. By Lemma 2.8, there exists $i_0 \in N$, such that

$$|\lambda - a_{i_0 i_0} b_{i_0 i_0}| \leq T_{i_0} \sum_{j \neq i_0} \frac{1}{T_j} |a_{j i_0} b_{j i_0}|.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda| &\geq a_{i_0 i_0} b_{i_0 i_0} - T_{i_0} \sum_{j \neq i_0} \frac{1}{T_j} |a_{j i_0} b_{j i_0}| \\ &\geq a_{i_0 i_0} b_{i_0 i_0} - T_{i_0} \sum_{j \neq i_0} \frac{1}{T_j} |a_{j i_0}| T_{j i_0} b_{i_0 i_0} \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.3}) \\ &\geq (a_{i_0 i_0} - T_{i_0} R_{i_0}) b_{i_0 i_0} \\ &\geq \frac{a_{i_0 i_0} - T_{i_0} R_{i_0}}{1 + \sum_{j \neq i_0} T_{j i_0}} \quad (\text{by Theorem 3.1}) \\ &\geq \min_i \left\{ \frac{a_{ii} - T_i R_i}{1 + \sum_{j \neq i} T_{ji}} \right\}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 3.3. If A is reducible, without loss of generality, we can assume that A is a block upper triangular matrix of the form

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & \cdots & A_{1k} \\ & A_{22} & \cdots & A_{2k} \\ & & \ddots & \cdots \\ & & & A_{kk} \end{bmatrix}$$

with irreducible diagonal blocks A_{ii} , $i \in K = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. Then $\tau(A \circ A^{-1}) = \min_{i \in K} \tau(A_{ii} \circ A_{ii}^{-1})$. Thus, the problem of the reducible matrix A is reduced to those of irreducible diagonal blocks A_{ii} , $i \in K$. The result of Theorem 3.2 also holds.

THEOREM 3.4. Let $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be an irreducible strictly row diagonally dominant M -matrix. Then

$$\tau(A \circ A^{-1}) \geq \min_i \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{a_{ii}} \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ji}| T_{ji} \right\}.$$

Proof. Since A is irreducible, then $A^{-1} = [b_{ij}] > 0$, and $A \circ A^{-1}$ is again irreducible. Note that

$$\tau(A \circ A^{-1}) = \tau((A \circ A^{-1})^T) = \tau(A^T \circ (A^T)^{-1}).$$

Let

$$(A^T \circ (A^T)^{-1})e = [g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n]^T,$$

where $e = [1, 1, \dots, 1]^T$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $g_1 = \min_i \{g_i\}$, by Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{j1}b_{j1}| \\ &= a_{11}b_{11} - \sum_{j \neq 1} |a_{j1}b_{j1}| \\ &\geq a_{11}b_{11} - \sum_{j \neq 1} |a_{j1}|T_{j1}b_{11} \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.3}) \\ &= (a_{11} - \sum_{j \neq 1} |a_{j1}|T_{j1})b_{11} \\ &\geq \frac{a_{11} - \sum_{j \neq 1} |a_{j1}|T_{j1}}{a_{11}} \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.6}) \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{1}{a_{11}} \sum_{j \neq 1} |a_{j1}|T_{j1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $(A^T \circ (A^T)^{-1})e \geq (1 - \frac{1}{a_{11}} \sum_{j \neq 1} |a_{j1}|T_{j1})e$. From Lemma 2.9, we have

$$\tau(A \circ A^{-1}) = \tau(A^T \circ (A^T)^{-1}) \geq \min_i \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{a_{ii}} \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ji}|T_{ji} \right\}. \quad \square$$

REMARK 3.5. If A is an M -matrix, then by Lemma 2.10, we know that there exists a diagonal matrix D with positive diagonal entries such that $D^{-1}AD$ is a strictly row diagonally dominant M -matrix. So the result of Theorem 3.4 also holds for a general M -matrix.

THEOREM 3.6. Let $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be an M -matrix, and let $A^{-1} = [b_{ij}]$ be a doubly stochastic matrix. Then

$$\min_i \left\{ \frac{a_{ii} - T_i R_i}{1 + \sum_{j \neq i} T_{ji}} \right\} \geq \min_i \left\{ \frac{a_{ii} - m_i R_i}{1 + \sum_{j \neq i} m_{ji}} \right\}.$$

Proof. Since $T_{ji} = \min\{m_{ji}, n_{ji}\}$,

$$T_{ji} \leq m_{ji}, \quad j \neq i, \quad j \in N; \quad T_i \leq m_i, \quad i \in N.$$

Hence,

$$a_{ii} - T_i R_i \geq a_{ii} - m_i R_i, \quad \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{j \neq i} T_{ji}} \geq \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{j \neq i} m_{ji}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\min_i \left\{ \frac{a_{ii} - T_i R_i}{1 + \sum_{j \neq i} T_{ji}} \right\} \geq \min_i \left\{ \frac{a_{ii} - m_i R_i}{1 + \sum_{j \neq i} m_{ji}} \right\}. \quad \square$$

REMARK 3.7. Theorem 3.6 shows that the result of Theorem 3.2 is better than that of Theorem 3.2 in [10].

THEOREM 3.8. Let $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be an M -matrix. Then

$$\min_i \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{a_{ii}} \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ji}| T_{ji} \right\} \geq \min_i \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{a_{ii}} \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ji}| m_{ji} \right\}.$$

Proof. By the proof of Theorem 3.6, we have

$$T_{ji} \leq m_{ji}, \quad j \neq i.$$

So

$$1 - \frac{1}{a_{ii}} \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ji}| T_{ji} \geq 1 - \frac{1}{a_{ii}} \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ji}| m_{ji}.$$

Thus,

$$\min_i \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{a_{ii}} \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ji}| T_{ji} \right\} \geq \min_i \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{a_{ii}} \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ji}| m_{ji} \right\}. \quad \square$$

REMARK 3.9. Theorem 3.8 shows that the result of Theorem 3.4 is better than that of Theorem 3.4 in [10].

THEOREM 3.10. Let $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be an irreducible M -matrix, and let $A^{-1} = [b_{ij}]$ be a doubly stochastic matrix. Then

$$\tau(A \circ A^{-1}) \geq \min_i \left\{ \frac{a_{ii} - s_i \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{|a_{ji}| n_{ji}}{s_j}}{1 + \sum_{j \neq i} m_{ji}} \right\}.$$

Proof. Since A^{-1} is doubly stochastic, by Lemma 2.7, we have $Ae = e$, $A^T e = e$, so A is a strictly diagonally dominant M -matrix, and

$$a_{ii} = \sum_{k \neq i} |a_{ik}| + 1 = \sum_{k \neq i} |a_{ki}| + 1, \quad a_{ii} > 1$$

and

$$d_i = \frac{\sum_{k \neq i} |a_{ik}|}{|a_{ii}|} < 1, \quad i \in N.$$

For convenience, we denote

$$\tilde{R}_j = \sum_{k \neq j} |a_{jk}| d_k, \quad j \in N.$$

Then, for any $j \in N$ with $j \neq i$, we have

$$\tilde{R}_j \leq |a_{ji}| + \sum_{k \neq j, i} |a_{jk}| d_k \leq R_j = \sum_{k \neq j} |a_{jk}| \leq a_{jj}.$$

Therefore, there exists a real number α_{ji} ($0 \leq \alpha_{ji} \leq 1$), such that

$$|a_{ji}| + \sum_{k \neq j, i} |a_{jk}| d_k = \alpha_{ji} R_j + (1 - \alpha_{ji}) \tilde{R}_j.$$

Let $\alpha_j = \max_{i \neq j} \{\alpha_{ji}\}$. Then $0 < \alpha_j \leq 1$, (if $\alpha_j = 0$, then A is reducible, which is a contradiction). So, from the definition of s_{ij} , we have

$$s_j = \max_{i \neq j} \{s_{ji}\} = \frac{\alpha_j R_j + (1 - \alpha_j) \tilde{R}_j}{a_{jj}}, \quad j \in N.$$

Since $0 < \alpha_j \leq 1$, we get $0 < s_j \leq 1$.

Let $\tau(A \circ A^{-1}) = \lambda$. By Lemma 2.8, there exists $i_0 \in N$, such that

$$|\lambda - a_{i_0 i_0} b_{i_0 i_0}| \leq s_{i_0} \sum_{j \neq i_0} \frac{1}{s_j} |a_{j i_0} b_{j i_0}|.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\lambda| &\geq a_{i_0 i_0} b_{i_0 i_0} - s_{i_0} \sum_{j \neq i_0} \frac{1}{s_j} |a_{ji_0} b_{ji_0}| \\
 &\geq a_{i_0 i_0} b_{i_0 i_0} - s_{i_0} \sum_{j \neq i_0} \frac{1}{s_j} |a_{ji_0}| \frac{|a_{ji_0}| + \sum_{k \neq j, i_0} |a_{jk}| r_k}{a_{jj}} b_{i_0 i_0} \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.2 (a)}) \\
 &= (a_{i_0 i_0} - s_{i_0} \sum_{j \neq i_0} \frac{1}{s_j} |a_{ji_0}| n_{ji_0}) b_{i_0 i_0} \\
 &\geq \frac{a_{i_0 i_0} - s_{i_0} \sum_{j \neq i_0} \frac{1}{s_j} |a_{ji_0}| n_{ji_0}}{1 + \sum_{j \neq i_0} m_{ji_0}} \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.4}) \\
 &\geq \min_i \left\{ \frac{a_{ii} - s_i \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{|a_{ji}| n_{ji}}{s_j}}{1 + \sum_{j \neq i} m_{ji}} \right\}. \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 3.11. When A is reducible, without loss of generality, we can assume that A is a block upper triangular matrix of the form

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & \cdots & A_{1k} \\ & A_{22} & \cdots & A_{2k} \\ & & \ddots & \cdots \\ & & & A_{kk} \end{bmatrix}$$

with irreducible diagonal blocks A_{ii} , $i \in K$. Then $\tau(A \circ A^{-1}) = \min_{i \in K} \tau(A_{ii} \circ A_{ii}^{-1})$. Thus, the problem of the reducible matrix A is reduced to those of irreducible diagonal blocks A_{ii} , $i \in K$. The result of Theorem 3.10 also holds.

By using Lemma 2.6, Lemma 2.10 and Theorem 3.10, we can get the following corollary.

COROLLARY 3.12. Let $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be an M -matrix. Then

$$\tau(A \circ A^{-1}) \geq \min_i \left\{ \frac{a_{ii} - s_i \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{|a_{ji}| n_{ji}}{s_j}}{a_{ii}} \right\}.$$

4. Examples.

EXAMPLE 4.1. (See also Example 3.1 in [9]) Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -2 & 5 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & -2 & 4 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

By $Ae = e$ and $A^T e = e$, we know that A^{-1} is a doubly stochastic matrix. By calculating with *Matlab 7.0*, we have

$$A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.4 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 \\ 0.2333 & 0.3667 & 0.2 & 0.2 \\ 0.1667 & 0.2333 & 0.4 & 0.2 \\ 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

If we apply the conjecture of Fiedler and Markham, we have

$$\tau(A \circ A^{-1}) \geq \frac{2}{n} = 0.5;$$

if we apply Theorem 3.1 of [9], we have

$$\tau(A \circ A^{-1}) \geq 0.6624;$$

if we apply Theorem 3.2 of [10], we have

$$\tau(A \circ A^{-1}) \geq 0.7999.$$

But, if we apply Theorem 3.2, we have

$$\tau(A \circ A^{-1}) \geq 0.85;$$

if we apply Theorem 3.10, we have

$$\tau(A \circ A^{-1}) \geq 0.8602.$$

In fact, $\tau(A \circ A^{-1}) = 0.9755$.

EXAMPLE 4.2. Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & -1 & -2 & -1 \\ -1 & 12 & -7 & -2 \\ -1 & -1 & 15 & -4 \\ -2 & -3 & 0 & 10 \end{bmatrix}.$$

By calculating with *Matlab 7.0*, we have

$$A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2372 & 0.0364 & 0.0486 & 0.0505 \\ 0.0512 & 0.1043 & 0.0555 & 0.0482 \\ 0.0360 & 0.0197 & 0.0806 & 0.0398 \\ 0.0628 & 0.0386 & 0.0264 & 0.1245 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore, A is a nonsingular M -matrix.

If we apply the conjecture of Fiedler and Markham, we have

$$\tau(A \circ A^{-1}) \geq \frac{2}{n} = 0.5;$$

if we apply Theorem 3.5 of [9], we have

$$\tau(A \circ A^{-1}) \geq 0.5689;$$

if we apply Theorem 3.4 of [10], we have

$$\tau(A \circ A^{-1}) \geq 0.5422.$$

But, if we apply Theorem 3.4, we have

$$\tau(A \circ A^{-1}) \geq 0.5959;$$

if we apply Corollary 3.12, we have

$$\tau(A \circ A^{-1}) \geq 0.6021.$$

In fact, $\tau(A \circ A^{-1}) = 0.9548$.

REMARK 4.3. The numerical examples show that in these cases the bounds of Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.10 are sharper than Theorem 3.1 in [9] and Theorem 3.2 in [10]; the bounds in Theorem 3.4 and Corollary 3.12 are sharper than Theorem 3.5 in [9] and Theorem 3.4 in [10].

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Berman and R.J. Plemmons. *Nonnegative Matrices in the Mathematical Sciences*. Academic Press, New York, 1979.
- [2] J.L. Chen. *Special Matrix*. Tsinghua University Press, Beijing, 2000.
- [3] S.C. Chen. A lower bound for the minimum eigenvalue of the Hadamard product of matrices. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 378:159–166, 2004.
- [4] M. Fiedler, C.R. Johnson, T. Markham, and M. Neumann. A trace inequality for M -matrices and the symmetrizability of a real matrix by a positive diagonal matrix. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 71:81–94, 1985.

- [5] M. Fiedler and T. Markham. An inequality for the Hadamard product of an M -matrix and inverse M -matrix. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 101:1–8, 1988.
- [6] R.A. Horn and C.R. Johnson. *Topics in Matrix Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, 1991.
- [7] H.B. Li, T.Z. Huang, S.Q. Shen, and H. Li. Lower bounds for the minimum eigenvalue of Hadamard product of an M -matrix and its inverse. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 420:235–247, 2007.
- [8] Y.T. Li, F.B. Chen, and D.F. Wang. New lower bounds on eigenvalue of the Hadamard product of an M -matrix and its inverse. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 430:1423–1431, 2009.
- [9] Y.T. Li, Y.Y. Li, R.W. Wang, and Y.Q. Wang. Some new bounds on eigenvalues of the Hadamard product and the Fan product of matrices. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 432:536–545, 2010.
- [10] Y.Z. Song. On an inequality for the Hadamard product of an M -matrix and its inverse. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 305:99–105, 2000.
- [11] R.S. Varga. Minimal Gerschgorin sets. *Pacific J. Math.*, 15(2):719–729, 1965.
- [12] S.H. Xiang. On an inequality for the Hadamard product of an M -matrix or an H -matrix and its inverse. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 367:17–27, 2003.
- [13] X.R. Yong. Proof of a conjecture of Fiedler and Markham. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 320:167–171, 2000.
- [14] X.R. Yong and Z. Wang. On a conjecture of Fiedler and Markham. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 288:259–267, 1999.