

## DIGRAPHS WITH LARGE EXPONENT\*

S. KIRKLAND<sup>†</sup>, D. D. OLESKY<sup>‡</sup>, AND P. VAN DEN DRIESSCHE<sup>§</sup>

**Abstract.** Primitive digraphs on  $n$  vertices with exponents at least  $\lfloor \omega_n/2 \rfloor + 2$ , where  $\omega_n = (n-1)^2 + 1$ , are considered. For  $n \geq 3$ , all such digraphs containing a Hamilton cycle are characterized; and for  $n \geq 6$ , all such digraphs containing a cycle of length  $n-1$  are characterized. Each eigenvalue of any stochastic matrix having a digraph in one of these two classes is proved to be geometrically simple.

**Key words.** primitive directed graph, exponent.

**AMS subject classifications.** 15A48, 05C20

**1. Introduction.** A directed graph (digraph)  $D$  is *primitive* if for some positive integer  $m$  there is a (directed) walk of length  $m$  between any two vertices  $u$  and  $v$  (including  $u = v$ ). The minimum such  $m$  is the *exponent* of  $D$ , denoted by  $\exp(D)$ . It is well known that  $D$  is primitive iff it is strongly connected and the *gcd* of its cycle lengths is 1. A nonnegative matrix  $A$  is primitive if  $A^m$  is entrywise positive for some positive integer  $m$ . If  $D = D(A)$ , the digraph of a primitive matrix  $A$ , then  $\exp(D) = \exp(A)$ , which is the minimum  $m$  such that  $A^m$  is entrywise positive.

Denoting  $(n-1)^2 + 1$  by  $\omega_n$ , the best upper bound for  $\exp(D)$  when a primitive digraph  $D$  has  $n \geq 2$  vertices is given by  $\exp(D) \leq \omega_n$ , with equality holding iff  $D = D(W_n)$  where  $W_n$  is a Wielandt matrix; see, e.g., [2, Theorem 3.5.6]. When  $n = 2$ , then  $D(W_2)$ , consisting of a 1 cycle and a 2 cycle, has exponent equal to 2. Henceforth we assume that  $n \geq 3$ . The digraph  $D(W_n)$  consists of a Hamilton cycle (i.e., a cycle of length  $n$ ) and one more arc, between a pair of vertices that are distance two apart on the Hamilton cycle, giving a cycle of length  $n-1$ .

The following result of Lewin and Vitek [6, Theorem 3.1], see also [2, Theorem 3.5.8], is the basis for our discussion of digraphs with large exponent.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *If  $D$  has  $n \geq 3$  vertices and is primitive with sufficiently large exponent, namely*

$$(1) \quad \exp(D) \geq \lfloor \omega_n/2 \rfloor + 2, \text{ with } \omega_n = (n-1)^2 + 1,$$

*then  $D$  has cycles of exactly two different lengths  $j, k$  with  $n \geq k > j$ .*

We say that a primitive digraph  $D$  on  $n$  vertices satisfying (1) has a *large exponent*. Note that in Theorem 1.1,  $\gcd(j, k) = 1$  since  $D$  is primitive. If  $\gcd(j, k) = 1$ , then

---

\*Received by the editors on 26 January 2000. Accepted for publication on 21 February 2000. Handling editor: Richard A. Brualdi.

<sup>†</sup>Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Regina, Regina, Saskatchewan, S4S 0A2, Canada (kirkland@math.uregina.ca). Research supported in part by an NSERC Research Grant.

<sup>‡</sup>Department of Computer Science, University of Victoria, Victoria, British Columbia, V8W 3P6, Canada (dolesky@csr.uvic.ca). Research supported in part by an NSERC Research Grant.

<sup>§</sup>Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Victoria, Victoria, British Columbia, V8W 3P4, Canada (pvdd@math.uvic.ca). Research supported in part by an NSERC Research Grant.

every integer greater than or equal to  $(j-1)(k-1)$  can be written as  $c_1j + c_2k$ , where  $c_i$  are nonnegative integers. The value  $(j-1)(k-1)$  is the smallest such integer, and is called the Frobenius-Schur index for the two relatively prime integers  $j$  and  $k$ ; see, e.g., [2, Lemma 3.5.5].

The Frobenius-Schur index is used to prove the following result that gives a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a primitive digraph with large exponent and cycles of two specified lengths.

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Let  $k$  and  $j$  be such that  $\gcd(j, k) = 1$  and  $n \geq k > j$ . There exists a primitive digraph  $D$  on  $n$  vertices having only cycle lengths  $k$  and  $j$  and  $\exp(D) \geq \lfloor \omega_n/2 \rfloor + 2$  iff  $j(k-2) \geq \lfloor \omega_n/2 \rfloor + 2 - n$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $D$  is a digraph with large exponent and cycle lengths  $k$  and  $j < k \leq n$ . We claim that for any pair of vertices  $u$  and  $v$ , there is a walk from  $u$  to  $v$  of length at most  $k + n - j - 1 \geq n$  that goes through a vertex on a  $k$  cycle and a vertex on a  $j$  cycle. To prove this claim, note that from the proof of Theorem 1 in [4], there are no pairs of vertex disjoint cycles in  $D$ ; that is, any pair of cycles share at least one common vertex. If there is a walk from  $u$  to  $v$  of length less than or equal to  $n$  that passes through at least one vertex on a  $k$  cycle and at least one vertex on a  $j$  cycle, then the claim is proved.

So suppose that this is not the case. In particular, assume that  $u$  and  $v$  are only on  $k$  (resp.  $j$ ) cycles, and any path from  $u$  to  $v$  passes only through vertices not on any  $j$  (resp.  $k$ ) cycle. Consider the first case. Let  $l$  be the number of vertices *not* on a  $j$  cycle, and note that  $2 \leq l \leq n - j$ . Since a shortest path from  $u$  to  $v$  goes only through vertices not on a  $j$  cycle, the length  $p$  of such a path satisfies  $p \leq l - 1$ . Consider the walk from  $u$  to  $v$  formed by first traversing a  $k$  cycle at  $u$  (necessarily going through a vertex on a  $j$  cycle), then taking the path of length  $p$  from  $u$  to  $v$ . This generates a walk from  $u$  to  $v$  that goes through a vertex on a  $k$  cycle and one on a  $j$  cycle, and its length is  $k + p \leq k + l - 1 \leq k + n - j - 1$ . The second case follows by interchanging  $k$  and  $j$  and noting that  $j + n - k - 1 < k + n - j - 1$ . Thus the claim is proved. By the Frobenius-Schur index, there is a walk from  $u$  to  $v$  of length  $k + n - j - 1 + (k-1)(j-1) = n + j(k-2)$  for any pair  $u, v$ . Thus  $n + j(k-2) \geq \exp(D) \geq \lfloor \omega_n/2 \rfloor + 2$ , giving the condition on  $k$  and  $j$ .

For the converse, assume the condition on  $k$  and  $j$ , and consider the digraph  $D$  consisting of the  $k$  cycle  $1 \rightarrow k \rightarrow k-1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow k+j-n+1 \rightarrow k+j-n \rightarrow k+j-n-1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1$ , and arcs  $1 \rightarrow k+1 \rightarrow k+2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow n-1 \rightarrow n \rightarrow k+j-n$ . Thus  $D$  has exactly one  $k$  cycle and one  $j$  cycle. Consider the length of a walk from  $k$  to  $k+j-n+1$ . Such a walk has length  $n-j-1$  or  $k+n-j-1+c_1k+c_2j$  for some nonnegative integers  $c_i$ , and (from the Frobenius-Schur index) there is no walk of length  $k+n-j-1+(k-1)(j-1)$ . Thus

$$\exp(D) \geq k + n - j - 1 + (k-1)(j-1) = n + j(k-2) \geq \lfloor \omega_n/2 \rfloor + 2. \quad \square$$

Note that for  $D$  primitive with only cycles of lengths  $k$  and  $j$  with  $j < k \leq n$ , the bound on  $\exp(D)$  found in the above proof, namely  $\exp(D) \leq n + j(k-2)$ , improves the bound in [4, Lemma 1] and includes the converse. Furthermore, Theorem 1.2 does not include additional assumptions as in [6, Theorem 4.1].

We assume that  $D$  has a large exponent and focus on the graph theoretic aspects of this condition. In Section 2, we characterize the case when  $D$  has a Hamilton cycle ( $k = n \geq 3$ ); and in Section 3, we characterize the case  $k = n - 1$ . Our characterizations give some information on the case for general  $k \leq n$  when  $n \geq 4$ , since a result of Beasley and Kirkland [1, Theorem 1] implies that any induced subdigraph on  $k$  vertices that is primitive also has large exponent (relative to  $\lfloor \omega_k/2 \rfloor + 2$ ), so the structure of some such induced subdigraphs is known from our results. It is known from results in [6] exactly which numbers  $\geq \lfloor \omega_n/2 \rfloor + 2$  are attainable as exponents of primitive digraphs. (Note that there are some gaps in this exponent set.) Our work in Sections 2 and 3 focuses on describing the corresponding digraphs when  $k \geq n - 1$ .

Some algebraic consequences of the large exponent condition (1) for a stochastic matrix  $A$  with  $D(A) = D$  have been investigated in [4] and [5]. The characteristic polynomial of  $A$  has a simple form (see [4, Theorem 1]), and, if  $n$  is sufficiently large, then about half of the eigenvalues of  $A$  have modulus close to 1. Kirkland and Neumann [5] considered the magnitudes of the entries in the group generalized inverse of  $I - A$  (which measures stability of the left Perron vector of  $A$  under perturbations). In Section 4 we use results of Sections 2 and 3 to investigate the multiplicities of eigenvalues of stochastic matrices with large exponents.

**2. The Hamiltonian Case.** Assuming that  $D$  has large exponent and a Hamilton cycle, we begin by finding possible lengths for other cycles in  $D$ .

**LEMMA 2.1.** *Suppose that  $D$  is a primitive digraph on  $n \geq 3$  vertices with  $\exp(D) \geq \lfloor \omega_n/2 \rfloor + 2$  and that  $D$  has a Hamilton cycle. Then  $D$  has precisely one Hamilton cycle, and all other cycles have length  $j$ , where  $n > j \geq \lceil (n-1)/2 \rceil$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 1.1,  $D$  contains cycles of exactly two lengths,  $n = k > j$ . W.l.o.g. take the given Hamilton cycle as  $1 \rightarrow n \rightarrow n-1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1$ , and assume that the arc  $1 \rightarrow j$  lies on a second Hamilton cycle. Note that the only possible arcs from any vertex  $i$  are  $i \rightarrow i-1 \pmod{n}$  and  $i \rightarrow i+j-1 \pmod{n}$ . Since the arc  $j+1 \rightarrow j$  is not on the second Hamilton cycle, this cycle must include the arc  $j+1 \rightarrow (j+1)+j-1 = 2j \pmod{n}$ . Similarly, there is an arc on the second Hamilton cycle from  $(m-1)j+1$  to  $mj \pmod{n}$ , for  $m = 1, \dots, n$ . As  $\gcd(j, n) = 1$ ,  $D$  contains the digraph of a primitive circulant. By [3, Theorem 2.1],  $\exp(D) \leq (n-1)$  or  $\exp(D) \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ , thus  $\exp(D) < \lfloor \omega_n/2 \rfloor + 2$ . Hence, there is no second Hamilton cycle in  $D$ . For the lower bound on  $j$ , take  $k = n$  in Theorem 1.2; see also [4, Theorem 1].  $\square$

If  $D$  has large exponent and  $k = n = 3$ , then Lemma 2.1 implies that  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ . For  $j = 1$ ,  $D$  consisting of a 3 cycle and a 1 cycle has exponent equal to  $4 = \lfloor \omega_3/2 \rfloor + 2$ . For  $j = 2 = n - 1$ , either  $D = D(W_3)$  with exponent equal to  $5 = \omega_3$ , or  $D$  consists of a 3 cycle with two 2 cycles and has exponent equal to 4. This last case is an example of the result that a digraph  $D$  on  $n$  vertices has  $\exp(D) = (n-1)^2$  iff  $D$  is isomorphic to an  $n$  cycle with two additional arcs from consecutive vertices forming two  $n-1$  cycles; see, e.g., [2, pp. 82–83].

These observations motivate our next two theorems, which describe the Hamiltonian digraphs with large exponent. Most cases are covered in Theorem 2.2, but, if  $n$

is odd, then the case  $j = (n - 1)/2$  is slightly different and is given in Theorem 2.3.

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Suppose that  $j \geq n/2$ . Then  $D$  is a primitive digraph on  $n \geq 3$  vertices with  $\exp(D) \geq \lfloor \omega_n/2 \rfloor + 2$  and cycle lengths  $n$  and  $j$  iff  $D$  is isomorphic to a (primitive) subdigraph of the digraph formed by taking the cycle  $1 \rightarrow n \rightarrow n - 1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1$ , and adding in the arcs  $i \rightarrow i + j - 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n - j + 1$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $D$  is primitive with large exponent and has a Hamilton cycle. Then by Lemma 2.1,  $D$  has only one Hamilton cycle and other cycles of length  $j$ , which by assumption is at least  $n/2$ . W.l.o.g. assume that the Hamilton cycle is  $1 \rightarrow n \rightarrow n - 1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1$ , and that  $D$  contains the arc  $1 \rightarrow j$ . Since  $D$  has cycles of just two different lengths, each vertex  $i$  of  $D$  has outdegree  $\leq 2$ , and if the outdegree is 2, then the outarcs from vertex  $i$  are  $i \rightarrow i - 1$  and  $i \rightarrow i + j - 1$ . Here and throughout the proof, all indices are mod  $n$ . As  $1 \rightarrow j$ , the outdegree of vertex  $i$  is 1 for each  $i \in \{n - j + 2, \dots, j\}$ , since otherwise  $1 \rightarrow j \rightarrow j - 1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow i \rightarrow i + j - 1 \rightarrow n \rightarrow i + j - 2 \rightarrow n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1$  is a cycle of length less than  $j$ . Consequently if the outdegree of vertex  $i \in \{2, \dots, j\}$  is 2, then in fact  $i \in \{2, \dots, n - j + 1\}$ . If there is no such  $i$ , then  $D$  has the desired structure, since  $D$  has at most  $n - j + 1$  consecutive vertices on the Hamilton cycle (namely  $1$  and  $j + 1, \dots, n$ ) of outdegree 2. Henceforth suppose that there exists  $i \in \{2, \dots, n - j + 1\}$  with outdegree 2, and let  $i_1$  be the maximum such  $i$ ; thus  $i_1 \rightarrow i_1 + j - 1 \in \{j + 1, \dots, n\}$ . As before, the outdegree is 1 for each vertex  $i \in \{n - j + i_1 + 1, \dots, j + i_1 - 1\}$ . In particular, if  $n - j + i_1 + 1 \leq j + 1$ , then the only vertices that can have outdegree 2 are  $1, \dots, i_1$  and  $j + i_1, \dots, n$ , that is  $n - j + 1$  consecutive vertices, as desired. So suppose henceforth that  $n - j + i_1 > j$ , that is  $i_1 > 2j - n \geq 0$ . Suppose also that there exists  $i_2$  such that  $n - j + i_1 \geq i_2 \geq j + 1$  with  $i_2$  having outdegree 2. Then  $i_2 \rightarrow i_2 + j - 1$ . Now  $n + i_1 - 1 \geq i_2 + j - 1 \geq 2j$ , so that  $i_2 + j - 1 \pmod{n} = i_2 + j - 1 - n \in \{2j - n, \dots, i_1 - 1\}$ . But then there is a cycle  $i_1 \rightarrow i_1 + j - 1 \rightarrow i_1 + j - 2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow i_2 \rightarrow i_2 + j - 1 \rightarrow n \rightarrow i_2 + j - 2 \rightarrow n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow j \rightarrow j - 1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow i_1 + 1 \rightarrow i_1$ , which has length  $3j - n$ . As there is only one Hamilton cycle (Lemma 2.1), this implies that  $3j - n = j$ , giving a contradiction, since  $\gcd(n, j) = 1$ . Thus again each of vertices  $i_1 + 1, \dots, j + i_1 - 1$  has outdegree 1, and so at most  $n - j + 1$  consecutive vertices have outdegree 2, as desired.

For the converse, consider the maximal such digraph  $D$  with the above Hamilton cycle and the  $n - j + 1$  additional arcs. Note that each of the vertices  $n - j + 2, \dots, n$  has outdegree 1, and each of the vertices  $1, 2, \dots, j - 1$  has indegree 1, so the only path from  $n$  to 1 is  $n \rightarrow n - 1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 1$  with length  $n - 1$ . By Frobenius-Schur, it follows that there is no walk from  $n$  to 1 of length  $n - 1 + (n - 1)(j - 1) - 1$ ; hence  $\exp(D) \geq j(n - 1)$ . Since  $\gcd(n, j) = 1$ , it follows that  $j = n/2$  is inadmissible. Thus  $j \geq n/2$  implies that  $j \geq (n + 1)/2$ , and so  $j(n - 1) \geq (n^2 - 1)/2 \geq \lfloor \omega_n/2 \rfloor + 2$ . Since  $D$  is maximal, any primitive subdigraph has exponent at least as large as  $\exp(D)$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Suppose that  $n \geq 3$  is odd and  $j = (n - 1)/2$ . Then  $D$  is a primitive digraph on  $n$  vertices with  $\exp(D) \geq \lfloor \omega_n/2 \rfloor + 2$  and cycle lengths  $n$  and  $j$  iff  $D$  is isomorphic to a (primitive) subdigraph of the digraph formed by taking the cycle  $1 \rightarrow n \rightarrow n - 1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1$ , and adding in the arcs  $i \rightarrow i + j - 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq (n - 1)/2 = j$ .*

*Proof.* First assume that  $\exp(D) \geq \lfloor \omega_n/2 \rfloor + 2 = (n - 1)^2/2 + 2$ . Observe that if vertex  $i$  is on a  $j$  cycle, then (by Frobenius-Schur) there is a walk of length

$\leq (n-1) + (n-1)(j-1) = j(n-1) = (n-1)^2/2$  from  $i$  to each vertex of  $D$ . It follows that there must be a vertex with distance 2 to the nearest  $j$  cycle. W.l.o.g. that vertex is  $n$ , with vertex  $n-2$  on a  $j$  cycle. In fact that  $j$  cycle is  $n-2 \rightarrow n-3 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow (n-1)/2 = j \rightarrow n-2$ , otherwise  $n-1$  or  $n$  is on a  $j$  cycle. None of the vertices  $j+1, j+2, \dots, n$  can have outdegree 2 (otherwise one of  $n-1$  or  $n$  is on a  $j$  cycle). However, the  $j-1$  additional arcs  $i \rightarrow i+j-1$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, j-1$  may be included in  $D$ . Thus it follows that  $D$  is a subdigraph of the digraph that has the  $n-1$  cycle and the additional  $j$  arcs as in the theorem statement.

For the converse, note that if  $D$  is isomorphic to a subdigraph of the specified digraph, then a walk from  $n$  to  $n-1$  of length greater than 1 must traverse the entire Hamilton cycle, so walks from  $n$  to  $n-1$  have length 1 or  $n+1+c_1n+c_2j$  where  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are nonnegative integers. Thus (by Frobenius-Schur) there is no walk from  $n$  to  $n-1$  of length  $n+1+(n-1)(n-3)/2-1 = (n-1)^2/2+1$ , so that  $\exp(D) \geq (n-1)^2/2+2$ , as desired.  $\square$

Using the structures of Hamiltonian digraphs  $D$  with large exponents given in Theorems 2.2 and 2.3, we determine the exact value of  $\exp(D)$  in terms of a parameter  $a$  that depends on which  $j$  cycles occur in  $D$ .

**COROLLARY 2.4.** *Suppose that  $D$  is a primitive digraph on  $n \geq 3$  vertices with  $\exp(D) \geq \lfloor \omega_n/2 \rfloor + 2$ , a Hamilton cycle and all other cycles of length  $j$ , where  $n > j \geq \lceil (n-1)/2 \rceil$ . Suppose that the Hamilton cycle is  $1 \rightarrow n \rightarrow n-1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1$ . Let  $1 \leq a \leq n-j+1$  if  $j \geq n/2$ , and  $1 \leq a \leq j$  if  $j = (n-1)/2$ . Suppose that  $D$  also contains the arc(s)  $1 \rightarrow j$  and  $a \rightarrow a+j-1$ , and that if  $i$  is a vertex of outdegree 2, then  $1 \leq i \leq a$ . Then  $\exp(D) = n-a+1+(n-2)j$ .*

*Proof.* The shortest walk from  $n$  to  $a+j$  that passes through a vertex on a  $j$  cycle has length  $n-a-j+n$ , so it follows (by Frobenius Schur) that there is no walk from  $n$  to  $a+j$  of length  $n-a-j+n+(n-1)(j-1)-1$ . Thus  $\exp(D) \geq n-a+1+(n-2)j$ . Further, since there is a walk between any two vertices of length at most  $n-a-j+n$  that goes through a vertex on a  $j$  cycle, it follows that  $\exp(D) \leq n-a+1+(n-2)j$ , and thus  $\exp(D) = n-a+1+(n-2)j$ .  $\square$

If  $j \geq n/2$ , note that  $\exp(D) = n-a+1+(n-2)j \geq j(n-1)$  for  $1 \leq a \leq n-j+1$ , giving the result of [6, Corollary 3.1] when  $k = n$  without the additional assumption. Also note that if  $j = n-1$  and  $a = 1$ , then  $\exp(D)$  achieves its maximum value of  $\omega_n$ , and  $D = D(W_n)$ , as described in Section 1. It is interesting to note that in the above corollary, it is only the value of  $a$  that influences the value of the exponent; if  $2 \leq i \leq a-1$ , the presence or absence of the arc  $i \rightarrow i+j-1$  does not affect the exponent. For fixed  $n$  and  $j$ , this result gives a range of values of  $\exp(D)$  in which there are no gaps; see [6].

**3. The Case  $k = n-1$ .** If  $D$  on  $n$  vertices has large exponent with cycle lengths  $n-1$  and  $j < n-1$ , then Theorem 1.2 shows that  $j \geq \lceil n/2 \rceil$  provided that  $n \geq 5$ . (There are no such digraphs for  $n \leq 4$ .) Our next two theorems characterize these digraphs for  $n \geq 6$ . As in the Hamiltonian case, most digraphs are covered by the first result (Theorem 3.3), but the case  $j = n/2$  (when  $n$  is even) is different, and is given by the second result (Theorem 3.4). Before proving our main results, we give a definition and a preliminary Lemma. Note that since there is a cycle of length  $n-1$ ,

indices are taken mod  $(n - 1)$ . Vertex  $n$  replicates vertex  $v \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$  in a digraph  $D$  on  $n$  vertices if for all  $a, b \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$ ,  $a \rightarrow n$  iff  $a \rightarrow v$  and  $n \rightarrow b$  iff  $v \rightarrow b$ . Thus in the adjacency matrix  $A$  with  $D = D(A)$ , the rows (and columns) corresponding to vertices  $n$  and  $v$  are the same.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let  $D$  be a strongly connected digraph on  $n \geq 5$  vertices, with cycle lengths  $n - 1$  and  $j$ , where  $n - 1 > j \geq 3$ . Suppose that  $1 \rightarrow n - 1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1$  is an  $n - 1$  cycle, and that  $c \rightarrow n$ . Then  $n$  has outdegree at most 2, with either  $n \rightarrow c - 2$  or  $n \rightarrow c + j - 2$  or both. Furthermore, if the outdegree of  $n$  is 2, then the indegree of  $n$  is 1.*

*Proof.* First suppose that there is an arc  $n \rightarrow a$ . Then there is a cycle  $n \rightarrow a \rightarrow a - 1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow c \rightarrow n$  of length  $a - c + 2$  if  $a > c$ , or length  $n + 1 + a - c$  if  $c > a$ . In the former case,  $a - c + 2 = j$  or  $n - 1$ , from which it follows that  $a = c + j - 2$  or  $c - 2$ ; in the latter case similarly  $a = c + j - 2$  or  $c - 2$ . This establishes the possible outarcs from  $n$ . Finally, assume that  $n \rightarrow c - 2$  and  $n \rightarrow c + j - 2$ . Suppose that  $d \rightarrow n$  for some  $d \neq c$ . As above the two outarcs from  $n$  can be written as  $d - 2$  and  $d + j - 2$ . As  $d \neq c$ , it follows that  $d - 2 = c + j - 2$  and  $c - 2 = d + j - 2$ . Hence  $d - c = j$  and  $c - d = j$ , giving a contradiction. Thus the indegree of  $n$  is 1.  $\square$

COROLLARY 3.2. *Let  $D$  be as in Lemma 3.1. If  $n \rightarrow c$ , then either  $c + 2 \rightarrow n$  or  $c + 2 - j \rightarrow n$  or both. Furthermore, if the indegree of  $n$  is 2, then the outdegree of  $n$  is 1.*

*Proof.* Form  $D'$  by reversing the orientation of each arc in  $D$ . Then Lemma 3.1 applies to  $D'$ , and the result follows.  $\square$

THEOREM 3.3. *Suppose that  $n \geq 6$  and  $n - 1 > j > n/2$ . Then  $D$  is a primitive digraph on  $n$  vertices with  $\exp(D) \geq \lfloor \omega_n/2 \rfloor + 2$  and cycle lengths  $n - 1$  and  $j$  iff (up to relabeling of vertices and reversal of each arc)  $D$  is a (primitive) subdigraph of a digraph formed by taking an  $n - 1$  cycle  $1 \rightarrow n - 1 \rightarrow n - 2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1$ , adding in the arcs  $a \rightarrow a + j - 1$  for  $1 \leq a \leq n - j$ , and one of the following:*

- (a) arcs so that  $n$  replicates  $i_0$  for a fixed  $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$ ,
- (b) arcs  $1 \rightarrow n, n \rightarrow n - 2$  and  $n \rightarrow j - 1$ .

*Proof.* First suppose that  $D$  is primitive with  $\exp(D) \geq \lfloor \omega_n/2 \rfloor + 2$  and cycle lengths  $n - 1$  and  $j$ . By relabeling the vertices and/or reversing each arc in  $D$  if necessary, we may assume that the  $n - 1$  cycle is as above, and that vertex  $n$  has indegree 1 (Lemma 3.1 and Corollary 3.2). If the subdigraph induced by  $\{1, \dots, n - 1\}$  is not primitive, then this subdigraph is just the  $n - 1$  cycle, and without loss of generality  $1 \rightarrow n$ , so by Lemma 3.1 the outarcs of  $n$  are a subset of those given in (b). So suppose that the subdigraph induced by  $\{1, \dots, n - 1\}$  is primitive. It follows from a result of Beasley and Kirkland [1, Theorem 1], that the exponent of this induced subdigraph is at least  $\lfloor \omega_n/2 \rfloor$ , which in turn is at least  $\lfloor \omega_{n-1}/2 \rfloor + 2$ . Hence without loss of generality, take the subdigraph to contain the arc  $1 \rightarrow j$ , and (by Theorem 2.2) to have the property that if  $a \rightarrow a + j - 1$ , then  $1 \leq a \leq n - j$ . Let  $a_0$  be the maximum such  $a$ . Suppose that  $i \rightarrow n$  and note from Lemma 3.1 that the only possible outarcs from  $n$  are  $n \rightarrow i - 2$  and  $n \rightarrow i + j - 2$ . Consider the two cases: (i)  $n \not\rightarrow i + j - 2$ , (ii)  $n \rightarrow i + j - 2$ .

Case (i)  $n \not\rightarrow i + j - 2$ : Vertex  $n$  has outdegree 1 with  $n \rightarrow i - 2$  (and indegree 1 with  $i \rightarrow n$ ). From the structure of the subgraph induced by  $\{1, \dots, n - 1\}$  (described

above),  $D$  is a subdigraph of one constructed as in (a) (with  $i_0 = i - 1$ ).

Case (ii):  $n \rightarrow i + j - 2$ : If  $1 \leq i - 1 \leq n - j$  or  $n - 1 \geq i - 1 \geq a_0 + j - 1$ , then  $D$  is a subdigraph of one of the ones constructed in (a) (if  $i \neq 1$ , with  $i_0 = i + j - 1$ ) or in (b) (if  $i = 1$ ). Suppose now that  $n - j + 1 \leq i - 1 \leq a_0 + j - 2$ . Then  $n \leq i + j - 2 \leq a_0 + 2j - 3$ , so that  $1 \leq i + j - 2 - (n - 1) \leq a_0 + 2j - 3 - (n - 1) < a_0 - 2$ . Note that  $D$  contains the closed walk  $a_0 \rightarrow a_0 + j - 1 \rightarrow a_0 + j - 2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow i \rightarrow n \rightarrow i + j - 2 - (n - 1) \rightarrow i + j - 3 - (n - 1) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow j \rightarrow j - 1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow a_0$ , which has length  $3j - (n - 1)$ . Any closed walk can be decomposed into cycles, thus  $3j - (n - 1) = c_1j + c_2(n - 1)$  for some nonnegative integers  $c_1, c_2$ . Since  $j < 3j - (n - 1) < 2(n - 1)$ , the only possible cases are that  $3j - (n - 1)$  is one of  $n - 1$  (with  $c_1 = 0, c_2 = 1$ ),  $2j$  (with  $c_1 = 2, c_2 = 0$ ) and  $j + n - 1$  (with  $c_1 = 1, c_2 = 1$ ). The last two of these imply that  $j = n - 1$  (a contradiction). The first of these three can only occur if  $3j = 2(n - 1)$ , and since  $j$  and  $n - 1$  are relatively prime, this is also impossible. Consequently, it must be the case that  $1 \leq i - 1 \leq n - j$  or  $n - 1 \geq i - 1 \geq a_0 + j - 1$ , so that  $D$  is a subgraph of one of the ones constructed in (a) or (b).

For the converse, consider a maximal digraph  $H$  constructed as in (a). Since  $n$  replicates  $i_0$ ,  $\exp(H) = \exp(H')$  where  $H'$  is formed from  $H$  by deleting  $n$  and its incident arcs. Now  $H'$  is Hamiltonian on  $n - 1$  vertices and has the digraph structure of Theorem 2.2, thus  $\exp(H') \geq \lfloor \omega_{n-1}/2 \rfloor + 2$ . Applying Corollary 2.4 to  $H'$  with  $n$  replaced by  $n - 1$  and  $a = n - j$ ,  $\exp(H') = j(n - 2) \geq \lfloor \omega_n/2 \rfloor + 2$ , since  $j > n/2$  and  $n \geq 6$ . For case (b), observe that there is no walk from  $n - 1$  to 1 of length  $(n - 2)j - 1$  (by the usual Frobenius-Schur argument), so that the exponent is at least  $(n - 2)j$ , giving the required result as in (a).  $\square$

Note that the result of Theorem 3.3 does not hold for small values of  $n$ . For example, if  $n = 5$  a digraph as in (a) of Theorem 3.3 with exponent equal to  $9 < 10 = \lfloor \omega_5/2 \rfloor + 2$  can be constructed by taking a Hamiltonian digraph on 4 vertices with two additional arcs from consecutive vertices forming two 3-cycles (see, e.g., [2, pp. 82-83]) and vertex 5 replicating vertex 1.

**THEOREM 3.4.** *Suppose that  $n \geq 6$  is even and  $j = n/2$ . Then  $D$  is a primitive digraph on  $n$  vertices with  $\exp(D) \geq \lfloor \omega_n/2 \rfloor + 2$  and cycle lengths  $n - 1$  and  $j$  iff (up to relabeling of vertices and reversal of each arc)  $D$  is a (primitive) subdigraph of a digraph formed by taking an  $n - 1$  cycle  $1 \rightarrow n - 1 \rightarrow n - 2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1$ , adding in the arcs  $i \rightarrow i + j - 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n/2 - 3$ , and one of the constructions (a) or (b) in Theorem 3.3.*

*Proof.* First suppose that  $D$  is primitive with  $\exp(D) \geq \lfloor \omega_n/2 \rfloor + 2$  and cycle lengths  $n - 1$  and  $j$ . As in the proof of Theorem 3.3, assume that the  $n - 1$  cycle is as above, that the subdigraph induced by  $\{1, \dots, n - 1\}$  is primitive, with  $1 \rightarrow j$ , and with the property that if  $a \rightarrow a + j - 1$ , then  $1 \leq a \leq n - j$ . Finally, also suppose that  $i \rightarrow n$ . By Lemma 3.1 and Corollary 3.2 there are two cases to consider: (i)  $D$  contains exactly one of the arcs  $n \rightarrow i + j - 2$  and  $i - j \rightarrow n$ , (ii)  $D$  contains neither the arc  $n \rightarrow i + j - 2$  nor the arc  $i - j \rightarrow n$ .

Case (i): We claim that we may assume that  $n \rightarrow i + j - 2$ . To see the claim, observe that if instead we have the arc  $i - j \rightarrow n$  (and thus, by Lemma 3.1,  $n \rightarrow i - 2$ ), we can reverse every arc in  $D$  and relabel vertices  $1, \dots, n - 1$  by sending  $t$  to  $n - t$  for each such  $t$ . With this relabeling, it follows from Lemma 3.1 that  $n - i + 2 \rightarrow n$  and

$n \rightarrow n - i + j$ . With  $n - i + 2$  replaced by  $i$ , this digraph contains the arc  $n \rightarrow i + j - 2$ . So without loss of generality, we assume that the arc  $n \rightarrow i + j - 2$  is in  $D$ . Since vertex  $n$  is on a  $j$ -cycle and since  $D$  has diameter at most  $n - 1$ , it follows that there is a walk from  $n$  to any vertex of length  $n - 1 + (n - 2)(n/2 - 1) = (n^2 - 2n + 2)/2$ , and similarly that from any vertex in  $D$  there is a walk to  $n$  of length  $(n^2 - 2n + 2)/2$ . Since  $\exp(D) \geq \lfloor \omega_n/2 \rfloor + 2 = (n^2 - 2n + 6)/2$ , it must be the case that there are vertices  $u$  and  $v \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$  such that there is no walk from  $u$  to  $v$  of length  $(n^2 - 2n + 4)/2$ . Observe that for any vertex  $w \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$  that is on a  $j$ -cycle, there is a walk from  $w$  to every vertex in  $\{1, \dots, n - 1\}$  of length  $n - 2 + (n - 2)(n/2 - 1) = (n^2 - 2n)/2$ . As a result, the shortest walk from  $u$  to a vertex in  $\{1, \dots, n - 1\}$  that is on a  $j$ -cycle must have length at least 3. It follows from this that in fact vertex  $n - 1$  must be at least 3 steps from the nearest  $j$ -cycle, so that in particular, none of  $n - 1$ ,  $n - 2$  and  $n - 3$  can be on a  $j$ -cycle. Thus in  $D$ ,  $n - j \not\rightarrow n - 1$ ,  $n - j - 1 \not\rightarrow n - 2$  and  $n - j - 2 \not\rightarrow n - 3$ , and so if  $a \rightarrow a + j - 1$ , then  $a \leq n - j - 3 = n/2 - 3$ . Further, it must be the case that  $1 \leq i \leq n - j - 2$ , otherwise one of vertices  $n - 1$ ,  $n - 2$  and  $n - 3$  is on a  $j$ -cycle (involving vertices  $i$  and  $n$ ). Consequently,  $D$  can be relabeled to yield a subdigraph of one of those constructed in (a) with  $i_0 = i - 1$  (if  $2 \leq i \leq n - j - 2$ ), or (b) (if  $i = 1$ ).

Case (ii): If  $D$  contains neither the arc  $n \rightarrow i + j - 2$  nor the arc  $i - j \rightarrow n$ , then  $n$  has both indegree and outdegree 1, with  $i \rightarrow n \rightarrow i - 2$ . Now if  $D$  contains either of the arcs  $i - 1 \rightarrow i + j - 2$  or  $i - j \rightarrow i - 1$ , then the labels of vertices  $i - 1$  and  $n$  can be exchanged and case (i) above applies. On the other hand if  $D$  contains neither of those two arcs, then  $i - 1$  has indegree and outdegree 1, with  $i \rightarrow i - 1 \rightarrow i - 2$ , so that vertex  $n$  replicates vertex  $i - 1$ . Thus  $\exp(D) = \exp(D')$  where  $D'$  is formed from  $D$  by deleting vertex  $n$  and the arcs incident with it. From Corollary 2.4 with  $n$  replaced by  $n - 1$ ,  $\exp(D') = n - 1 - a + 1 + (n - 3)j$  where  $a = \max\{b \text{ is a vertex in } D' : \text{the arc } b \rightarrow b + j - 1 \text{ is in } D'\}$ . Thus  $\exp(D') = \exp(D) = n - a + (n - 3)n/2 \geq (n^2 - 2n + 6)/2$ , which implies that  $a \leq n/2 - 3$ . Consequently  $D$  is a subdigraph of one of those constructed in (a) with  $i_0 = i - 1$ .

For the converse, consider a digraph  $H$  constructed as in (a). Since  $n$  replicates  $i_0$ ,  $\exp(H) = \exp(H')$ , where  $H'$  is formed from  $H$  by deleting  $n$  and its incident arcs. Appealing to Corollary 2.4 with  $n$  replaced by  $n - 1$ ,  $a = n/2 - 3$ , and  $j = n/2$ ,  $\exp(H') = (n^2 - 2n + 6)/2 = \lfloor \omega_n/2 \rfloor + 2$  if  $n$  is even. Finally, consider the digraph  $H$  constructed in (b). Evidently the walks from vertex  $n - 1$  to  $n - 3$  can only have lengths equal to 2, or to  $2 + n - 1 + c_1(n - 1) + c_2j$  for nonnegative integers  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ . It follows that there is no walk from  $n - 1$  to  $n - 3$  of length  $(n^2 - 2n + 4)/2$ , so that  $\exp(H) \geq (n^2 - 2n + 6)/2$ .  $\square$

**4. Eigenvalue Results.** In this section we explore results on the multiplicities of eigenvalues of primitive stochastic matrices having large exponent. These complement eigenvalue results in [4]. Our first theorem gives conditions for a stochastic matrix with large exponent to have a multiple nonzero eigenvalue. This result, which is not restricted to  $k = n$  or  $k = n - 1$ , shows that a multiple nonzero eigenvalue must be negative with algebraic multiplicity 2.



**THEOREM 4.1.** *Let  $A$  be a primitive, row stochastic  $n$ -by- $n$  matrix with  $n \geq 3$  and  $\exp(A) \geq \lfloor \omega_n/2 \rfloor + 2$ . Let  $k$  and  $j$  be the two cycle lengths in  $D(A)$  with  $n \geq k > j$ . Then  $A$  has a multiple nonzero eigenvalue  $\lambda$  iff  $\lambda = -r$ , where  $r$  is the unique positive root of  $kx^j + jx^k = k - j$ . When this is the case,  $k$  is odd and  $j$  is even.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 1 in [4], the characteristic equation of  $A$  is  $z^n - \alpha z^{n-j} - (1 - \alpha)z^{n-k} = 0$ , for some  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . Thus a nonzero eigenvalue satisfies

$$(2) \quad z^k - \alpha z^{k-j} - (1 - \alpha) = 0.$$

Note that 1 is always an eigenvalue, and (by Descartes' rule of signs) there is no other positive eigenvalue. Let  $\lambda = \rho e^{i\theta}$  be an eigenvalue with  $\rho > 0$  and  $0 < \theta < 2\pi$ . By differentiating, if  $\lambda$  is a multiple eigenvalue, then it also satisfies  $\lambda^j = \alpha(k - j)/k$ , giving  $\rho^j = \alpha(k - j)/k$  and  $\theta = 2\pi l/j$  for some positive integer  $l < j$ . Further differentiation shows that the algebraic multiplicity of  $\lambda$  is 2. By taking imaginary parts of the characteristic equation,  $\rho^k \sin(k\theta) = \alpha \rho^{k-j} \sin((k-j)\theta)$ . On substituting for  $\rho^j$ , this gives  $(k - j) \sin(k\theta) = k \sin((k - j)\theta) = k \sin((k - j)2\pi l/j) = k \sin(k\theta)$ . Thus  $\sin(k\theta) = 0$ , so that  $\theta = \pi m/k$  for some positive integer  $m$ . Hence  $2lk = mj$ , and since  $\gcd(k, j) = 1$  and  $j$  divides  $2l$ , it must be that  $j = 2l$ . As a result  $\theta = \pi$ ,  $\lambda = -\rho$ ,  $j$  is even,  $k$  is odd and  $\alpha = k\rho^j/(k - j)$ . Substituting into (2) gives  $k\rho^j + j\rho^k = k - j$ . The converse is straightforward.  $\square$

From the characteristic equation, a matrix satisfying the conditions of Theorem 4.1 has zero as an eigenvalue iff  $k < n$ , and its algebraic multiplicity is  $n - k$ .

The digraph characterizations in Sections 2 and 3 lead to results about the geometric multiplicities of eigenvalues of primitive, stochastic matrices with large exponent.

**THEOREM 4.2.** *Let  $A$  be a primitive, row stochastic  $n$ -by- $n$  matrix with  $n \geq 3$  and  $\exp(A) \geq \lfloor \omega_n/2 \rfloor + 2$ . If  $D(A)$  is Hamiltonian, then each eigenvalue of  $A$  is geometrically simple.*

*Proof.* Let the length of the shorter cycle(s) in  $D(A)$  be  $j \geq \lceil (n-1)/2 \rceil$  by Lemma 2.1. For  $j \geq n/2$  take  $p = n - j + 1$ , and for  $j = (n-1)/2$  take  $p = (n-1)/2$ . Then by Theorems 2.2 and 2.3, without loss of generality by permutation similarity  $A = [a_{ij}]$  has the following form:  $a_{1,n} = 1 - \alpha_1$ ;  $a_{i,i-1} = 1 - \alpha_i$  for  $2 \leq i \leq p$ ;  $a_{i,i-1} = 1$  for  $p+1 \leq i \leq n$ ;  $a_{i,i+j-1} = \alpha_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq p$ ; and all other  $a_{ij} = 0$ . Here  $\alpha_i$  satisfy  $0 < \alpha_1 < 1$  and  $0 \leq \alpha_i < 1$  for  $2 \leq i \leq p$ . Thus for all  $j \geq \lceil (n-1)/2 \rceil$ ,  $A$  is an unreduced Hessenberg matrix. By deleting row 1 and column  $n$ , it can be seen that  $\text{rank } A \geq (n-1)$  [7, Exercise 22, p. 274]. Similarly,  $\text{rank } (A - \lambda I) = n - 1$  for each eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $A$ . This implies that each eigenvalue has geometric multiplicity one.  $\square$

As an example of the above eigenvalue results, consider the 3-by-3 row stochastic matrix  $A$  having  $k = 3$  and  $j = 2$  as in the proof of Theorem 4.2 with  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 1/2$ . Note that  $\exp(A) = 4$ . The characteristic equation of  $A$  is  $z^3 - \alpha z - (1 - \alpha) = 0$ , with  $\alpha = 3/4$ ; thus  $A$  has eigenvalues  $1, -1/2, -1/2$ . Here  $-1/2$  is an eigenvalue of algebraic multiplicity 2 (as predicted by Theorem 4.1), but geometric multiplicity 1 (as predicted by Theorem 4.2).

**THEOREM 4.3.** *Let  $A$  be a primitive, row stochastic  $n$ -by- $n$  matrix with  $n \geq 6$  and  $\exp(A) \geq \lfloor \omega_n/2 \rfloor + 2$ . If the maximal cycle length in  $D(A)$  is  $n - 1$ , then each*

*eigenvalue of  $A$  is geometrically simple.*

*Proof.* Since  $k = n - 1$ ,  $\lambda = 0$  is a simple eigenvalue of  $A$ . Let the length of the shorter cycle(s) in  $D(A)$  be  $j \geq \lceil n/2 \rceil$  by Theorem 1.2. For simplicity, only the proof for the case  $j > n/2$  is given, the case  $j = n/2$  is essentially the same. For  $j > n/2$ , by Theorem 3.3, without loss of generality by permutation similarity  $A = [a_{ij}]$ , or its transpose, must have one of two forms corresponding to (a) or (b).

In case (a), without loss of generality  $n$  can be taken to replicate a vertex with outdegree 1. (This is because, by Lemma 3.1,  $n$  has either indegree or outdegree 1, so, if necessary, take  $A^T$ .) Let vertex  $n$  replicate vertex  $i$  where  $n - 1 \geq i > n - j$ . Consider the matrix  $A - \lambda I$ , where  $\lambda \neq 0$  and the digraph of  $A$  is as in Theorem 3.3(a). Form  $B$  from  $A - \lambda I$  by deleting the first row and the last column. Then  $B$  is block upper triangular with a  $(1, 1)$  block of order  $i - 2$  and a  $(2, 2)$  block of order  $n - i + 1$ . Since the  $(1, 1)$  block is upper triangular with positive diagonal entries, it is nonsingular. The  $(2, 2)$  block has the first  $n - i$  diagonal entries positive,  $-\lambda$  in each superdiagonal entry, and a 1 in the last row first column. Every other entry in the  $(2, 2)$  block is zero. By expanding about the first row, the determinant of the  $(2, 2)$  block has magnitude  $\lambda^{n-i}$ . As a result,  $B$  is nonsingular, so that  $A - \lambda I$  has a submatrix of rank  $n - 1$ .

In case (b),  $a_{i,i+j-1} = \alpha_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n - j$ ;  $a_{1,n-1} = \beta_1$ ;  $a_{1,n} = 1 - \alpha_1 - \beta_1$ ;  $a_{i,i-1} = 1 - \alpha_i$  for  $2 \leq i \leq n - j$ ;  $a_{i,i-1} = 1$  for  $n - j + 1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ ;  $a_{n,j-1} = \gamma_n$ ;  $a_{n,n-2} = 1 - \gamma_n$ ; and all other  $a_{ij} = 0$ . Here the parameters satisfy:  $0 \leq \alpha_1 < 1$ ;  $0 < \beta_1 < 1$ ;  $1 - \alpha_1 - \beta_1 > 0$ ;  $0 \leq \alpha_i < 1$  for  $2 \leq i \leq n - j$ ; and  $0 < \gamma_n \leq 1$ , such that  $A$  is primitive. Deleting row  $n$  and column  $n - 1$ , the remaining submatrix of  $A - \lambda I$  is upper Hessenberg, and has rank  $n - 1$  for all values of  $\lambda$ , because it has a unique nonzero transversal of length  $n - 1$  (from the subdiagonal and  $(1, n)$  entries of  $A - \lambda I$ ).

Thus  $\text{rank}(A - \lambda I) = n - 1$  for every eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $A$ , and the geometric multiplicity of each eigenvalue is one.  $\square$

We close the paper with a class of examples to show that for  $k \leq n - 2$ , a row stochastic matrix with large exponent can have an eigenvalue of large geometric multiplicity.

**EXAMPLE 4.4.** For a fixed  $n$ , take  $k \leq n - 2$  so that  $\omega_k \geq \lfloor \omega_n/2 \rfloor + 2$ . Select  $\alpha$  such that  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , and form the primitive row stochastic  $n$ -by- $n$  matrix  $A$  with nonzero entries as follows:  $a_{1,k-1} = \alpha$ ,  $a_{1k} = 1 - \alpha$ ,  $a_{i,i-1} = 1$  for  $i \in \{2, 3\} \cup \{5, \dots, k\}$ ,  $a_{4i} = 1/(n - k + 1)$  for  $i \in \{3\} \cup \{k + 1, \dots, n\}$ , and  $a_{i2} = 1$  for  $i \in \{k + 1, \dots, n\}$ . The digraph of  $A$  can be formed by starting from  $D(W_k)$  and taking each of the vertices  $k + 1, \dots, n$  replicating vertex 3. Since vertex 3 is replicated  $n - k$  times, there is a walk involving any of the vertices  $k + 1, \dots, n$  in  $D(A)$  iff there is a corresponding walk involving vertex 3 in  $D(W_k)$ . Thus  $\exp(A) = \exp(D(W_k)) = \omega_k \geq \lfloor \omega_n/2 \rfloor + 2$ . Observe that since each of rows  $k + 1$  through  $n$  is a copy of row 3,  $A$  has nullity at least  $n - k$ . Further, from the statement after Theorem 4.1, the algebraic multiplicity of 0 as an eigenvalue of  $A$  is equal to  $n - k$ . Thus the algebraic and geometric multiplicities of 0 coincide, with common value  $n - k \geq 2$ . The smallest example in this class has  $n = 9, k = 7$  with other cycles of length 6. In this case, 0 is an eigenvalue of (algebraic and geometric) multiplicity 2.

## REFERENCES

- [1] L.B. Beasley and S. Kirkland. On the exponent of a primitive matrix containing a primitive submatrix. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 261:195–205, 1997.
- [2] R.A. Brualdi and H.J. Ryser. *Combinatorial Matrix Theory*. Cambridge University Press, 1991.
- [3] D. Huang. On circulant Boolean matrices. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 136:107–117, 1990.
- [4] S. Kirkland. A note on the eigenvalues of a primitive matrix with large exponent. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 253:103–112 (1997).
- [5] S. Kirkland and M. Neumann. Regular Markov chains for which the transition matrix has large exponent. Preprint, 1999.
- [6] M. Lewin and Y. Vitek. A system of gaps in the exponent set of primitive matrices. *Illinois J. Math.*, 25:87–98, 1981.
- [7] G.W. Stewart. *Introduction to Matrix Computations*. Academic Press, 1973.