



COMMUTATORS OF SKEW-INVOLUTIONS*

XIN HOU[†] AND WEN-WEI LI[‡]

Abstract. Let $SL_n(\mathbb{F})$ be the group of all $n \times n$ matrices over a field \mathbb{F} with determinant 1. Denote by $I(I_n)$ the $(n \times n)$ identity matrix. A matrix A is called skew-involution if $A^2 = -I$. It is proved that every matrix in $SL_{2n}(\mathbb{F})$ is a product of at most three commutators of skew-involutions if $\mathbb{F} \neq \mathbb{Z}_3$ and $SL_{2n}(\mathbb{F}) \neq SL_2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$, and at most four commutators of skew-involutions if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Z}_3$ and $n > 1$. Every complex symplectic matrix is a product of two commutators of complex symplectic skew-involutions, and every real symplectic matrix is a product of not more than four commutators of real symplectic skew-involutions.

Key words. Involution, Skew-involution, Commutator, Symplectic matrix.

AMS subject classifications. 15A23, 20H20.

1. Introduction. Let $M_n(\mathbb{F})$ be the set of all $n \times n$ matrices with over a field \mathbb{F} and let $SL_n(\mathbb{F}) = \{A \in M_n(\mathbb{F}) \mid \det A = 1\}$. An involution (a skew-involution) is a matrix A satisfying $A^2 = I$ ($A^2 = -I$). Let $\Omega_{2n} \in M_{2n}(\mathbb{F})$ be the skew-involution

$$\Omega_{2n} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

An $A \in M_{2n}(\mathbb{F})$ is called symplectic if it satisfies $A^T \Omega_{2n} A = \Omega_{2n}$.

Expressing elements of some matrix groups as products of involutions is an interesting topic discussed by many scholars (see e.g. [1, 5, 6, 11, 14, 18]). Denote by $[X, Y] = XYX^{-1}Y^{-1}$ the commutator of matrices X and Y . Decomposing matrices into commutators of involutions has also drawn the attention of scholars (see e.g. [7, 8, 9, 10, 16, 19]). In [13], Joven and Paras discussed the decomposition into skew-involutions of the elements of $SL_{2n}(\mathbb{F})$ for an arbitrary field \mathbb{F} and the symplectic matrix groups over the real field \mathbb{R} and the complex field \mathbb{C} . In this article, we consider the decompositions into commutators of skew-involutions.

If $p(x) = x^2 + 1$ has a root $a \in \mathbb{F}$, then A is a commutator of two involutions X and Y if and only if A is a commutator of two skew-involutions aX and aY . Denote by $\text{char}\mathbb{F}$ the characteristic of \mathbb{F} . If $\text{char}\mathbb{F} \neq 2$, then by Theorem 2.8 in [7], every element of the group $SL_n(\mathbb{F})$ can be written as a product of at most two commutators of involutions, and therefore, it can also be written as a product of at most two commutators of skew-involutions. If $\text{char}\mathbb{F} = 2$, then an involution is also a skew-involution, and every commutator of involutions is also a commutator of skew-involutions. Son *et al.* gave conclusions in Theorem 1 and Propositions 2 and 3 of [16] that every matrix in $SL_n(\mathbb{F})(n > 1)$ is a product of at most two commutators of (skew-)involutions when \mathbb{F} has at least three elements, a product of at most three commutators of (skew-)involutions when $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Z}_2$ and n is odd, and every matrix in $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ is a commutator of (skew-)involutions.

*Received by the editors on November 10, 2023. Accepted for publication on October 11, 2024. Handling Editor: Froilán Dopico. Corresponding Author: Xin Hou.

[†]College of Elementary Education, Capital Normal University, Beijing, 100048, People's Republic of China (hounge19870512@126.com).

[‡]School of Information and Mathematics, Anhui International Studies University, Hefei 231201, China (liuwenwei@ustc.edu).

If $p(x) = x^2 + 1$ has no root in \mathbb{F} , then the minimal polynomial of a skew-involution $P \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ is the irreducible polynomial $p(x)$. By the rational canonical form theorem, P is similar to

$$\bigoplus_k C(p(x)) = \bigoplus_k \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus, $n = 2k$ is even, and P is similar to Ω_{2k} . Hence, every skew-involution in $M_{2k}(\mathbb{F})$ is in $SL_{2k}(\mathbb{F})$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and it is similar to Ω_{2k} . In particular, if A is a product of commutators of skew-involutions, then $A \in SL_{2k}(\mathbb{F})$.

In this paper, we consider products of commutators of skew-involutions in $SL_{2n}(\mathbb{F})$. In Section 2, we give some elementary properties of commutators of skew-involutions. We show in Section 3 that every $A \in SL_{2n}(\mathbb{F})$ is a product of commutators of skew-involutions if and only if $\mathbb{F} \neq \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_3$ or $n > 1$. In Section 4, we prove that every real symplectic matrix is a product of four commutators of real symplectic skew-involutions. While in Section 5, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for a symplectic matrix over the complex number field \mathbb{C} to be a commutator of symplectic skew-involutions and prove that every complex symplectic matrix is a product of two commutators of complex symplectic skew-involutions.

2. Preliminaries. Denote by $Sp(2n, \mathbb{F})$ the subgroup of $SL_{2n}(\mathbb{F})$ consisting of all the symplectic matrices over a field \mathbb{F} . The following gives a necessary and sufficient condition for a nonsingular matrix to be a product of two (symplectic) skew-involutions

LEMMA 2.1. *If $A \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ is nonsingular, then A is a product of two (symplectic) skew-involutions if and only if there is a (symplectic) skew-involution P such that $P^{-1}AP = A^{-1}$.*

Proof. Let $A = ST$ be a product of two (symplectic) matrices with $S^2 = T^2 = -I_n$. If we take $P = S$, then $P^{-1}AP = -S(ST)S = TS = A^{-1}$. Conversely, if $P^{-1}AP = A^{-1}$ such that P is a (symplectic) skew-involution, then $A = (-P)(PA)$. Here, $-P$ is a (symplectic) skew-involution and so is PA , since $(-P)^2 = P^2 = -I_n$ and $(PA)^2 = -(P^{-1}AP)A = -A^{-1}A = -I_n$. \square

Since the commutator of two (symplectic) skew-involutions S and T is $STS^{-1}T^{-1} = ST(-S)(-T) = (ST)^2$, we have the following by Lemma 2.1.

COROLLARY 2.2. *If $A \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ is nonsingular, then A is a commutator of (symplectic) skew-involutions if and only if there is a $B \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ such that $B^2 = A$ and B is a product of two (symplectic) skew-involutions, if and only if there is a $B \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ such that $B^2 = A$ and B is similar to its inverse by a (symplectic) skew-involution.*

Denote by $A \oplus B$ the direct sum of the square matrices A and B . By Corollary 2.2, $A^2 \oplus A^{-2}$ is a commutator of skew-involutions since $A^2 \oplus A^{-2} = (A \oplus A^{-1})^2$ and $\Omega_{2n}^{-1}(A \oplus A^{-1})\Omega_{2n} = (A \oplus A^{-1})^{-1}$. Observe that

$$A^2 \oplus A^{-2} = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -A^{-1} \\ A & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A^{-1} \\ A & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right],$$

is a commutator of two skew-involutions and also a commutator of two involutions. If A is symmetric, then the two skew-involutions are also symplectic skew-involutions. Then, we have the following.

LEMMA 2.3. *If $A \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ is nonsingular, then $A^2 \oplus A^{-2}$ is*

- (a) *a commutator of involutions,*

- (b) a commutator of skew-involutions, and
- (c) a commutator of symplectic skew-involutions, when A is symmetric.

Let $A = (A_{ij}) \in M_{2k}(\mathbb{F})$ and $B = (B_{ij}) \in M_{2l}(\mathbb{F})$, where each $A_{ij} \in M_k(\mathbb{F})$ and each $B_{ij} \in M_l(\mathbb{F})$. The expanding sum of the matrices A and B is defined to be

$$A \boxplus B = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} \oplus B_{11} & A_{12} \oplus B_{12} \\ A_{21} \oplus B_{21} & A_{22} \oplus B_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in M_{2k+2l}(\mathbb{F}).$$

One can check that $A \boxplus B$ is permutation similar to $A \oplus B$. Moreover, $A \boxplus B$ is symplectic if and only if both A and B are symplectic. The preceding is also true if “symplectic” is replaced with “involution,” or “skew-involution.” In addition, if $C \in M_{2k}(\mathbb{F})$ and $D \in M_{2l}(\mathbb{F})$, then $(A \boxplus B)(C \boxplus D) = (AC) \boxplus (BD)$. The preceding facts give the following remark.

REMARK 2.4. Let $CSI(m)$ be the set of all products of m commutators of (symplectic) skew-involutions over \mathbb{F} .

- (a) $CSI(1) \subset CSI(2) \subset CSI(3) \subset \dots$.
- (b) $CSI(m)$ is closed under expanding summation, i.e., if $A \in CSI(m)$, $B \in CSI(m)$, then $A \boxplus B \in CSI(m)$. When $CSI(m)$ is just the set of all products of m commutators of skew-involutions, “expanding summation” can be replaced by “direct sum.”
- (c) $CSI(m)$ is invariant under (symplectic) similarity, i.e., if $A \in CSI(m)$ and P is a (symplectic) matrix of the same size as A , then $P^{-1}AP \in CSI(m)$.
- (d) If $A \in CSI(m)$, then $A^{-1}, A^\top \in CSI(m)$.

Remark 2.4 also holds for the set of all products of m (symplectic) involutions and the conclusions (b), (c) and (d) are true for the set of all products of m (symplectic) skew-involutions.

LEMMA 2.5. If the characteristic of the field \mathbb{F} is prime, then the quadratic equation $x^2 + y^2 + 1 = 0$ in x and y has solutions in \mathbb{F} .

Proof. If $\text{char}\mathbb{F} = 2$, then $(0, 1)$ is a solution of $x^2 + y^2 + 1 = 0$. If $\text{char}\mathbb{F} = p \neq 2$, then \mathbb{Z}_p is a subfield of \mathbb{F} . Suppose that ξ is a generator of \mathbb{Z}_p^* . Since \mathbb{Z}_p^2 has $\frac{p+1}{2}$ square elements, it must intersect the set $p-1 - \mathbb{Z}_p^2 = \{p-1, p-1 - \xi^2, p-1 - \xi^4, \dots, p-1 - \xi^{2p-2}\}$. Thus, there exist two elements x and y in \mathbb{Z}_p satisfying $x^2 + y^2 + 1 = 0$. □

If the characteristic is zero, there are fields wherein $x^2 + 1 = 0$ has no root but $x^2 + y^2 + 1 = 0$ has solutions in them. Here, we give an example. Let $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2}) = \mathbb{Q}[t]/(t^2 + 2)$. Then, $x^2 + 1 = 0$ has no root in $\mathbb{Q}[t]/(t^2 + 2)$ but $(t, 1)$ is a solution of $x^2 + y^2 + 1 = 0$ in that field.

Let $h(x) = \sum_{i=0}^l c_i x^i$ be a monic polynomial over \mathbb{F} with positive degree l .

$$C(h) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & -c_0 \\ 1 & & & -c_1 \\ & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & 1 & -c_{l-1} \end{pmatrix} \in M_l(\mathbb{F}),$$

is called the Frobenius block or companion matrix of $h(x)$. The Frobenius (rational) canonical form theorem states that if $A \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$, then A is similar to a direct sum of Frobenius blocks $\bigoplus_{i=1}^k C(h_i)$, where each $h_i = p_i(x)^{l_i}$ for some positive integer l_i and irreducible polynomials $p_i(x)$.

LEMMA 2.6. *Let $A \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$. The determinant of $A^2 + I_n$ is a sum of two squares.*

Proof. We first consider the companion matrix of $h(x)$. One can check that the determinant of $C(h)^2 + I_l$ equals $a^2 + b^2$, where

$$a = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{l-1}{2}} (-1)^i c_{l-2i}, \quad b = \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{l+1}{2}} (-1)^i c_{l+1-2i},$$

if l is odd, while

$$a = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{l}{2}} (-1)^i c_{l-2i}, \quad b = \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{l}{2}} (-1)^i c_{l+1-2i},$$

if l is even.

Now we finish the proof. By the rational canonical form theorem, A is similar to a direct sum of companion matrices $\bigoplus_{i=1}^k C(h_i)$. Suppose the degree of h_i is l_i . Then, $A^2 + I_n$ is similar to $\bigoplus_{i=1}^k (C(h_i)^2 + I_{l_i})$. The determinant of $C(h_i)^2 + I_{l_i}$ can be written as $a_i^2 + b_i^2$ for some a_i and b_i in \mathbb{F} . Then the determinant of $A^2 + I_n$ is $\det(A^2 + I_n) = \prod_{i=1}^k \det(C(h_i)^2 + I_{l_i}) = \prod_{i=1}^k (a_i^2 + b_i^2)$. Since the product $(a_i^2 + b_i^2)(a_j^2 + b_j^2) = (a_i a_j + b_i b_j)^2 + (a_i b_j - b_i a_j)^2$ is still a sum of squares of two elements in \mathbb{F} , by induction we know that the determinant of $A^2 + I_n$ can be written as $p^2 + q^2$ for some $p, q \in \mathbb{F}$. \square

3. Commutators of skew-involutions in $SL_{2n}(\mathbb{F})$. Let $A \in SL_{2n}(\mathbb{F})$. From Section 3.2 of [13], we know that $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}_3)$ cannot be generated by skew-involutions, and it is known that $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}_3)$ properly contains its commutator subgroup (see [4] Section 107). So we divide our discussion into two cases: (i) $|\mathbb{F}| \geq 4$ and (ii) $n > 1$ and $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Z}_3$.

3.1. Case when $|\mathbb{F}| \geq 4$. We first consider nonscalar matrices with determinant 1, the case $A = -I_{2n}$, and finally $A = \alpha I_{2n}$ with $\alpha^{2n} = 1, \alpha \neq \pm 1$.

For the nonscalar matrices of determinant 1, we prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.1. *If \mathbb{F} is a field with at least four elements, then every nonscalar $A \in SL_{2n}(\mathbb{F})$ is a product of at most two commutators of skew-involutions.*

Proof. If $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Z}_5$ or if the characteristic of the field is two, then the result follows from [Son *et al.* [16], Theorem 1] since every matrix in $SL_{2n}(\mathbb{F})$ is a product of at most 2 commutators of involutions, but in such \mathbb{F} the equation $x^2 + 1$ has a root, so commutators of involutions can be replaced by commutators of skew-involutions.

Suppose that $\mathbb{F} \neq \mathbb{Z}_5$ has at least 4 elements and the characteristic is different from 2. Then there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $\alpha^2 \neq \alpha^{-2}$ and since \mathbb{F} has at least 4 elements, by Main Theorem of [2] one can write the non-scalar $A \in SL_{2n}(\mathbb{F})$ as $A = BC$ where B and C are nonderogatory with eigenvalues α^2 (n times) and α^{-2} (n times). Both B and C are similar to the direct sum of the companion matrices $C(p(x)) \oplus C(q(x))$ where $p(x) = (x - \alpha^2)^n$ and $q(x) = (x - \alpha^{-2})^n$. The matrix $C(p(x))$ is similar to $(C((x - \alpha)^n))^2$ and $C(q(x))$ is similar to $(C((x - \alpha)^n))^{-2}$. Then the claim follows by Lemma 2.3(b) and Remark 2.4(c). \square

Now suppose A is scalar. If $B \in M_{2n}(\mathbb{F})$ is a skew-involution, then $I_{2n} = [B, B]$. If $A = -I_{2n}$, we have the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.2. *If n is even or there exist $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $a^2 + b^2 + 1 = 0$, then $-I_{2n}$ is a commutator of skew-involutions in $SL_{2n}(\mathbb{F})$. Otherwise, $-I_{2n}$ is not a commutator of skew-involutions in $SL_{2n}(\mathbb{F})$ and $-I_2$ is a product of three commutators of skew-involutions in $SL_2(\mathbb{F})$ and no fewer.*

Proof. If $n = 2k$ is even, then

$$-I_{2n} = -I_{4k} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_k \\ -I_k & 0 \end{pmatrix}^2 \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_k \\ -I_k & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{-2},$$

is a commutator of skew-involutions in $SL_{2n}(\mathbb{F})$ by Lemma 2.3(b). If there exist $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $a^2 + b^2 + 1 = 0$, one checks that

$$-I_{2n} = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} aI_n & bI_n \\ bI_n & -aI_n \end{pmatrix} \right],$$

and the two matrices of the commutator are skew-involutions. Thus, $-I_{2n}$ is a commutator of skew-involutions in $SL_{2n}(\mathbb{F})$.

If n is odd and the quadratic equation $a^2 + b^2 + 1 = 0$ in a and b has no solution in \mathbb{F} , it can be proved that $-I_{2n} = -I_{4k+2}$ is not a commutator of skew-involutions. Otherwise, there is a $B \in M_{2n}(\mathbb{F})$ such that $B^2 = -I_{4k+2}$ and B is similar to its inverse via some skew-involution S by Corollary 2.2, say $S^{-1}BS = B^{-1}$ and $S^2 = -I_{4k+2}$. Since $B^2 = -I_{2n}$, there exists an R such that $R^{-1}BR = \Omega_{2n}$. If we set $T = R^{-1}SR$, then T is a skew-involution and $T^{-1}\Omega_{2n}T = \Omega_{2n}^{-1} = -\Omega_{2n}$. Hence, T has the form $T = \begin{pmatrix} M & N \\ N & -M \end{pmatrix}$ for some $M, N \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ such that $MN = NM$ and $M^2 + N^2 = -I_n$. From the Frobenius (rational) canonical form theorem, M is similar to a direct sum of Frobenius blocks by some matrix P , say $P^{-1}MP = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k C(h_i)$ and each h_i is a positive integer power of an irreducible polynomial. Without loss of generality, suppose $P^{-1}MP = M_1 \oplus M_2$, where $M_1 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m_1} C((x^2 + 1)^{k_i})$ is a matrix of order $2 \sum_{i=1}^{m_1} k_i$, and $M_2 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m_2} C(h_i)$ is an odd order matrix with each h_i not divisible by $x^2 + 1$. Write $P^{-1}NP$ as $\begin{pmatrix} N_1 & N_2 \\ N_3 & N_4 \end{pmatrix}$, where N_1 is a matrix of order $2r$ with $r = \sum_{i=1}^{m_1} k_i$. From $MN = NM$, we get $(P^{-1}MP)(P^{-1}NP) = (P^{-1}NP)(P^{-1}MP)$. Then $M_1N_2 = N_2M_2$ and $M_2N_3 = N_3M_1$. Since a necessary and sufficient condition for $AX - XB = C$ to have a unique solution is that the spectra of A and B are disjoint, we obtain $N_2 = N_3 = 0$. From $M^2 + N^2 = -I_n$, we get $(P^{-1}MP)^2 + (P^{-1}NP)^2 = -I_n$, which implies $M_1^2 + N_1^2 = -I_{2r}$ and $M_2^2 + N_4^2 = -I_{n-2r}$. Since n is odd, by Lemma 2.6 we have $\det(M_2^2 + I_{n-2r}) = \det(-N_4^2) = -(\det N_4)^2 = p^2 + q^2$ for some $p, q \in \mathbb{F}$. Since h_i is not divisible by $x^2 + 1$ for each $C(h_i)$ in M_2 , we have $\det N_4 \neq 0$ and so $\left(\frac{p}{\det N_4}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{q}{\det N_4}\right)^2 + 1 = 0$, which contradicts the hypothesis that $a^2 + b^2 + 1 = 0$ has no solution in \mathbb{F} .

We now consider $-I_2$. We know by the preceding that $-I_2$ is not a commutator of skew-involutions. We show that $-I_2$ is not a product of two commutators of skew-involutions in $SL_2(\mathbb{F})$. Otherwise, there exists a D such that $-I_2 = D(-D^{-1})$, and both D and $-D^{-1}$ are commutators of skew-involutions in $SL_2(\mathbb{F})$. Suppose $D = [X, Y]$ and $-D^{-1} = [\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}]$ with $X^2 = Y^2 = \tilde{X}^2 = \tilde{Y}^2 = -I_2$. Since $a^2 + b^2 + 1 = 0$ has no solution in \mathbb{F} , every skew-involution in $SL_2(\mathbb{F})$ is similar to Ω_2 , say $P^{-1}XP = \Omega_2$, for some $P \in GL_2(\mathbb{F})$. Observe that $S \in SL_2(\mathbb{F})$ is a skew-involution if and only if

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & -a \end{pmatrix} \text{ where } bc = -1 - a^2 \text{ with } b, c \neq 0 \text{ (assuming that } a^2 + b^2 + 1 = 0 \text{ has no solutions in } \mathbb{F}\text{)}.$$

One can assume

$$P^{-1}YP = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & -x \end{pmatrix} \text{ where } yz = -1 - x^2 \text{ with } y, z \neq 0.$$

Then, the trace of D is

$$\text{tr}D = \text{tr}(P^{-1}DP) = \text{tr}[P^{-1}XP, P^{-1}YP] = \text{tr} \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & -x \end{pmatrix} \right] = (y - z)^2 - 2 = (y + z)^2 + 2 + 4x^2.$$

Similarly, it can be concluded that $\text{tr}(-D^{-1}) = (\tilde{y} - \tilde{z})^2 - 2 = (\tilde{y} + \tilde{z})^2 + 2 + 4\tilde{x}^2$ for some $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z} \in \mathbb{F}$ with $-1 - \tilde{x}^2 = \tilde{y}\tilde{z} \neq 0$. From Corollary 2.2, $-D^{-1}$ and $-D$ are similar. Thus, $(\tilde{y} - \tilde{z})^2 - 2 = \text{tr}(-D^{-1}) = \text{tr}(-D) = -\text{tr}D = -(y + z)^2 - 2 - 4x^2$, which means $(\tilde{y} - \tilde{z})^2 + (y + z)^2 + 4x^2 = 0$. Since $a^2 + b^2 + 1 = 0$ has no solution in \mathbb{F} , we have $\text{char}\mathbb{F} \neq 2$. If $x \neq 0$, then $(\frac{\tilde{y}-\tilde{z}}{2x})^2 + (\frac{y+z}{2x})^2 + 1 = 0$ which contradicts the fact the quadratic equation $a^2 + b^2 + 1 = 0$ in a and b has no solution in \mathbb{F} . If $x = 0$ and $y + z \neq 0$, then $(\frac{\tilde{y}-\tilde{z}}{y+z})^2 + 1 = 0$ and the quadratic equation $a^2 + b^2 + 1 = 0$ has a solution $a = 0$ and $b = \frac{\tilde{y}-\tilde{z}}{y+z}$, a contradiction. If $x = 0$ and $y + z = 0$, then $y = -z = \pm 1$ since $yz = -1$. Hence, $\tilde{y} = \tilde{z}$, which implies $\tilde{y}^2 + \tilde{z}^2 + 1 = 0$, a contradiction. In conclusion, $-I_2$ is not a product of two or fewer commutators of skew-involutions in $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$.

Write $-I_2 = \text{diag}(y^2, y^{-2})\text{diag}(-y^{-2}, -y^2)$ with $y \in \mathbb{F}$ and $y \neq 0, 1, -1$. Then, $y^2 \neq y^{-2}$, otherwise y would satisfy $(x-1)(x+1)(x^2+1) = 0$, and we are under the assumption that $y \neq \pm 1$ and $a^2 + b^2 + 1 = 0$ has no solution in \mathbb{F} - in fact, it is enough that $x^2 + 1 = 0$ has no root in \mathbb{F} . Since $\text{diag}(y^2, y^{-2})$ is a commutator of skew-involutions from Lemma 2.3 and $\text{diag}(-y^{-2}, -y^2)$ is a product of two commutators of skew-involutions from Theorem 3.1, $-I_2$ is a product of three commutators of skew-involutions in $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ and no fewer. \square

COROLLARY 3.3. *If $\text{char}\mathbb{F} \neq 0$, then $-I_{2n}$ is a commutator of skew-involutions in $\text{SL}_{2n}(\mathbb{F})$.*

Proof. If $\text{char}\mathbb{F} = p \neq 0$, by Lemma 2.5, there exist two elements a and b in \mathbb{Z}_p satisfying $a^2 + b^2 + 1 = 0$. By Lemma 3.2, $-I_{2n}$ is a commutator of skew-involutions in $\text{SL}_{2n}(\mathbb{F})$. \square

If $A \neq \pm I_{2n}$ is a scalar matrix αI_{2n} with determinant 1, then the following lemma holds.

LEMMA 3.4. *Assume that $\alpha^{2n} = 1$ and $\alpha \neq \pm 1$. If n is even or there exist two elements a, b in \mathbb{F} such that $a^2 + b^2 + 1 = 0$, then αI_{2n} is a product of at most two commutators of skew-involutions in $\text{SL}_{2n}(\mathbb{F})$. Otherwise, αI_{2n} is a product of at most three commutators of skew-involutions.*

Proof. Since $\alpha^{2n} = 1$, we have $\alpha I_{2n} \in \text{SL}_{2n}(\mathbb{F})$. Write $\alpha I_{2n} = BC$ where

$$B = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \alpha^{2i-1} I_2, \quad C = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \alpha^{2n+2-2i} I_2.$$

Note that C is permutation similar to $(\text{diag}(1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}))^2 \oplus (\text{diag}(1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}))^{-2}$, hence C is a commutator of skew-involutions in $\text{SL}_{2n}(\mathbb{F})$ by Lemma 2.3(b) and Remark 2.4(c).

When $n = 2k$ is even, B is permutation similar to

$$\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^k \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \alpha^{2i-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)^2 \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^k \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \alpha^{2i-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)^{-2},$$

which is also a commutator of skew-involutions in $\text{SL}_{2n}(\mathbb{F})$ by Lemma 2.3(b) and Remark 2.4(c).

When $n = 2k - 1$ is odd, B is permutation similar to

$$\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{k-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \alpha^{2i-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)^2 \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{k-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \alpha^{2i-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)^{-2} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^{2k-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^{2k-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

THEOREM 3.7. *If n is an integer greater than 1, then every $A \in \text{SL}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z}_3)$ is a product of at most four commutators of skew-involutions.*

Proof. If A is scalar, then $A = I_{2n}$ or $A = -I_{2n}$. By Corollary 3.3, $-I_{2n}$ is a commutator of skew-involutions. In either case, A is a commutator of skew-involutions in $\text{SL}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z}_3)$.

If A is nonscalar, then, by Theorem 1 of [17], we can write $A = BC$, where B is lower triangularizable with eigenvalues $\beta_1 = -1, \beta_i = 1 (i = 2, 3, \dots, 2n)$ and C is simultaneously upper triangularizable with eigenvalues $\gamma_1 = -1, \gamma_i = 1 (i = 2, 3, \dots, 2n)$. Both B and C are similar to a direct sum $[-1] \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^k J_{m_i}(1)$ for some positive integer m_i and k , where $J_{m_i}(1)$ is the $m_i \times m_i$ Jordan blocks associated to the eigenvalue 1. By Lemma 3.6, $\bigoplus_{i=1}^k J_{m_i}(1)$ is similar to a product of two involutions A_1 and A_2 and both of them have -1 as an eigenvalue with the same odd multiplicity $2l - 1$ for some integer l . Then A_1 and A_2 are similar to $-I_{2l-1} \oplus I_{2n-2l}$, and $[-1] \oplus A_1, [-1] \oplus A_2$ are both similar to $-I_{2l} \oplus I_{2n-2l}$. Since $-I_{2l} \oplus I_{2n-2l}$ is a commutator of skew-involutions from Corollary 3.3 and Remark 2.4(b), both B and C can be written as products of two commutators of skew-involutions in $\text{SL}_{2k}(\mathbb{Z}_3)$ by Remark 2.4(c). Hence, if A is nonscalar, then A is a product of at most four commutators of skew-involutions. \square

Since a commutator of skew-involutions is also a product of two skew-involutions, Theorem 3.8 of [13] can be deduced from Theorem 3.7.

It is known that $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ and $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_3)$ properly contain their commutator subgroups (see [4] Sections 106 and 107). Since a commutator of involutions is also a commutator of skew-involutions when $x^2 + 1$ has a root in \mathbb{F} , every $A \in \text{SL}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}) \neq \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2), \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_3)$ can be written as a product of commutators of skew-involutions by [7, Theorem 2.8] and [15, Lemma 1.2]. Then from Theorems 3.5 and 3.7, we can obtain the following.

THEOREM 3.8. *Every $A \in \text{SL}_{2n}(\mathbb{F})$ is a product of commutators of skew-involutions if and only if $\text{SL}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}) \neq \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2), \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_3)$.*

4. Products of real symplectic skew-involutions. In [13], Joven and Paras proved that every real symplectic matrix in $\text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ is a product of six real symplectic skew-involutions. Via a similar matrix factorization, we prove the following.

THEOREM 4.1. *Every $A \in \text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ is a product of four commutators of real symplectic skew-involutions.*

Proof. From the proof of Theorem 4.3 in [13], we know that every $A \in \text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ has the decomposition $A = QR$ where Q is similar to $D \oplus D^{-1}$ by a real orthosymplectic matrix P and D is a positive diagonal matrix, and R is similar to

$$\Theta = \boxplus_{j=1}^n \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_j & -\sin \theta_j \\ \sin \theta_j & \cos \theta_j \end{pmatrix}, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n \in \mathbb{R}$$

by a real orthosymplectic matrix S . Suppose $D = \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n)$ for some positive $d_1, \dots, d_n \in \mathbb{R}$. Then there exists a diagonal matrix $D_1 = \text{diag}(\sqrt{d_1}, \dots, \sqrt{d_n})$ and $D_1^2 = D$. By Lemma 2.3(c) and Remark 2.4(c), Q is a commutator of real symplectic skew-involutions. Since each summand in the expanding sum of Θ is in $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, it follows from Theorem 3.5 and Remark 2.4(b)(c) that R is a product of at most three commutators of real symplectic skew-involutions. Thus, A is a product of at most four commutators of real symplectic skew-involutions. \square

5. Products of complex symplectic skew-involutions. Let $A \in \text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$. Since $x^2 + 1$ has a root in \mathbb{C} , by [8, Theorem 1.1], we know that every symplectic complex matrix can be decomposed into a product of at most three commutators of symplectic skew-involutions. We can optimize this decomposition from “three” to “two”. For this purpose, we need the following lemma which is called *symplectic Jordan form* given by de la Cruz in [3].

LEMMA 5.1 (de la Cruz [3], Lemma 5). *Each symplectic complex matrix is symplectically similar to the expanding sum of matrices of the following forms:*

- $J_k(\lambda) \oplus J_k(\lambda)^{-\top}$ for $\lambda \neq 0, \pm 1$,
- $J_{2k-1}(\epsilon) \oplus J_{2k-1}(\epsilon)^{-\top}$ for $\epsilon = \pm 1$, or
- $\pm \varepsilon(k)$, where

$$\varepsilon(k) = \begin{pmatrix} J_k(1) & \begin{pmatrix} 0_{k-1,k} \\ u \end{pmatrix} \\ 0 & J_k(1)^{-\top} \end{pmatrix} \in M_{2k}(\mathbb{C}),$$

and $u = ((-1)^{k+1}, (-1)^k, \dots, 1)$ is the last row of $J_k(1)^{-\top}$.

Suppose μ is a square root of $\lambda \neq 0$. Then $(J_k(\mu) \oplus J_k(\mu)^{-\top})^2$ is a commutator of complex symplectic skew-involutions by Corollary 2.2 and [13] Lemma 5.2. Since $J_k(\lambda) \oplus J_k(\lambda)^{-\top}$ is similar to $(J_k(\mu) \oplus J_k(\mu)^{-\top})^2$, the following lemma holds.

LEMMA 5.2. *If k is a positive integer and $\lambda \neq 0$, then $J_k(\lambda) \oplus J_k(\lambda)^{-\top}$ is a commutator of complex symplectic skew-involutions.*

By taking $\lambda = \pm 1$ in Lemma 5.2, we obtain the following corollaries.

COROLLARY 5.3. *If k is a positive integer and $\epsilon = \pm 1$, then $J_{2k-1}(\epsilon) \oplus J_{2k-1}(\epsilon)^{-\top}$ is a commutator of complex symplectic skew-involutions.*

COROLLARY 5.4. *If k is a positive integer, then $\pm(\varepsilon(k) \oplus \varepsilon(k)^{-\top})$ is a commutator of complex symplectic skew-involutions.*

Since $\varepsilon(k)^2$ is similar to $\varepsilon(k)$, by Corollary 2.2 and [13] Lemma 5.5, we obtain the following.

LEMMA 5.5. *If k is a positive integer, then $\varepsilon(k)$ is a commutator of complex symplectic skew-involutions.*

Since $-\varepsilon(k)$ has no square root in $\text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$, by Corollary 2.2 $-\varepsilon(k)$ is not a commutator of complex symplectic skew-involutions. Note that $-\varepsilon(k) = (-I_{2k})\varepsilon(k)$, by Lemmas 5.2 and 5.5, we obtain the following.

LEMMA 5.6. *If k is a positive integer, then $-\varepsilon(k)$ is a product of two commutators of complex symplectic skew-involutions and no fewer.*

By Corollaries 5.3, 5.4 and Lemmas 5.5, 5.6, we obtain the following.

THEOREM 5.7. *Every $A \in \text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ is a product of two commutators of complex symplectic skew-involutions. Moreover, A is a commutator of complex symplectic skew-involutions if and only if for each k , the number of the expanding summands $-\varepsilon(k)$ of the symplectic Jordan form of A is even.*

Proof. The sufficiency follows from Corollaries 5.3, 5.4 and Lemmas 5.5, 5.6. It remains to show necessity. If the symplectic Jordan form of $A = B^2$ has an odd number of expanding summands $-\varepsilon(k)$, then the

symplectic Jordan form of B has expanding summands $J_{2k}(i)$ and $J_{2k}(-i)$ and they differ in number. Since $J_{2k}(i)^{-1}$ is similar to $J_{2k}(-i)$, we have that B is not similar to B^{-1} . By Corollary 2.2, A is not a product of two commutators of complex symplectic skew-involutions, which is a contradiction. This proves necessity. \square

Acknowledgment. The authors thank the referees very much for the many helpful suggestions and comments that greatly improved the paper. The work was funded by Beijing Natural Science Foundation (No. 1224036), Scientific Research Project of Beijing Educational Committee (No. KM202110028004), and the College Natural Scientific Research Project of Anhui Province (No. 2024AH050586).

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Awa and R.J. de la Cruz. Every real symplectic matrix is a product of real symplectic involutions. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 589:85–95, 2020.
- [2] J.D. Botha. Spectrally arbitrary, nonderogatory factorization over a general field. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 433:1–11, 2010.
- [3] R.J. de la Cruz. Each symplectic matrix is a product of four symplectic involutions. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 466:382–400, 2015.
- [4] L.E. Dickson. *Linear Groups with An Exposition of the Galois Field Theory*. Dover, New York, 1958.
- [5] E.W. Ellers and O. Villa. Generation of the symplectic group by involutions. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 591:154–159, 2020.
- [6] W.H. Gustafson, P.R. Halmos, and H. Radjavi. Products of involutions. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 13:157–162, 1976.
- [7] X. Hou. Decomposition of infinite matrices into products of commutators of involutions. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 563:231–239, 2019.
- [8] X. Hou. Decomposition of symplectic matrices into products of commutators of symplectic involutions. *Comm Algebra.*, 48(8):3459–3470, 2020.
- [9] X. Hou. Every real symplectic matrix is a product of commutators of real symplectic involutions. *Oper. Matrices*, 15(4):1489–1504, 2021.
- [10] X. Hou. Products of commutators of symplectic involutions. *Linear Multilinear Algebra.*, 70(15):2984–2997, 2022.
- [11] X. Hou, S. Li, and Q. Zheng. Expressing infinite matrices over ring as products of involutions. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 532:257–265, 2017.
- [12] X. Hou. Corrigendum to “Expressing infinite matrices over rings as products of involutions” [*Linear Algebra Appl.*, 532:257–265, 2017]. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 587:387–391, 2020.
- [13] J.P.E. Joven and A.T. Paras. Products of skew-involutions. *Electron. J. Linear Algebra*, 39:136–150, 2023.
- [14] R. Slowik. Expressing infinite matrices as products of involutions. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 438:399–404, 2013.
- [15] A.S. Sivatski and A.V. Stepanov. On the word length of commutators in $GL_n(R)$. *K-Theory*, 17:295–302, 1999.
- [16] T.N. Son, T.H. Dung, N.T.T. Ha, and M.H. Bien. On decompositions of matrices into products of commutators of involutions. *Electron. J. Linear Algebra*, 38:123–130, 2022.
- [17] A.R. Sourour. A factorization theorem for matrices. *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, 19:141–147, 1986.
- [18] M.J. Wonenburger. Transformations which are products of two involutions. *J. Appl. Math. Mech.*, 16:327–338, 1966.
- [19] B. Zheng. Decomposition of matrices into commutators of involutions. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 347:1–7, 2002.