

POSITIVE DEFINITE SOLUTION OF THE MATRIX EQUATION

$$X = Q + A^H(I \otimes X - C)^{-\delta} A^*$$

GUOZHU YAO[†], ANPING LIAO[‡], AND XUEFENG DUAN[§]

Abstract. We consider the nonlinear matrix equation $X = Q + A^H(I \otimes X - C)^{-\delta} A$ ($0 < \delta \leq 1$), where Q is an $n \times n$ positive definite matrix, C is an $mn \times mn$ positive semidefinite matrix, I is the $m \times m$ identity matrix, and A is an arbitrary $mn \times n$ matrix. We prove the existence and uniqueness of the solution which is contained in some subset of the positive definite matrices under the condition that $I \otimes Q > C$. Two bounds for the solution of the equation are derived. This equation is related to an interpolation problem when $\delta = 1$. Some known results in interpolation theory are improved and extended.

Key words. Nonlinear matrix equation, Positive definite solution, Interpolation theory.

AMS subject classifications. 15A24, 65H05.

1. Introduction. We consider the positive definite solution X of the nonlinear matrix equation

$$(1.1) \quad X = Q + A^H(I \otimes X - C)^{-\delta} A, \quad 0 < \delta \leq 1,$$

where Q is an $n \times n$ positive definite matrix, A is an $mn \times n$ complex matrix, C is an $mn \times mn$ positive semidefinite matrix, I is the $m \times m$ identity matrix, \otimes is the Kronecker product, and A^H denotes the conjugate transpose of matrix A . When $\delta = 1$, (1.1) is connected to an interpolation problem (see [1]-[3]). The special cases of this equation have many applications in various areas, including control systems, ladder networks, dynamic programming, stochastic filtering, statistics (see [4]).

In recent years, many authors have been greatly interested in studying both the theory and numerical aspects of the positive definite solutions of the nonlinear matrix equations of the form (1.1) (see [1], [3]-[18]). Some special cases of (1.1) have been investigated. When $\delta = 1$, Ran et al [1] showed that (1.1) has a unique positive definite solution by using a reduction method and Sun [3] obtained the perturbation

*Received by the editors on June 10, 2009. Accepted for publication on July 31, 2010. Handling Editors: Roger A. Horn and Fuzhen Zhang.

[†]College of Mathematics and Computing Science, Changsha University of Science and Technology, Changsha 410114, P.R. China (gzyao@163.com). Supported by Hunan Provincial Educational Department Science Foundation (10C0370).

[‡] College of Mathematics and Econometrics, Hunan University, Changsha 410082, P.R. China

[§]School of Mathematics and Computational Science, Guilin University of Electronic Technology, Guilin 541004, P.R. China.

bounds and the residual bounds for an approximate solution of (1.1). In addition, Duan [17] proved that (1.1) always has a unique positive definite solution when $C = 0$. Hasanov [11] obtained that (1.1) has a unique positive definite solution under rigorous conditions when $C = 0$ and $m = 1$. In this article, we first claim that (1.1) always has a unique positive definite solution and then use a new approach that is different from [1] to prove our conclusions in Sections 2 and 3. We also obtain some bounds for the unique positive definite solution of (1.1).

Throughout this paper, $X > 0$ ($X \geq 0$) denotes that the matrix X is positive definite (semidefinite). $B \otimes C$ denotes the Kronecker product of B and C . If $B - C$ is positive definite (semidefinite), then we write $B > C$ ($B \geq C$). We use $\lambda_M(B)$ ($\sigma_M(B)$) and $\lambda_m(B)$ ($\sigma_m(B)$) to denote the maximal and minimal eigenvalues (singular values) of an $n \times n$ positive definite matrix B , respectively. Let $P(n)$ denote the set of $n \times n$ positive definite matrices, $\varphi(n)$ denote the matrix set defined by $\{X \in P(n) \mid I \otimes X > C\}$, $[B, C] = \{X \in P(n) \mid B \leq X \leq C\}$ and $(B, C) = \{X \in P(n) \mid B < X < C\}$. Unless otherwise stated, we suppose that $I \otimes Q > C$, the solutions of the matrix equations in this paper are positive definite and the solution of (1.1) is in $\varphi(n)$.

2. The existence of a unique solution. In this section, we prove that (1.1) always has a unique solution. We begin with some lemmas.

LEMMA 2.1. (1.1) is equivalent to the following nonlinear matrix equation

$$(2.1) \quad Y = \bar{Q} + \bar{A}^H(I \otimes Y)^{-\delta}\bar{A},$$

where $Y = I \otimes X - C$, $\bar{Q} = I \otimes Q - C$, $\bar{A} = I \otimes A$.

Proof. Taking the Kronecker product of I with both left sides of (1.1), we obtain

$$I \otimes X - I \otimes [A^H(I \otimes X - C)^{-\delta}A] = I \otimes Q.$$

Then

$$(2.2) \quad I \otimes X - C - (I \otimes A^H)[I \otimes (I \otimes X - C)^{-\delta}](I \otimes A) = I \otimes Q - C.$$

Noting that $I \otimes A^H = (I \otimes A)^H$ and $I \otimes Y^{-\delta} = (I \otimes Y)^{-\delta}$, we get (2.1) by substituting Y , \bar{Q} and \bar{A} for $I \otimes X - C$, $I \otimes Q - C$ and $I \otimes A$ in (2.2), respectively. Furthermore, (2.1) has a solution $\bar{Y} = I \otimes \bar{X} - C$ if \bar{X} is a solution of (1.1). For the converse, it is easy to verify that (1.1) has a solution $\bar{X} = Q + A^H\bar{Y}^{-\delta}A$ if \bar{Y} is a solution of (2.1). \square

LEMMA 2.2. ([19, p.2]). If $A \geq B > 0$ (or $A > B > 0$), then $A^\alpha \geq B^\alpha > 0$ (or $A^\alpha > B^\alpha > 0$) for all $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, and $0 < A^\alpha \leq B^\alpha$ (or $0 < A^\alpha < B^\alpha$) for all $-1 \leq \alpha < 0$.

To discuss the solution of (1.1), we define maps f and F as follows:

$$(2.3) \quad f(X) = Q + A^H(I \otimes X - C)^{-\delta} A, \quad X \in \varphi(n),$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad F(Y) = \bar{Q} + \bar{A}^H(I \otimes Y)^{-\delta} \bar{A}, \quad Y \in P(mn).$$

Observe that the solutions of (1.1) and (2.1) are fixed points of f in $\varphi(n)$ and F in $P(mn)$, respectively. Let

$$f^k(X) = f[f^{k-1}(X)], \quad F^k(Y) = F[F^{k-1}(Y)], \quad k = 2, 3, \dots$$

LEMMA 2.3. *The map F has the following properties:*

- (1) *If $Y_1 \geq Y_2 \geq 0$, then $F(Y_2) \geq F(Y_1) \geq 0$ and $F^2(Y_1) \geq F^2(Y_2) \geq 0$.*
- (2) *For any matrix $Y > 0$, $\bar{Q} \leq F^2(Y) \leq F(\bar{Q})$, and the set $\{Y \mid \bar{Q} \leq Y \leq F(\bar{Q})\}$ is mapped into itself by F .*
- (3) *The sequence $\{F^{2k}(\bar{Q})\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is an increasing sequence of positive definite matrices converging to a positive definite matrix Y^- , which is a fixed point of F^2 , i.e., $Y^- = F^2(Y^-)$, and the sequence $\{F^{2k+1}(\bar{Q})\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is a decreasing sequence of positive definite matrices converging to a positive definite matrix Y^+ , which is also a fixed point of F^2 , i.e., $Y^+ = F^2(Y^+)$.*
- (4) *F maps the set $\{Y \mid Y^- \leq Y \leq Y^+\}$ into itself. In particular, any solution of (2.1) is in between Y^- and Y^+ , and if $Y^- = Y^+$, then (2.1) has a unique solution.*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.2 of [6] and is omitted here. \square

From (4) of Lemma 2.3, we know that (2.1) has a unique solution if $Y^- = Y^+$. Next we will prove that $Y^- = Y^+$.

LEMMA 2.4. *Let $\eta(t) = \frac{(1-t)\lambda_m(\bar{Q})}{t\lambda_M[F(\bar{Q})]}$. Then we have, for any $Y > 0$ and $t \in (0, 1)$,*

$$F^2(tY) \geq t[1 + \eta(t)]F^2(Y).$$

Proof. By (2) of Lemma 2.3, for any $Y > 0$, we have

$$(2.5) \quad F^2(Y) \leq F(\bar{Q}) \leq \lambda_M[F(\bar{Q})]I.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & F^2(tY) - t[1 + \eta(t)]F^2(Y) \\ &= \bar{Q} + \bar{A}^H[I \otimes F(tY)]^{-\delta} \bar{A} - t[1 + \eta(t)][\bar{Q} + \bar{A}^H(I \otimes F(Y))^{-\delta} \bar{A}] \end{aligned}$$

Positive definite solution of the matrix equation $X = Q + A^H(I \otimes X - C)^{-\delta}A$ 79

$$\begin{aligned} &= (1-t)\bar{Q} + \bar{A}^H[I \otimes (\bar{Q} + t^{-\delta}\bar{A}^H(I \otimes Y)^{-\delta}\bar{A})]^{-\delta}\bar{A} \\ &\quad - t\bar{A}^H[I \otimes F(Y)]^{-\delta}\bar{A} - t\eta(t)F^2(Y) \\ &= (1-t)\bar{Q} + t\bar{A}^H[I \otimes (t^{\frac{1}{\delta}}\bar{Q} + t^{-\delta+\frac{1}{\delta}}\bar{A}^H(I \otimes Y)^{-\delta}\bar{A})]^{-\delta}\bar{A} \\ &\quad - t\bar{A}^H[I \otimes F(Y)]^{-\delta}\bar{A} - t\eta(t)F^2(Y). \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 < t^{\frac{1}{\delta}} < 1$ and $0 < t^{-\delta+\frac{1}{\delta}} < 1$, we have

$$t^{\frac{1}{\delta}}\bar{Q} < \bar{Q}, \quad t^{-\delta+\frac{1}{\delta}}[\bar{A}^H(I \otimes Y)^{-\delta}\bar{A}] < \bar{A}^H(I \otimes Y)^{-\delta}\bar{A}.$$

From Lemma 2.2 it follows that

$$(2.6) \quad [t^{\frac{1}{\delta}}\bar{Q} + t^{-\delta+\frac{1}{\delta}}\bar{A}^H(I \otimes Y)^{-\delta}\bar{A}]^{-\delta} \geq [\bar{Q} + \bar{A}^H(I \otimes Y)^{-\delta}\bar{A}]^{-\delta},$$

which implies that

$$(2.7) \quad [I \otimes (t^{\frac{1}{\delta}}\bar{Q} + t^{-\delta+\frac{1}{\delta}}\bar{A}^H(I \otimes Y)^{-\delta}\bar{A})]^{-\delta} \geq [I \otimes (\bar{Q} + \bar{A}^H(I \otimes Y)^{-\delta}\bar{A})]^{-\delta}.$$

Hence, combining (2.5), (2.6) and (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} F^2(tY) - t[1 + \eta(t)]F^2(Y) &\geq (1-t)\bar{Q} - t\eta(t)F^2(Y) \\ &\geq (1-t)\lambda_m(\bar{Q})I - t\eta(t)\lambda_M[F(\bar{Q})]I \\ &= (1-t)\lambda_m(\bar{Q})I - t\frac{(1-t)\lambda_m(\bar{Q})}{t\lambda_M[F(\bar{Q})]}\lambda_M[F(\bar{Q})]I \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $F^2(tY) \geq t[1 + \eta(t)]F^2(Y)$. \square

LEMMA 2.5. For any $Y_1 \geq 0$ and $Y_2 \geq 0$, we have $\lambda_M(Y_1)Y_2 \geq \lambda_m(Y_2)Y_1$.

Proof. For any $Y_1 \geq 0$ and $Y_2 \geq 0$, it follows that

$$Y_2 \geq \lambda_m(Y_2)I, \quad \lambda_M(Y_1)I \geq Y_1.$$

Hence

$$\lambda_M(Y_1)Y_2 \geq \lambda_M(Y_1)\lambda_m(Y_2)I \geq \lambda_m(Y_2)Y_1. \quad \square$$

THEOREM 2.6. (1.1) always has a unique positive definite solution \bar{X} and the sequence $\{f^k(X_0)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ converges to \bar{X} for any $X_0 \in \varphi(n)$, where the map f is defined by (2.3).

Proof. We first consider (2.1) since (1.1) is equivalent to (2.1) according to Lemma 2.1. From Lemma 2.3, we know that there exist positive definite matrices $Y^- \in P(mn)$ and $Y^+ \in P(mn)$ such that $Y^+ \geq Y^-$ and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} F^{2k}(\bar{Q}) = Y^-, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} F^{2k+1}(\bar{Q}) = Y^+.$$

We also know that the matrices Y^- and Y^+ are fixed points of F^2 , i.e.,

$$Y^- = F^2(Y^-)$$

and

$$Y^+ = F^2(Y^+).$$

By Lemma 2.5, $Y^- \geq \frac{\lambda_m(Y^-)}{\lambda_M(Y^+)} Y^+$, we define

$$t_0 = \sup\{t | Y^- \geq tY^+\}.$$

Evidently, $0 < t_0 < +\infty$, we now prove that $t_0 \geq 1$. Assume that $0 < t_0 < 1$, $Y^- \geq t_0 Y^+$. By Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, we have

$$(2.8) \quad Y^- = F^2(Y^-) \geq F^2(t_0 Y^+) \geq t_0 [1 + \eta(t_0)] F^2(Y^+) = t_0 [1 + \eta(t_0)] Y^+.$$

Since $t_0 [1 + \eta(t_0)] > t_0$, (2.8) is contradictory to the definition of t_0 , and therefore

$$t_0 \geq 1, \quad Y^- = Y^+.$$

Let $\bar{Y} = Y^+$ (or Y^-). We know that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} F^k(\bar{Q}) = \bar{Y}$ is the unique solution of (2.1) by Lemma 2.3. Therefore, $\bar{X} = Q + A^H \bar{Y}^{-\delta} A$ is the unique solution of (1.1) by Lemma 2.1.

It remains to prove that the sequence $\{F^k(Y_0)\}_{k=0}^\infty$ converges to \bar{Y} for any Y_0 in $P(mn)$. From Lemma 2.3, we have

$$(2.9) \quad \bar{Q} \leq F^2(Y_0) \leq F(\bar{Q}).$$

Taking F in (2.9) yields

$$F^2(\bar{Q}) \leq F^3(Y_0) \leq F(\bar{Q}).$$

And taking F in (2.9) repeatedly yields

$$F^{2k-2}(\bar{Q}) \leq F^{2k}(Y_0) \leq F^{2k-1}(\bar{Q}), \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

$$F^{2k}(\bar{Q}) \leq F^{2k+1}(Y_0) \leq F^{2k+1}(\bar{Q}), \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

It follows from the convergence of $\{F^k(\bar{Q})\}_{k=0}^\infty$ to the unique solution \bar{Y} that the sequence $\{F^k(Y_0)\}_{k=0}^\infty$ converges to \bar{Y} for any $Y_0 \in P(mn)$. From the maps f and F defined by (2.3) and (2.4), we have

$$F^k(Y_0) = I \otimes f^k(X_0) - C, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

Positive definite solution of the matrix equation $X = Q + A^H(I \otimes X - C)^{-\delta}A$ 81

where $X_0 \in \varphi(n)$, $Y_0 = I \otimes X_0 - C \in P(mn)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} [I \otimes f^k(X_0)] &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} F^k(Y_0) + C \\ &= \bar{Y} + C \\ &= \bar{Q} + \bar{A}^H(I \otimes \bar{Y})^{-\delta} \bar{A} + C \\ &= I \otimes (Q + A^H \bar{Y}^{-\delta} A) \\ &= I \otimes \bar{X}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f^k(X_0) = \bar{X}$. \square

3. Some bounds for the unique solution. In this section, we present two bounds for the unique solution (1.1).

THEOREM 3.1. *Let \bar{X} be the unique positive definite solution of (1.1). Then $\bar{X} \in [f^2(Q), f(Q)]$.*

Proof. Let $\bar{Y} = I \otimes \bar{X} - C$. We know that \bar{Y} is the unique positive definite solution of (2.1) by Lemma 2.1, i.e.,

$$\bar{Y} = \bar{Q} + \bar{A}^H(I \otimes \bar{Y})^{-\delta} \bar{A}.$$

Thus

$$\bar{Y} \geq \bar{Q},$$

which implies that

$$\bar{Y}^{-\delta} \leq \bar{Q}^{-\delta}.$$

Thus we have

$$\bar{Y} = \bar{Q} + \bar{A}^H(I_m \otimes \bar{Y})^{-\delta} \bar{A} \leq \bar{Q} + \bar{A}^H(I_m \otimes \bar{Q})^{-\delta} \bar{A}.$$

Hence

$$(3.1) \quad \bar{Q} \leq \bar{Y} \leq F(\bar{Q}).$$

From Lemma 2.2 it follows that

$$[F(\bar{Q})]^{-\delta} \leq \bar{Y}^{-\delta} \leq \bar{Q}^{-\delta},$$

which implies that

$$\bar{Q} + \bar{A}^H[I \otimes F(\bar{Q})]^{-\delta} \bar{A} \leq \bar{Q} + \bar{A}^H(I \otimes \bar{Y})^{-\delta} \bar{A} \leq \bar{Q} + \bar{A}^H(I \otimes \bar{Q})^{-\delta} \bar{A},$$

i.e.,

$$\bar{Y} \in [F^2(\bar{Q}), F(\bar{Q})].$$

Since

$$F^2(\bar{Q}) = I \otimes f^2(Q) - C, \quad F(\bar{Q}) = I \otimes f(Q) - C,$$

we have

$$I \otimes f^2(Q) - C \leq I \otimes \bar{X} - C \leq I \otimes f(Q) - C,$$

i.e., $\bar{X} \in [f^2(Q), f(Q)]$. \square

THEOREM 3.2. *Let \bar{X} be the unique positive definite solution of (1.1). Then*

$$I \otimes \bar{X} \in [\alpha I + C, \beta I + C],$$

where the pair (α, β) is a solution of the system

$$\begin{cases} \alpha &= \lambda_m(\bar{Q}) + \sigma_m^2(A)\beta^{-\delta}, \\ \beta &= \lambda_M(\bar{Q}) + \sigma_M^2(A)\alpha^{-\delta}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $\bar{Y} = I \otimes \bar{X} - C$. We know that \bar{Y} is the unique solution of (2.1). From $\bar{A} = I \otimes A$, we have $\sigma_m(\bar{A}) = \sigma_m(A)$, $\sigma_M(\bar{A}) = \sigma_M(A)$. Define the sequences $\{\alpha_s\}$ and $\{\beta_s\}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_0 &= \lambda_m(\bar{Q}), \\ \beta_0 &= \lambda_M(\bar{Q}) + \sigma_M^2(\bar{A})\lambda_m^{-\delta}(\bar{Q}), \\ \alpha_s &= \lambda_m(\bar{Q}) + \sigma_m^2(\bar{A})\beta_{s-1}^{-\delta}, \\ \beta_s &= \lambda_M(\bar{Q}) + \sigma_M^2(\bar{A})\alpha_{s-1}^{-\delta}, \quad s = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

We will prove that the sequences $\{\alpha_s\}$ and $\{\beta_s\}$ are monotonically increasing and monotonically decreasing, respectively. Obviously,

$$0 < \alpha_0 < \beta_0.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= \lambda_m(\bar{Q}) + \sigma_m^2(\bar{A})\beta_0^{-\delta} \geq \alpha_0, \\ \beta_1 &= \lambda_M(\bar{Q}) + \sigma_M^2(\bar{A})\alpha_0^{-\delta} = \beta_0. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $\alpha_k \geq \alpha_{k-1}$, $\beta_k \leq \beta_{k-1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{k+1} &= \lambda_m(\bar{Q}) + \sigma_m^2(\bar{A})\beta_k^{-\delta} \geq \lambda_m(\bar{Q}) + \sigma_m^2(\bar{A})\beta_{k-1}^{-\delta} = \alpha_k, \\ \beta_{k+1} &= \lambda_M(\bar{Q}) + \sigma_M^2(\bar{A})\alpha_k^{-\delta} \leq \lambda_M(\bar{Q}) + \sigma_M^2(\bar{A})\alpha_{k-1}^{-\delta} = \beta_k. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can get $\alpha_s \geq \alpha_{s-1}$, $\beta_{s-1} \geq \beta_s$ for $s = 1, 2, \dots$ by induction.

Positive definite solution of the matrix equation $X = Q + A^H(I \otimes X - C)^{-\delta}A$ 83

Next, we will prove that the unique solution \bar{Y} of (2.1) lies in $[\alpha_s I, \beta_s I]$, for $s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. It is easy to see that

$$(3.2) \quad \bar{Q} \leq \bar{Y} \leq \bar{Q} + \bar{A}^H(I \otimes \bar{Q})^{-\delta} \bar{A}.$$

By $\bar{Q} \geq \sigma_m(\bar{Q})I = \alpha_0 I$, we have $\bar{Y} \geq \alpha_0 I$ and

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{Q} + \bar{A}^H(I \otimes \bar{Q})^{-\delta} \bar{A} &\leq \bar{Q} + \lambda_m^{-\delta}(\bar{Q}) \bar{A}^H \bar{A} \\ &\leq [\lambda_M(\bar{Q}) + \lambda_m^{-\delta}(\bar{Q}) \sigma_M^2(\bar{A})]I \\ &= \beta_0 I. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.2) and (3.3), we have $\bar{Y} \in [\alpha_0 I, \beta_0 I]$. Suppose that $\bar{Y} \in [\alpha_k I, \beta_k I]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{Y} &= \bar{Q} + \bar{A}^H(I \otimes \bar{Y})^{-\delta} \bar{A} \\ &\geq \bar{Q} + \beta_k^{-\delta} \bar{A}^H \bar{A} \\ &\geq [\lambda_m(\bar{Q}) + \beta_k^{-\delta} \sigma_m^2(\bar{A})]I \\ &= \alpha_{k+1} I \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{Y} &= \bar{Q} + \bar{A}^H(I \otimes \bar{Y})^{-\delta} \bar{A} \\ &\leq \bar{Q} + \alpha_k^{-\delta} \bar{A}^H \bar{A} \\ &\leq [\lambda_M(\bar{Q}) + \alpha_k^{-\delta} \sigma_M^2(\bar{A})]I \\ &= \beta_{k+1} I. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the sequences $\{\alpha_s\}$ and $\{\beta_s\}$ are convergent. Let $\alpha = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_s$, $\beta = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \beta_s$. Then $\bar{Y} \in [\alpha I, \beta I]$. Since $\bar{Y} = I \otimes \bar{X} - C$, we have that $I_m \otimes \bar{X}$ belongs to $[\alpha I + C, \beta I + C]$. \square

Acknowledgment. The authors would like to thank the referees for the valuable comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] A.C.M. Ran and M.C.B. Reurings. A nonlinear matrix equation connected to interpolation theory. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 379:289–302, 2004.
- [2] L.A. Sakhnovich. *Interpolation Theory and Its Applications, Mathematics and Its Applications*. vol. 428, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 1997.
- [3] J.G. Sun. Perturbation analysis of the matrix equation $X = Q + A^H(\hat{X} - C)^{-1}A$. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 372:33–51, 2003.
- [4] A. Ferrante and B.C. Levy. Hermitian solutions of the equation $X = Q + N^*X^{-1}N$. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 247:359–373, 1996.
- [5] M.S. Chen and S.F. Xu. Perturbation analysis of the Hermitian positive definite solution of the matrix equation $X - A^*X^{-2}A = I$. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 394:39–51, 2005.

- [6] S.M. El-Sayed and A.C.M. Ran. On an iterative method for solving a class of nonlinear matrix equations. *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.*, 23:632–645, 2001.
- [7] S.M. El-Sayed and M.A. Ramadan. On the existence of a positive definite solution of the matrix equation $X + A^*X^{-\frac{1}{2m}}A = I$. *Int. J. Comp. Math.*, 76:331–338, 2001.
- [8] C.H. Guo and P. Lancaster. Iterative solution of two matrix equations. *Math. Comput.*, 68:1589–1603, 1999.
- [9] D.J. Gao and Y.H. Zhang. On the Hermitian positive definite solutions of the matrix equation $X - A^*X^qA = Q (q > 0)$. *Mathematica Numerica Sinica*, 29:73–80, 2007.
- [10] V.I. Hasanov. Solutions and perturbation theory of nonlinear matrix equations. *Ph. D. Thesis*, Sofia, 2003.
- [11] V.I. Hasanov. Positive definite solutions of the matrix equations $X \pm A^T X^{-q} A = Q$, *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 404:166-182, 2005.
- [12] I.G. Ivanov, V.I. Hasanov and F. Uhlig. Improved methods and starting values to solve the matrix equations $X \pm A^* X^{-1} A = I$ iteratively. *Math. Comput.*, 74:263-278, 2004.
- [13] I.G. Ivanov. On positive definite solutions of the family of matrix equations $X + A^* X^{-n} A = Q$. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 193:277-301, 2006.
- [14] X.G. Liu and H. Gao. On the positive definite solutions of the matrix equation $X^s \pm A^T X^{-t} A = I_n$. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 368:83-97, 2003.
- [15] A.P. Liao. On positive definite solutions of the matrix equation $X + A^H X^{-n} A = I$. *Numerical Mathematics -A Journal of Chinese Universities*, 26:156-161, 2004.
- [16] A.P. Liao, X.F. Duan and J.R. Shen. Hermitian positive definite solutions of the matrix equation $X + A^* X^{-q} A = Q$. *Mathematica Numerica Sinica*, 4:369-378, 2008.
- [17] X.F. Duan, A.P. Liao and B. Tang. On the nonlinear matrix equation $X - \sum_{i=1}^m A_i^* X_i^\delta A_i = Q$. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 429:110-121, 2008.
- [18] X.Q. Shi, F.S. Liu, H. Umoh and F. Gibson. Two kinds of nonlinear matrix equations and their corresponding matrix sequences. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 52:1-15, 2004.
- [19] X.Z. Zhan. *Matrix Inequalities*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.