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#### Abstract

Let $H$ be a $2 n \times 2 n$ real symmetric positive-definite matrix. Suppose that $H \circ H=\left(H_{i j}\right)_{2 n \times 2 n}$ is a partitioned matrix, in which o represents the Hadamard product and the block $H_{i j}$ has order $n \times n, 1 \leq i, j \leq 2$. Several new properties on the matrix $\widetilde{H}$ are derived including inequalities that involve the symplectic eigenvalues and the usual eigenvalues, where $2 \widetilde{H}=H_{11}+H_{22}+H_{12}+H_{21}$.
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1. Introduction. Let $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \mathbb{P}(n), \mathbb{P}_{0}(n)$ and $I_{n}$ be the set of $n \times n$ real matrices, and the set of $n \times n$ real symmetric positive-definite matrices, and the set of $n \times n$ real symmetric positive-semidefinite matrices, and the $n \times n$ identity matrix, respectively. Denote by $J$ the $2 n \times 2 n$ matrix $J=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & I_{n} \\ -I_{n} & 0\end{array}\right)$, we define the set of symplectic matrices $\operatorname{Sp}(2 n)$ and the set of rectangular symplectic matrices $\operatorname{Sp}(2 k, 2 n)$ to be $\operatorname{Sp}(2 n)=\left\{M \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n \times 2 n}: M^{T} J M=J\right\}$ and $\operatorname{Sp}(2 k, 2 n)=\left\{M \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n \times 2 k}: M^{T} J_{2 n} M=J_{2 k}\right\}$ for some $k$ with $1 \leq k \leq n$, respectively. Williamson's theorem (see $[1,11]$ ) says that for every element $A \in \mathbb{P}(2 n)$, there exists a symplectic matrix $M$ such that

$$
M^{T} A M=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
D & 0 \\
0 & D
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $D=\operatorname{diag}\left(d_{1}(A), \ldots, d_{n}(A)\right)$ with diagonal elements $0<d_{1}(A) \leq d_{2}(A) \leq \cdots \leq d_{n}(A)$. The diagonal entries of $D$ are known as symplectic eigenvalues of $A$.

Let $H \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n \times 2 n}$ have a block decomposition

$$
H=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
L & Y_{h} \\
C & Z
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $C, L, Y_{h}, Z$ are $n \times n$ matrices. Let $\widetilde{H}$ be the $n \times n$ matrix whose entries are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{h}_{i j}=\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{i j}^{2}+l_{i j}^{2}+y_{i j}^{2}+z_{i j}^{2}\right), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C=\left(c_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{n}, L=\left(l_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{n}, Y_{h}=\left(y_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{n}, Z=\left(z_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$.
In Bhatia and Jain [3], the matrix $\widetilde{H}$ was introduced and several properties were obtained. Our recent paper [5] also presented another proof of [3, Theorem 6]. Meanwhile, we established an analog of Schur-Horn

[^0]theorem via the matrix $\widetilde{H}$ (see [4]). In this paper, new properties on the matrix $\widetilde{H}$ are derived including inequalities that involve the symplectic eigenvalues and the usual eigenvalues.
2. New properties of $\widetilde{H}$. Bhatia and Jain [3] showed that $\widetilde{H}$ is doubly superstochastic for the symplectic matrix $H$. Our first result provides an analog of [3, Theorem 6] for the positive-definite matrix $H$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $H \in \mathbb{P}(2 n)$ and $\widetilde{H}$ be the $n \times n$ matrix associated with $H$ according to the rule (1). Then $\widetilde{H}$ is a positive-definite matrix.

Proof. The condition $H \in \mathbb{P}(2 n)$ implies $H \circ H$ is positive-definite (see [7, Theorem 5.2.1]). We partition $H \circ H$ in the form

$$
H \circ H=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
P_{1} & P_{3} \\
P_{3}^{T} & P_{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $P_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, i=1,2,3$. Note that

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 \widetilde{H} & P_{2}+P_{3} \\
P_{2}+P_{3}^{T} & P_{2}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I & I \\
0 & I
\end{array}\right)(H \circ H)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
I & 0 \\
I & I
\end{array}\right),
$$

is a positive-definite matrix, which implies $\widetilde{H}$ is positive-definite.

We note that the proof of Theorem 2.1 also holds for the positive-semidefinite matrices.
Corollary 2.2. Let $H \in \mathbb{P}_{0}(2 n)$ and $\widetilde{H}$ be the $n \times n$ matrix associated with $H$ according to the rule (1). Then $\widetilde{H}$ is a positive-semidefinite matrix.

Let $H \in \operatorname{Sp}(2 n)$ and $X=\left(x_{i j}\right)_{l \times k}$ be any submatrix of $\widetilde{H}$. [3, Theorem 6] implies $\sum_{i, j} x_{i j} \geq \max \{k+$ $l-n, 0\}$ (see [2, Theorem 1] or [9, p.44. D.4.Theorem]). We present a similar result for the positive-definite matrix $H$. For ease of presentation, let $\tau \subseteq \Omega=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ with $|\tau|=l$ and

$$
I_{\tau}=\left(e_{i j}\right)_{n \times n}, e_{i j}= \begin{cases}1, & i=j \in \tau \\ 0, & \text { else }\end{cases}
$$

In addition, write $|\tau|$ to indicate the cardinality of $\tau$. Our proof of the first inequality in Theorem 2.3 relies on the Schur inequality: Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ have eigenvalues $\left\{\lambda_{i}(A)\right\}$. Then,

$$
\|A\|_{F}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{i}^{2}(A) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{i}(A)\right|^{2}
$$

where $\sigma_{i}(A)$ denotes the $i$ th singular value of $A$.
Theorem 2.3. Let $H \in \mathbb{P}(2 n)$ and $\widetilde{H}$ be the $n \times n$ matrix associated with $H$ according to the rule (1). Suppose $Y=\left(y_{i j}\right)_{l \times l}$ be any $l \times l$ principal submatrix of $\widetilde{H}, 1 \leq l \leq n$, we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{l} d_{i}^{2}(H) \leq \sum_{i, j=1}^{l} y_{i j} \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=2 n-2 l+1}^{2 n} \lambda_{i}^{2}(H)
$$

Proof. Note that

$$
2 \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} y_{i j}=\operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{\tau_{1}} & 0 \\
0 & I_{\tau_{1}}
\end{array}\right) H\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{\tau_{1}} & 0 \\
0 & I_{\tau_{1}}
\end{array}\right) H\right]
$$

for some $\tau_{1} \subseteq \Omega$ and there exists a permutation matrix $P$ such that

$$
I_{\tau_{1}}=P\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{l} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) P^{T} \triangleq P I_{\tau_{2}} P^{T}
$$

Let $\mathcal{J}_{t}=\operatorname{diag}\left(I_{t}, I_{t}\right), t \in\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ and $\mathcal{P}=\operatorname{diag}(P, P)$. We have $2 \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} y_{i j}=\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathcal{J}_{\tau_{2}} B \mathcal{J}_{\tau_{2}} B\right)$, where $B=\mathcal{P}^{T} H \mathcal{P}$ with $d(H)=d(B)$ and $\lambda(H)=\lambda(B)$.

Let

$$
\mathcal{J}_{\tau_{2}} B \mathcal{J}_{\tau_{2}}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
B_{11} & 0 & B_{12} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
B_{12}^{T} & 0 & B_{22} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \text { and } B_{[l]}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
B_{11} & B_{12} \\
B_{12}^{T} & B_{22}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Now, it can be easily seen that $B_{[l]} \in \mathbb{P}(2 l)$. Therefore, by the Schur inequality and the interlacing theorem for symplectic eigenvalues (see $[3,(42)]$ ),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathcal{J}_{\tau_{2}} B \mathcal{J}_{\tau_{2}} B\right) & =\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathcal{J}_{\tau_{2}} B \mathcal{J}_{\tau_{2}} B \mathcal{J}_{\tau_{2}}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(B_{[l]} B_{[l]}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{tr}\left(J B_{[l]}\left(J B_{[l]}\right)^{T}\right)=\left\|J B_{[l]}\right\|_{F}^{2} \\
& \geq \sum_{i=1}^{2 l}\left|\lambda_{i}\left(J B_{[l]}\right)\right|^{2}=2 \sum_{i=1}^{l} d_{i}^{2}\left(B_{[l]}\right) \geq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{l} d_{i}^{2}(B) \\
& =2 \sum_{i=1}^{l} d_{i}^{2}(H)
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, by [6, Corollary 4.3.37] and $\mathcal{L} \mathcal{L}^{T}=I_{2 l}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathcal{J}_{l} B \mathcal{J}_{l} B \mathcal{J}_{l}\right) & =\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathcal{L} B \mathcal{L}^{T} \mathcal{L} B \mathcal{L}^{T}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{2 l} \lambda_{i}^{2}\left(\mathcal{L} B \mathcal{L}^{T}\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{i=2 n-2 l+1}^{2 n}\left[\lambda_{i}^{\uparrow}(B)\right]^{2}=\sum_{i=2 n-2 l+1}^{2 n}\left[\lambda_{i}^{\uparrow}(H)\right]^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathcal{L}=\left(\begin{array}{c|cc}I_{l} & 0 & 0_{l \times n} \\ \hline 0_{l \times n} & I_{l} & 0\end{array}\right)$.
As a direct consequence of Theorem 2.3, we obtain the following result. Trace minimizations are useful tools in studying matrix inequalities. One may see $[3,8,10]$ and references therein. We prove it again by a trace minimization theorem.

Theorem 2.4. Let $H \in \mathbb{P}(2 n)$ and $\widetilde{H}$ be the $n \times n$ matrix associated with $H$ according to the rule (1). Suppose $Y=\left(y_{i j}\right)_{l \times l}$ be any $l \times l$ principal submatrix of $\widetilde{H}, 1 \leq l \leq n$, we have

$$
\sum_{i, j=1}^{l} y_{i j} \geq \frac{1}{l}\left(d_{1}(H)+d_{2}(H)+\cdots+d_{l}(H)\right)^{2}
$$

Proof. We proceed to adopt notations in the above theorem. As in the proof of Theorem 2.3, we obtain

$$
2 \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} y_{i j}=\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathcal{L} B \mathcal{L}^{T} \mathcal{L} B \mathcal{L}^{T}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathcal{L} B \mathcal{L}^{T}\right)^{2}
$$

for some $\tau_{1} \subseteq \Omega$. For any square matrix $N$ with all eigenvalues real, we have

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left(N^{2}\right) \geq \frac{1}{\operatorname{rank}(N)}(\operatorname{tr} N)^{2}
$$

So, by [3, Theorem 5],

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} y_{i j} & =\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathcal{L} B \mathcal{L}^{T}\right)^{2} \geq \frac{1}{2 l}\left[\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathcal{L} B \mathcal{L}^{T}\right)\right]^{2} \geq \frac{1}{2 l} \min _{Z \in \operatorname{Sp}(2 l, 2 n)}\left[\operatorname{tr}\left(Z B Z^{T}\right)\right]^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 l}\left[\min _{Z \in \operatorname{Sp}(2 l, 2 n)} \operatorname{tr}\left(Z B Z^{T}\right)\right]^{2}=\frac{1}{2 l}\left(2 \sum_{i=1}^{l} d_{i}(B)\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $d(H)=d(B)$. This completes the proof.
3. Remarks. Suppose two real vectors $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, we say that $x$ is weakly majorized by $y$, denoted by $x \prec_{w} y$, if the sum of the $k$ largest entries of $x$ is not larger than that of $y$ for each $k=1, \ldots, n$. If in addition the sum of the entries of each of the vectors is the same, we say that $x$ is majorized by $y$, and write $x \prec y$. Let $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ be a vector in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. We rearrange the components of $x$ in decreasing order and obtain a vector $x^{\downarrow}=\left(x_{1}^{\downarrow}, \ldots, x_{n}^{\downarrow}\right)$, where $x_{1}^{\downarrow} \geq x_{2}^{\downarrow} \geq \cdots \geq x_{n}^{\downarrow}$. Similarly, let $x_{1}^{\uparrow} \leq x_{2}^{\uparrow} \leq \cdots \leq x_{n}^{\uparrow}$ denote the components of $x$ in increasing order and write $x^{\uparrow}=\left(x_{1}^{\uparrow}, x_{2}^{\uparrow}, \ldots, x_{n}^{\uparrow}\right)$. If $x, y$ are two $n$-vectors with positive coordinates, then we say that $x$ is $\log$ majorized by $y$, in symbols $x \prec_{\log } y$, if

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{k} x_{j}^{\downarrow} \leq \prod_{i=1}^{k} y_{j}^{\downarrow}, 1 \leq k \leq n \text { and } \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{j}^{\downarrow}=\prod_{i=1}^{n} y_{j}^{\downarrow}
$$

Next we recall an important result from [3].
Theorem 3.1. [3, Theorem 11] Let $A \in \mathbb{P}(2 n)$. Then

$$
\widehat{d}(A) \prec_{\log } \lambda(A) \text { and } \lambda_{j}^{\uparrow}(A) \leq d_{j}(A) \leq \lambda_{n+j}^{\uparrow}(A), 1 \leq j \leq n
$$

where $\widehat{d}(A)=\left\{d_{1}(A), d_{1}(A), \ldots, d_{n}(A), d_{n}(A)\right\}$ and $\lambda(A)=\left\{\lambda_{1}(A), \ldots, \lambda_{2 n}(A)\right\}$.
We consider two special cases in Theorem 2.3:
Case 1: $l=1$. We have $\min _{1 \leq l \leq n}\left\{h_{i i}\right\} \geq d_{1}^{2}(H)$, which also is a special case in [4, Theorem 2.1].
Case 2: $l=n$. We have $2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{2}(H) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{2 n} \lambda_{i}^{2}(H)$, which can be followed by [3, Theorem $\left.11(\mathrm{i})\right]$.
In view of Theorem 2.3 and [3, Theorem 11], we have
Theorem 3.2. Let $H \in \mathbb{P}(2 n)$ and $\widetilde{H}$ be the $n \times n$ matrix associated with $H$ according to the rule (1). Suppose $Y=\left(y_{i j}\right)_{l \times l}$ be any $l \times l$ principal submatrix of $\widetilde{H}, 1 \leq l \leq n$, we have

$$
\sum_{i=2 n-2 l+1}^{2 n} \lambda_{i}^{2}(H) \geq \max \left\{2 \sum_{i, j=1}^{l} y_{i j}, 2 \sum_{i=n-l+1}^{n} d_{i}^{2}(H)\right\} .
$$

Proof. Since weak log majorization implies weak majorization, the result follows from [3, Theorem 11(i)] combined with the operator convexity of $f(x)=x^{2}$ on $(0,+\infty)$ (see [9, p.644, B.3.c] and [9, p.167, A.2.Theorem]).

In the following, we give a numerical example to illustrate the result obtained in the above theorem.
Example 3.3. Let $H=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 6 & 5 \\ 1 & 1 & 5 & 6\end{array}\right)$. For $l=1$, we have

$$
\sum_{i=3}^{4} \lambda_{i}^{2}(H) \approx 138.38\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\geq 2 \widetilde{h}_{22}=47(\text { by Theorem } 3.2) \\
\geq 2 d_{2}^{2}(H) \approx 37.3205(\text { by }[3, \text { Theorem } 11(\mathrm{i})])
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is obvious that the bound of Theorem 3.2 is sharper.
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