

THE INVERSE HORN PROBLEM*

JOÃO FILIPE QUEIRÓ[†] AND ANA PAULA SANTANA[†]

Abstract. Alfred Horn's conjecture on eigenvalues of sums of Hermitian matrices was proved more than 20 years ago. In this note, the problem is raised of, given an n -tuple γ in the solution polytope, constructing Hermitian matrices with the required spectra such that their sum has eigenvalues γ .

Key words. Eigenvalues, Hermitian matrices, Sums of matrices.

AMS subject classifications. 05E10, 15A18, 15A29.

1. Introduction. A classical problem in matrix theory is the following: given three n -tuples of real numbers $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$, and $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$, ordered decreasingly, when is γ the spectrum of $A + B$, where A and B are Hermitian with spectra α and β , respectively? For fixed α and β , denote by $E(\alpha, \beta)$ the set of possible γ . Trivially, the set $E(\alpha, \beta)$ is compact and connected (as it is the image of the $n \times n$ unitary group under the continuous mapping taking U to the spectrum of $\text{diag}(\alpha) + U \text{diag}(\beta) U^*$), and it is contained in the hyperplane defined by the trace condition, which we abbreviate to $\Sigma\gamma = \Sigma\alpha + \Sigma\beta$.

The problem had a long history in the 20th century, starting with H. Weyl [19]. The theme that emerged gradually was that $E(\alpha, \beta)$ should be described by a family of inequalities of the type

$$\gamma_{k_1} + \dots + \gamma_{k_r} \leq \alpha_{i_1} + \dots + \alpha_{i_r} + \beta_{j_1} + \dots + \beta_{j_r},$$

where $r \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $i_1 < \dots < i_r$, $j_1 < \dots < j_r$, $k_1 < \dots < k_r$.

In short,

$$\Sigma\gamma_K \leq \Sigma\alpha_I + \Sigma\beta_J,$$

where $I = (i_1, \dots, i_r)$, $J = (j_1, \dots, j_r)$, $K = (k_1, \dots, k_r)$. The question is to identify the right triples (I, J, K) .

The big moment was a 1962 paper by A. Horn [11]. He presented a remarkable conjecture on the set $E(\alpha, \beta)$, which, in slightly changed form, reads as follows.

For a sequence of indices $I = (i_1, \dots, i_r)$, with $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq n$, write

$$\rho(I) = (i_r - r, \dots, i_2 - 2, i_1 - 1).$$

Then, Horn's conjecture is as follows: $\gamma \in E(\alpha, \beta)$ if and only if

$$\begin{cases} \Sigma\gamma = \Sigma\alpha + \Sigma\beta, \\ \Sigma\gamma_K \leq \Sigma\alpha_I + \Sigma\beta_J \text{ whenever} \\ \rho(K) \in E(\rho(I), \rho(J)) \text{ (for all } r, 1 \leq r < n). \end{cases}$$

*Received by the editors on November 24, 2022. Accepted for publication on February 16, 2023. Handling Editor: Vanni Noferini. Corresponding Author: Ana Paula Santana.

[†]University of Coimbra, CMUC, Department of Mathematics, 3000-143 Coimbra, Portugal (jfqueiro@mat.uc.pt, aps@mat.uc.pt). This work was partially supported by the Centre for Mathematics of the University of Coimbra (CMUC) - UIDB/00324/2020, funded by the Portuguese Government through FCT/MCTES.

The conjecture is now a theorem. So $E(\alpha, \beta)$ is described recursively and is a convex polytope. We refer the reader to two excellent surveys on this story, by Fulton [9] and Bhatia [2].

2. An open problem. A natural question concerns the inverse problem, that is, construction of solutions: given α, β , and $\gamma \in E(\alpha, \beta)$, find Hermitian A with spectrum α and B with spectrum β such that $A + B$ has spectrum γ . In the remainder of this note, we make some comments on this open problem and give a few references.

Given the drop in dimension, it is to be expected that, for each γ , there may be many solutions. Since the proof of Horn's conjecture, several authors have studied a question related to the inverse problem: finding the probability distribution of γ , for given α and β , using the fact that, as mentioned before, γ is a continuous function on the unitary group, where we can take the Haar measure.

References on this, some very recent, are [5], [6], [7], [8], [17], [20], and [21].

3. Two particular cases. Only one paper – that we know of – addresses the actual construction problem. In [4], the authors use semidefinite programming and give an algorithm that works for $n = 3$. (The case $n = 2$ is trivial.)

In a different spirit, we can find an exact solution in a very particular case. Without loss of generality, we may assume the α 's, the β 's, and the γ 's are ≥ 0 . We proceed to address the case $\beta_2 = \dots = \beta_n = 0$. So the second matrix to be constructed has rank 1. (By translation, this covers the case where β has $n - 1$ coordinates equal.)

In this situation, the Horn inequalities reduce to

$$\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_n = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n + \beta_1,$$

$$\gamma_1 \geq \alpha_1 \geq \gamma_2 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \dots \geq \gamma_n \geq \alpha_n.$$

Put $D_\alpha = \text{diag}(\alpha)$. We are going to find a (real) column x such that $D_\alpha + xx^T$ has spectrum γ . Clearly, $\|x\|^2 = \beta_1$.

Put $C = [\sqrt{D_\alpha} \ x]$. We have

$$D_\alpha + xx^T = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{D_\alpha} & x \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{D_\alpha} \\ x^T \end{bmatrix} = CC^T.$$

Therefore, we are looking for a column x such that C has singular values $\sqrt{\gamma_1}, \dots, \sqrt{\gamma_n}$. We may assume the α 's to be all distinct (if $\alpha_i = \alpha_{i+1}$ just take $x_i = 0$). Denote by x^2 the column $[x_1^2 \ x_2^2 \ \dots \ x_n^2]^T$.

Denote by $\sigma_k(\alpha)$ the k -th elementary symmetric function of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ and write $\sigma(\alpha)$ for the column $[\sigma_1(\alpha) \ \sigma_2(\alpha) \ \dots \ \sigma_n(\alpha)]^T$.

In [16], it was proved that

$$J(\alpha) \cdot x^2 = \sigma(\gamma) - \sigma(\alpha),$$

where J is the Jacobian matrix of the elementary symmetric functions.

We have $\det J(\alpha) = \prod_{i < j} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)$. Since $\alpha_1 > \dots > \alpha_n$, $J(\alpha)$ is nonsingular. In [16], the inverse of $J(\alpha)$ is found and related to the Vandermonde matrix with parameters α .

So the column x satisfies $x^2 = J(\alpha)^{-1} \cdot [\sigma(\gamma) - \sigma(\alpha)]$, and there is a nice simple expression for x .

An example: take the triples $\alpha = (6, 4, 2)$, $\beta = (3, 0, 0)$, $\gamma = (7, 5, 3)$. We get $x = \begin{bmatrix} 0.6124 \\ 0.8660 \\ 1.3693 \end{bmatrix}$, so

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{bmatrix} 0.3750 & 0.5303 & 0.8385 \\ 0.5303 & 0.7500 & 1.1859 \\ 0.8385 & 1.1859 & 1.8750 \end{bmatrix} \text{ solve the problem.}$$

4. A possible general approach. A somewhat speculative idea to approach the inverse Horn problem uses the well-known Littlewood-Richardson rule, an object appearing in many settings, starting from representation theory.

Suppose the n -tuples α and β are integral and nonnegative. Denote by $LR(\alpha, \beta)$ the set of all n -tuples γ that can be obtained from α and β according to the Littlewood-Richardson rule (see *e.g.* [10, App. A.1]).

In [18], it was proved that $E(\alpha, \beta) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n \supseteq LR(\alpha, \beta)$. Shortly afterward, Knutson and Tao [15] proved a combinatorial theorem that, together with earlier work by Klyachko [13] (which also implies the result in [18], obtained independently), shows that there is in fact equality: $E(\alpha, \beta) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n = LR(\alpha, \beta)$, and this leads to a proof of Horn's conjecture. Without going into details (see [9]), the equality gives an idea of why the conjecture should be true, because nonempty intersections of Schubert varieties (which produce inequalities) are governed by the LR rule: using the above notations, we have

$$\rho(K) \in LR(\rho(I), \rho(J)) \implies \Sigma \gamma_K \leq \Sigma \alpha_I + \Sigma \beta_J.$$

Second, the equality suggests a connection to another problem: invariant factors of a product of two matrices over a principal ideal domain. (For more general rings see [3].) Let R be a p.i.d. Consider three n -tuples of nonzero elements of R

$$a = (a_n, \dots, a_2, a_1), \quad b = (b_n, \dots, b_2, b_1), \quad c = (c_n, \dots, c_2, c_1),$$

ordered so that

$$a_n \mid \dots \mid a_2 \mid a_1, \quad b_n \mid \dots \mid b_2 \mid b_1, \quad c_n \mid \dots \mid c_2 \mid c_1.$$

The invariant factor problem is: when is c the n -tuple of invariant factors of AB , where A and B are R -matrices with invariant factors a and b , respectively?

The problem was solved, not in the same exact language, by Klein in 1968 [12]. First, localize the situation: fix a prime $p \in R$ and work over the local ring R_p , that is, work with powers of p :

$$a_i \rightarrow p^{\alpha_i}, \quad b_i \rightarrow p^{\beta_i}, \quad c_i \rightarrow p^{\gamma_i},$$

where $\alpha_1 \geq \dots \geq \alpha_n$, $\beta_1 \geq \dots \geq \beta_n$, $\gamma_1 \geq \dots \geq \gamma_n$ are nonnegative integers. Denote by $IF(\alpha, \beta)$ the set of possible γ in the invariant factor product problem. Then, Klein's result states that

$$IF(\alpha, \beta) = LR(\alpha, \beta).$$

So $E(\alpha, \beta) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n = IF(\alpha, \beta)$. But in [1], there is a constructive version of Klein's theorem. Our speculative question is then the following: is there a way of “transporting” this construction from the invariant factor setting to Hermitian matrices for the case of integral α, β, γ ? In this context, it is relevant to note that the equality $E(\alpha, \beta) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n = LR(\alpha, \beta)$ reflects a deep result, the Kirwan-Ness theorem, relating symplectic geometry to geometric invariant theory. (See [14].)

REFERENCES

- [1] O. Azenhas and E.M. Sá. Matrix realizations of Littlewood-Richardson sequences. *Lin. Multilin. Algebra*, 27:229–242, 1990.
- [2] R. Bhatia. Linear algebra to quantum cohomology: The story of Alfred Horn's inequalities. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 108:289–318, 2001.
- [3] C. Caldeira and J.F. Queiró. Invariant factors of products over elementary divisor domains. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 485:345–358, 2015.
- [4] L. Cao and H.J. Woerdeman. Real zero polynomials and A. Horn's problem. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 552:147–158, 2018.
- [5] R. Coquereaux, C. McSwiggen, and J.-B. Zuber. Revisiting Horn's problem. *J. Stat. Mech. Theory Exp.*, 9:22 pp., 2019.
- [6] R. Coquereaux, C. McSwiggen, and J.-B. Zuber. On Horn's problem and its volume function. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 376(3):2409–2439, 2020.
- [7] J. Faraut. Horn's problem and Fourier analysis. *Tunis. J. Math.*, 1(4):585–606, 2019.
- [8] A. Frumkin and A. Goldberger. On the distribution of the spectrum of the sum of two Hermitian or real symmetric matrices. *Adv. Appl. Math.*, 37(2):268–286, 2006.
- [9] W. Fulton. Eigenvalues, invariant factors, highest weights, and Schubert calculus. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 37:209–249, 2000.
- [10] W. Fulton and J. Harris. *Representation Theory*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [11] A. Horn. Eigenvalues of sums of Hermitian matrices. *Pacific J. Math.*, 12:225–241, 1962.
- [12] T. Klein. The multiplication of Schur functions and extensions of p -modules. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 43:280–284, 1968.
- [13] A. Klyachko. Stable bundles, representation theory and Hermitian operators. *Selecta Mathematica*, 4:419–445, 1998.
- [14] A. Knutson. The symplectic and algebraic geometry of Horn's problem. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 319:61–81, 2000.
- [15] A. Knutson and T. Tao. The honeycomb model of $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ tensor products I: proof of the saturation conjecture. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 12:1055–1090, 1999.
- [16] J.F. Queiró. An inverse problem for singular values and the Jacobian of the elementary symmetric functions. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 197–198:277–282, 1994.
- [17] J. Repka and N. Wildberger. Invariant measure on sums of symmetric 3×3 matrices with specified eigenvalues. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, 23:5717–5724, 1990.
- [18] A.P. Santana, J.F. Queiró, and E. Marques de Sá. Group representations and matrix spectral problems. *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, 46:1–23, 1999.
- [19] H. Weyl. Das asymptotische Verteilungsgesetz der Eigenwerte linearer partieller Differentialgleichungen. *Math. Ann.*, 71:441–479, 1912.
- [20] J. Zhang, M. Kieburg, and P.J. Forrester. Harmonic analysis for rank-1 randomised Horn problems. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 111(4), Paper No. 98:27 pp., 2021.
- [21] J.-B. Zuber. Horn's problem and Harish-Chandra's integrals. Probability density functions. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré D*, 5(3):309–338, 2018.