

ON SYMMETRIC MATRICES WITH EXACTLY ONE POSITIVE EIGENVALUE*

SHU-QIAN SHEN[†] AND TING-ZHU HUANG[‡]

Abstract. We present a class of nonsingular matrices, the MC' -matrices, and prove that the class of symmetric MC -matrices introduced by Shen, Huang and Jing [On inclusion and exclusion intervals for the real eigenvalues of real matrices. *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.*, 31:816-830, 2009] and the class of symmetric MC' -matrices are both subsets of the class of symmetric matrices with exactly one positive eigenvalue. Some other sufficient conditions for a symmetric matrix to have exactly one positive eigenvalue are derived.

Key words. Eigenvalue, Symmetric matrix, MC -matrix, MC' -matrix.

AMS subject classifications. 15A18, 15A48, 15A57.

1. Introduction. The class of symmetric real matrices having exactly one positive eigenvalue will be denoted by \mathcal{B} . The class of positive matrices belonging to \mathcal{B} will be denoted by \mathcal{A} ; see [1]. Clearly, $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$. These classes of matrices play important roles in many areas such as mathematical programming, matrix theory, numerical analysis, interpolation of scattered data and statistics; see, e.g., [1, 5, 7].

It was shown in [1] that a symmetric positive matrix $A \in \mathcal{A}$ if and only if the (unique) doubly stochastic matrix of the form $D^T A D$ is conditionally negative definite, where D is a positive diagonal matrix. In [7], Peña presented several properties of a symmetric positive matrix with exactly one positive eigenvalue. In particular, the author first obtained an equivalent condition for $A \in \mathcal{A}$, i.e., a symmetric positive matrix $A \in \mathcal{A}$ if and only if A has the LDL^T decomposition:

$$A = LDL^T,$$

where L is a unit lower triangular matrix, and $D = \text{diag}(d_{11}, d_{22}, \dots, d_{nn})$ with $d_{11} > 0$ and $d_{ii} < 0, i = 2, \dots, n$. Secondly, the class of symmetric positive stochastic

*Received by the editors November 23, 2009. Accepted for publication February 8, 2010. Handling Editor: Michael J. Tsatsomeros.

[†]School of Mathematics and Computational Sciences, China University of Petroleum, Dongying, Shandong, 257061, P. R. China (sqshen@upc.edu.cn). The work of this author was supported by the NSFC Tianyuan Mathematics Youth Fund (10926086), and in part by Independent Innovation Research Plan of CUP (09CX04004A).

[‡]School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu, Sichuan, 610054, P. R. China (tzhuang@uestc.edu.cn, tingzhuang@126.com). The work of this author was supported by NSFC (10926190, 60973015).

C -matrices was proved to be a subset of \mathcal{A} . Finally, the growth factor of Gaussian elimination with a given pivoting strategy applied to $A \in \mathcal{A}$ was analyzed, and a stable test to check whether a matrix belongs to \mathcal{A} was established.

The class of C -matrices mentioned above was first defined by Peña in [6]. A matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ with positive row sums is said to be a C -matrix if all its off-diagonal elements are bounded below by the corresponding row means; see [6]. Recently, Shen, Huang and Jing [8] presented a class of nonsingular matrices- MC -matrices, which is a generalization of the class of C -matrices.

In this paper, we show that every symmetric MC -matrix has exactly one positive eigenvalue. A new class of nonsingular matrices, the MC' -matrices, is introduced. The class of symmetric MC' -matrices is proved to be the subset of \mathcal{B} . Moreover, some other sufficient conditions for $A \in \mathcal{B}$ are derived.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. After introducing some notation and definitions in Section 2, we shall present some subclasses of \mathcal{B} and some sufficient conditions for a matrix belonging to \mathcal{B} in Section 3.

2. Notation and definitions. Let $A = (a_{ij}), B = (b_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, and let k be a positive integer. Then we denote by I the identity matrix; A^T the transpose of A ; $\rho(A)$ the spectral radius of A ; $\lambda_1(A) \leq \lambda_2(A) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n(A)$ the eigenvalues of A if A is symmetric; ν_k the row vector $(1, 2, \dots, k)$;

$$A[\nu_k] = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{k1} & a_{k2} & \cdots & a_{kk} \end{pmatrix}$$

the $k \times k$ leading principle submatrix of A . We write $A \geq B$ (respectively, $A > B$) if $a_{ij} \geq b_{ij}$ (respectively, $a_{ij} > b_{ij}$) for $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Then

1. ([2]) A is said to be a *positive* (respectively, *nonnegative*) matrix if $a_{ij} > 0$ (respectively, $a_{ij} \geq 0$) for all $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.
2. ([9]) The nonsingular matrix A is said to be an *M-matrix* if all its off-diagonal entries are nonpositive, and A^{-1} is nonnegative.

Given a matrix $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, we define

$$s_i^+(A) := \max\{0, \min\{a_{ij} | j \neq i\}\}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

The matrix A can be decomposed into

$$A = C^+(A) + E^+(A),$$

where

$$C^+(A) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} - s_1^+(A) & a_{12} - s_1^+(A) & \cdots & a_{1n} - s_1^+(A) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} - s_n^+(A) & a_{n2} - s_n^+(A) & \cdots & a_{nn} - s_n^+(A) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$E^+(A) = \begin{pmatrix} s_1^+(A) & s_1^+(A) & \cdots & s_1^+(A) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ s_n^+(A) & s_n^+(A) & \cdots & s_n^+(A) \end{pmatrix}.$$

From Proposition 2.3 in [6], A is a C -matrix if and only if all its row sums are positive, and $-C^+(A)$ is a strictly diagonally dominant M -matrix (see [2]).

DEFINITION 2.2 ([8]). A matrix $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ with positive row sums is called an MC -matrix if all its off-diagonal elements are positive, and $-C^+(A)$ is an M -matrix.

Obviously, any C -matrix must be an MC -matrix. For a given MC -matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, from Theorem 2.1 in [8] we have $(-1)^{n-1} \det(A) > 0$.

3. MC -matrices, MC' -matrices, and \mathcal{B} . This section is devoted to giving some subclasses of \mathcal{B} . The following lemmas are needed.

LEMMA 3.1. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be an MC -matrix, and let $D \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a positive diagonal matrix. Then DA is an MC -matrix.

Proof. By $A = C^+(A) + E^+(A)$, it is easy to get

$$DA = DC^+(A) + DE^+(A) = C^+(DA) + E^+(DA). \tag{3.1}$$

Clearly, $-C^+(DA) = -DC^+(A)$ is an M -matrix. Since all row sums and all off-diagonal entries of DA are still positive, from (3.1) and Definition 2.2, DA is an MC -matrix. \square

The following result is a direct consequence of applying the Geršgorin disc theorem (see, e.g., [3]).

LEMMA 3.2. Let $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ with row sums

$$\sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} = r > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

let all its off-diagonal elements be nonnegative, and let λ be any positive eigenvalue of A . Then r is an eigenvalue of A , and $\lambda \leq r$.

THEOREM 3.3. *Let $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a symmetric MC -matrix. Then $A \in \mathcal{B}$.*

Proof. Setting

$$r_i = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n \text{ and } D = \text{diag}(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n),$$

from Definition 2.2, D is a positive diagonal matrix. By Lemma 3.1, $D^{-1}A$ is also an MC -matrix, and all its row sums are 1. Since $D^{-1}A$ is similar to $D^{-\frac{1}{2}}AD^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ which is congruence to A , by Sylvester's law of inertia (Theorem 4.5.8 in [3]), we need only to prove that $D^{-1}A$ has exactly one positive eigenvalue. In fact, 1 is an eigenvalue of $D^{-1}A$ with algebraic multiplicity 1. Assume that λ different from 1 is a positive eigenvalue of A . Then by Lemma 3.2 we have $0 < \lambda < 1$. Obviously, all row sums of $D^{-1}A - \lambda I$ are $1 - \lambda > 0$, and, taking into account Lemma 3.1 and [2, Lemma 6.4.1], $-C^+(D^{-1}A - \lambda I)$ is an M -matrix. Hence, $D^{-1}A - \lambda I$ is an MC -matrix, and then nonsingular. This contradicts that λ is an eigenvalue of $D^{-1}A$. Thus, $D^{-1}A$ has exactly one positive eigenvalue 1. The proof is completed. \square

We now define a new class of nonsingular matrices.

DEFINITION 3.4. A matrix $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ with at least one positive diagonal element is called an MC' -matrix if all its off-diagonal elements are positive, and $-C^+(A)$ is an M -matrix.

For an MC' -matrix $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1 in [8], we can deduce $(-1)^{n-1} \det(A) > 0$. For the reader's convenience, we provide the following simple proof: From the proof of Theorem 2.1 in [8], it follows that

$$\det(-A) = \det(-C^+(A))(1 - x^T(-C^+(A))^{-1}y), \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$x = (1, 1, \dots, 1)^T, \quad y = (s_1^+(A), s_2^+(A), \dots, s_n^+(A))^T.$$

Let $(-C^+(A))^{-1}y := z = (z_i)$. Then $y = -C^+(A)z$, and then

$$s_i^+(A)(z_1 + z_2 + \dots + z_n) = s_i^+(A) + \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik}z_k, i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \tag{3.3}$$

Since z is a positive vector and, by the definition of an MC' -matrix, there must exist $1 \leq j \leq n$ such that $a_{jj} > 0$, we derive $\sum_{k=1}^n a_{jk}z_k > 0$, which by (3.3) implies

$$x^T(-C^+(A))^{-1}y = z_1 + z_2 + \dots + z_n = \frac{s_j^+(A) + \sum_{k=1}^n a_{jk}z_k}{s_j^+(A)} > 1.$$

From (3.2) we can get that $(-1)^{n-1} \det(A) > 0$.

REMARK 3.5. We remark that a positive matrix is an MC' -matrix if and only if it is an MC -matrix. But the classes of symmetric MC' -matrices and symmetric MC -matrices do not contain each other. For example, let

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -8 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 4 \\ 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, by simple computations, A_1 is an MC' -matrix, but not an MC -matrix. A_2 is an MC -matrix, but not an MC' -matrix.

LEMMA 3.6. Let $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, and let $P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a permutation matrix. Then $P^T A P$ is an MC' -matrix if and only if A is an MC' -matrix.

Proof. The matrix $P^T A P$ can be decomposed into

$$P^T A P = P^T C^+(A) P + P^T E^+(A) P = C^+(P^T A P) + E^+(P^T A P). \quad (3.4)$$

It is clear that

$$-C^+(P^T A P) = -P^T C^+(A) P$$

is an M -matrix if and only if $-C^+(A)$ is an M -matrix. By (3.4) and Definition 3.4 the conclusion of the lemma holds. \square

LEMMA 3.7. Let $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be an MC' -matrix with $a_{11} > 0$. Then any $k \times k$ leading principle submatrix $A[\nu_k]$ of A is an MC' -matrix.

Proof. The matrices A and $A[\nu_k]$ can be decomposed into

$$A = C^+(A) + E^+(A) \text{ and } A[\nu_k] = C^+(A[\nu_k]) + E^+(A[\nu_k]).$$

We have

$$s_i^+(A) \leq s_i^+(A[\nu_k]), i = 1, 2, \dots, k,$$

which implies

$$-(C^+(A))[\nu_k] \leq -C^+(A[\nu_k]).$$

Since $-C^+(A)$ is an M -matrix, it is easy to get that $-(C^+(A))[\nu_k]$ is an M -matrix. So, from Exercise 6.5.1 in [2], $-C^+(A[\nu_k])$ is also an M -matrix, which, together with all off-diagonal elements of $A[\nu_k]$ being positive and $a_{11} > 0$, implies that $A[\nu_k]$ is an MC' -matrix. \square

LEMMA 3.8. Let $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be symmetric, and let

$$(-1)^{k-1} \det(A[\nu_k]) > 0 \text{ for all } k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Then $A \in \mathcal{B}$.

Proof. Clearly, $a_{11} > 0$. By the interlacing theorem for eigenvalues of Hermitian matrices (see Theorem 4.3.8 in [3]), we have

$$\lambda_1(A[\nu_2]) \leq a_{11} \leq \lambda_2(A[\nu_2]),$$

which together with $\det(A[\nu_2]) < 0$ implies

$$\lambda_1(A[\nu_2]) < 0 \text{ and } \lambda_2(A[\nu_2]) > 0. \tag{3.5}$$

Similarly, it can be seen that

$$\lambda_1(A[\nu_3]) \leq \lambda_1(A[\nu_2]) \leq \lambda_2(A[\nu_3]) \leq \lambda_2(A[\nu_2]) \leq \lambda_3(A[\nu_3]),$$

which together with $\det(A[\nu_3]) > 0$ and (3.5) lead to

$$\lambda_1(A[\nu_3]) < 0, \lambda_2(A[\nu_3]) < 0 \text{ and } \lambda_3(A[\nu_3]) > 0.$$

Thus, a similar induction argument completes the proof of the lemma. \square

REMARK 3.9. It was shown in [1, Theorem 4.4.6] that a symmetric positive matrix $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ belongs to \mathcal{A} if and only if, for any $k \times k$ principle submatrix B of A , $(-1)^{k-1} \det(B) > 0$ for all $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Thus, Lemma 3.8 provides a weaker condition such that a symmetric matrix has exactly one positive eigenvalue.

The following theorem shows that the class of symmetric MC' -matrices is a subset of \mathcal{B} .

THEOREM 3.10. *Let $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a symmetric MC' -matrix. Then $A \in \mathcal{B}$.*

Proof. There exists a permutation matrix P such that the first diagonal element of $P^T A P$ is positive. From Lemma 3.6, $P^T A P$ is also an MC' -matrix. By Lemma 3.7, any $k \times k$ leading principle submatrix of $P^T A P$ is an MC' -matrix, and hence

$$(-1)^{k-1} \det((P^T A P)[\nu_k]) > 0, k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Thus, due to Lemma 3.8, we have $P^T A P \in \mathcal{B}$, and then $A \in \mathcal{B}$. \square

REMARK 3.11. Compared with Proposition 4.3 and Corollary 4.4 in [7], Theorems 3.3 and 3.10 establish two wider classes of matrices with exactly one positive eigenvalue.

REMARK 3.12. Assume that $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Then Theorem 4.4.6 in [1] implies that any principle submatrix of A also belongs to \mathcal{A} . From Lemma 3.7, we can see that any principle submatrix with at least one positive diagonal element of an MC' -matrix is

also an MC' -matrix. However, we have not similar conclusions for MC -matrices. For example, let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 2 \\ 3 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then A is an MC -matrix. But all 1×1 and 2×2 principle submatrices of A are not MC -matrices.

The following theorems establish some sufficient conditions for a matrix in \mathcal{B} .

THEOREM 3.13. *Let $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be symmetric, let all row sums of A be positive, and let A be decomposed into*

$$A = D^+(A) + F^+(A),$$

where

$$D^+(A) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} - s_{11} & a_{12} - s_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} - s_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} - s_{n1} & a_{n2} - s_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} - s_{nn} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$F^+(A) = \begin{pmatrix} s_{11} & s_{12} & \cdots & s_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ s_{n1} & s_{n2} & \cdots & s_{nn} \end{pmatrix}.$$

If $F^+(A) \in \mathcal{A}$, and $-D^+(A)$ is an M -matrix, then $A \in \mathcal{B}$.

Proof. From Exercise 6.2.6 in [2], $-D^+(A)$ is positive definite. By Sylvester's law of inertia we need only prove

$$(-D^+(A))^{-\frac{1}{2}} A (-D^+(A))^{-\frac{1}{2}} = -I + (-D^+(A))^{-\frac{1}{2}} F^+(A) (-D^+(A))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \in \mathcal{B}. \quad (3.6)$$

In fact, by $F^+(A) \in \mathcal{A}$ we get that

$$(-D^+(A))^{-\frac{1}{2}} F^+(A) (-D^+(A))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Since $(-D^+(A))^{-\frac{1}{2}} F^+(A) (-D^+(A))^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is similar to $-(D^+(A))^{-1} F^+(A)$, it follows that $-(D^+(A))^{-1} F^+(A)$ has exactly one positive eigenvalue

$$\lambda_+ := \rho(-(D^+(A))^{-1} F^+(A)).$$

Assume that $x = (x_i)$ is a positive eigenvector corresponding to λ_+ . Then we have

$$-(D^+(A))^{-1} F^+(A)x = \lambda_+ x,$$

and then

$$F^+(A)x = -\lambda_+ D^+(A)x,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\sum_{j=1}^n s_{ij}x_j = \lambda_+ \left(\sum_{j=1}^n s_{ij}x_j - \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j \right), i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

i.e.,

$$\lambda_+ = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n s_{ij}x_j}{\sum_{j=1}^n s_{ij}x_j - \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (3.7)$$

Setting

$$x_m = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{x_i\},$$

we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^n a_{mj}x_j = a_{mm}x_m + \sum_{j \neq m} a_{mj}x_j \geq x_m \sum_{j=1}^n a_{mj} > 0,$$

which, by (3.7), implies

$$\lambda_+ = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n s_{mj}x_j}{\sum_{j=1}^n s_{mj}x_j - \sum_{j=1}^n a_{mj}x_j} > 1.$$

Since λ_+ is also the only positive eigenvalue of $(-D^+(A))^{-\frac{1}{2}}F^+(A)(-D^+(A))^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, we can get that (3.6) holds. The proof is completed. \square

The following theorem shows that the condition on $F^+(A)$ can be weakened if the condition that $-D^+(A)$ is an M -matrix is strengthened.

THEOREM 3.14. *Let $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be symmetric, let all row sums of A be positive, and let A be decomposed into*

$$A = D^+(A) + F^+(A).$$

If $F^+(A)$ belonging to \mathcal{B} is nonnegative, and $-D^+(A)$ is a strictly diagonally dominant M -matrix, then $A \in \mathcal{B}$.

Proof. Let λ_+ be defined as in the proof of Theorem 3.13. Then, by the proof of Theorem 3.13, we need only prove $\lambda_+ > 1$. In fact, we have, from Theorem 2 in [4],

$$\lambda_+ \geq \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n s_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^n s_{ij} - \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}} > 1.$$

The proof is completed. \square

By an analogous argument as in the proof of Theorems 3.13, we derive immediately the following result.

THEOREM 3.15. *Let $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be symmetric, let A have at least one positive diagonal element, and let A be decomposed into*

$$A = D^+(A) + F^+(A).$$

If $F^+(A) \in \mathcal{A}$, and $-D^+(A)$ is an M -matrix, then $A \in \mathcal{B}$.

REMARK 3.16. We remark that, similar to the relation between MC -matrices and MC' -matrices, the classes of matrices described by Theorem 3.13 and Theorem 3.15 do not contain each other.

From Theorems 3.3, 3.10, 3.13-3.15, we can obtain the following simple sufficient conditions.

COROLLARY 3.17. *Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be symmetric, and let A be decomposed into*

$$A = D^+(A) + F^+(A).$$

If one of the following conditions holds:

- 1. all row sums of A are positive, $F^+(A)$ is a symmetric positive MC -matrix, and $-D^+(A)$ is an M -matrix;*
- 2. all row sums of A are positive, $F^+(A)$ is a symmetric nonnegative MC -matrix, and $-D^+(A)$ is a strictly diagonally dominant M -matrix;*
- 3. A has at least one positive diagonal element, $F^+(A)$ is a symmetric positive MC -matrix, and $-D^+(A)$ is an M -matrix,*

then $A \in \mathcal{B}$.

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank the anonymous referee for valuable comments and suggestions. We are also grateful to the editor Prof. Michael Tsatsomeris for his kind help in processing an earlier draft of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. B. Bapat and T. E. S. Raghavan. *Nonnegative Matrices and Applications*. Encyclopedia Math. Appl., vol. 64, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [2] A. Berman and R. J. Plemmons. *Nonnegative Matrices in the Mathematical Sciences*. Academic Press, New York, 1979.
- [3] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson. *Matrix Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1985.
- [4] J. G. Hu. Scaling transformation and convergence of splittings of matrix. *Numer. Math. Sinica*, 1:72–78, 1983.
- [5] C. A. Micchelli. Interpolation of scattered data: distance matrices and conditionally positive definite functions. *Constr. Approx.*, 2:11–22, 1986.
- [6] J. M. Peña. Exclusion and inclusion intervals for the real eigenvalues of positive matrices. *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.*, 26:908–917, 2005.
- [7] J. M. Peña. Positive symmetric matrices with exactly one positive eigenvalue. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 430:1566–1573, 2009.
- [8] S. Q. Shen, T. Z. Huang and Y. F. Jing. On inclusion and exclusion intervals for the real eigenvalues of real matrices. *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.*, 31:816–830, 2009.
- [9] R. S. Varga. *Matrix Iterative Analysis*. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1962.