

## REACHABILITY MATRICES AND CYCLIC MATRICES\*

## AUGUSTO FERRANTE† AND HARALD K. WIMMER‡

**Abstract.** We study reachability matrices  $R(A,b) = [b,Ab,\ldots,A^{n-1}b]$ , where A is an  $n \times n$  matrix over a field K and b is in  $K^n$ . We characterize those matrices that are reachability matrices for some pair (A,b). In the case of a cyclic matrix A and an n-vector of indeterminates x, we derive a factorization of the polynomial  $\det(R(A,x))$ .

**Key words.** Reachability matrix, Krylow matrix, cyclic matrix, nonderogatory matrix, companion matrix, Vandermonde matrix, Hautus test.

AMS subject classifications. 15A03, 15A15, 93B05.

1. Introduction. Let K be a field, and  $A \in K^{n \times n}$ ,  $b \in K^n$ . The matrix

$$R(A,b) = [b, Ab, \dots, A^{n-1}b] \in K^{n \times n}$$

is the reachability matrix of the pair (A, b). A matrix A is called cyclic (e.g. in [3], [4]) or nonderogatory (e.g. in [2], [9]), if there exists a vector  $b \in K^n$  such that

$$span\{b, Ab, A^{2}b, \dots, A^{n-1}b\} = K^{n}.$$
(1.1)

In that case the pair (A, b) is said to be *reachable*. Let

$$a(z) = z^{n} - (a_{n-1}z^{n-1} + \dots + a_{1}z + a_{0})$$
(1.2)

be the characteristic polynomial of A. The matrix

<sup>\*</sup>Received by the editors September 23, 2009. Accepted for publication February 11, 2010. Handling Editor: Daniel Szyld.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Dipartimento di Ingegneria dell'Informazione, Università di Padova, I-35131 Padova, Italy (augusto@dei.unipd.it).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>Mathematisches Institut, Universität Würzburg, D-97074 Würzburg, Germany (wimmer@mathematik.uni-wuerzburg.de).

is the companion matrix of the second type [1] associated with (1.2). It is well known (see e.g. [4, p. 299]) that A is cyclic if and only if A is similar to the companion matrix  $F_a$ . Or equivalently, if  $x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}$  are indeterminates over K and  $x := [x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}]^{\mathsf{T}}$ , then A is cyclic if and only if the polynomial  $\det R(A, x)$  is not the zero polynomial.

In this note we are concerned with the following questions. When is a given matrix  $M \in K^{n \times n}$  a reachability matrix? How can one factorize the polynomial  $\det R(A, x)$ ?

2. Companion and reachability matrices. In this section we characterize those matrices that are reachability matrices for some pair (A, b). We first show that each nonsingular matrix M is a reachability matrix. Let

$$e_0 = [1, 0, \dots, 0]^{\top}, \dots, e_{n-1} = [0, \dots, 0, 1]^{\top},$$

be the unit vectors of  $K^n$ .

Theorem 2.1. Let  $M = [v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}] \in K^{n \times n}$  be nonsingular. Then M = R(A,b) if and only if  $b = v_0$  and  $A = MF_cM^{-1}$  for some nonsingular companion matrix  $F_c$ . In particular,  $M = R(A,v_0)$  with

$$A = [v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}, v_0] M^{-1}. \tag{2.1}$$

*Proof.* We have  $e_0 = M^{-1}v_0$ . Hence, if  $b = v_0$  and  $A = MF_cM^{-1}$  then  $A^ib = MF_c^ie_0 = Me_i = v_i$ , and thus M = R(A,b). We obtain (2.1) if we choose  $F_c = (e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{n-1}, e_0)$ . Conversely, if M = R(A,b), then  $b = v_0$ , and  $AM = MF_a$  for some companion matrix  $F_a$ . Hence if M is nonsingular then  $A = MF_aM^{-1}$ .  $\square$ 

THEOREM 2.2. Let  $M = [v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}] \in K^{n \times n}$  and rank M = r. The following statements are equivalent.

- (i) M is a reachability matrix.
- (ii) Either rank M = n, i.e. the matrix M is nonsingular, or

$$rank M = rank [v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{r-1}] = r < n$$
(2.2)

and

$$\operatorname{Ker}[v_{k-1}, v_k, \dots, v_{k-1+r}] \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}[v_k, v_{k+1}, \dots, v_{k+r}],$$

$$k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1-r. \quad (2.3)$$

*Proof.* If (2.2) holds then (2.3) means that there exist  $c_i \in K$ , i = 0, ..., r - 1, such that

$$v_{r+k} = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} c_i v_{i+k}, \ k = 0, 1 \dots, n-r-1.$$
 (2.4)

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) If M is a reachability matrix and rank M = r < n then it is obvious that the conditions (2.2) and (2.4) are satisfied.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$ (i) If rank M=n then it follows from Theorem 2.1 that M is a reachability matrix. Now assume (2.2) and (2.4). Let  $Q \in K^{n \times n}$  be nonsingular such that

$$Q\left[v_0,\ldots,v_{r-1}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{c} I_r \\ 0 \end{array}\right].$$

Let  $\hat{e}_0, \dots, \hat{e}_{r-1}$  be the canonical unit vectors of  $K^r$ . Then (2.4) implies

$$QM = \begin{bmatrix} w_0 & \dots & w_{r-1} & w_r & \dots & w_{n-1} \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{e}_0 & \dots & \hat{e}_{r-1} & w_r & \dots & w_{n-1} \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}, (2.5)$$

and the vectors  $w_i$  satisfy

$$w_{r+k} = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} c_i w_{i+k}, \ k = 0, 1, \dots, n-r-1.$$

Set

$$\hat{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & & c_0 \\ 1 & 0 & & c_1 \\ & \cdot & \cdot & \\ & & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & c_{r-1} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{2.6}$$

Then

$$w_r = [c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{r-1}]^{\top} = \hat{A}\hat{e}_{r-1} = \hat{A}\hat{A}^{r-1}\hat{e}_0 = \hat{A}^r\hat{e}_0,$$

and

$$[\hat{e}_0, \hat{A}\hat{e}_0, \dots, \hat{A}^{r-1}\hat{e}_0, \hat{A}^r\hat{e}_0, \dots, \hat{A}^{n-1}\hat{e}_0] = [\hat{e}_0, \dots, \hat{e}_{r-1}, w_r, \dots, w_{n-1}].$$

Hence the matrix QM in (2.5) can be written as

$$QM = R(A, b)$$
 with  $A = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{A} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in K^{n \times n}, \ b = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{e}_0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in K^n,$ 

and we obtain  $M = R(Q^{-1}AQ, Q^{-1}b)$ .

Augusto Ferrante and Harald K. Wimmer

**3. A factorization theorem.** We first characterize companion matrices in terms of reachability matrices. Let  $b = (b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1})^{\top} \in K^n$ . We call

$$b(z) = (1, z, \dots, z^{n-1}) b = b_0 + b_1 z + \dots + b_{n-1} z^{n-1}$$
(3.1)

the polynomial associated to b.

PROPOSITION 3.1. Let  $a(z) = z^n - \sum a_i z^i$  be the characteristic polynomial of  $A \in K^{n \times n}$ , and let  $F_a$  be the companion matrix in (1.3). Then  $A = F_a$  if and only if

$$R(A,b) = b(A)$$
 for all  $b \in K^n$ . (3.2)

*Proof.* It is obvious that A is a companion matrix of the form (1.3) if and only if

$$A[e_0, e_1, \dots, e_{n-2}] = [e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n-1}]. \tag{3.3}$$

Assume now that (3.2) is satisfied. Choose  $b = e_0$ . Then b(z) = 1 and b(A) = I. Therefore

$$R(A, e_0) = [e_0, Ae_0, \dots, A^{n-1}e_0] = I = [e_0, e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}].$$

Hence we obtain (3.3), and we conclude that  $A = F_a$ . To prove the converse we have to show that

$$R(F_a, b) = b(F_a) \tag{3.4}$$

holds for all  $b = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b_i e_i$ . We have  $e_i = F_a^i e_0$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, n-1$ . From  $R(F_a, e_0) = I$  and  $R(F_a, e_i) = F_a^i R(F_a, e_0)$  follows  $R(F_a, e_i) = F_a^i$ . Therefore

$$R(F_a, b) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b_i R(F_a, e_i) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b_i F_a^i = b(F_a). \square$$

Suppose A is cyclic. Let S be nonsingular such that  $SAS^{-1} = F_a$ , and let the polynomial (Sb)(z) be defined in analogy to (3.1). Then  $SR(A,b) = R(F_a,Sb)$ . From (3.2) we obtain

$$R(A,b) = S^{-1}(Sb)(F_a).$$
 (3.5)

Note that for all  $b \in K^n$  we have  $A R(A, b) = R(A, b) F_a$ . Hence, if the pair (A, b) is reachable then the matrix  $S = R(A, b)^{-1}$  satisfies

$$SAS^{-1} = F_a. (3.6)$$

The identity (3.6) can be found in [6, Section 6.1].

98

For the following observation we are indebted to a referee. Suppose A is a matrix with distinct eigenvalues, and  $X^{-1}AX = D$  is a Jordan form. Then the corresponding companion matrix  $F_a$  is similar to the diagonal matrix D. The similarity transformation  $VF_aV^{-1} = D$  is accomplished by a Vandermonde matrix V whose nodes are the eigenvalues of A (see e.g. [12, Section 1.11]). One can write V as a reachability matrix, that is, V = R(D, e), where  $e = (1, 1, ..., 1)^{T}$ .

Let  $a_j(z)$ , j = 1, ..., r, be the monic irreducible factors of the polynomial a(z) in (1.2). Suppose deg  $a_j(z) = \ell_j$  and

$$a(z) = a_1(z)^{m_1} \cdots a_r(z)^{m_r},$$
 (3.7)

such that  $\sum_{j=1}^r m_j \ell_j = n$ . Let  $F_{a_j} \in K^{\ell_j \times \ell_j}$  be the corresponding companion matrices. The main result of this section is the following.

Theorem 3.2. Let A be cyclic with characteristic polynomial a(z), and let (3.7) be the prime factorization of a(z). Suppose

$$SAS^{-1} = F_a \quad and \quad \det S = 1.$$
 (3.8)

Set  $g_j(x) = \det(Sx)(F_{a_j}), j = 1, \dots, r$ . Then

$$\det R(A, x) = (g_1(x))^{m_1} \cdots (g_r(x))^{m_r}.$$
 (3.9)

The polynomials  $g_1(x), \ldots, g_r(x)$  are irreducible, and homogeneous of degree  $\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_r$ , respectively.

Proof. Define

$$C(a_j, m_j) = \begin{pmatrix} F_{a_j} & I_{\ell_j} & 0 & . & 0 \\ 0 & F_{a_j} & I_{\ell_j} & . & 0 \\ . & . & . & . & . \\ . & . & . & F_{a_j} & I_{\ell_j} \\ . & . & . & . & F_{a_j} \end{pmatrix}_{\substack{m_i \ell_j \times m_j \ell_j}}$$

Then

$$TF_aT^{-1} = \text{diag}\left(C(a_1, m_1), \dots, C(a_r, m_r)\right)$$
 (3.10)

for some  $T \in K^{n \times n}$ . The right hand side of (3.10) is the rational canonical form (the Frobenius canonical form) of  $F_a$ . Let  $\hat{b} \in K^n$ . From (3.4) be obtain

$$R(F_a, \hat{b}) = \hat{b}(F_a) = T^{-1} \hat{b} \Big[ \operatorname{diag} \Big( C(a_1, m_1), \dots, C(a_r, m_r) \Big) \Big] T.$$

Hence

$$\det R(F_a, \hat{b}) = \det \hat{b}(C(a_1, m_1)) \cdots \det \hat{b}(C(a_r, m_r)) = \left(\det \hat{b}(F_{a_1})\right)^{m_1} \cdots \left(\det \hat{b}(F_{a_r})\right)^{m_r}. \quad (3.11)$$

Suppose  $SAS^{-1} = F_a$  and det S = 1. Then (3.5) implies  $\det R(A, x) = \det R(F_a, Sx)$ . Taking  $\hat{b} = Sx$  in (3.11) we obtain (3.9).

Suppose one of the polynomials  $g_j(x)$  is reducible. E.g. let  $g_1(x) = p(x)q(x)$  and  $\deg p \geq 1$ ,  $\deg q \geq 1$ . Then  $x = S^{-1}(-1, z, 0, \dots, 0)^{\top}$  yields  $(Sx)(F_{a_1}) = -F_{a_1} + zI$ . Hence  $g_1(x) = \det(-F_{a_1} + zI) = a_1(z)$ . On the other hand  $g_1(x) = \tilde{p}(z)\tilde{q}(z)$ , and  $\deg \tilde{p} \geq 1$ ,  $\deg \tilde{q} \geq 1$ . This is a contradiction to the irreducibility of  $a_1(z)$ .

Since  $F_{a_j}$  is of size  $\ell_j \times \ell_j$  we obtain  $g_j(\lambda x) = \lambda^{\ell_j} g_j(x)$ . Thus,  $g_j(x)$  is homogeneous of degree  $\ell_j$ .  $\square$ 

We now assume that the characteristic polynomial a(z) of A splits over K. If  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r$  are the different eigenvalues of A then

$$a(z) = (z - \lambda_1)^{m_1} \dots (z - \lambda_r)^{m_r}.$$
 (3.12)

In that case  $a_j(z) = (z - \lambda_j)$  and  $F_{a_j} = (\lambda_j)$ , and

$$g_j(x) = [1, \lambda_j, \lambda_j^2, \dots, \lambda_j^{n-1}] S \begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad j = 1, \dots, r.$$
 (3.13)

The factors  $g_j(x)$  in (3.13) are related to the Popov-Belevitch-Hautus controllability test (see e.g. [11, p. 93]). It is known that - up multiplicative constants - the row vectors

$$w_j^{\top} = [1, \lambda_j, \dots, \lambda_j^{n-1}], \ j = 1, \dots, r,$$

are the left eigenvectors of  $F_a$ . Then  $SAS^{-1} = F_a$  implies that  $v_j^\top = w_j^\top S$  are the left eigenvectors of A. Hence

$$v_j^{\top} b = g_j(b), \ j = 1, \dots, r.$$
 (3.14)

Therefore we obtain the PBH criterion in the special case of cyclic matrices.

Corollary 3.3. Let A be cyclic. The following statements are equivalent. (i) The pair (A,b) is reachable. (ii) If

$$v^{\top}(A - \lambda I) = 0, \ v \in K^n, \ v \neq 0,$$

then  $v^{\top}b \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* Because of (3.14) we can rewrite (ii) in the form

$$g_j(b) \neq 0, \ j = 1, \dots, r.$$
 (3.15)

100

From (3.9) follows that (3.15) is equivalent to  $\det R(A,b) \neq 0$ .

We illustrate Theorem 3.2 with an example. Consider

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -6 & -38 & 6 & -4 & 281 \\ -11 & -131 & 10 & -5 & 928 \\ 11 & -155 & -6 & -16 & 1191 \\ 1 & -170 & 1 & -11 & 1253 \\ -1 & -21 & 1 & -1 & 151 \end{bmatrix}, b = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$R(A,b) = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 6 & 5 & 2 & 6 \\ 0 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 8 \\ 4 & 9 & 6 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & 7 & 1 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \det R(A,b) = 1,$$

and the pair (A, b) is reachable. Set  $S = R(A, b)^{-1}$ . Then

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -16 & -2 & -3 & 133 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 8 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 & -21 \\ -4 & 19 & 3 & 2 & -150 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -7 \end{bmatrix},$$

and  $SAS^{-1} = F_a$ . We have

$$\det(zI - A) = a(z) = z^5 + 3z^4 - 6z^3 - 10z^2 - 21z - 9 = (z - 1)^3 (z + 3)^2 = (a_1(z))^3 (a_2(z))^2.$$

Hence  $\det R(A,x) = g_1(x)^3 g_2(x)^2$  with

$$g_1(x) = [1, 1, 1, 1, 1]Sx = -x_0 + 5x_1 + x_2 - 37x_4$$

and

$$g_2(x) = [1, -3, 9, -27, 81]Sx = 111x_0 - 427x_1 - 83x_2 - 48x_3 + 3403x_4$$

We conclude with some remarks which place our study into a larger context. Matrices of the form  $\mathcal{K}_r(A,b) = [b,Ab,\ldots,A^{r-1}b], \ 1 \leq r \leq n$ , are known as Krylov matrices (see e.g. [9, p. 646]). Thus  $R(A,b) = \mathcal{K}_n(A,b)$ . We refer to [8] for an investigation of numerical aspects of Krylov and reachability matrices. The concept of Faddeev reachability matrix was introduced in [5] and further elaborated in [10]. A "spectral factorization" of R(A,b) is due to [7] (see also [13]).



## Augusto Ferrante and Harald K. Wimmer

## REFERENCES

- H. Bart and G. Ph. A. Thijsse. Simultaneous reduction to companion and triangular forms of sets of matrices. *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, 26:231–241, 1990.
- [2] L. Brand. The companion matrix and its properties. Amer. Math. Monthly, 71:629-634, 1964.
- [3] P. A. Fuhrmann. A Polynomial Approach to Linear Algebra. Springer, New York, 1996.
- [4] I. Gohberg, P. Lancaster, and L. Rodman. Invariant Subspaces of Matrices with Applications. Wiley, New York, 1986.
- [5] B. Hanzon and R. L. M. Peters. A Faddeev sequence method for solving Lyapunov and Sylvester equations. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 241:401–430, 1996.
- [6] A. S. Householder. The Theory of Matrices in Numerical Analysis. Blaisdell, New York, 1964.
- [7] I. C. F. Ipsen. Expressions and bounds for the GMRES residual. BIT, 40:524-535, 2000.
- [8] A. Maćkiewicz, F. L. Almansa, and J. A. Inaudi. On Krylov matrices and controllability of n-dimensional linear time-invariant state equations. J. Structural Control, 3:99–109, 1996.
- [9] C.D. Meyer. Matrix Analysis and Applied Linear Algebra. SIAM, Philadelphia, 2000.
- [10] R. L. M. Peters and B. Hanzon. Symbolic computation of Fisher information matrices for parametrized state-space systems. Automatica, 35:1059–1071, 1999.
- [11] E. D. Sontag. Mathematical Control Theory: Deterministic Systems. Springer, New York, 1990.
- [12] J. H. Wilkinson. The Algebraic Eigenvalue Problem. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1965.
- [13] I. Zavorin, D. O'Leary, and H. Elman. Complete stagnation of GMRES. Linear Algebra Appl., 367:165–183, 2003.

102