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#### Abstract

An $n$-by- $n$ matrix $A$ is called symmetric, skew-symmetric, and orthogonal if $A^{T}=A, A^{T}=-A$, and $A^{T}=A^{-1}$, respectively. We give necessary and sufficient conditions on a complex matrix $A$ so that it is a sum of type "orthogonal + symmetric" in terms of the Jordan form of $A-A^{T}$. We also give necessary and sufficient conditions on a complex matrix $A$ so that it is a sum of type "orthogonal + skew-symmetric" in terms of the Jordan form of $A+A^{T}$.
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1. Introduction. A matrix $A$ is called

- symmetric if $A^{T}=A$,
- skew-symmetric if $A^{T}=-A$,
- orthogonal if $A$ is nonsingular and $A^{T}=A^{-1}$.

Several mathematicians have studied matrix decompositions involving the above special matrices. Frobenius showed that every matrix over $\mathbb{F} \in\{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$ is a product of two symmetric matrices [3] (see also the work of Radjavi [12]). Gow and Laffey gave necessary and sufficient conditions for a matrix over an arbitrary field to be a product of two skew-symmetric matrices [5]. Laffey later on proved that if $n \equiv 0 \bmod 4$ and $A$ is an $n$-by- $n$ matrix over an algebraically closed field with characteristic not equal to 2 , then $A$ is a product of five skew-symmetric matrices [10]. Horn and Merino showed that a complex matrix $A$ may be written as a product $A=Q R$, where $Q$ is orthogonal and $R$ is symmetric if and only if $A A^{T}$ is similar to $A^{T} A$ [9]. De la Cruz et al. gave necessary and sufficient conditions for a complex matrix $A$ to be written as a product $A=Q R$, where $Q$ is orthogonal and $R$ is skew-symmetric [1]. If $n>1$, Merino showed that any matrix over $\mathbb{F} \in\{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}\}$ is a sum of a finite number of orthogonal matrices [11]. Granario et al. gave necessary and sufficient conditions for a complex matrix to be written as a sum of two orthogonal matrices [4].

The main result of this paper is the following theorem which gives necessary and sufficient conditions for a complex matrix $A$ to be written as $A=Q+R$, where $Q$ is orthogonal and $R$ is either symmetric or skew-symmetric. For a complex number $\lambda$, we denote by $J_{k}(\lambda)$ the $k$-by- $k$ upper triangular Jordan block with eigenvalue $\lambda$.

Theorem 1.1. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be given. Then
(a) $A=A_{1}+A_{2}$, for some orthogonal $A_{1}$ and symmetric $A_{2}$ if and only if the Jordan blocks of $A-A^{T}$ with eigenvalue $2 i$ of size greater than one come in pairs of $J_{k}(2 i) \oplus J_{k}(2 i)$ or $J_{k}(2 i) \oplus J_{k+1}(2 i)$.
(b) $A=B_{1}+B_{2}$, for some orthogonal $B_{1}$ and skew-symmetric $B_{2}$, if and only if $A+A^{T}$ is similar to a direct sum of matrices of the form

[^0](1) $J_{k}(\lambda) \oplus J_{k}(\lambda)$, where $\lambda \neq \pm 2$,
(2) $J_{k}(\lambda) \oplus J_{k}(\lambda) \oplus J_{k}(\lambda) \oplus J_{k}(\lambda)$, for any $k>1$ and $\lambda= \pm 2$,
(3) $J_{k+1}(\lambda) \oplus J_{k}(\lambda)$, where $\lambda= \pm 2$, or
(4) $\lambda I_{k}$, where $\lambda=2,-2$.

The authors have a similar study on symplectic, skew-Hamiltonian, and Hamiltonian matrices in [2].
If $A$ has a decomposition as in Theorem 1.1(a), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
A-A^{T}=A_{1}+A_{2}-\left(A_{1}+A_{2}\right)^{T}=A_{1}+A_{2}-A_{1}^{T}-A_{2}^{T}=A_{1}-A_{1}^{T} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, if $A-A^{T}=A_{1}-A_{1}^{T}$ for some orthogonal $A_{1}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
A-A_{1}=A^{T}-A_{1}^{T}=\left(A-A_{1}\right)^{T} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is symmetric and $A=A_{1}+\left(A-A_{1}\right)$ is a decomposition of $A$ as in Theorem 1.1(a). Analogous arguments show that $A$ has a decomposition from Theorem 1.1(b) if and only if $A+A^{T}=B_{1}+B_{1}^{T}$ for some orthogonal $B_{1}$. The following theorem implies statements (a) and (b) of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.2. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be given.
(a) If $A$ is skew-symmetric, then $A=X-X^{T}$ for some orthogonal $X$ if and only if the Jordan blocks of $A$ with eigenvalue $2 i$ and size greater than one, if any, come in pairs of $J_{k}(2 i) \oplus J_{k}(2 i)$ or $J_{k}(2 i) \oplus J_{k+1}(2 i)$.
(b) If $A$ is symmetric, then $A=X+X^{T}$ for some orthogonal $X$ if and only if $A$ is similar to a direct sum of matrices of the form
(i) $J_{k}(\lambda) \oplus J_{k}(\lambda)$, where $\lambda \neq \pm 2$,
(ii) $J_{k}(\lambda) \oplus J_{k}(\lambda) \oplus J_{k}(\lambda) \oplus J_{k}(\lambda)$, for any $k>1$ and $\lambda= \pm 2$,
(iii) $J_{k+1}(\lambda) \oplus J_{k}(\lambda)$, where $\lambda= \pm 2$, or
(iv) $\lambda I_{k}$, where $\lambda=2,-2$.

We give some preliminary observations in Section 2 and prove Theorem 1.2 in Section 3.
2. Preliminaries. The conditions for the decompositions in Theorem 1.2 can be stated in terms of the existence of symmetric or skew-symmetric square roots of a symmetric matrix. By $\mathcal{C}(A)$, we mean the centralizer of the square matrix $A$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}(A):=\left\{X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \mid A X=X A\right\} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.1. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be given.
(a) If $A$ is skew-symmetric, then $A=X-X^{T}$ for some orthogonal $X$ if and only if $A^{2}+4 I$ has a symmetric square root in $\mathcal{C}(A)$.
(b) If $A$ is symmetric, then $A=X+X^{T}$ for some orthogonal $X$ if and only if $A^{2}-4 I$ has a skewsymmetric square root in $\mathcal{C}(A)$.
Proof. Let $A$ be skew-symmetric. Suppose $A=X-X^{T}$ for some matrix $X$. If $X$ is orthogonal, then $X^{T}=X^{-1}$, and so we consider orthogonal solutions to the matrix equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=X-X^{-1} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $X$ is a solution to (2.4), then $X \in \mathcal{C}(A)$. Now (2.4) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=(X-A) X=X^{2}-A X \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By completing the squares in (2.5), we get $\left(X-\frac{1}{2} A\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{4}\left(A^{2}+4 I\right)$. If, in addition, $X$ is orthogonal and we set $Y:=X-\frac{1}{2} A$, then $Y \in \mathcal{C}(A), Y^{2}=\frac{1}{4}\left(A^{2}+4 I\right)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y^{T}=X^{T}-\frac{1}{2} A^{T}=X^{-1}+\frac{1}{2} A=(X-A)+\frac{1}{2} A=Y . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $Z:=2 Y$ is a symmetric square root of $A^{2}+4 I$ and $Z \in \mathcal{C}(A)$.
Conversely, suppose $Z \in \mathcal{C}(A)$ and $Z$ is a symmetric square root of $A^{2}+4 I$. Set $Y:=\frac{1}{2} Z$ and set $X:=Y+\frac{1}{2} A$. Then $Y \in \mathcal{C}(A), Y$ is symmetric,

$$
\begin{equation*}
X X^{T}=\left(Y+\frac{1}{2} A\right)\left(Y-\frac{1}{2} A\right)=Y^{2}-\frac{1}{4} A^{2}=\frac{1}{4} Z^{2}-\frac{1}{4} A^{2}=I \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
X-X^{T}=Y+\frac{1}{2} A-\left(Y-\frac{1}{2} A\right)=A \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This proves (a). The proof of (b) is analogous.
Note that the existence of a decomposition in Theorem 1.2 is invariant under orthogonal similarity, and so the following theorem is useful.

Lemma 2.2 ([8, Corollary 22]). Two complex matrices which are both symmetric, both skew-symmetric, or both orthogonal are similar if and only if they are orthogonally similar.

A matrix $A$ has a square root if and only if the nilpotent part of $A$, if any, is similar to a direct sum of matrices of the form $0_{m}, J_{m}(0) \oplus J_{m}(0)$, or $J_{m}(0) \oplus J_{m+1}(0)$ for any $m$ [7, Theorem 6.4.12]. To prove Theorem 1.2 it helps to know the Jordan structure of a symmetric or skew-symmetric matrix. Any square complex matrix is similar to a symmetric matrix and so there are no restrictions on the Jordan form of a symmetric matrix [6, Theorem 4.4.9]. For a skew-symmetric matrix $A$, the Jordan form of $A$ must be expressible as a direct sum of matrices of the form $J_{k}(\lambda) \oplus J_{k}(-\lambda)$ for $\lambda \neq 0, J_{k}(0) \oplus J_{k}(0)$ for even $k$, or $J_{k}(0)$ for odd $k$. Conversely, when $A$ is similar to the direct sum of any of the preceding Jordan blocks, then $A$ is similar to a skew-symmetric matrix [9]. Thus, by Lemma 2.2, we have the following.

Lemma 2.3. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be given. Then
(a) $A$ is symmetric if and only if $A$ is orthogonal similar to $\oplus_{i} A_{i}$, where each $A_{i}$ is a symmetric matrix that is similar to a Jordan block.
(b) $A$ is skew-symmetric if and only if $A$ is orthogonal similar to $\oplus_{i} A_{i}$, where each $A_{i}$ is skew-symmetric and similar to one of the following:
(1) $J_{k}(\lambda) \oplus J_{k}(-\lambda)$ for any $\lambda \neq 0$,
(2) $J_{k}(0) \oplus J_{k}(0)$ for any even $k$, and
(3) $J_{k}(0)$ for any odd $k$.

The following result reduces our problem to symmetric or skew-symmetric matrices having at most two eigenvalues. Let $\sigma(A)$ denote the spectrum of a matrix $A$.

LEMMA 2.4. Let $A=\oplus_{i=1}^{m} A_{i}$ for some square complex matrices $A_{i}$ with pairwise disjoint spectra. Then
(a) $A$ is symmetric such that $A^{2}-4 I$ has a skew-symmetric square root that commutes with $A$ if and only if each $A_{i}$ is symmetric and $A_{i}^{2}-4 I$ has a skew-symmetric square root that commutes with $A_{i}$.
(b) $A$ is skew-symmetric such that $A^{2}+4 I$ has a symmetric square root that commutes with $A$ if and only if each $A_{i}$ is skew-symmetric and $A_{i}^{2}+4 I$ has a symmetric square root.

Proof. We only do (a). Sufficiency follows from the fact that a direct sum of skew-symmetric matrices is skew-symmetric. For necessity, let $B$ be a skew-symmetric square root of $A^{2}-4 I$ that commutes with $A$. Since $\sigma\left(A_{i}\right) \cap \sigma\left(A_{j}\right)=\emptyset$ for $i \neq j$, Sylvester's theorem [6, Theorem 2.4.4.1] implies that $B=\oplus_{i=1}^{m} B_{i}$ and partitioned conformal to $A$. Hence each $B_{i}$ is a skew-symmetric square root of $A_{i}^{2}-4 I$ that commutes with $A_{i}$.
3. Proof of Theorem 1.2. For $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, we let $\mathbb{C}[A]:=\{p(A) \mid p(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]\}$ denote the set of all polynomials in $A$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2(a). Let $A$ be skew-symmetric. Suppose that $A=Y-Y^{T}$ for some orthogonal $Y$. By Lemma 2.1, $A^{2}+4 I$ has a symmetric square root $B$ which commutes with $A$. Lemma 2.3(b) implies that there is a nonsingular matrix $X$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
X A X^{-1}=A_{1} \oplus-A_{1} \oplus A_{2} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where each $A_{i}$ is symmetric, $\sigma\left(A_{1}\right)=\{2 i\}$, and $2 i,-2 i \notin \sigma\left(A_{2}\right)$. Since $X B X^{-1} \in \mathcal{C}\left(X A X^{-1}\right)$, the eigenvalue conditions above and Sylvester's theorem imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
X B X^{-1}=B_{1} \oplus B_{2} \oplus B_{3} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is partitioned conformal to $X A X^{-1}$. It follows that $B_{1}^{2}=A_{1}^{2}+4 I$, that is, the nilpotent matrix $A_{1}^{2}+4 I$ has a square root, and this gives the Jordan block restrictions stated in Theorem 1.2(a). This proves necessity.

Conversely, suppose the Jordan blocks of $A$ with eigenvalue $2 i$ and size greater than 1 , if any, come in pairs $J_{k}(2 i) \oplus J_{k}(2 i)$ or $J_{k}(2 i) \oplus J_{k+1}(2 i)$. By Lemma 2.3(b) and Lemma 2.4(b), we may assume that
(1) $\sigma(A)$ does not contain $2 i$ and $-2 i$, or
(2) $A$ is similar to $J_{k}(2 i) \oplus J_{k}(2 i) \oplus J_{k}(-2 i) \oplus J_{k}(-2 i)$ or $J_{k}(2 i) \oplus J_{k+1}(2 i) \oplus J_{k}(-2 i) \oplus J_{k+1}(-2 i)$ for $k>1$, or
(3) $A$ is similar to $2 i I_{n} \oplus-2 i I_{n}$.

If $\sigma(A) \cap\{2 i,-2 i\}=\emptyset$, then $A^{2}+4 I$ is nonsingular, symmetric, and has a symmetric square root $B \in \mathbb{C}[A][7$, Theorem 6.4.12 (a) $]$. Since $B^{2}=A^{2}+4 I$, by Lemma 2.1(a), there exists an orthogonal $X$ such that $A=X-X^{T}$.

We consider the second case. Let $k>1$. Set a symmetric $B$ similar to $J_{2 k}(0)$ if $A$ is similar to $J_{k}(2 i) \oplus J_{k}(2 i) \oplus J_{k}(-2 i) \oplus J_{k}(-2 i)$; and set a symmetric $B$ similar to $J_{2 k+1}(0)$ if $A$ is similar to $J_{k}(2 i) \oplus$ $J_{k+1}(2 i) \oplus J_{k}(-2 i) \oplus J_{k+1}(-2 i)$. Note that $B^{2}-4 I$ is symmetric, nonsingular, and has a symmetric square root $S \in \mathbb{C}\left[B^{2}-4 I\right]$ similar to $J_{k}(2 i) \oplus J_{k}(2 i)$ or $J_{k}(2 i) \oplus J_{k+1}(2 i)$. Set $D:=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & i S \\ -i S & 0\end{array}\right]$. Notice that $D$ is skew-symmetric, $D^{2}=S^{2} \oplus S^{2}$, and $D$ is orthogonally similar to $A$. We also have that $D^{2}+4 I=(B \oplus B)^{2}$, and $B \oplus B$ is symmetric and commutes with $D$, since $S$ is a polynomial in $B$. By Lemma 2.1(a), $D=Y-Y^{T}$
for some orthogonal $Y$, and so, since $A$ is orthogonally similar to $D$, we have that $A=X-X^{T}$ for some orthogonal $X$. This takes care of the case when the Jordan blocks of $A$ corresponding to $2 i$ come in pairs of $J_{k}(2 i) \oplus J_{k}(2 i)$ or $J_{k}(2 i) \oplus J_{k+1}(2 i)$, for $k>1$.

For the last case, let $A$ be similar to $2 i I_{n} \oplus-2 i I_{n}$. Set $J=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & I_{n} \\ -I_{n} & 0\end{array}\right]$. Observe that $2 J$ is skewsymmetric and orthogonally similar to $A$. Since $J$ is orthogonal and $-J^{T}=J$, we have that $2 J=J-J^{T}$. It follows that $A=X-X^{T}$ for some orthogonal $X$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2(b). Let $A$ be symmetric. Suppose that $A^{2}-4 I$ has a skew-symmetric root $B$ in $\mathcal{C}(A)$. Lemma 2.3(a) implies that there is an orthogonal matrix $X$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
X A X^{-1}=A_{\lambda_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus A_{\lambda_{k}} \oplus A_{2} \oplus A_{-2} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma\left(A_{\mu}\right)=\{\mu\}$ and $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{k}, 2,-2$ are the $k+2$ distinct eigenvalues of $A$. Since $X B X^{-1}$ commutes with $X A X^{-1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
X B X^{-1}=B_{\lambda_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus B_{\lambda_{k}} \oplus B_{2} \oplus B_{-2} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where each $B_{\mu}$ is skew-symmetric and $B_{\mu}^{2}=A_{\mu}^{2}-4 I$. If $\mu= \pm 2$, then $B_{\mu}^{2}$ is nilpotent and the Jordan form of a nilpotent skew-symmetric $B_{\mu}$ given by Lemma 2.3(b) yields the Jordan block restrictions (ii), (iii), and (iv) for $A_{\mu}$. If $\mu \neq \pm 2$, then $B_{\mu}$ is nonsingular. By Lemma 2.3(b)(i), $\sigma\left(B_{\mu}\right)=\left\{\sqrt{\mu^{2}-4},-\sqrt{\mu^{2}-4}\right\}$ and the Jordan form of $B_{\mu}$ is a direct sum of matrices of the form $J_{k}\left(\sqrt{\mu^{2}-4}\right) \oplus J_{k}\left(-\sqrt{\mu^{2}-4}\right)$. Hence, the Jordan form of $A_{\mu}$ is a direct sum of matrices of the form $J_{k}(\mu) \oplus J_{k}(\mu)$. This proves necessity. For the converse, we may assume, by Lemma 2.3(a) and Lemma 2.4(a), that $A$ is similar to
(1) $J_{k}(\lambda) \oplus J_{k}(\lambda)$, where $\lambda \neq \pm 2,0$,
(2) $J_{k}(\lambda) \oplus J_{k}(\lambda) \oplus J_{k}(\lambda) \oplus J_{k}(\lambda)$, for any $k>1$ and $\lambda= \pm 2$,
(3) $J_{k+1}(\lambda) \oplus J_{k}(\lambda)$, where $\lambda= \pm 2$,
(4) $\lambda I_{k}$, where $\lambda= \pm 2$, or
(5) $A_{0}:=A_{1} \oplus A_{1}$, where $A_{1}$ is symmetric and similar to $J_{k}(0)$.

We show for each case that $A=X+X^{T}$ for some orthogonal $X$. For each of the cases (1) - (4), we respectively set a skew-symmetric $B$ similar to
(1) $J_{k}\left(\sqrt{\lambda^{2}-4}\right) \oplus J_{k}\left(-\sqrt{\lambda^{2}-4}\right)$
(2) $J_{2 k}(0) \oplus J_{2 k}(0)$
(3) $J_{2 k+1}(0)$
(4) $0_{k}$

Observe that $B^{2}+4 I$ is nonsingular, symmetric, and has a symmetric square root $R \in \mathbb{C}[B]$ that is similar to $A$. By Lemma 2.1, $R=Y+Y^{T}$ for some orthogonal matrix $Y$, and since $R$ is orthogonally similar to $A$, we have $A=X+X^{T}$ for some orthogonal $X$.

For the last case, we observe that $A_{1}^{2}-4 I$ is nonsingular and symmetric, and thus has a symmetric square root $T \in \mathbb{C}\left[A_{1}\right]$. Set $B:=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & i T \\ -i T & 0\end{array}\right]$. Note that $B$ is skew-symmetric, commutes with $A_{0}$, and $B^{2}=A_{0}^{2}-4 I$. By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.4, we have that $A=X+X^{T}$ for some orthogonal $X$.
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