# TREES WITH MAXIMUM SUM OF THE TWO LARGEST LAPLACIAN EIGENVALUES\*

YIRONG ZHENG<sup>†</sup>, JIANXI LI<sup>‡</sup>, AND SARULA CHANG<sup>§</sup>

Abstract. Let T be a tree of order n and  $S_2(T)$  be the sum of the two largest Laplacian eigenvalues of T. Fritscher *et al.* proved that for any tree T of order n,  $S_2(T) \le n + 2 - \frac{2}{n}$ . Guan *et al.* determined the tree with maximum  $S_2(T)$  among all trees of order n. In this paper, we characterize the trees with  $S_2(T) \ge n + 1$  among all trees of order n except some trees. Moreover, among all trees of order n, we also determine the first  $\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor$  trees according to their  $S_2(T)$ . This extends the result of Guan *et al.* 

Key words. Tree, Laplacian Eigenvalue, Sum.

AMS subject classification. 05C50.

1. Introduction. Let G = (V(G), E(G)) be a simple connected graph with vertex set  $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$  and edge set E(G). The order and size of G are |V(G)| = n(G) and |E(G)| = m(G) (or n and m for short), respectively. The set of vertices adjacent to  $v_i \in V(G)$ , denoted by  $N(v_i)$ , refers to the neighborhood of  $v_i$ . The degree of  $v_i$ , denoted by  $d(v_i)$ , is the cardinality of  $N(v_i)$ . The maximum and minimum degrees of G are denoted by  $\Delta(G)$  and  $\delta(G)$ , respectively. The Laplacian matrix of G is defined as L(G) = D(G) - A(G), where A(G) is the adjacency matrix of G and  $D(G) = diag(d(v_1), d(v_2), \ldots, d(v_n))$  is the diagonal matrix of vertex degrees of G. It is well known that L(G) is positive semidefinite, and its eigenvalues are non-negative real number. Moreover, note that each row sum of L(G) is 0, and therefore,  $\mu_n(G) = 0$ . The eigenvalues of L(G) are called the Laplacian eigenvalues of G and denoted by  $\mu_1(G) \geq \mu_2(G) \geq \cdots \geq \mu_n(G) = 0$  (or  $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \cdots \geq \mu_n = 0$  for short), which are always enumer-

ated in non-increasing order and repeated according to their multiplicities. Let  $S_k(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} \mu_i$  be the sum of the k largest Laplacian eigenvalues of G. Clearly,  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mu_i = 2m(G)$  since  $\mu_n = 0$ . Brouwer [3]

conjectured that  $S_k(G) \leq m + \binom{k+1}{2}$  for k = 1, 2, ..., n. This conjecture is interesting and still open. Up to now, for fixed k (k = 1, 2, n - 1, n) or some given graph classes (trees, regular graphs, *etc.*), this conjecture has been proved (see [3, 7, 5, 4, 11, 14, 19, 10, 20]). In particular, for k = 2, Haemers *et al.* [14] proved that  $S_2(G) \leq m + 3$  holds for any graph G of order n with m edges. When G is a tree, Fritscher *et al.*[9] improved this bound by showing  $S_2(T) \leq m + 3 - \frac{2}{n}$  (or  $n + 2 - \frac{2}{n}$  since m = n - 1), which indicates that Haemers' bound is always not attainable for trees. Therefore, it is interesting to know which tree has the maximum value of  $S_2(T)$  among all trees of order n. Guan *et al.* [11] determined the tree with maximum

<sup>\*</sup>Received by the editors on April 8, 2022. Accepted for publication on June 27, 2022. Handling Editor: Froilán Dopico. Corresponding Author: Jianxi Li.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>School of Mathematics and Statistics, Xiamen University of Technology, Xiamen, Fujian, P.R. China (yrzheng@ xmut.edu.cn). Supported by the Research Fund of Xiamen University of Technology (Nos:YKJ20018R,XPDKT20039).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>School of Mathematics and Statistics, Minnan Normal University, Zhangzhou, Fujian, P.R. China (ptjxli@hotmail.com). The corresponding author. Supported by the National Science Foundation of China (No.12171089) and the National Science Foundation of Fujian (No.2021J02048).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>§</sup>College of Science, Inner Mongolia Agricultural University, Hohhot, Inner Mongolia, P.R. China (changsarula163@ 163.com). Supported by the Inner Mongolia Natural Science Foundation (No.2020BS01011).



Y. Zheng, J. Li, and S. Chang



FIGURE 1.  $T_{a,1,c}$  with  $a \ge 1$ ,  $c \ge 2$  and a + c + 4 = n.

 $S_2(T)$  among all trees of order  $n \ge 4$  by proving that  $S_2(T) \le S_2\left(S_{\lceil \frac{n-2}{2}\rceil, \lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor}^1\right)$ , and the equality holds if and only if  $T \cong S_{\lceil \frac{n-2}{2}\rceil, \lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor}^1$ , where  $S_{a,b}^k$  is the tree of order n obtained from two stars  $S_{a+1}$ ,  $S_{b+1}$  by joining a path of length k between their central vertices. Let  $\mathscr{T}_n$  be the set of trees of order n and  $T_{a,1,c}$  be the tree shown in Fig. 1, where  $a \ge 1$ ,  $c \ge 2$  and a + c + 4 = n. Let  $\mathscr{T}_n^* = \{T \in \mathscr{T}_n | T \neq T_{a,1,c}\}$ . In this paper, we further study the maximum values of  $S_2(T)$  for trees and characterize trees with  $S_2(T) \ge n+1$  among all trees in  $\mathscr{T}_n^*$ . Moreover, among all trees in  $\mathscr{T}_n$ , we also determine the first  $\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor$  trees according to their  $S_2(T)$ . This extends the result of Guan *et al.* 

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we present some useful lemmas. In Section 3, we characterize trees with  $S_2(T) \ge n + 1$  among all trees in  $\mathscr{T}_n^*$ . In Section 4, we determine the first  $\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor$  trees according to their  $S_2(T)$  among all trees in  $\mathscr{T}_n$ .

2. Preliminaries. In this section, we give notations and collect known results on Laplacian eigenvalues of a graph. A vertex with degree one in G is called a pendent vertex of G. Particularly, denote by  $\Delta(G)$  (or  $\Delta$  for short) the maximum degree of G. Let  $S_n$  and  $P_n$  be the star and path of order n, respectively. Let  $S_{a,b}^k$  be the tree of order n obtained from two stars  $S_{a+1}$ ,  $S_{b+1}$  by joining a path of length k between their central vertices. For all other definitions and notations, not given here, see [6].

We denote by  $\Phi(L(G)) = \phi(G, x) = det(xI_n - L(G))$  the Laplacian characteristic polynomial of G, where  $I_n$  is the identity matrix of order n. For the Laplacian characteristic polynomials of graphs, Guo et al. [13] gave the reduction procedures for computing them respectively.

For  $U \subseteq V(G)$ , let  $L_U(G)$  be the principal submatrix of L(G) formed by deleting the rows and columns corresponding to all vertices in U. If  $U = \{v\}$  or  $U = \{v, u\}$  when  $uv \in E(G)$ , then we simply write  $L_U(G)$ as  $L_v(G)$  or  $L_{vu}(G)$ . The following result displays the relations between the characteristic polynomials of L(G) and  $L_v(G)$ .

LEMMA 2.1 ([13]). Let G be a graph of order n. For  $v \in V(G)$ , let  $\varphi(v)$  be the collection of cycles containing v. Then, the Laplacian characteristic polynomial of G satisfies

$$\Phi(L(G)) = (x - d(v))\Phi(L_v(G)) - \sum_w \Phi(L_{vw}(G)) - 2\sum_{Z \in \varphi(v)} (-1)^{|Z|} \Phi(L_Z(G)),$$

where the first summation extends over those vertices w adjacent to v, and the second summation extends over all  $Z \in \varphi(v)$ , |Z| denotes the length of Z.

The following is the special case of Lemma 2.1 when d(v) = 1.

COROLLARY 2.2 ([13]). Let v be a vertex of a graph G with d(v) = 1 and  $uv \in E(G)$ . Then,

$$\Phi(L(G)) = (x-1)\Phi(L(G-v)) - x\Phi(L_{uv}(G)).$$



#### Trees with maximum sum of the two largest Laplacian eigenvalues



FIGURE 2. Graphs G and G'.

The next result displays the relation between the Laplacian characteristic polynomials of G and G - e, where  $e \in E(G)$ .

LEMMA 2.3 ([13]). Let G be a graph of order n. For  $e \in E(G)$ , let  $\mathscr{C}_G(e)$  be the set of all cycles containing e in G. Then, the Laplacian characteristic polynomial of G satisfies

$$\Phi(L(G)) = \Phi(L(G-e)) - \Phi(L_u(G-e)) - \Phi(L_v(G-e)) - 2\sum_Z (-1)^{|Z|} \Phi(L_Z(G))$$

where the summation extends over all  $Z \in \mathscr{C}_G(e)$ .

LEMMA 2.4 ([2]). Let G be a connected graph of order n with degree sequence  $d_1 \ge d_2 \ge \cdots \ge d_n$  and Laplacian eigenvalues  $\mu_1 \ge \mu_2 \ge \cdots \ge \mu_n = 0$ . Then,

$$\mu_k(G) \ge d_k + 2 - k.$$

Specially,  $\mu_1(G) \ge \Delta(G) + 1$  and  $\mu_2(G) \ge d_2$ .

We now list some known and useful results on  $\mu_1$ .

LEMMA 2.5 ([1, 17, 16]). Let G be a graph of order n with m edges. Then,

- (1)  $\mu_1(G) \leq n$ , with equality if and only if the complement of G is disconnected;
- (2)  $\mu_1(G) \le \max\{d(v) + \pi(v) | v \in V(G)\}, \text{ where } \pi(v) = \sum_{u \in N(v)} \frac{d(u)}{d(v)};$
- (3)  $\mu_1(G) < \max\left\{ \triangle(G), m \frac{n-1}{2} \right\} + 2.$

For an eigenvalue x of L(G), let  $m_G(x)$  be the multiplicity of it. It is well known that  $m_G(1) = n - r(I_n - L(G))$ , where  $r(I_n - L(G))$  is the rank of  $I_n - L(G)$ .

LEMMA 2.6. Let G be a graph of order n. For  $v \in V(G)$  with d(v) = 1 and  $uv \in E(G)$ , let G' be the graph obtained from G by adding a new vertex v' and a new edge uv' (see Fig. 2). Then,

$$m_{G'}(1) = m_G(1) + 1.$$

*Proof.* Let L(G) and L(G') be the Laplacian matrices of G and G', respectively. It is not difficult to check that  $r(I_n - L(G)) = r(I_{n+1} - L(G'))$ . Thus, the result follows from the facts that  $m_G(1) = n - r(I_n - L(G))$  and  $m_{G'}(1) = (n+1) - r(I_{n+1} - L(G'))$ .

LEMMA 2.7 ([18]). Let G be a graph of order n. For  $v \in V(G)$  with d(v) = 1, we have

$$\mu_{n-1}(G) \le \mu_{n-2}(G-v)$$

Let  $\mathscr{T}_{(n,d)}$  be the set of trees of order n with diameter d and  $T_{(n,d)}(i)$  be the tree of order n with diameter d obtained from a path  $P_{d+1} = v_1 v_2 \cdots v_d v_{d+1}$  (of length d) by attaching n - d - 1 new pendant edges  $v_{d+2}v_i, \ldots, v_nv_i$  to the vertex  $v_i$  (shown in Fig. 3).

### Y. Zheng, J. Li, and S. Chang



FIGURE 3.  $T_{(n,d)}(i)$ .

Guo [12] determined the first  $\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor$  trees among trees in  $\mathscr{T}_{(n,d)}$  according to their Laplacian spectral radii as follows.

THEOREM 2.8 ([12]). For  $n \ge d+3$  and  $d \ge 3$ , the first  $\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor$  trees in the set  $\mathscr{T}_{(n,d)}$  according to their Laplacian spectral radii are as follows:

$$T_{(n,d)}\left(\left\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \right\rfloor + 1\right), T_{(n,d)}\left(\left\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \right\rfloor\right), \ldots, T_{(n,d)}(3), T_{(n,d)}(2).$$

From above, we immediately have the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.9. For  $T \in \mathscr{T}_{(n,d)}$  with  $d \geq 4$ , we have  $\mu_1(T) < n - 1.3$ .

Proof. For  $T \in \mathscr{T}_{(n,d)}$  with  $d \ge 4$ , if  $d \ge 5$ , then  $\Delta(T) \le n-4$ . Thus, Lemma 2.5 implies that  $\mu_1(T) \le \Delta(T) + 2 \le n-2$ ; if d = 4, by Theorem 2.8 and Lemma 2.5, we then have  $\mu_1(T) \le \mu_1(T_{(n,4)}(3)) \le (n-3) + \frac{n-1}{n-3} \le (n-2) + \frac{2}{n-3} < n-1.3$  when  $n \ge 6$ . And for n = 5, a direct calculation shows that  $\mu_1(P_5) = 3.618 < 5 - 1.3$ , as desired.

Guan *et al.* [11] gave the following upper bound for  $S_2(T)$  for trees in  $\mathscr{T}_{(n,d)}$  with  $d \ge 4$ . LEMMA 2.10 ([11]). For  $T \in \mathscr{T}_{(n,d)}$  with  $d \ge 4$ , we have  $S_2(T) < n + 1.5$ .

This upper bound is slightly improved by Zheng *et al.* for  $T \in \mathscr{T}_{(n,d)}$  with  $d \ge 5$  as follows. LEMMA 2.11 ([21]). For  $T \in \mathscr{T}_{(n,d)}$  with  $d \ge 5$ , we have  $S_2(T) < n + 1$ .

Let M be a real symmetric matrix of order n. Then, all eigenvalues of M are real and can be denoted by  $\lambda_1(M) \ge \lambda_2(M) \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_n(M)$  in non-increasing order. The following result in matrix theory plays a key role in our proofs.

LEMMA 2.12 ([8]). Let A and B be two real symmetric matrices of order n. Then for any  $1 \le k \le n$ ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i(A+B) \le \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i(A) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i(B).$$

The next results follows from Lemma 2.12 immediately.

LEMMA 2.13. Suppose that  $G_1, \ldots, G_r$  are edge disjoint graphs on the same vertex set. Then for any k,

$$S_k(G_1 \cup \cdots \cup G_r) \le \sum_{i=1}^r S_k(G_i).$$

The following results can be found in [10], and Lemma 2.14 is known as the Interlacing Theorem for Laplacian eigenvalues.





#### Trees with maximum sum of the two largest Laplacian eigenvalues



FIGURE 4.  $T_{(a_1, a_2, ..., a_s; t)}$ .

LEMMA 2.14 ([10]). Let G be a graph of order n. For  $e \in E(G)$ , let G' = G - e be the graph obtained by deleting e from G. Then, the Laplacian eigenvalues of G and G' interlace, that is,

 $\mu_1(G) \ge \mu_1(G') \ge \mu_2(G) \ge \dots \ge \mu_{n-1}(G') \ge \mu_n(G) \ge \mu_n(G') = 0.$ 

LEMMA 2.15 ([10]). Let A be a real symmetric matrix of order n with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n$ and B be a principal submatrix of A of order m with eigenvalues  $\lambda'_1 \geq \lambda'_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda'_m$ . Then, the eigenvalues of B interlace the eigenvalues of A, that is  $\lambda_i \geq \lambda'_i \geq \lambda_{n-m+i}$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, m$ . Specially, for  $v \in V(G)$ , the eigenvalues of  $L_v(G)$  interlace the eigenvalues of L(G).

**3.** Trees with  $S_2(T) \ge n(T) + 1$ . In this section, we study the sum of two largest Laplacian eigenvalues of trees and characterize the trees with  $S_2(T) \ge n+1$  among all trees in  $\mathscr{T}_n^*$ . First, we consider  $S_2(T)$  for  $T \in \mathscr{T}_{(n,4)}$ . Note that  $T \cong T_{(a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_s;t)}$  (shown in Fig. 4) for  $T \in \mathscr{T}_{(n,4)}$ , where  $a_1 \ge a_2 \ge \cdots \ge a_s \ge 1$ ,  $s \ge 2, t \ge 0$  and  $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_s + s + t + 1 = n$ .

THEOREM 3.1. Let  $T_{(a_1, a_2, ..., a_s; t)}$  be the tree as shown in Fig. 4. If  $s \ge 3$ , then  $S_2(T_{(a_1, a_2, ..., a_s; t)}) < n+1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  be the two components of  $T_{(a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_s;t)} - uv_1$ , where  $T_1(T_2)$  contains  $v_1(u)$ . Note that  $d(T_2) = 4$  since  $s \ge 3$ . Hence, Lemma 2.9 implies that  $\mu_1(T_2) < n(T_2) - 1.3$  and Lemma 2.10 implies that  $S_2(T_2) < n(T_2) + 1.5$ . We now consider the following two cases.

Case 1  $a_1 \geq 2$ .

If  $S_2(T_1 \cup T_2) = S_2(T_2)$ , then Lemma 2.13 implies that  $S_2(T_{(a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_s;t)}) \leq S_2(T_1 \cup T_2) + 2 = S_2(T_2) + 2$  $2 < (n(T_2) + 1.5) + 2 \le n(T_{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s; t)}) + 0.5 < n + 1, \text{ as desired; if } S_2(T_1 \cup T_2) = \mu_1(T_1) + \mu_1(T_2),$ 

since  $n(T_1) \ge 3$ 

then Lemma 2.13 implies that  $S_2(T_{(a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_s;t)}) \leq S_2(T_1 \cup T_2) + 2 = \mu_1(T_1) + \mu_1(T_2) + 2 < n(T_1) + \mu_2(T_2) + \mu_2(T_2$  $(n(T_2) - 1.3) + 2 = n(T_{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s; t)}) + 0.7 < n + 1$ , as desired.

**Case 2**  $a_1 = 1$ .

Note that the eigenvalues of  $L_u(T_{(1,1,...,1;t)})$  are  $\underbrace{\frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2}, \ldots, \frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2}}_{s}, \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{t}, \underbrace{\frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2}, \ldots, \frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2}}_{s}$  by a direct computation. Then, Lemma 2.15 im-plies that  $\frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2} \le \mu_2(T_{(1,1,...,1;t)}) \le \frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2}$  since  $s \ge 3$ . That is  $\mu_2(T_{(1,1,...,1;t)}) = \frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2} \doteq 2.618$ .

Moreover, Lemma 2.5(3) implies that  $\mu_1(T_{(1,1,\dots,1;t)}) < \Delta(T_{(1,1,\dots,1;t)}) + 2 \le n(T_{(1,1,\dots,1;t)}) - 2$  since  $\Delta(T_{(1,1,\dots,1;t)}) \leq n-4$ . Thus, we have  $S_2(T_{(1,1,\dots,1;t)}) < n(T_{(1,1,\dots,1;t)}) - 2 + 2.618 < n+1$ , as desired.

The proof is completed.

I L<br/>AS

## Y. Zheng, J. Li, and S. Chang



FIGURE 5.  $T_{a,b,c}$ .

For s = 2, for convenience, we use  $T_{a,b,c}$  (shown in Fig. 5) instead of  $T_{(a_1,a_2;t)}$ , where  $a \ge b \ge 1$  and  $c \ge 0$ . In particular,  $T_{a,b,0} \cong S^2_{a,b}$ .

THEOREM 3.2. For  $T_{a,b,c}$  with  $a \ge b \ge 1$  and  $c \ge 0$ ,

(1) if c = 0, then  $S_2(T_{a,b,0}) > n(T_{a,b,0}) + 1$ ; (2) if c = 1, then  $S_2(T_{a,b,1}) < n(T_{a,b,1}) + 1$ ; (3) if  $c \ge 2$  and  $b \ge 2$ , then  $S_2(T_{a,b,c}) < n(T_{a,b,c}) + 1$ .

Proof. (1) For c = 0, by Lemma 2.1 and some elementary calculations, we get that the Laplacian characteristic polynomial of  $T_{a,b,0}$  is that  $\phi(T_{a,b,0}, x) = (x-1)^{n-5}g(x)$  where  $g(x) = (x-2)(x^2 - (a+2)x + 1)(x^2 - (b+2)x + 1) - (x-1)(x^2 - (a+2)x + 1)$ . Let  $x_1 \ge x_2 \ge x_3 \ge x_4 > x_5 = 0$  be the roots of g(x) = 0. If  $a \ge b+1$ , then Lemma 2.4 implies that  $x_1 \ge \Delta + 1 = a+2$ . Moreover, note that g(a+2) = -(a-b+1)(a+2) < 0 and  $g(b+2) = (a-b-1)(b+2) \ge 0$  since  $a \ge b+1$ . Thus,  $x_1 > a+2$  and  $x_2 \ge b+2$ . That is  $S_2(T_{a,b,0}) = x_1 + x_2 > (a+2) + (b+2) = n+1$ ; if a = b, then by some elementary calculations, we have  $\phi(T_{a,b,0}, x) = x(x-1)^{n-5}h(x)$ , where  $h(x) = (x^2 - (a+2)x+1)(x^2 - (a+4)x+(2a+3))$ . Then the largest two roots of h(x) = 0 are  $\frac{(a+2)+\sqrt{a^2+4a}}{2}$  and  $\frac{(a+4)+\sqrt{a^2+4}}{2}$ . Hence, we have  $S_2(T_{a,b,0}) = \frac{(a+2)+\sqrt{a^2+4a}}{2} + \frac{(a+4)+\sqrt{a^2+4}}{2} > 2a+4 = n+1$  since 2a+3 = n, as desired.

In what follows, we assume that  $c \ge 1$ . Note that Lemma 2.6 implies that  $m_{T_{a,b,c}}(1) \ge n-6$ . Then, the Laplacian characteristic polynomial of  $T_{a,b,c}$  can be written as  $\phi(T_{a,b,c}, x) = (x-1)^{n-6}k(x)$ . Let  $x_1 \ge x_2 \ge x_3 \ge x_4 \ge x_5 > x_6 = 0$  be the six roots of k(x) = 0. Note that  $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + x_5 = n+4$  since  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i = 2m = 2n-2$ . So, in order to prove  $S_2(T_{a,b,c}) = x_1 + x_2 < n+1$ , we only need to prove that  $x_3 \ge 3$ . (2) c = 1.

If b = 1, note that the eigenvalues of  $L_u(T_{a,1,1})$  are  $3.9563, 2.2091, \underbrace{1, \ldots 1}_{n-5}, 0.6717$  and 0.1729 by a direct calculation. Then, Lemma 2.15 implies that  $x_2 \leq \lambda_1(L_u(T_{a,1,1})) \doteq 3.9563 < 3.96$ . Moreover, Lemma 2.5 implies that  $x_1 \leq \max\{d(v) + \pi(v)\} = (n-4) + \frac{n-2}{n-4}$ . Hence,  $x_1 + x_2 < (n-4) + \frac{n-2}{n-4} + 3.96 \leq n+1$  when  $n \geq 54$ . Moreover, with the aid of the *newGRAPH* software, we can check that  $S_2(T_{a,1,1}) < n+1$  holds for n < 54.

If b = 2, then  $n \ge 8$ . For n = 8, by a direct calculation, we have  $x_1 + x_2 = \mu_1(T_{2,2,1}) + \mu_2(T_{2,2,1}) \doteq 4.8136 + 3.7321 = 8.5457 < 8 + 1$ . For  $n \ge 9$ , note that the eigenvalues of  $L_u(T_{a,2,1})$  are 4.4458, 2.7968,  $1, \ldots, 1, 0.6297$  and 0.1277 by a direct calculation. Then, Lemma 2.15 implies that  $x_2 \le \lambda_1(L_u(T_{a,2,1})) \doteq n-5$ 

4.4458 < 4.5. Moreover, Lemma 2.5 implies that  $x_1 \leq max\{d(v) + \pi(v)\} = (n-5) + \frac{n-3}{n-5}$ . Hence,  $x_1 + x_2 < (n-5) + \frac{n-3}{n-5} + 4.5 < n+1$  for  $n \geq 9$ .

Trees with maximum sum of the two largest Laplacian eigenvalues



FIGURE 6.  $S_n$ ,  $S_{a,b}^1$  and  $S_{a,b}^2$ .

If b = 3, note that  $T_{a,b,1}$  contains  $T_{8,3,1}$  as a subgraph for  $n \ge 15$ . Then, Lemma 2.14 implies that  $x_3 \ge \mu_3(T_{8,3,1}) = 3.0$ . Hence, it follows that  $S_2(T_{a,3,1}) < n(T_{a,3,1}) + 1$  for  $n \ge 15$ . Moreover, for n < 15, we check that  $S_2(T_{a,3,1}) < n(T_{a,3,1}) + 1$  by the aid of the *newGRAPH* software.

If  $b \ge 4$ , note that  $T_{a,b,1}$  contains  $T_{4,4,1}$  as a subgraph. Then, Lemma 2.14 implies that  $x_3 \ge \mu_3(T_{4,4,1}) = 3.0$ . Hence, it follows that  $S_2(T_{a,b,1}) < n + 1$ .

(3) For  $a \ge b \ge 2$ , note that  $T_{a,b,c}$  contains  $T_{2,2,2}$  as a subgraph. Then, Lemma 2.14 implies that  $x_3 \ge \mu_3(T_{2,2,2}) = 3.0$ . Hence, it follows that  $S_2(T_{a,b,c}) < n+1$ .

The proof is completed.

REMARK 3.3. From the argument in Theorem 3.2, for  $T_{a,b,c}$  with  $a \ge b \ge 1$  and  $c \ge 0$ , the remaining case is  $a \ge b = 1$  and  $c \ge 2$ . That is  $T_{a,1,c}$  with  $a \ge 1$ ,  $c \ge 2$  and a + c + 4 = n (shown in Fig. 1). We now have the following observations for  $S_2(T_{a,1,c})$ . Firstly, by Lemma 2.3, it follows that the Laplacian characteristic polynomial of  $T_{a,1,c}$  is  $\phi(T_{a,1,c}, x) = x(x-1)^{n-6}g(x)$ , where a + c + 4 = n and  $g(x) = x^5 - (a + c + 8)x^4 + (ac + 6a + 5c + 23)x^3 - (3ac + 11a + 8c + 30)x^2 + (ac + 7a + 5c + 18)x - (a + c + 4)$ . Let  $x_1 \ge x_2 \ge \cdots \ge x_5 > 0$  be the roots of g(x) = 0.

- (1) Let  $T_{a,1,c} uv = T_1 \cup T_2$ , where  $T_1$   $(T_2)$  contains u (v) and  $n(T_1) = n_1$  and  $n(T_2) = n_2$ . Then, Lemma 2.5 implies that  $\mu_1(T_1) = n_1$  and  $\mu_1(T_2) \le (n_2 - 2) + \frac{n_2 - 1}{n_2 - 2} = (n_2 - 1) + \frac{1}{n_2 - 2}$ . Moreover, by Lemma 2.13, we have  $S_2(T_{a,1,c}) \le S_2(T_1 \cup T_2) + 2 = \mu_1(T_1) + \mu_1(T_2) + 2 \le n_1 + (n_2 - 1) + \frac{1}{n_2 - 2} + 2 = n + 1 + \frac{1}{n_2 - 2} \to n + 1$   $(n_2 \to \infty)$ .
- (2) For  $a = c + 1 = \frac{n-3}{2}$  and  $n \ge 7$  is even, note that the Laplacian characteristic polynomial of  $T_{\frac{n-3}{2},1,\frac{n-5}{2}} ww_1$  is  $\phi(T_{\frac{n-3}{2},1,\frac{n-5}{2}} ww_1,x) = x^2(x-1)^{n-5}h(x)$ , where  $h(x) = x^3 (n+1)x^2 + \left(\frac{(n-3)^2}{4} + 2n 1\right)x (n-1)$ . Let  $y_1 \ge y_2 \ge y_3 > 0$  be the roots of h(x) = 0. Then, Lemma 2.4 implies that  $\mu_1(T_{\frac{n-3}{2},1,\frac{n-5}{2}} ww_1) = y_1$  and  $\mu_2(T_{\frac{n-3}{2},1,\frac{n-5}{2}} ww_1) = y_2 > 1$ . Moreover, note that  $h(\frac{4}{n}) = \frac{64}{n^3} \frac{16}{n^2} \frac{11}{n} + 3 > 0$  for  $n \ge 7$ . It follows that  $y_3 < \frac{4}{n}$ . That is  $S_2(T_{\frac{n-3}{2},1,\frac{n-5}{2}} ww_1) = y_1 + y_2 = (n+1) y_3 > (n+1) \frac{4}{n}$ . Then, Lemma 2.14 implies that  $S_2(T_{\frac{n-3}{2},1,\frac{n-5}{2}}) \ge S_2(T_{\frac{n-3}{2},1,\frac{n-5}{2}} ww_1) > n + 1 \frac{4}{n} \to n + 1$   $(n \to \infty)$ .
- (3) With the aid of the computer programming, we check that  $S_2(T_{a,1,c}) = x_1 + x_2 < n+1$  for  $n \le 1000$ . But it seems difficult to give a standard mathematical proof for n > 1000.

Now we give the main result of this section.

THEOREM 3.4. For any  $T \in \mathscr{T}_n^*$ , if  $S_2(T) \ge n+1$ , then if and only if  $T \in \{S_n, S_{a,b}^1, S_{a,b}^2\}$ , where  $S_n$ ,  $S_{a,b}^1$  and  $S_{a,b}^2$  are shown in Fig. 6, respectively.

*Proof.* For  $T \in \mathscr{T}_n$ , we will discuss according to its diameter d.

(1) If d = 1, then  $T = K_2$ . Hence,  $S_2(K_2) = 2 < n + 1$ .



### Y. Zheng, J. Li, and S. Chang

- (2) If d = 2, then  $T \cong S_n$  and it is known that  $S_2(S_n) = n + 1$ .
- (3) If d = 3, then  $T \cong S_{a,b}^1$  (see Fig. 6), where  $S_{a,b}^1$  is a tree of order *n* obtained from an edge uv by attaching *a* and *b* pendent edges to *u* and *v*, respectively, here *a* and *b* are positive integers and a + b + 2 = n. By Lemma 2.3 and a direct calculation, the Laplacian characteristic polynomial of  $S_{a,b}^1$  is

$$\phi(S_{a,b}^1, x) = x(x-1)^{n-4} f_{a,b}(x),$$

where

(3.1) 
$$f_{a,b}(x) = x^3 - (n+2)x^2 + (ab+2n+1)x - n.$$

By Lemma 2.14, we have  $\mu_2(S_{a,b}^1) \ge \mu_2(S_{1,1}^1) = 2$ . Moreover, it is known that for any tree T,  $\alpha(T) \le 1$ , with equality if and only if  $T \cong S_n$ . These imply that  $\mu_1(S_{a,b}^1)$ ,  $\mu_2(S_{a,b}^1)$  and  $\alpha(S_{a,b}^1)$  are the three roots of  $f_{a,b}(x) = 0$ . As follows from Eq. (3.1), we have  $\mu_1(S_{a,b}^1) + \mu_2(S_{a,b}^1) + \alpha(S_{a,b}^1) = n + 2$ . When  $n \ge 6$ ,  $S_{a,b}^1$  contains  $S_{1,3}^1$  or  $S_{2,2}^1$  as a subgraph. By Lemma 2.7 and the facts that  $\alpha(S_{1,3}^1) = 0.486$  and  $\alpha(S_{2,2}^1) = 0.438$ , we have  $\alpha(S_{a,b}^1) < 0.5$ . It follows that  $\mu_1(S_{a,b}^1) + \mu_2(S_{a,b}^1) > n + 1.5$  when  $n \ge 6$ . For n = 4 or n = 5, we easy get that  $\mu_1(S_{a,b}^1) + \mu_2(S_{a,b}^1) > n + 1.4$  by direct calculation.

- (4) If d = 4, then the result follows from Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 since  $T_{a,b,0} \cong S^2_{a,b}$  (see Fig. 6).
- (5) If  $d \ge 5$ , then the result follows from Lemma 2.11.

The proof is completed.

4. Ordering trees according to their  $S_2(T)$ . Guan *et al.* [11] determined the tree with maximum value of  $S_2(T)$  among all trees in  $\mathscr{T}_n$  by proving that for any tree  $T \in \mathscr{T}_n$ ,  $S_2(T) \leq S_2(S_{\lceil \frac{n-2}{2} \rceil, \lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor}^{1})$  with equality if and only if  $T \cong S_{\lceil \frac{n-2}{2} \rceil, \lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor}^{1}$ . In this section, we extend their result by determining the first  $\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor$  trees according to their  $S_2(T)$ .

THEOREM 4.1. For  $T, T' \in \mathscr{T}_n$ , if d(T') = 3 and  $d(T) \neq 3$ , then we have  $S_2(T) < S_2(T')$ .

Proof. Note that for any  $T' \in \mathscr{T}_{(n,3)}$ , we have  $T' \cong S^1_{a,b}$  for some a and b with a + b + 2 = n. For  $T \in \mathscr{T}_n^*$ , recall that  $T \neq T_{a,1,c}$ , where  $a \ge 1$ ,  $c \ge 2$  and  $a + c + 4 = n \ge 7$ . If  $T \cong S^2_{a,b}$ , then from the proof of Theorem 3.4 and Lemma 2.10, we have  $S_2(T') = S_2(S^1_{a,b}) > n + 1.5 > S_2(S^2_{a,b}) = S_2(T)$ ; if  $T \neq S^2_{a,b}$ , then from the proofs of Theorems 3.4 and 3.2 and Lemma 2.10, we have  $S_2(T') = S_2(S^1_{a,b}) > n + 1.5 > S_2(S^2_{a,b}) > n + 1.5 > S_2(S^2_{a,b}) > n + 1 = S_2(S_n) > S_2(T)$  for  $n \ge 7$ . Moreover, it is also true by a direct check for  $n \le 6$ . For  $T \cong T_{a,1,c}$ , by the fact of Remark 3.3(1) since  $n_2 \ge 5$ , we then have that  $S_2(T') = S_2(S^1_{a,b}) > n + 1.5 > S_2(T_{a,1,c}) = S_2(T)$ , as desired.

In what follows, we will compare the values of sum of the two largest Laplacian eigenvalues of two different trees with d(T) = 3.

THEOREM 4.2. For  $S_{a,b}^1, S_{a+1,b-1}^1 \in \mathscr{T}_{(n,3)}$ , if  $a \ge b \ge 2$ , then we have  $S_2(S_{a,b}^1) > S_2(S_{a+1,b-1}^1)$ .

*Proof.* Recall that the Laplacian characteristic polynomial of  $S_{a,b}^1$  is  $\phi(S_{a,b}^1, x) = x(x-1)^{n-4} f_{a,b}(x)$ , where

$$f_{a,b}(x) = x^3 - (n+2)x^2 + (ab+2n+1)x - n.$$

Similarly, the Laplacian characteristic polynomial of  $S_{a+1,b-1}^1$  is

$$\phi(S_{a+1,b-1}^1, x) = x(x-1)^{n-4} f_{a+1,b-1}(x)$$



Trees with maximum sum of the two largest Laplacian eigenvalues

where

$$f_{a+1,b-1}(x) = x^3 - (n+2)x^2 + (ab - (a-b) - 1 + 2n + 1)x - n.$$

Let  $x_1 \ge x_2 \ge x_3 > 0$  and  $x'_1 \ge x'_2 \ge x'_3 > 0$  be three roots of  $f_{a,b}(x) = 0$  and  $f_{a+1,b-1}(x) = 0$ , respectively. Clearly,  $S_2(S^1_{a,b}) = x_1 + x_2$  and  $S_2(S^1_{a+1,b-1}) = x'_1 + x'_2$ .

Note that  $f_{a,b}(x) - f_{a+1,b-1}(x) = (a-b+1)x > 0$  for x > 0. It follows that  $x'_3 > x_3$ . This together with the fact that  $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = n + 2 = x'_1 + x'_2 + x'_3$  implies that  $x_1 + x_2 > x'_1 + x'_2$ , as desired.

By Theorems 4.1 and 4.2, we now come to the main result of this section.

THEOREM 4.3. Among all trees in  $\mathscr{T}_n$ , the first  $\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor$  trees according to their  $S_2(T)$  are as follows:

$$S^{1}_{\lceil \frac{n-2}{2} \rceil, \lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor}, S^{1}_{\lceil \frac{n-2}{2} \rceil+1, \lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor-1}, S^{1}_{\lceil \frac{n-2}{2} \rceil+2, \lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor-2}, \dots, S^{1}_{n-4,2}, S^{1}_{n-3,1}$$

REMARK 4.4. Here we determine the first  $\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor$  trees among all trees in  $\mathscr{T}_n$  according to their  $S_2(T)$ , which extend the result of Guan et al., they determined the tree with maximum value of  $S_2(T)$ . Moreover, it is known that the Laplacian matrix L(G) and the signless Laplacian matrix Q(G) are similar when G is a bipartite graph [6]. That is, for any  $T \in \mathscr{T}_n$ , we have  $q_i(T) = \mu_i(T)$  for i = 1, 2, ..., n. Hence, Theorem 4.3 also holds for the sum of two largest signless Laplacian eigenvalues of trees.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank the anonymous referees for their constructive corrections and valuable comments on this paper, which have considerably improved the presentation of this paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] W.N. Anderson and T.D. Morley. Eigenvalues of the Laplacian of a graph. Lin. Multilin. Algebra, 18:141-145, 1985.
- [2] A.E. Brouwer and W.H. Haemers. A lower bound for the Laplacian eigenvalues of a graph-Proof of a conjecture by Guo. Linear Algebra Appl., 429:2131–2135, 2008.
- [3] A.E. Brouwer and W.H. Haemers. Spectra of Graphs. Springer, New York, 2012.
- [4] X. Chen. On Brouwer's conjecture for the sum of k largest Laplacian eigenvalues of graphs. Linear Algebra Appl., 578:402–410, 2019.
- [5] X. Chen. Improved results on Brouwer's conjecture for sum of the Laplacian eigenvalues of a graph. Linear Algebra Appl., 557:327–338, 2018.
- [6] D. Cvetković, P. Rowlinson, and S.K. Simić. An Introduction to the Theory of Graph Spectra. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [7] Z. Du and B. Zhou. Upper bounds for the sum of Laplacian eigenvalues of graphs. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 436:3672–3683, 2012.
- [8] K. Fan. On a theorem of Wely concerning eigenvalues of linear transformations. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA, 35;652–655, 1949.
- [9] E. Fritscher, C. Hoppen, I. Rocha, and V. Trevisan. On the sum of the Laplacian eigenvalues of a tree. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 435:371–399, 2011.
- [10] C. Godsil and G. Royle. Algebraic Graph Theory. Springer, New York, 2001.
- [11] M. Guan, M. Zhai, and Y. Wu. On the sum of the two largest Laplacian eigenvalues of trees. J. Inequal. Appl., 242:1–7, 2014.
- [12] J.-M. Guo. On the Laplacian spectral radius of trees with fixed diameter. Linear Algebra Appl., 419:618-629, 2006.
- [13] J.-M. Guo, J. Li, and W.C. Shiu. On the Laplacian, signless Laplacian and normalized Laplacian characteristic polynomials of a graph. Czechoslovak Math. J., 63:701–720, 2013.
- [14] W.H. Haemers, A. Mohammadian, and B. Tayfeh-Rezaie. On the sum of Laplacian eigenvalues of graphs. Linear Algebra Appl., 432:2214–2221, 2010.

### Y. Zheng, J. Li, and S. Chang

- [15] J. Li, J.-M. Guo, and W.C. Shiu. On the second largest Laplacian eigenvalues of graphs. Linear Algebra Appl., 438:2438– 2446, 2013.
- [16] M. Liu, B. Liu, and B. Cheng. Ordering (signless) Laplacian spectral radii with maximum degrees of graphs. Discrete Math., 338:159–163, 2015.
- [17] R. Merris. A note on Laplacian graph eigenvalues. Linear Algebra Appl., 285:33–35, 1998.
- [18] R. Grone, R. Merris, and V.S. Sunder. The Laplacian spectrum of a graph. SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 11:218–238, 1990.
- [19] I. Rocha and V. Trevisan. Bounding the sum of the largest Laplacian eigenvalues of graphs. Discrete Appl. Math., 170:95– 103, 2014.
- [20] S. Wang, Y. Huang, and B. Liu. On a conjecture for the sum of Laplacian eigenvalues. Math. Comput. Model., 56:60–68, 2012.
- [21] Y. Zheng, A. Chang, and J. Li. On the sum of the two largest Laplacian eigenvalues of unicyclic graphs. J. Inequal. Appl., 275:1–8, 2015.