



## COMPATIBILITY AND COMPANIONS FOR LEONARD PAIRS\*

KAZUMASA NOMURA<sup>†</sup> AND PAUL TERWILLIGER<sup>‡</sup>

**Abstract.** In this paper, we introduce the concepts of compatibility and companion for Leonard pairs. These concepts are roughly described as follows. Let  $\mathbb{F}$  denote a field, and let  $V$  denote a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$  with finite positive dimension. A Leonard pair on  $V$  is an ordered pair of diagonalizable  $\mathbb{F}$ -linear maps  $A : V \rightarrow V$  and  $A^* : V \rightarrow V$  that each act in an irreducible tridiagonal fashion on an eigenbasis for the other one. Leonard pairs  $A, A^*$  and  $B, B^*$  on  $V$  are said to be compatible whenever  $A^* = B^*$  and  $[A, A^*] = [B, B^*]$ , where  $[r, s] = rs - sr$ . For a Leonard pair  $A, A^*$  on  $V$ , by a companion of  $A, A^*$  we mean an  $\mathbb{F}$ -linear map  $K : V \rightarrow V$  such that  $K$  is a polynomial in  $A^*$  and  $A - K, A^*$  is a Leonard pair on  $V$ . The concepts of compatibility and companion are related as follows. For compatible Leonard pairs  $A, A^*$  and  $B, B^*$  on  $V$ , define  $K = A - B$ . Then  $K$  is a companion of  $A, A^*$ . For a Leonard pair  $A, A^*$  on  $V$  and a companion  $K$  of  $A, A^*$ , define  $B = A - K$  and  $B^* = A^*$ . Then  $B, B^*$  is a Leonard pair on  $V$  that is compatible with  $A, A^*$ . Let  $A, A^*$  denote a Leonard pair on  $V$ . We find all the Leonard pairs  $B, B^*$  on  $V$  that are compatible with  $A, A^*$ . For each solution  $B, B^*$ , we describe the corresponding companion  $K = A - B$ .

**Key words.** Leonard system, Parameter array, Compatibility, Companion, Bond relation.

**AMS subject classifications.** 05E30, 15A21, 15B10.

**1. Introduction.** The notion of a Leonard pair was introduced by the second author in [47]. We will recall the definition after a few comments. A square matrix is said to be tridiagonal whenever each nonzero entry lies on the diagonal, the subdiagonal, or the superdiagonal. A tridiagonal matrix is said to be irreducible whenever each entry on the subdiagonal is nonzero and each entry on the superdiagonal is nonzero. Let  $\mathbb{F}$  denote a field, and let  $V$  denote a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$  with finite positive dimension. A Leonard pair on  $V$  is an ordered pair of  $\mathbb{F}$ -linear maps  $A : V \rightarrow V$  and  $A^* : V \rightarrow V$  that satisfy (i) and (ii) below:

- (i) there exists a basis for  $V$  with respect to which the matrix representing  $A$  is irreducible tridiagonal and the matrix representing  $A^*$  is diagonal;
- (ii) there exists a basis for  $V$  with respect to which the matrix representing  $A^*$  is irreducible tridiagonal and the matrix representing  $A$  is diagonal.

We have some historical remarks about Leonard pairs. The concept of a Leonard pair originated in Algebraic Combinatorics, in the study of  $Q$ -polynomial distance-regular graphs [3, 15, 19]. The origin story begins with the 1973 thesis of Philippe Delsarte [20]. In that thesis, Delsarte showed that a  $Q$ -polynomial distance-regular graph yields two sequences of orthogonal polynomials that are related by what is now called Askey–Wilson duality [51, p. 261]. Motivated by Delsarte’s thesis and Eiichi Bannai’s lectures at Ohio State University, Douglas Leonard showed in 1982 that the  $q$ -Racah polynomials give the most general orthogonal polynomial system that satisfies Askey–Wilson duality [34]. In their 1984 book [3, Theorem 5.1], Bannai and Ito give a comprehensive version of Leonard’s theorem that treats all the limiting cases. This version gives

---

\*Received by the editors on December 26, 2021. Accepted for publication on July 23, 2022. Handling Editor: Froilán Dopico. Corresponding Author: Kazumasa Nomura

<sup>†</sup>Tokyo Medical and Dental University, Kohnodai Ichikawa, 272-0827 Japan (knomura@pop11.odn.ne.jp).

<sup>‡</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin, 480 Lincoln Drive, Madison, Wisconsin, 53706 USA (terwilli@math.wisc.edu).



We comment on the uniqueness of the parameter array. Consider a parameter array (1.1) of  $A, A^*$ . Then by [47, Theorem 1.11], each of the following is a parameter array of  $A, A^*$ :

$$\begin{aligned} &(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d; \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d), \\ &(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_{d-i}^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\phi_{d-i+1}\}_{i=1}^d; \{\varphi_{d-i+1}\}_{i=1}^d), \\ &(\{\theta_{d-i}\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d; \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d), \\ &(\{\theta_{d-i}\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_{d-i}^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\varphi_{d-i+1}\}_{i=1}^d; \{\phi_{d-i+1}\}_{i=1}^d). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,  $A, A^*$  has no further parameter array. By [49, Lemma 12.4], two Leonard pairs over  $\mathbb{F}$  are isomorphic if and only if they have a common parameter array. Now consider a parameter array (1.1) of  $A, A^*$ . By [47, Theorem 1.9] the expressions:

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{\theta_{i-2} - \theta_{i+1}}{\theta_{i-1} - \theta_i}, \quad \frac{\theta_{i-2}^* - \theta_{i+1}^*}{\theta_{i-1}^* - \theta_i^*},$$

are equal and independent of  $i$  for  $2 \leq i \leq d-1$ . For the rest of this paragraph, assume that  $d \geq 3$ . Let  $\beta + 1$  denote the common value of (1.2). The scalar  $\beta$  is called the fundamental constant of  $A, A^*$ . For a parameter array of  $A, A^*$ , the entries satisfy numerous relations [47, Theorem 1.9]. In [52], the solutions are given in closed form, in terms of seven free variables in addition to  $d$  and  $\beta$ . These seven variables are called basic. The closed forms depend on the nature of  $\beta$ , as we now describe. The Leonard pair  $A, A^*$  is said to have type I whenever  $\beta \neq \pm 2$ ; type II whenever  $\beta = 2$  and  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$ ; type III<sup>+</sup> whenever  $\beta = -2$ ,  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$ , and  $d$  is even; type III<sup>-</sup> whenever  $\beta = -2$ ,  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$ , and  $d$  is odd; type IV whenever  $\beta = 2$  and  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$ . For each type, the solutions are given in [52, Section 5].

We now describe our goals for the present paper. We introduce the concepts of compatibility and companion for Leonard pairs. These concepts are described as follows. Leonard pairs  $A, A^*$  and  $B, B^*$  on  $V$  are said to be compatible whenever  $A^* = B^*$  and  $[A, A^*] = [B, B^*]$ , where  $[r, s] = rs - sr$ . For a Leonard pair  $A, A^*$  on  $V$ , by a companion of  $A, A^*$  we mean an  $\mathbb{F}$ -linear map  $K : V \rightarrow V$  such that  $K$  is a polynomial in  $A^*$  and  $A - K, A^*$  is a Leonard pair on  $V$ . The concepts of compatibility and companion are related as follows. For compatible Leonard pairs  $A, A^*$  and  $B, B^*$  on  $V$ , define  $K = A - B$ . Then  $K$  is a companion of  $A, A^*$ . For a Leonard pair  $A, A^*$  on  $V$  and a companion  $K$  of  $A, A^*$ , define  $B = A - K$  and  $B^* = A^*$ . Then  $B, B^*$  is a Leonard pair on  $V$  that is compatible with  $A, A^*$ . Let  $A, A^*$  denote a Leonard pair on  $V$ . In this paper, we find all the Leonard pairs  $B, B^*$  on  $V$  that are compatible with  $A, A^*$ . For each solution  $B, B^*$ , we describe the corresponding companion  $K = A - B$ .

We will describe our main results after a few comments. Consider a Leonard pair  $A, A^*$  on  $V$  with a parameter array (1.1). We will show that for  $d \geq 3$ , the scalar

$$\kappa = (\theta_{i-1} - \theta_{i+1})^2 + (\beta + 2)(\theta_i - \theta_{i-1})(\theta_i - \theta_{i+1}),$$

is independent of  $i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d-1$ . We call  $\kappa$  the invariant value for  $A, A^*$ .

As we will see, every Leonard pair can be represented by an ordered pair of matrices, such that the first matrix is irreducible tridiagonal with all entries 1 on the subdiagonal, and the second matrix is diagonal. Motivated by this fact, we consider the following setup. Fix a diagonal matrix:

$$A^* = \text{diag}(\theta_0^*, \theta_1^*, \dots, \theta_d^*),$$

with  $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$  mutually distinct scalars in  $\mathbb{F}$ . An irreducible tridiagonal matrix is said to be normalized whenever it has all entries 1 on the subdiagonal. Let the set  $\Omega$  consist of the normalized irreducible tridiagonal

matrices  $A$  such that  $A, A^*$  is a Leonard pair on  $\mathbb{F}^{d+1}$ . For the moment let  $A \in \Omega$ . As we will see in Lemma 9.3, the set  $\Omega$  contains all the matrices  $B$  such that  $B, A^*$  is a Leonard pair on  $\mathbb{F}^{d+1}$  that is compatible with  $A, A^*$ . For the rest of this section, fix matrices  $A$  and  $B$  in  $\Omega$ , and let

$$(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d; \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d), \quad (\{\theta'_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\varphi'_i\}_{i=1}^d; \{\phi'_i\}_{i=1}^d),$$

denote a parameter array of  $A, A^*$  and  $B, A^*$ , respectively.

We now describe our first main result. The Leonard pairs  $A, A^*$  and  $B, A^*$  are compatible if and only if

$$\varphi_i \phi_i = \varphi'_i \phi'_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq d).$$

Our second main result is as follows. For the case  $d = 1$ ,  $A, A^*$  and  $B, A^*$  are compatible if and only if  $\varphi_1 \phi_1 = \varphi'_1 \phi'_1$ . For the case  $d = 2$ ,  $A, A^*$  and  $B, A^*$  are compatible if and only if  $\varphi_1 \phi_1 = \varphi'_1 \phi'_1$  and  $\varphi_2 \phi_2 = \varphi'_2 \phi'_2$ . For the case  $d \geq 3$ ,  $A, A^*$  and  $B, A^*$  are compatible if and only if

$$(1.3) \quad \kappa = \kappa', \quad \varphi_1 \phi_1 = \varphi'_1 \phi'_1, \quad \varphi_d \phi_d = \varphi'_d \phi'_d,$$

where  $\kappa$  (resp.  $\kappa'$ ) is the invariant value for  $A, A^*$  (resp.  $B, A^*$ ). We now describe our further main results. Assume that  $d \geq 3$ . Note that  $A, A^*$  and  $B, A^*$  have the same fundamental constant and the same type. For each type, we describe the conditions (1.3) in terms of the basic variables for  $A, A^*$  and  $B, A^*$ . These descriptions are given in Theorems 17.1, 20.1, 23.1, 26.1, and 29.1. We solve the resulting equations in terms of the basic variables of  $A, A^*$ . Our solutions are listed in Theorems 17.2, 17.3, 20.2, 23.2, 26.2, and 29.2. For each solution, we describe the corresponding companion  $K = A - B$ . These descriptions can be found in Theorems 18.1, 18.2, 18.3, 18.4, 21.1, 21.2, 24.1, 27.1, and 30.1.

We mention some examples of compatible Leonard pairs. Let  $A, B \in \Omega$  as above. We mentioned earlier that  $A, A^*$  and  $B, A^*$  are compatible if and only if  $\varphi_i \phi_i = \varphi'_i \phi'_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . This condition is satisfied if one of the following (i)–(iv) holds:

- (i)  $\varphi'_i = \varphi_i$  and  $\phi'_i = \phi_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq d$ );
- (ii)  $\varphi'_i = \phi_i$  and  $\phi'_i = \varphi_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq d$ );
- (iii)  $\varphi'_i = -\varphi_i$  and  $\phi'_i = -\phi_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq d$ );
- (iv)  $\varphi'_i = -\phi_i$  and  $\phi'_i = -\varphi_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq d$ ).

As we will see in Proposition 12.3, the condition (i) or (ii) holds if and only if there exists  $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $B = A + \zeta I$ . Here,  $I$  denotes the identity matrix. Define  $A^\vee = -\mathbb{S}A\mathbb{S}^{-1}$ , where  $\mathbb{S}$  denotes the diagonal matrix that has  $(i, i)$ -entry  $(-1)^i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ . Then,  $A^\vee \in \Omega$  (see Lemma 10.1.) As we will see in Proposition 12.4, the condition (iii) or (iv) holds if and only if there exists  $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $B = A^\vee + \zeta I$ . In the main body of the paper, we interpret conditions (iii) and (iv) using a symmetric binary relation called the bond relation.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we fix our notation and recall some materials from linear algebra. In Sections 3 and 4, we obtain some results about tridiagonal matrices that will be used later in the paper. In Section 4, we discuss the normalization of an irreducible tridiagonal matrix. In Section 5, we introduce the bond relation. In Section 6, we recall some basic facts about Leonard pairs. In Section 7, we apply the bond relation to Leonard pairs. In Section 8, we introduce the concepts of compatibility and companion for Leonard pairs. In Section 9, we introduce the set  $\Omega$ . In Section 10, we consider the bond relation on  $\Omega$ . In Section 11, we consider the compatibility relation on  $\Omega$ . In Section 12, we prove our first

main result. In Section 13, we describe some basic facts about the type of a Leonard pair. In Section 14, we display a formula that will be used in the proof of our second main result. In Section 15, we describe the companions of a Leonard pair for  $d = 1, 2$ . In Sections 16–30, we consider Leonard pairs with  $d \geq 3$ . For each type I–IV, we describe the parameter array in terms of the basic variables and prove the formula from Section 14 (Sections 16, 19, 22, 25, and 28); we represent condition (1.3) in terms of the basic variables and give the solutions (Sections 17, 20, 23, 26, and 29); and we describe the companions of the given Leonard pair (Sections 18, 21, 24, 27, and 30).

**2. Preliminaries.** The following notational conventions hold throughout the paper. Let  $\mathbb{F}$  denote a field. Every vector space and algebra discussed in this paper is over  $\mathbb{F}$ . Fix an integer  $d \geq 0$ . The notation  $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^d$  refers to the sequence  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_d$ . Let  $\text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$  denote the algebra consisting of the  $d + 1$  by  $d + 1$  matrices that have all entries in  $\mathbb{F}$ . We index the rows and columns by  $0, 1, \dots, d$ . The identity element of  $\text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$  is denoted by  $I$ . Let  $\mathbb{F}^{d+1}$  denote the vector space consisting of the column vectors with  $d + 1$  rows and all entries in  $\mathbb{F}$ . We index the rows by  $0, 1, \dots, d$ . The algebra  $\text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$  acts on  $\mathbb{F}^{d+1}$  by left multiplication. Let  $V$  denote a vector space with dimension  $d + 1$ . Let  $\text{End}(V)$  denote the algebra consisting of the  $\mathbb{F}$ -linear maps  $V \rightarrow V$ . The identity element of  $\text{End}(V)$  is denoted by  $I$ . We recall how each basis  $\{v_i\}_{i=0}^d$  of  $V$  gives an algebra isomorphism  $\text{End}(V) \rightarrow \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$ . For  $A \in \text{End}(V)$  and  $M \in \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$ , we say that  $M$  represents  $A$  with respect to  $\{v_i\}_{i=0}^d$  whenever  $Av_j = \sum_{i=0}^d M_{i,j}v_i$  for  $0 \leq j \leq d$ . The isomorphism sends  $A$  to the unique matrix in  $\text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$  that represents  $A$  with respect to  $\{v_i\}_{i=0}^d$ . Let  $A \in \text{End}(V)$ . By an *eigenspace* of  $A$ , we mean a subspace  $W \subseteq V$  such that  $W \neq 0$  and there exists  $\theta \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $W = \{v \in V \mid Av = \theta v\}$ ; in this case,  $\theta$  is the *eigenvalue* of  $A$  associated with  $W$ . We say that  $A$  is *diagonalizable* whenever  $V$  is spanned by the eigenspaces of  $A$ . We say that  $A$  is *multiplicity-free* whenever  $A$  is diagonalizable and its eigenspaces all have dimension one. Assume that  $A$  is multiplicity-free. Let  $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$  denote an ordering of the eigenvalues of  $A$ . For  $0 \leq i \leq d$  let  $V_i$ , denote the eigenspace of  $A$  associated with  $\theta_i$  and define  $E_i \in \text{End}(V)$  such that  $(E_i - I)V_i = 0$  and  $E_iV_j = 0$  for  $j \neq i$  ( $0 \leq j \leq d$ ). We call  $E_i$  the *primitive idempotent of  $A$  associated with  $\theta_i$* . We have (i)  $E_iE_j = \delta_{i,j}E_i$  ( $0 \leq i, j \leq d$ ); (ii)  $I = \sum_{i=0}^d E_i$ ; (iii)  $AE_i = \theta_iE_i = E_iA$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d$ ); (iv)  $A = \sum_{i=0}^d \theta_iE_i$ ; (v)  $V_i = E_iV$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d$ ); (vi)  $\text{rank}(E_i) = 1$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d$ ); and (vii)  $\text{tr}(E_i) = 1$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d$ ), where  $\text{tr}$  means trace. Moreover,

$$E_i = \prod_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq d \\ j \neq i}} \frac{A - \theta_j I}{\theta_i - \theta_j} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d).$$

Let  $\langle A \rangle$  denote the subalgebra of  $\text{End}(V)$  generated by  $A$ . The algebra  $\langle A \rangle$  is commutative. The elements  $\{A^i\}_{i=0}^d$  form a basis of  $\langle A \rangle$  and  $\prod_{i=0}^d (A - \theta_i I) = 0$ . Moreover,  $\{E_i\}_{i=0}^d$  form a basis of  $\langle A \rangle$ .

LEMMA 2.1. *Assume that  $A \in \text{End}(V)$  is multiplicity-free with primitive idempotents  $\{E_i\}_{i=0}^d$ . Then for  $H \in \text{End}(V)$ , the following (i)–(iii) are equivalent:*

- (i)  $H \in \langle A \rangle$ ;
- (ii)  $H$  commutes with  $A$ ;
- (iii)  $H$  commutes with  $E_i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ .

*Proof.* This is a reformulation of the fact that a matrix  $M \in \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$  commutes with each diagonal matrix in  $\text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$  if and only if  $M$  diagonal.  $\square$

LEMMA 2.2 (Skolem-Noether, see [43, Corollary 7.125]). *For a map  $\sigma : \text{End}(V) \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ , the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\sigma$  is an algebra isomorphism;
- (ii) there exists an invertible  $S \in \text{End}(V)$  such that  $X^\sigma = SXS^{-1}$  for all  $X \in \text{End}(V)$ .

**3. Tridiagonal matrices and diagonal equivalence.** Recall the algebra  $\text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$ . In this section, we describe an equivalence relation on  $\text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$  called diagonal equivalence. We investigate this equivalence relation on the set of irreducible tridiagonal matrices in  $\text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$ .

DEFINITION 3.1. *Matrices  $A$  and  $B$  in  $\text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$  are said to be diagonally equivalent whenever there exists an invertible diagonal matrix  $S \in \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$  such that  $B = SAS^{-1}$ .*

Note that diagonal equivalence is an equivalence relation on  $\text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$ .

LEMMA 3.2. *For a matrix  $A \in \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$  and an invertible diagonal matrix,*

$$S = \text{diag}(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_d),$$

*the matrix  $SAS^{-1}$  has  $(i, j)$ -entry  $s_i s_j^{-1} A_{i,j}$  for  $0 \leq i, j \leq d$ .*

*Proof.* By matrix multiplication. □

COROLLARY 3.3. *For diagonally equivalent matrices  $A, B$  in  $\text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$ ,*

$$(3.1) \quad A_{i,i} = B_{i,i} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d),$$

$$(3.2) \quad A_{i,j} A_{j,i} = B_{i,j} B_{j,i} \quad (0 \leq i, j \leq d).$$

*Proof.* Use Lemma 3.2. □

We have a comment about Corollary 3.3. Suppose that  $A, B$  in  $\text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$  satisfy (3.1), (3.2). It is natural to conjecture that  $A$  and  $B$  are diagonally equivalent. This conjecture is not true in general; a counterexample is

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We now consider a class of matrices for which the conjecture is true.

A matrix  $M \in \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$  is said to be *tridiagonal* whenever the  $(i, j)$ -entry  $M_{i,j} = 0$  if  $|i - j| > 1$  ( $0 \leq i, j \leq d$ ). Assume that  $M$  is tridiagonal. Then,  $M$  is said to be *irreducible* whenever  $M_{i,j} \neq 0$  if  $|i - j| = 1$  ( $0 \leq i, j \leq d$ ).

Next, we give a variation on Lemma 3.2.

LEMMA 3.4. *For a tridiagonal matrix  $A \in \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$  and an invertible diagonal matrix:*

$$S = \text{diag}(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_d),$$

*the matrix  $SAS^{-1}$  is tridiagonal with entries:*

$$\frac{\begin{array}{ccc} (i, i)\text{-entry} & (i, i-1)\text{-entry} & (i-1, i)\text{-entry} \\ A_{i,i} & s_i s_{i-1}^{-1} A_{i,i-1} & s_{i-1} s_i^{-1} A_{i-1,i} \end{array}}{.}$$

*Proof.* Use Lemma 3.2. □

We emphasize a few points from Lemma 3.4.

LEMMA 3.5. *For diagonally equivalent matrices  $A, B$  in  $\text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$ ,  $A$  is tridiagonal if and only if  $B$  is tridiagonal. In this case,  $A$  is irreducible if and only if  $B$  is irreducible.*

*Proof.* Use Lemmas 3.2 and 3.4. □

We now establish the converse of Corollary 3.3 for irreducible tridiagonal matrices.

LEMMA 3.6. *For irreducible tridiagonal matrices  $A$  and  $B$  in  $\text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$ , assume that*

$$(3.3) \quad A_{i,i} = B_{i,i} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d), \quad A_{i,i-1}A_{i-1,i} = B_{i,i-1}B_{i-1,i} \quad (1 \leq i \leq d).$$

*Define a diagonal matrix  $S \in \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$  that has diagonal entries:*

$$(3.4) \quad S_{i,i} = \frac{B_{1,0}B_{2,1} \cdots B_{i,i-1}}{A_{1,0}A_{2,1} \cdots A_{i,i-1}} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d).$$

*Then  $B = SAS^{-1}$ .*

*Proof.* For  $0 \leq i \leq d$  abbreviate  $s_i = S_{i,i}$ . By (3.3) and (3.4),

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{s_i}{s_{i-1}} = \frac{B_{i,i-1}}{A_{i,i-1}} = \frac{A_{i-1,i}}{B_{i-1,i}} \quad (1 \leq i \leq d).$$

The matrices  $SAS^{-1}$  and  $B$  are tridiagonal. By Lemma 3.2 and (3.3),

$$(SAS^{-1})_{i,i} = A_{i,i} = B_{i,i} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d).$$

By Lemma 3.2 and (3.5),

$$\begin{aligned} (SAS^{-1})_{i,i-1} &= s_i s_{i-1}^{-1} A_{i,i-1} = B_{i,i-1} & (1 \leq i \leq d), \\ (SAS^{-1})_{i-1,i} &= s_{i-1} s_i^{-1} A_{i-1,i} = B_{i-1,i} & (1 \leq i \leq d). \end{aligned}$$

By these comments,  $SAS^{-1} = B$ . □

LEMMA 3.7. *For an irreducible tridiagonal matrix  $A \in \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$  and an invertible diagonal matrix  $S \in \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$ , define  $B = SAS^{-1}$ . Then the following (i)–(v) are equivalent:*

- (i)  $A_{i,i-1} = B_{i,i-1}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ ;
- (ii)  $A_{i-1,i} = B_{i-1,i}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ ;
- (iii)  $A - B$  is diagonal;
- (iv)  $A = B$ ;
- (v)  $S_{i,i} = S_{0,0}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $B$  is irreducible tridiagonal.

(i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii) By (3.2).

(i),(ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii) Since  $B$  is tridiagonal.

(i),(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv) By (3.1) and since  $B$  is tridiagonal.

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) Clear.

(i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (v) For  $0 \leq i \leq d$  abbreviate  $s_i = S_{i,i}$ . By Lemma 3.4,  $B_{i,i-1} = s_i s_{i-1}^{-1} A_{i,i-1}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . So (i) holds if and only if  $s_i s_{i-1}^{-1} = 1$  ( $1 \leq i \leq d$ ) if and only if  $s_i = s_0$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d$ ). □



**4. A normalization.** In this section, we introduce a type of irreducible tridiagonal matrix, said to be normalized.

DEFINITION 4.1. *An irreducible tridiagonal matrix  $A \in \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$  is said to be normalized whenever  $A_{i,i-1} = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ .*

LEMMA 4.2. *Every irreducible tridiagonal matrix in  $\text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$  is diagonally equivalent to a unique normalized irreducible tridiagonal matrix in  $\text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$ .*

*Proof.* Consider an irreducible tridiagonal matrix  $A \in \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$ . We first show the existence of a normalized irreducible tridiagonal matrix in  $\text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$  that is diagonally equivalent to  $A$ . Define an irreducible tridiagonal matrix  $B \in \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$  such that  $B_{i,i} = A_{i,i}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq d$  and  $B_{i,i-1} = 1$ ,  $B_{i-1,i} = A_{i,i-1}A_{i-1,i}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . By Lemma 3.6, there exists an invertible diagonal matrix  $S \in \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$  such that  $B = SAS^{-1}$ . Then  $B$  is a normalized irreducible tridiagonal matrix that is diagonally equivalent to  $A$ . We have shown the existence. Next, we show the uniqueness. Consider normalized irreducible tridiagonal matrices  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  in  $\text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$  each of which is diagonally equivalent to  $A$ . Then  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are diagonally equivalent. We apply Lemma 3.7 to  $B_1$  and  $B_2$ . We have  $(B_1)_{i,i-1} = (B_2)_{i,i-1}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . So Lemma 3.7(i) holds. By this and Lemma 3.7(iv), we obtain  $B_1 = B_2$ . We have shown the uniqueness.  $\square$

**5. The bond relation.** In this section, we introduce a symmetric binary relation on the set of irreducible tridiagonal matrices in  $\text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$ . We call this relation the bond relation. To motivate things, we mention a variation on Lemma 3.7.

LEMMA 5.1. *For an irreducible tridiagonal matrix  $A \in \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$  and an invertible diagonal matrix  $S \in \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$ , define  $B = SAS^{-1}$ . Then the following (i)–(iv) are equivalent:*

- (i)  $A_{i,i-1} = -B_{i,i-1}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ ;
- (ii)  $A_{i-1,i} = -B_{i-1,i}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ ;
- (iii)  $A + B$  is diagonal;
- (iv)  $S_{i,i} = (-1)^i S_{0,0}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ .

Moreover, if (i)–(iv) hold then  $A + B$  has  $(i, i)$ -entry  $2A_{i,i}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ .

*Proof.* Note by Lemma 3.5 that  $B$  is irreducible tridiagonal.

(i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii) By (3.2).

(i),(ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii) Since  $B$  is tridiagonal.

(i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iv) For  $0 \leq i \leq d$  abbreviate  $s_i = S_{i,i}$ . By Lemma 3.4,  $B_{i,i-1} = s_i s_{i-1}^{-1} A_{i,i-1}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . So (i) holds if and only if  $s_i s_{i-1}^{-1} = -1$  ( $1 \leq i \leq d$ ) if and only if  $s_i = (-1)^i s_0$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d$ ).

Suppose (i)–(iv) hold. By Corollary 3.3, we have  $A_{i,i} = B_{i,i}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ . So the matrix  $A + B$  has  $(i, i)$ -entry  $2A_{i,i}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ .  $\square$

In view of Lemma 5.1, we make a definition.

DEFINITION 5.2. *For an irreducible tridiagonal matrix  $A \in \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$ , define  $A^\vee = -SAS^{-1}$ , where  $S \in \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$  is diagonal with  $(i, i)$ -entry  $(-1)^i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ . Note that  $A^\vee$  is irreducible tridiagonal and diagonally equivalent to  $-A$ .*



NOTE 5.3. For an irreducible tridiagonal matrix  $A \in \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$ ,  $(A^\vee)^\vee = A$ .

NOTE 5.4. Referring to Definition 5.2, assume that  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$ . Then  $\mathbb{S} = I$  and  $A^\vee = A$ .

DEFINITION 5.5. Irreducible tridiagonal matrices  $A$  and  $B$  in  $\text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$  are said to be bonded whenever  $B = A^\vee$ .

NOTE 5.6. The bond relation is a symmetric binary relation on the set of all irreducible tridiagonal matrices  $\text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$ .

LEMMA 5.7. For an irreducible tridiagonal matrix  $A \in \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$ , there exists a unique irreducible tridiagonal matrix in  $\text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$  that is bonded to  $A$ .

*Proof.* By the construction. □

LEMMA 5.8. Consider irreducible tridiagonal matrices  $A, B$  in  $\text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$ . Then  $A$  and  $B$  are bonded if and only if the following (i)–(iii) hold:

- (i)  $A_{i,i-1} = B_{i,i-1}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ ;
- (ii)  $A_{i-1,i} = B_{i-1,i}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ ;
- (iii)  $A_{i,i} = -B_{i,i}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ .

*Proof.* Use Lemma 3.4. □

LEMMA 5.9. For irreducible tridiagonal matrices  $A$  and  $B$  in  $\text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$ , assume that  $A$  and  $B$  are bonded. Then  $A$  is normalized if and only if  $B$  is normalized.

*Proof.* By Lemma 5.8(i). □

In the next two results, we characterize the bond relation in various ways.

LEMMA 5.10. Consider irreducible tridiagonal matrices  $A, B$  in  $\text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$ . Then the following (i)–(iii) are equivalent:

- (i)  $A$  and  $B$  are bonded;
- (ii)  $A - B$  is diagonal with  $(i, i)$ -entry  $2A_{i,i}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ ;
- (iii)  $B - A$  is diagonal with  $(i, i)$ -entry  $2B_{i,i}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ .

*Proof.* Use Lemma 5.8. □

LEMMA 5.11. For an irreducible tridiagonal matrix  $A \in \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$  and a diagonal matrix  $K \in \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$ , the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $A$  and  $A - K$  are bonded;
- (ii)  $K_{i,i} = 2A_{i,i}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ .

*Proof.* Define  $B = A - K$  and use Lemma 5.10. □

LEMMA 5.12. Let  $A \in \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$  be irreducible tridiagonal. If  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$ , then  $A$  is bonded to  $A$ . If  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$ , then the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $A$  is bonded to  $A$ ;
- (ii)  $A_{i,i} = 0$  for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ .

*Proof.* First assume that  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$ . Then  $A$  is bonded to  $A$  by Note 5.4. Next assume that  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$ . In Lemma 5.11, set  $K = 0$  to get the equivalence of (i), (ii). □

**6. Leonard pairs and Leonard systems.** In this section, we briefly recall the notion of a Leonard pair and a Leonard system.

DEFINITION 6.1 (See [47, Definition 1.1]). *By a Leonard pair on  $V$ , we mean an ordered pair  $A, A^*$  of elements in  $\text{End}(V)$  that satisfy (i) and (ii) below:*

- (i) *there exists a basis for  $V$  with respect to which the matrix representing  $A$  is irreducible tridiagonal and the matrix representing  $A^*$  is diagonal;*
- (ii) *there exists a basis for  $V$  with respect to which the matrix representing  $A^*$  is irreducible tridiagonal and the matrix representing  $A$  is diagonal.*

NOTE 6.2. *By a common notational convention,  $A^*$  denotes the conjugate-transpose of  $A$ . We are not using this convention. In a Leonard pair  $A, A^*$ , the elements  $A$  and  $A^*$  are arbitrary subject to (i) and (ii) above.*

NOTE 6.3. *Assume that  $d = 0$ . Then any ordered pair of elements in  $\text{End}(V)$  form a Leonard pair on  $V$ .*

For the rest of this paper, we assume  $d \geq 1$ .

Consider a Leonard pair  $A, A^*$  on  $V$  and a Leonard pair  $B, B^*$  on a vector space  $V'$ . By an *isomorphism of Leonard pairs from  $A, A^*$  to  $B, B^*$*  we mean an algebra isomorphism  $\text{End}(V) \rightarrow \text{End}(V')$  that sends  $A \mapsto B$  and  $A^* \mapsto B^*$ . The Leonard pairs  $A, A^*$  and  $B, B^*$  are said to be *isomorphic* whenever there exists an isomorphism of Leonard pairs from  $A, A^*$  to  $B, B^*$ .

LEMMA 6.4. *For a Leonard pair  $A, A^*$  on  $V$  and a pair  $B, B^*$  of elements in  $\text{End}(V)$ , the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  *$B, B^*$  is a Leonard pair on  $V$  that is isomorphic to  $A, A^*$ ;*
- (ii) *there exists an invertible  $S \in \text{End}(V)$  such that  $B = SAS^{-1}$  and  $B^* = SA^*S^{-1}$ .*

*Proof.* Routine verification using Lemma 2.2. □

LEMMA 6.5 (See [38, Lemma 5.1]). *For a Leonard pair  $A, A^*$  on  $V$  and scalars  $\xi, \xi^*, \zeta, \zeta^*$  in  $\mathbb{F}$  with  $\xi\xi^* \neq 0$ , the pair  $\xi A + \zeta I, \xi^* A^* + \zeta^* I$  is a Leonard pair on  $V$ .*

When working with a Leonard pair, it is often convenient to consider a closely related object called a Leonard system. In order to define this, we first make an observation about Leonard pairs.

LEMMA 6.6 (See [47, Lemma 3.1]). *For a Leonard pair  $A, A^*$  on  $V$ , each of  $A, A^*$  is multiplicity-free.*

A Leonard system is defined as follows.

DEFINITION 6.7 (See [47, Definition 1.4]). *By a Leonard system on  $V$ , we mean a sequence:*

$$(6.1) \quad \Phi = (A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d),$$

*of elements in  $\text{End}(V)$  that satisfy the following (i)–(v):*

- (i) *each of  $A, A^*$  is multiplicity-free;*
- (ii)  *$\{E_i\}_{i=0}^d$  is an ordering of the primitive idempotents of  $A$ ;*
- (iii)  *$\{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$  is an ordering of the primitive idempotents of  $A^*$ ;*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(iv)} \quad E_i^* A E_j^* &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |i-j| > 1, \\ \neq 0 & \text{if } |i-j| = 1 \end{cases} \quad (0 \leq i, j \leq d); \\
 \text{(v)} \quad E_i A^* E_j &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |i-j| > 1, \\ \neq 0 & \text{if } |i-j| = 1 \end{cases} \quad (0 \leq i, j \leq d).
 \end{aligned}$$

We say that  $\Phi$  is over  $\mathbb{F}$ .

Leonard systems are related to Leonard pairs as follows. For the Leonard system  $\Phi$  from (6.1), by [51, Section 3] the pair  $A, A^*$  is a Leonard pair on  $V$ . Conversely, for a Leonard pair  $A, A^*$  on  $V$ , each of  $A, A^*$  is multiplicity-free by Lemma 6.6. Moreover, there exists an ordering  $\{E_i\}_{i=0}^d$  of the primitive idempotents of  $A$  and an ordering of  $\{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$  of the primitive idempotents of  $A^*$  such that  $(A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  is a Leonard system on  $V$  (see [51, Lemma 3.3].)

Consider the Leonard system  $\Phi$  from (6.1) and a Leonard system:

$$\Phi' = (B; \{E'_i\}_{i=0}^d; B^*; \{E_i^{*'}\}_{i=0}^d),$$

on a vector space  $V'$ . By an *isomorphism of Leonard systems from  $\Phi$  to  $\Phi'$* , we mean an algebra isomorphism  $\text{End}(V) \rightarrow \text{End}(V')$  that sends  $A \mapsto B, A^* \mapsto B^*$  and  $E_i \mapsto E'_i, E_i^* \mapsto E_i^{*'}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ . The Leonard systems  $\Phi$  and  $\Phi'$  are said to be *isomorphic* whenever there exists an isomorphism of Leonard systems from  $\Phi$  to  $\Phi'$ .

LEMMA 6.8. Consider the Leonard system  $\Phi$  from (6.1) and a sequence:

$$\Phi' = (B; \{E'_i\}_{i=0}^d; B^*; \{E_i^{*'}\}_{i=0}^d),$$

of elements in  $\text{End}(V)$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $\Phi'$  is a Leonard system on  $V$  that is isomorphic to  $\Phi$ ;
- (ii) there exists an invertible  $S \in \text{End}(V)$  such that  $SAS^{-1} = B, SA^*S^{-1} = B^*$ , and  $SE_iS^{-1} = E'_i, SE_i^*S^{-1} = E_i^{*'}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ .

*Proof.* Routine verification using Lemma 2.2. □

DEFINITION 6.9. Consider a Leonard pair  $A, A^*$  on  $V$ . An ordering  $\{E_i\}_{i=0}^d$  of the primitive idempotents of  $A$  is said to be *standard* whenever it satisfies Definition 6.7(v). A standard ordering of the primitive idempotents of  $A^*$  is similarly defined.

Referring to Definition 6.9, for a standard ordering  $\{E_i\}_{i=0}^d$  of the primitive idempotents of  $A$ , the ordering  $\{E_{d-i}\}_{i=0}^d$  is standard and no further ordering is standard. A similar comment applies to the primitive idempotents of  $A^*$ .

For the Leonard system  $\Phi$  from (6.1), each of the following is a Leonard system on  $V$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Phi^* &= (A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d), \\
 \Phi^\downarrow &= (A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_{d-i}^*\}_{i=0}^d), \\
 \Phi^\updownarrow &= (A; \{E_{d-i}\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d).
 \end{aligned}$$

For  $g \in \{*, \downarrow, \updownarrow\}$  and an object  $f$  associated with  $\Phi$ , let  $f^g$  denote the corresponding object associated with  $\Phi^g$ .

The Leonard system  $\Phi$  from (6.1) and the Leonard pair  $A, A^*$  are said to be *associated*.

LEMMA 6.10 (See [49, Section 3]). *Let  $A, A^*$  denote a Leonard pair on  $V$ . If  $\Phi$  is a Leonard system associated with  $A, A^*$ , then so is  $\Phi^\downarrow, \Phi^\uparrow, \Phi^{\downarrow\uparrow}$ . No further Leonard system is associated with  $A, A^*$ .*

DEFINITION 6.11. *Consider the Leonard system  $\Phi$  from (6.1). For  $0 \leq i \leq d$  let  $\theta_i$  (resp.  $\theta_i^*$ ) denote the eigenvalue of  $A$  (resp.  $A^*$ ) associated with  $E_i$  (resp.  $E_i^*$ ). We call  $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$  (resp.  $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$ ) the eigenvalue sequence (resp. dual eigenvalue sequence) of  $\Phi$ .*

LEMMA 6.12 (See [47, Theorem 3.2]). *Referring to Definition 6.11, there exists a sequence  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d$  of scalars in  $\mathbb{F}$  and a basis of  $V$  with respect to which the matrices representing  $A$  and  $A^*$  are*

$$A : \begin{pmatrix} \theta_0 & & & & \mathbf{0} \\ 1 & \theta_1 & & & \\ & 1 & \theta_2 & & \\ & & \cdot & \cdot & \\ & & & \cdot & \cdot \\ \mathbf{0} & & & & 1 & \theta_d \end{pmatrix}, \quad A^* : \begin{pmatrix} \theta_0^* & \varphi_1 & & & \mathbf{0} \\ & \theta_1^* & \varphi_2 & & \\ & & \theta_1^* & \cdot & \\ & & & \cdot & \cdot \\ & & & & \cdot & \varphi_d \\ \mathbf{0} & & & & & \theta_d^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

The sequence  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d$  is uniquely determined by  $\Phi$ . Moreover,  $\varphi_i \neq 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ .

DEFINITION 6.13 (See [47, Definition 3.10]). *Referring to Lemma 6.12, we call  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d$  the first split sequence of  $\Phi$ . Let  $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d$  denote the first split sequence of  $\Phi^\downarrow$ . We call  $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d$  the second split sequence of  $\Phi$ .*

DEFINITION 6.14 (See [53, Definition 22.3]). *For the Leonard system  $\Phi$  from (6.1), by the parameter array of  $\Phi$  we mean the sequence:*

$$(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d; \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d),$$

where  $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$  (resp.  $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$ ) is the eigenvalue sequence (resp. dual eigenvalue sequence) of  $\Phi$ , and  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d$  (resp.  $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d$ ) is the first split sequence (resp. second split sequence) of  $\Phi$ .

LEMMA 6.15 (See [47, Theorem 1.9]). *Consider a sequence*

$$(6.2) \quad (\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d; \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d),$$

of scalars taken from  $\mathbb{F}$ . Then there exists a Leonard system  $\Phi$  over  $\mathbb{F}$  with parameter array (6.2) if and only if the following conditions (i)–(v) hold:

- (i)  $\theta_i \neq \theta_j, \quad \theta_i^* \neq \theta_j^* \quad \text{if } i \neq j \quad (0 \leq i, j \leq d)$ ;
- (ii)  $\varphi_i \neq 0, \quad \phi_i \neq 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq d)$ ;
- (iii)  $\varphi_i = \phi_1 \sum_{\ell=0}^{i-1} \frac{\theta_\ell - \theta_{d-\ell}}{\theta_0 - \theta_d} + (\theta_i^* - \theta_0^*)(\theta_{i-1} - \theta_d) \quad (1 \leq i \leq d)$ ;
- (iv)  $\phi_i = \varphi_1 \sum_{\ell=0}^{i-1} \frac{\theta_\ell - \theta_{d-\ell}}{\theta_0 - \theta_d} + (\theta_i^* - \theta_0^*)(\theta_{d-i+1} - \theta_0) \quad (1 \leq i \leq d)$ ;
- (v) the expressions

$$(6.3) \quad \frac{\theta_{i-2} - \theta_{i+1}}{\theta_{i-1} - \theta_i}, \quad \frac{\theta_{i-2}^* - \theta_{i+1}^*}{\theta_{i-1}^* - \theta_i^*},$$

are equal and independent of  $i$  for  $2 \leq i \leq d - 1$ .

Moreover, if (i)–(v) hold, then  $\Phi$  is uniquely determined up to isomorphism of Leonard systems.

DEFINITION 6.16. *By a parameter array over  $\mathbb{F}$  we mean a sequence*

$$(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d; \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d),$$

of scalars taken from  $\mathbb{F}$  that satisfy conditions (i)–(v) in Lemma 6.15.

DEFINITION 6.17. Referring to Definition 6.16, assume that  $d \geq 3$ . Define  $\beta \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $\beta + 1$  is equal to the common value of the two fractions in (6.3). We call  $\beta$  the fundamental constant of the parameter array (6.2).

DEFINITION 6.18. Assume that  $d \geq 3$ . Then the fundamental constant of a given Leonard system is the fundamental constant of the associated parameter array.

Referring to Definition 6.18, observe that for a Leonard pair on  $V$  the associated Leonard systems have the same fundamental constant.

DEFINITION 6.19. Assume that  $d \geq 3$ . The fundamental constant of a given Leonard pair is the fundamental constant of an associated Leonard system.

In the next result, we emphasize some relations from Lemma 6.15 for later use.

LEMMA 6.20. Referring to Definition 6.16,

$$(6.4) \quad \varphi_1 - \phi_1 = (\theta_1^* - \theta_0^*)(\theta_0 - \theta_d),$$

$$(6.5) \quad \varphi_d - \phi_1 = (\theta_d^* - \theta_0^*)(\theta_{d-1} - \theta_d),$$

$$(6.6) \quad \phi_d - \varphi_1 = (\theta_d^* - \theta_0^*)(\theta_1 - \theta_0).$$

*Proof.* In Lemma 6.15(iii),(iv) set  $i = 1$  and  $i = d$ . □

LEMMA 6.21 (See [27, Theorem 11.1]). Assume that  $d \geq 3$ . Consider a parameter array (6.2) over  $\mathbb{F}$  with fundamental constant  $\beta$ . Then there exist scalars  $\gamma, \varrho$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &= \theta_{i-1} - \beta\theta_i + \theta_{i+1} & (1 \leq i \leq d-1), \\ \varrho &= \theta_{i-1}^2 - \beta\theta_{i-1}\theta_i + \theta_i^2 - \gamma(\theta_{i-1} + \theta_i) & (1 \leq i \leq d). \end{aligned}$$

For a Leonard pair  $A, A^*$  on  $V$ , consider the scalars  $\gamma$  and  $\beta$  for the parameter array of a Leonard system associated with  $A, A^*$ . Observe that the scalars  $\gamma$  and  $\varrho$  are determined by  $A, A^*$ .

DEFINITION 6.22. Assume that  $d \geq 3$ . Referring to Lemma 6.21, define

$$(6.7) \quad \kappa = \gamma^2 + (2 - \beta)\varrho.$$

We call  $\kappa$  the invariant value for the parameter array (6.2).

DEFINITION 6.23. Assume that  $d \geq 3$ . Let  $\Phi$  denote a Leonard system on  $V$ . By the invariant value for  $\Phi$ , we mean the invariant value for the parameter array of  $\Phi$ .

For a Leonard pair  $A, A^*$  on  $V$ , consider a Leonard system  $\Phi$  associated with  $A, A^*$ . Observe that the invariant value for  $\Phi$  is determined by  $A, A^*$ , and independent of the choice of an associated Leonard system.

DEFINITION 6.24. Assume that  $d \geq 3$ . Let  $A, A^*$  denote a Leonard pair on  $V$ . By the invariant value for  $A, A^*$ , we mean the invariant value of a Leonard system associated with  $A, A^*$ .

LEMMA 6.25. Referring to Definition 6.22,

$$\kappa = (\theta_{i-1} - \theta_{i+1})^2 + (\beta + 2)(\theta_i - \theta_{i-1})(\theta_i - \theta_{i+1}) \quad (1 \leq i \leq d-1).$$

*Proof.* In (6.7), eliminate  $\gamma$  and  $\varrho$  using Lemma 6.21 and rearrange the terms. □

LEMMA 6.26 (See [54, Lemma 19.13]). Referring to Definition 6.16,

$$\frac{\theta_\ell - \theta_{d-\ell}}{\theta_0 - \theta_d} = \frac{\theta_\ell^* - \theta_{d-\ell}^*}{\theta_0^* - \theta_d^*} \quad (0 \leq \ell \leq d).$$

NOTATION 6.27. Let  $\Phi = (A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  denote a Leonard system on  $V$  with parameter array  $(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d; \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d)$ .

LEMMA 6.28 (See [47, Theorem 1.11]). Referring to Notation 6.27, the following (i)–(iii) hold:

- (i) The parameter array of  $\Phi^*$  is  $(\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d; \{\phi_{d-i+1}\}_{i=1}^d)$ .
- (ii) The parameter array of  $\Phi^\downarrow$  is  $(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_{d-i}^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\phi_{d-i+1}\}_{i=1}^d; \{\varphi_{d-i+1}\}_{i=1}^d)$ .
- (iii) The parameter array of  $\Phi^\uparrow$  is  $(\{\theta_{d-i}\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d; \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d)$ .

LEMMA 6.29 (See [38, Lemmas 5.1, 6.1]). Referring to Notation 6.27, for scalars  $\xi, \xi^*, \zeta, \zeta^*$  in  $\mathbb{F}$  with  $\xi\xi^* \neq 0$ , the sequence

$$(\xi A + \zeta I; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; \xi^* A^* + \zeta^* I; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d),$$

is a Leonard system on  $V$  with parameter array:

$$(\{\xi\theta_i + \zeta\}_{i=0}^d; \{\xi^*\theta_i^* + \zeta^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\xi\xi^*\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d; \{\xi\xi^*\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d).$$

DEFINITION 6.30 (See [51, Definition 7.1]). Referring to Notation 6.27, define

$$a_i = \text{tr}(AE_i^*) \quad (0 \leq i \leq d).$$

LEMMA 6.31. Referring to Notation 6.27,  $\sum_{i=0}^d a_i = \sum_{i=0}^d \theta_i$ .

*Proof.* Using  $\sum_{i=0}^d E_i^* = I$ , we find that  $\sum_{i=0}^d a_i = \text{tr}(A)$ . The result follows. □

LEMMA 6.32. Referring to Notation 6.27, the following hold: for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ :

- (i)  $a_i^\downarrow = a_{d-i}$ ;
- (ii)  $a_i^\uparrow = a_i$ .

*Proof.* By Definition 6.30. □

LEMMA 6.33 (See [51, Lemma 10.2]). Referring to Notation 6.27, for  $0 \neq u \in E_0V$  the vectors  $\{E_i^*v\}_{i=0}^d$  form a basis of  $V$ .

Our next goal is to describe the action of  $A, A^*$  on the above basis.

LEMMA 6.34 (See [51, Lemma 9.2]). Referring to Notation 6.27,  $\text{tr}(E_i^*E_0) \neq 0$  for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ .

DEFINITION 6.35 (See [51, Lemma 11.5]). Referring to Notation 6.27, define

$$b_i = \frac{\text{tr}(E_i^*AE_{i+1}^*E_0)}{\text{tr}(E_i^*E_0)} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d-1),$$

$$c_i = \frac{\text{tr}(E_i^*AE_{i-1}^*E_0)}{\text{tr}(E_i^*E_0)} \quad (1 \leq i \leq d).$$

LEMMA 6.36 (See [51, Lemma 10.2, Definition 11.1]). *Referring to Notation 6.27, with respect to the basis in Lemma 6.33, the matrices representing  $A$  and  $A^*$  are*

$$A : \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & b_0 & & & \mathbf{0} \\ c_1 & a_1 & b_1 & & \\ & c_2 & \cdot & \cdot & \\ & & \cdot & \cdot & \\ & & & \cdot & \cdot & b_{d-1} \\ \mathbf{0} & & & & c_d & a_d \end{pmatrix}, \quad A^* : \text{diag}(\theta_0^*, \theta_1^*, \dots, \theta_d^*).$$

LEMMA 6.37 (See [51, Lemma 11.2]). *Referring to Notation 6.27, the following (i)–(iii) hold:*

- (i)  $b_i \neq 0 \quad (0 \leq i \leq d-1)$ ;
- (ii)  $c_i \neq 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq d)$ ;
- (iii)  $c_i + a_i + b_i = \theta_0 \quad (0 \leq i \leq d)$ , where  $c_0 = 0$  and  $b_d = 0$ .

DEFINITION 6.38 (See [55, Definition 9.1]). *Referring to Notation 6.27, we call the scalars  $\{a_i\}_{i=0}^d$ ,  $\{b_i\}_{i=0}^{d-1}$ ,  $\{c_i\}_{i=1}^d$  the intersection numbers of  $\Phi$ .*

DEFINITION 6.39 (See [51, Definition 7.1]). *Referring to Notation 6.27, define*

$$x_i = \text{tr}(E_i^* A E_{i-1}^* A) \quad (1 \leq i \leq d).$$

LEMMA 6.40. *Referring to Notation 6.27, the following hold for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ :*

- (i)  $x_i^\downarrow = x_{d-i+1}$ ;
- (ii)  $x_i^\uparrow = x_i$ .

*Proof.* By Definition 6.39. □

LEMMA 6.41 (See [51, Lemma 7.2]). *Referring to Notation 6.27, let  $M \in \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$  represent  $A$  with respect to a basis of  $V$  that satisfies Definition 6.1(i). Then*

$$M_{i,i} = a_i \quad (0 \leq i \leq d), \quad M_{i,i-1} M_{i-1,i} = x_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq d).$$

COROLLARY 6.42. *Referring to Lemma 6.41, assume that  $M$  is normalized. Then*

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & x_1 & & & \mathbf{0} \\ 1 & a_1 & x_2 & & \\ & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \\ & & \cdot & \cdot & \\ & & & \cdot & \cdot & x_d \\ \mathbf{0} & & & & 1 & a_d \end{pmatrix}.$$

*Proof.* We have  $M_{i,i-1} = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ , since  $M$  is normalized. By this and Lemma 6.41, we get the result. □

LEMMA 6.43 (See [51, Theorems 7.3, 7.4]). *Referring to Notation 6.27, for  $0 \neq v \in E_0^* V$  the vectors  $\{E_i^* A^i v\}_{i=0}^d$  form a basis of  $V$  that satisfies Definition 6.1(i). With respect to this basis, the matrix representing  $A$  is normalized.*

LEMMA 6.44 (See [51, Lemma 11.2]). *Referring to Notation 6.27,  $x_i = b_{i-1} c_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ .*



LEMMA 6.45 (See [51, Theorem 17.8]). *Referring to Notation 6.27,*

$$(6.8) \quad a_0 = \theta_0 + \frac{\varphi_1}{\theta_0^* - \theta_1^*},$$

$$(6.9) \quad a_i = \theta_i + \frac{\varphi_i}{\theta_i^* - \theta_{i-1}^*} + \frac{\varphi_{i+1}}{\theta_i^* - \theta_{i+1}^*} \quad (1 \leq i \leq d-1),$$

$$(6.10) \quad a_d = \theta_d + \frac{\varphi_d}{\theta_d^* - \theta_{d-1}^*}.$$

LEMMA 6.46 (See [50, Lemma 10.3]). *Referring to Notation 6.27,*

$$(6.11) \quad a_0 = \theta_d + \frac{\phi_1}{\theta_0^* - \theta_1^*},$$

$$(6.12) \quad a_i = \theta_{d-i} + \frac{\phi_i}{\theta_i^* - \theta_{i-1}^*} + \frac{\phi_{i+1}}{\theta_i^* - \theta_{i+1}^*} \quad (1 \leq i \leq d-1),$$

$$(6.13) \quad a_d = \theta_0 + \frac{\phi_d}{\theta_d^* - \theta_{d-1}^*}.$$

In the next result, we express each of  $\varphi_1, \phi_1, \varphi_d, \phi_d,$  and  $a_d$  in terms of  $a_0$ .

LEMMA 6.47. *Referring to Notation 6.27,*

$$(6.14) \quad \varphi_1 = (a_0 - \theta_0)(\theta_0^* - \theta_1^*),$$

$$(6.15) \quad \phi_1 = (a_0 - \theta_d)(\theta_0^* - \theta_1^*),$$

$$(6.16) \quad \varphi_d = (a_d - \theta_d)(\theta_d^* - \theta_{d-1}^*),$$

$$(6.17) \quad \phi_d = (a_d - \theta_0)(\theta_d^* - \theta_{d-1}^*),$$

$$(6.18) \quad a_d = \frac{a_0(\theta_0^* - \theta_1^*) + \theta_d(\theta_1^* - \theta_{d-1}^*) + \theta_{d-1}(\theta_d^* - \theta_0^*)}{\theta_d^* - \theta_{d-1}^*}.$$

*Proof.* Lines (6.14)–(6.17) come from (6.8), (6.11), (6.10), and (6.13), respectively. To get (6.18), evaluate (6.15) and (6.16) using (6.5).  $\square$

Let  $x$  denote an indeterminate, and let  $\mathbb{F}[x]$  denote the algebra consisting of the polynomials in  $x$  that have all coefficients in  $\mathbb{F}$ . Referring to Notation 6.27, for  $0 \leq i \leq d$  define polynomials in  $\mathbb{F}[x]$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_i &= (x - \theta_0)(x - \theta_1) \cdots (x - \theta_{i-1}), \\ \eta_i &= (x - \theta_d)(x - \theta_{d-1}) \cdots (x - \theta_{d-i+1}), \\ \tau_i^* &= (x - \theta_0^*)(x - \theta_1^*) \cdots (x - \theta_{i-1}^*), \\ \eta_i^* &= (x - \theta_d^*)(x - \theta_{d-1}^*) \cdots (x - \theta_{d-i+1}^*). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 6.48 (See [51, Theorem 17.9]). *Referring to Notation 6.27,*

$$x_i = \varphi_i \phi_i \frac{\tau_{i-1}^*(\theta_{i-1}^*) \eta_{d-i}^*(\theta_i^*)}{\tau_i^*(\theta_i^*) \eta_{d-i+1}^*(\theta_{i-1}^*)} \quad (1 \leq i \leq d).$$

LEMMA 6.49. *Referring to Notation 6.27, consider a Leonard system  $\Phi' = (B; \{E'_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  on  $V$  with parameter array  $(\{\theta'_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\varphi'_i\}_{i=1}^d; \{\phi'_i\}_{i=1}^d)$ . Let the scalars  $\{x'_i\}_{i=1}^d$  be from Definition 6.39 for  $\Phi'$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $x_i = x'_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq d)$ ;
- (ii)  $\varphi_i \phi_i = \varphi'_i \phi'_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq d)$ .

*Proof.* Use Lemma 6.48. □

For parameter arrays

$$(6.19) \quad (\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d; \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d), \quad (\{\theta'_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\varphi'_i\}_{i=1}^d; \{\phi'_i\}_{i=1}^d),$$

over  $\mathbb{F}$ , we will consider two special cases of the condition (ii) in Lemma 6.49. One special case is described in Lemma 6.50. The other special case is described in Lemma 7.22.

LEMMA 6.50. *For parameter arrays (6.19) over  $\mathbb{F}$ , the following hold:*

- (i) *Assume that  $\varphi'_i = \varphi_i$  and  $\phi'_i = \phi_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . Then there exists  $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $\theta'_i = \theta_i + \zeta$  for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ .*
- (ii) *Assume that  $\varphi'_i = \phi_i$  and  $\phi'_i = \varphi_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . Then there exists  $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $\theta'_i = \theta_{d-i} + \zeta$  for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 6.26 we find that for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ ,

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{i-1} \frac{\theta_\ell - \theta_{d-\ell}}{\theta_0 - \theta_d} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{i-1} \frac{\theta_\ell^* - \theta_{d-\ell}^*}{\theta_0^* - \theta_d^*}.$$

Denote this common value by  $\vartheta_i$ . By Lemma 6.15,

$$(6.20) \quad \varphi_i = \phi_1 \vartheta_i + (\theta_i^* - \theta_0^*)(\theta_{i-1} - \theta_d),$$

$$(6.21) \quad \phi_i = \varphi_1 \vartheta_i + (\theta_i^* - \theta_0^*)(\theta_{d-i+1} - \theta_0).$$

Using (6.20), we obtain

$$(6.22) \quad \varphi'_i = \phi'_1 \vartheta_i + (\theta_i^* - \theta_0^*)(\theta'_{i-1} - \theta'_d).$$

- (i) By  $\varphi'_i = \varphi_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq d$ ),  $\phi'_1 = \phi_1$ , and (6.20), (6.22),

$$\theta'_{i-1} - \theta'_d = \theta_{i-1} - \theta_d \quad (1 \leq i \leq d).$$

This implies  $\theta'_i = \theta_i + \zeta$  for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ , where  $\zeta = \theta'_d - \theta_d$ .

- (ii) By  $\varphi'_i = \phi_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq d$ ),  $\phi'_1 = \varphi_1$ , and (6.21), (6.22),

$$\theta'_{i-1} - \theta'_d = \theta_{d-i+1} - \theta_0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq d).$$

This implies  $\theta'_i = \theta_{d-i} + \zeta$  for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ , where  $\zeta = \theta'_d - \theta_0$ . □

We recall the bipartite property for Leonard pairs and Leonard systems. Recall the scalars  $\{a_i\}_{i=0}^d$  from Definition 6.30.

DEFINITION 6.51 (See [37, Section 1]). *A Leonard system  $\Phi$  on  $V$  is said to be bipartite whenever  $a_i = 0$  for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ .*

LEMMA 6.52. *Let  $\Phi$  denote a bipartite Leonard system on  $V$ . Then each of  $\Phi^\downarrow$ ,  $\Phi^\uparrow$ ,  $\Phi^{\downarrow\uparrow}$  is bipartite.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 6.32. □

In view of Lemmas 6.10 and 6.52, we make a definition.

DEFINITION 6.53 (See [37, Section 1]). *A Leonard pair on  $V$  is said to be bipartite whenever an associated Leonard system is bipartite.*

We mention a lemma for later use.

LEMMA 6.54. *Referring to Notation 6.27, assume that  $d = 2$ . Then,*

$$(6.23) \quad a_1 = \theta_0 + \theta_2 - a_0 + \frac{(a_0 - \theta_1)(\theta_0^* - \theta_1^*)}{\theta_1^* - \theta_2^*},$$

$$(6.24) \quad a_2 = \frac{\theta_1(\theta_0^* - \theta_2^*) - a_0(\theta_0^* - \theta_1^*)}{\theta_1^* - \theta_2^*}.$$

*Proof.* Set  $d = 2$  in (6.18) to get (6.24). To get (6.23), use Lemma 6.31 and (6.24). □

**7. The bond relation for Leonard pairs and Leonard systems.** In Section 5, we considered the bond relation for irreducible tridiagonal matrices. In this section, we consider a version of the bond relation that applies to Leonard pairs and Leonard systems. We first consider the bond relation for Leonard systems.

DEFINITION 7.1. *For a Leonard system  $\Phi = (A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  on  $V$ , define  $\mathcal{S} = \sum_{i=0}^d (-1)^i E_i^*$ .*

NOTE 7.2. *Referring to Definition 7.1,  $\mathcal{S}^2 = I$ .*

LEMMA 7.3. *Referring to Definition 7.1, the sequence*

$$\Phi^\vee = (-\mathcal{S}A\mathcal{S}^{-1}; \{\mathcal{S}E_i\mathcal{S}^{-1}\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d),$$

*is a Leonard system on  $V$ . Moreover the Leonard system  $\Phi^\vee$  is isomorphic to the Leonard system*

$$(-A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d).$$

*Proof.* We have  $\mathcal{S}A^*\mathcal{S}^{-1} = A^*$  and  $\mathcal{S}E_i^*\mathcal{S}^{-1} = E_i^*$  for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ . By this and Lemma 6.8, we get the result. □

NOTE 7.4. *Referring to Lemma 7.3, we have  $(\Phi^\vee)^\vee = \Phi$ .*

NOTE 7.5. *Referring to Lemma 7.3, assume that  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$ . Then  $\mathcal{S} = I$  and  $\Phi^\vee = \Phi$ .*

DEFINITION 7.6. *Leonard systems  $\Phi$  and  $\Phi'$  on  $V$  are said to be bonded whenever  $\Phi' = \Phi^\vee$ .*

NOTE 7.7. *The bond relation is a symmetric binary relation on the set of all Leonard systems on  $V$ .*

NOTE 7.8. *For a Leonard system  $\Phi$  on  $V$ , there exists a unique Leonard system on  $V$  that is bonded to  $\Phi$ .*

LEMMA 7.9. *Let  $\Phi$  denote a Leonard system on  $V$ . If  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$  then  $\Phi$  is bonded to itself. If  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$ , the the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\Phi$  is bonded to itself;
- (ii)  $\Phi$  is bipartite.

*Proof.* By Lemma 5.12. □

LEMMA 7.10. For the Leonard system  $\Phi$  in Definition 7.1 and the Leonard system  $\Phi^\vee$  in Lemma 7.3, their parameter arrays are related as follows:

Leonard system	Parameter array
$\Phi$	$(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d; \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d)$
$\Phi^\vee$	$(\{-\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{-\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d; \{-\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d)$

*Proof.* By Lemmas 6.29 and 7.3. □

We now introduce the bond relation for Leonard pairs.

LEMMA 7.11. Referring to Definition 7.1,  $\mathcal{S}^\downarrow = (-1)^d \mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathcal{S}^\uparrow = \mathcal{S}$ .

*Proof.* By Definition 7.1. □

LEMMA 7.12. Let  $A, A^*$  denote a Leonard pair on  $V$ . Let  $\Phi$  denote an associated Leonard system and let  $\mathcal{S}$  be from Definition 7.1. Then the map  $\text{End}(V) \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ ,  $X \mapsto \mathcal{S}X\mathcal{S}^{-1}$  is independent of the choice of  $\Phi$ .

*Proof.* By Lemmas 6.10 and 7.11. □

In view of Lemma 7.12, we make a definition.

DEFINITION 7.13. Let  $A, A^*$  denote a Leonard pair on  $V$ , and let  $\Phi$  denote an associated Leonard system. Define  $A^\vee = -\mathcal{S}A\mathcal{S}^{-1}$ , where  $\mathcal{S}$  is from Definition 7.1. Note that  $A^\vee$  is independent of the choice of  $\Phi$ .

NOTE 7.14. Referring to Definition 7.13, we have  $(A^\vee)^\vee = A$ .

NOTE 7.15. Referring to Definition 7.13, assume that  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$ . Then  $A^\vee = A$ .

LEMMA 7.16. Let  $A, A^*$  denote a Leonard pair on  $V$ . Then the pair  $A^\vee, A^*$  is a Leonard pair on  $V$  that is isomorphic to the Leonard pair  $-A, A^*$ .

*Proof.* Use Lemma 7.3. □

NOTE 7.17. Referring to Lemma 7.3 and Definition 7.13, the Leonard system  $\Phi^\vee$  and the Leonard pair  $A^\vee, A^*$  are associated.

DEFINITION 7.18. Leonard pairs  $A, A^*$  and  $B, B^*$  on  $V$  are said to be bonded whenever  $A^* = B^*$  and  $B = A^\vee$ .

NOTE 7.19. The bond relation is a symmetric binary relation on the set of all Leonard pairs on  $V$ .

NOTE 7.20. For a Leonard pair  $A, A^*$  on  $V$ , there exists a unique Leonard pair on  $V$  that is bonded to  $A, A^*$ .

LEMMA 7.21. Let  $A, A^*$  denote a Leonard pair on  $V$ . If  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$  then  $A, A^*$  is bonded to itself. If  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$  then the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $A, A^*$  is bonded to itself;
- (ii) the Leonard pair  $A, A^*$  is bipartite.

*Proof.* By Lemma 5.12. □

Consider parameter arrays (6.19) over  $\mathbb{F}$ . In Lemma 6.50, we described a special case of the condition (ii) in Lemma 6.49. Here is another special case.

LEMMA 7.22. *For parameter arrays (6.19) over  $\mathbb{F}$ , the following hold:*

- (i) *Assume that  $\varphi'_i = -\varphi_i$  and  $\phi'_i = -\phi_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . Then there exists  $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $\theta'_i = \zeta - \theta_i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ .*
- (ii) *Assume that  $\varphi'_i = -\phi_i$  and  $\phi'_i = -\varphi_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . Then there exists  $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $\theta'_i = \zeta - \theta_{d-i}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\Phi$  (resp.  $\Phi'$ ) denote a Leonard system on  $V$  that has parameter array on the left (resp. right) in (6.19). Now apply Lemma 6.50 to  $\Phi^\vee$  and  $\Phi'$  using Lemma 7.10.  $\square$

We describe how the bond relation for Leonard pairs and Leonard systems is related to the bond relation for irreducible tridiagonal matrices. Let  $\Phi = (A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  denote a Leonard system on  $V$ . Fix a basis  $\{v_i\}_{i=0}^d$  of  $V$  that satisfies Definition 6.1(i). For  $X \in \text{End}(V)$ , let  $X^b \in \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$  represent  $X$  with respect to  $\{v_i\}_{i=0}^d$ . The map  $b : \text{End}(V) \rightarrow \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$ ,  $X \mapsto X^b$  is an algebra isomorphism. Recall the matrix  $\mathbb{S} \in \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$  from Definition 5.2 and the element  $\mathcal{S} \in \text{End}(V)$  from Definition 7.1. Then the isomorphism  $b$  sends  $\mathcal{S} \mapsto \mathbb{S}$ . Moreover,  $(A^b)^\vee = (A^\vee)^b$ , where  $(A^b)^\vee$  is computed using Definition 5.2 and  $A^\vee$  is from Definition 7.13.

**8. Compatibility and companions for Leonard pairs.** In this section, we introduce the notion of compatibility and companion for Leonard pairs.

DEFINITION 8.1. *Leonard pairs  $A, A^*$  and  $B, B^*$  on  $V$  are said to be compatible whenever  $A^* = B^*$  and  $[A, A^*] = [B, B^*]$ .*

DEFINITION 8.2. *For a Leonard pair  $A, A^*$  on  $V$ , by a companion of  $A, A^*$  we mean an element  $K \in \langle A^* \rangle$  such that  $A - K, A^*$  is a Leonard pair on  $V$ .*

The Definitions 8.1 and 8.2 are related as follows.

LEMMA 8.3. *For a Leonard pair  $A, A^*$  on  $V$ , the following hold:*

- (i) *For a companion  $K$  of  $A, A^*$ , define  $B = A - K$ . Then  $B, A^*$  is a Leonard pair on  $V$  that is compatible with  $A, A^*$ .*
- (ii) *For a Leonard pair  $B, A^*$  on  $V$  that is compatible with  $A, A^*$ , define  $K = A - B$ . Then  $K$  is a companion of  $A, A^*$ .*

*Proof.* Use Lemma 2.1.  $\square$

Example 8.4. Let  $A, A^*$  denote a Leonard pair on  $V$ . Then  $A, A^*$  is compatible with itself. Moreover,  $K = 0$  is a companion of  $A, A^*$ .

Let  $A, A^*$  denote a Leonard pair on  $V$ . In this paper, we find every Leonard pair  $B, A^*$  on  $V$  that is compatible with  $A, A^*$ . By Lemma 8.3, this is equivalent to finding all the companions of  $A, A^*$ .

LEMMA 8.5. *For Leonard pairs  $A, A^*$  and  $B, A^*$  on  $V$ , the following (i)–(iii) are equivalent:*

- (i)  *$A, A^*$  and  $B, A^*$  are compatible;*
- (ii)  *$A - B \in \langle A^* \rangle$ ;*
- (iii)  *$A - B$  commutes with  $A^*$ .*

*Proof.* Use Lemma 2.1.  $\square$

LEMMA 8.6. *For Leonard pairs  $A, A^*$  and  $B, A^*$  on  $V$ , define  $K = A - B$ . Then the following (i)–(iii)*

are equivalent:

- (i)  $K$  commutes with  $A^*$ ;
- (ii)  $K$  is a companion of  $A, A^*$ ;
- (iii)  $-K$  is a companion of  $B, A^*$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) We have  $K \in \langle A^* \rangle$  by Lemma 2.1. The pair  $A-K, A^*$  is a Leonard pair since  $B = A-K$ . By these comments and Definition 8.2,  $K$  is a companion of  $A, A^*$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Since  $K \in \langle A^* \rangle$  by Definition 8.2.

(i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii) Similar to the proof of (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii). □

LEMMA 8.7. *The compatible relation is an equivalence relation on the set of all Leonard pairs on  $V$ .*

*Proof.* By Definition 8.1. □

LEMMA 8.8. *For compatible Leonard pairs  $A, A^*$  and  $B, A^*$  on  $V$ , and for scalars  $\xi, \zeta, \xi^*, \zeta^*$  in  $\mathbb{F}$  with  $\xi\xi^* \neq 0$ , the Leonard pairs  $\xi A + \zeta I, \xi^* A^* + \zeta^* I$  and  $\xi B + \zeta I, \xi^* A^* + \zeta^* I$  are compatible.*

*Proof.* By Definition 8.1. □

LEMMA 8.9. *Let  $A, A^*$  denote a Leonard pair on  $V$ , and let  $K$  denote a companion of  $A, A^*$ . Then  $K + \zeta I$  is companion of  $A, A^*$  for  $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 6.5 and Definition 8.2. □

**9. The set  $\Omega$ .** In our main results, we find it illuminating to represent a Leonard pair as an ordered pair of matrices, the first one normalized irreducible tridiagonal and the second one diagonal. Such a representation is guaranteed by Lemma 6.43. To describe our main results using such a representation, we introduce a certain set of matrices denoted  $\Omega$ . In this section, we define  $\Omega$  and give some basic facts about it.

NOTATION 9.1. *Assume that  $V = \mathbb{F}^{d+1}$ . Let  $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$  denote mutually distinct scalars in  $\mathbb{F}$ , and define  $A^* \in \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$  by:*

$$A^* = \text{diag}(\theta_0^*, \theta_1^*, \dots, \theta_d^*).$$

*Note that  $A^*$  is multiplicity-free, and  $\langle A^* \rangle$  consists of the diagonal matrices in  $\text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$ . For  $0 \leq i \leq d$  let  $E_i^*$  denote the diagonal matrix in  $\text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$  that has  $(i, i)$ -entry 1 and all other entries 0. Note that  $E_i^*$  is the primitive idempotent of  $A^*$  associated with  $\theta_i^*$ .*

For the rest of this section, Notation 9.1 is in effect.

DEFINITION 9.2. *Let the set  $\Omega$  consist of the matrices  $A \in \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$  such that:*

- (i)  $A$  is normalized irreducible tridiagonal;
- (ii)  $A, A^*$  is a Leonard pair on  $V$ .

LEMMA 9.3. *Let  $A \in \Omega$ . Let  $B \in \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$  such that  $B, A^*$  is a Leonard pair on  $V$  that is compatible with  $A, A^*$ . Then  $B \in \Omega$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 8.5,  $A - B \in \langle A^* \rangle$  and so  $A - B$  is diagonal. Thus  $A_{i,j} = B_{i,j}$  if  $i \neq j$  ( $0 \leq i, j \leq d$ ). By this and since  $A$  is normalized irreducible tridiagonal, we find that  $B$  is normalized irreducible tridiagonal. Thus,  $B \in \Omega$ . □

LEMMA 9.4. *For  $A \in \Omega$  and  $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}$ , the matrix  $A + \zeta I$  is contained in  $\Omega$ .*

*Proof.* The matrix  $A + \zeta I$  is normalized irreducible tridiagonal. By Lemma 6.5, the pair  $A + \zeta I, A^*$  is a Leonard pair. The result follows.  $\square$

LEMMA 9.5. For  $A, B \in \Omega$  the following are equivalent:

- (i) the Leonard pairs  $A, A^*$  and  $B, A^*$  are isomorphic;
- (ii)  $A = B$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) By Lemma 6.4, there exists an invertible  $S \in \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$  such that  $SAS^{-1} = B$  and  $SA^*S^{-1} = A^*$ . The matrix  $S$  is diagonal by Lemma 2.1, so  $A$  and  $B$  are diagonally equivalent. Consequently,  $A = B$  in view of Lemma 4.2.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Clear.  $\square$

**10. The bond relation on  $\Omega$ .** In the last paragraph of Section 7, we explained how the bond relation for Leonard pairs and systems is related to the bond relation for irreducible tridiagonal matrices. In this section, we discuss these bond relations in the context of the set  $\Omega$ .

Throughout this section, Notation 9.1 is in effect.

LEMMA 10.1. For  $A \in \Omega$ , the following are the same:

- (i) the matrix  $A^\vee$  from Definition 5.2;
- (ii) the matrix  $A^\vee$  from Definition 7.13.

Moreover,  $A^\vee \in \Omega$ .

*Proof.* The matrices (i) and (ii) are the same by the last paragraph of Section 7. We now show that  $A^\vee \in \Omega$ . By Definition 5.2,  $A^\vee$  is irreducible tridiagonal. By Lemma 5.9,  $A^\vee$  is normalized. By Lemma 7.16, the pair  $A^\vee, A^*$  is a Leonard pair. By these comments and Definition 9.2,  $A^\vee \in \Omega$ .  $\square$

LEMMA 10.2. For  $A, B \in \Omega$ , the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $A$  and  $B$  are bonded in the sense of Definition 5.5;
- (ii) the Leonard pairs  $A, A^*$  and  $B, A^*$  are bonded in the sense of Definition 7.18.

*Proof.* By Lemma 10.1.  $\square$

We mention a result for later use.

LEMMA 10.3. For  $A, B \in \Omega$  let  $K = A - B$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $A$  and  $B$  are bonded;
- (ii)  $K$  is diagonal with diagonal entries  $K_{i,i} = 2A_{i,i}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 5.8.  $\square$

**11. The compatibility relation on  $\Omega$ .** In Section 8, we introduced the compatibility relation for Leonard pairs. In this section, we discuss this relation in the context of the set  $\Omega$ .

Throughout this section, Notation 9.1 is in effect.

DEFINITION 11.1. Matrices  $A$  and  $B$  in  $\Omega$  are said to be compatible whenever the Leonard pairs  $A, A^*$  and  $B, A^*$  are compatible in the sense of Definition 8.1.

DEFINITION 11.2. For  $A \in \Omega$ , by a companion of  $A$ , we mean a companion of the Leonard pair  $A, A^*$ .



LEMMA 11.3. For  $A \in \Omega$ , the following hold:

- (i) For a companion  $K$  of  $A$ , define  $B = A - K$ . Then  $B$  is contained in  $\Omega$  and compatible with  $A$ .
- (ii) For  $B \in \Omega$  that is compatible with  $A$ , define  $K = A - B$ . Then  $K$  is a companion of  $A$ .

*Proof.* (i) By Lemma 8.3(i), the pair  $B, A^*$  is a Leonard pair on  $V$  that is compatible with  $A, A^*$ .  
 By this and Lemma 9.3,  $B \in \Omega$ . By these comments and Definition 11.1,  $B$  is compatible with  $A$ .  
 (ii) By Lemma 8.3(ii) and Definition 11.2. □

Example 11.4. Every matrix  $A \in \Omega$  is compatible with  $A$ , and  $K = 0$  is a companion of  $A$ .

LEMMA 11.5. For  $A, B \in \Omega$ , the following (i)–(iii) are equivalent:

- (i)  $A$  and  $B$  are compatible;
- (ii)  $A - B$  is diagonal;
- (iii)  $A_{i-1,i} = B_{i-1,i}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii) By Lemma 8.5.

(ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii) Use the fact that each of  $A$  and  $B$  is normalized irreducible tridiagonal. □

LEMMA 11.6. For  $A, B \in \Omega$  let  $K = A - B$ . Then the following (i)–(iii) are equivalent:

- (i)  $K$  is diagonal;
- (ii)  $K$  is a companion of  $A$ ;
- (iii)  $-K$  is a companion of  $B$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 8.6. □

LEMMA 11.7. The compatibility relation on  $\Omega$  is an equivalence relation.

*Proof.* By Lemma 8.7. □

LEMMA 11.8. For compatible matrices  $A, B \in \Omega$  and  $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}$ , the matrices  $A + \zeta I$  and  $B + \zeta I$  are contained in  $\Omega$ . Moreover, these matrices are compatible.

*Proof.* By Lemma 9.3, the matrices  $A + \zeta I$  and  $B + \zeta I$  are contained in  $\Omega$ . These matrices are compatible by Lemma 8.8. □

LEMMA 11.9. For  $A \in \Omega$ , let  $K$  denote a companion of  $A$ . Then  $K + \zeta I$  is a companion of  $A$  for  $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 8.9. □

**12. A characterization of the compatibility relation and the bond relation in terms of the parameter array.** In this section, we characterize the compatibility relation and the bond relation in terms of the parameter array. Throughout this section, the following notation is in effect.

NOTATION 12.1. Assume that  $V = \mathbb{F}^{d+1}$ . Let  $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$  denote mutually distinct scalars in  $\mathbb{F}$ , and define  $A^* \in \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$  by:

$$A^* = \text{diag}(\theta_0^*, \theta_1^*, \dots, \theta_d^*).$$

For  $0 \leq i \leq d$ , let  $E_i^*$  denote the matrix in  $\text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$  that has  $(i, i)$ -entry 1 and all other entries 0. Let the set  $\Omega$  be from Definition 9.2. Let  $A$  and  $B$  denote matrices in  $\Omega$ . Let  $\{E_i\}_{i=0}^d$  (resp.  $\{E'_i\}_{i=0}^d$ ) denote

a standard ordering of the primitive idempotents of  $A$  (resp.  $B$ ) for the Leonard pair  $A, A^*$  (resp.  $B, A^*$ ). Define

$$\Phi = (A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d), \quad \Phi' = (B; \{E'_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d),$$

and observe that each of  $\Phi$  and  $\Phi'$  is a Leonard system on  $V$ . Let

$$(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d; \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d), \quad (\{\theta'_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\varphi'_i\}_{i=1}^d; \{\phi'_i\}_{i=1}^d),$$

denote the parameter array of  $\Phi$  and  $\Phi'$ , respectively. Let the scalars  $\{a_i\}_{i=0}^d, \{x_i\}_{i=1}^d$  (resp.  $\{a'_i\}_{i=0}^d, \{x'_i\}_{i=1}^d$ ) be from Definitions 6.30, 6.39 for  $\Phi$  (resp.  $\Phi'$ ). For the case of  $d \geq 3$ , let  $\kappa$  (resp.  $\kappa'$ ) denote the invariant value for  $A, A^*$  (resp.  $B, A^*$ ).

We now present our first main result, in which we characterize the compatibility relation in terms of the parameter array.

**THEOREM 12.2.** *The following (i)–(iii) are equivalent:*

- (i)  $A$  and  $B$  are compatible;
- (ii)  $x_i = x'_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq d$ ).
- (iii)  $\varphi_i \phi_i = \varphi'_i \phi'_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq d$ ).

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) By Lemma 11.5,  $A_{i-1,i} = B_{i-1,i}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . By this and Corollary 6.42, we get (ii).

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) By the construction,  $B_{i,i-1} = A_{i,i-1} = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . By Corollary 6.42 and (ii), we have  $B_{i-1,i} = A_{i-1,i}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . Now use Lemma 11.5.

(ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii) By Lemma 6.49. □

Next, we consider some special cases of Theorem 12.2.

**PROPOSITION 12.3.** *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) there exists  $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $B = A + \zeta I$ ;
- (ii) one of the following (12.1) and (12.2) holds:

$$(12.1) \quad \varphi'_i = \varphi_i, \quad \phi'_i = \phi_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq d),$$

$$(12.2) \quad \varphi'_i = \phi_i, \quad \phi'_i = \varphi_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq d).$$

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Consider the Leonard system:

$$(12.3) \quad (A + \zeta I; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d).$$

By Lemma 6.29, the Leonard system (12.3) has parameter array:

$$(\{\theta_i + \zeta\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d; \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d).$$

The Leonard system (12.3) is associated with  $B, A^*$  and is therefore equal to one of  $\Phi', \Phi'^{\downarrow}$  by Lemma 6.10. First assume that the Leonard system (12.3) is equal to  $\Phi'$ . Then (12.1) holds. Next assume that the Leonard system (12.3) is equal to  $\Phi'^{\downarrow}$ . By Lemma 6.28,  $\Phi'^{\downarrow}$  has parameter array:

$$(\{\theta'_{d-i}\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\phi'_i\}_{i=1}^d; \{\varphi'_i\}_{i=1}^d).$$

By these comments, (12.2) holds.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) First assume that (12.1) holds. By Lemma 6.50(i), there exists  $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $\theta'_i = \theta_i + \zeta$  for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ . So the parameter array of  $\Phi'$  is

$$(\{\theta_i + \zeta\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d; \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d).$$

By this and Lemma 6.29, the Leonard system  $(A + \zeta I; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  has the same parameter array as  $\Phi'$  and is therefore isomorphic to  $\Phi'$  by Lemma 6.15. Thus, the Leonard pair  $B, A^*$  is isomorphic to  $A + \zeta I, A^*$ . By this and Lemma 9.5,  $B = A + \zeta I$ . Next assume that (12.2) holds. By Lemma 6.50(ii), there exists  $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $\theta'_i = \theta_{d-i} + \zeta$  for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ . So the parameter array of  $\Phi'$  is

$$(\{\theta_{d-i} + \zeta\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d; \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d).$$

By Lemma 6.28(iii), the parameter array of  $(A; \{E_{d-i}\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  is

$$(\{\theta_{d-i}\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d; \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d).$$

By these comments and Lemma 6.29, the Leonard system  $(A + \zeta I; \{E_{d-i}\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  has the same parameter array as  $\Phi'$  and is therefore isomorphic to  $\Phi'$  by Lemma 6.15. Thus, the Leonard pair  $B, A^*$  is isomorphic to  $A + \zeta I, A^*$ . By this and Lemma 9.5,  $B = A + \zeta I$ .  $\square$

PROPOSITION 12.4. *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) *there exists  $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $B = A^\vee + \zeta I$ ;*
- (ii) *one of the following (12.4) and (12.5) holds:*

$$(12.4) \quad \varphi'_i = -\varphi_i, \quad \phi'_i = -\phi_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq d),$$

$$(12.5) \quad \varphi'_i = -\phi_i, \quad \phi'_i = -\varphi_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq d).$$

*Proof.* The result is obtained by applying Proposition 12.3 to  $\Phi^\vee$  and  $\Phi'$  using Lemma 7.10. The result can also be obtained using Lemma 7.22.  $\square$

**13. The type of a Leonard pair and Leonard system.** For the rest of this paper, we assume that  $\mathbb{F}$  is algebraically closed. In this section, we recall from [37] the type of a Leonard pair and Leonard system. Consider a parameter array over  $\mathbb{F}$ :

$$(13.1) \quad (\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d; \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d).$$

For the case  $d \geq 3$ , let  $\beta$  denote the fundamental constant of (13.1).

DEFINITION 13.1 (See [37, Section 4]). *To the parameter array (13.1), we assign the type as follows.*

Type	Description
O	$1 \leq d \leq 2$
I	$d \geq 3, \beta \neq 2, \beta \neq -2$
II	$d \geq 3, \beta = 2, \text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$
III <sup>+</sup>	$d \geq 3, \beta = -2, \text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2, d$ even
III <sup>-</sup>	$d \geq 3, \beta = -2, \text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2, d$ odd
IV	$d \geq 3, \beta = 2, \text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$

DEFINITION 13.2. *The type of a given Leonard system is the type of the associated parameter array. The type of a given Leonard pair is the type of an associated Leonard system.*

LEMMA 13.3 (See [47, Lemma 9.3]). *The following (i)–(v) hold:*

- (i) *For type I,  $q^{2i} \neq 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ , where  $\beta = q^2 + q^{-2}$ .*
- (ii) *For type II,  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F})$  is equal to 0 or greater than  $d$ .*
- (iii) *For type III<sup>+</sup>,  $\text{Char}(F)$  is equal to 0 or greater than  $d/2$ .*
- (iv) *For type III<sup>-</sup>,  $\text{Char}(F)$  is equal to 0 or greater than  $(d - 1)/2$ .*
- (v) *For type IV,  $d = 3$ .*

14. A refinement of Theorem 12.2. In this section, we present our second main result, which is Theorem 14.3. This result is a refinement of Theorem 12.2. The following proposition will be used to prove Theorem 14.3.

PROPOSITION 14.1. *Assume that  $d \geq 3$ . Let*

$$(14.1) \quad (\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d; \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d),$$

*denote a parameter array over  $\mathbb{F}$  with fundamental constant  $\beta$ . Then for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ , we have*

$$(14.2) \quad \frac{\varphi_i \phi_i}{(\theta_{i-1}^* - \theta_d^*)(\theta_i^* - \theta_0^*)} = \frac{R_i \varphi_1 \phi_1}{(\theta_i^* - \theta_0^*)(\theta_0^* - \theta_d^*)} + \frac{S_i \varphi_d \phi_d}{(\theta_d^* - \theta_{i-1}^*)(\theta_0^* - \theta_d^*)} + T_i \kappa,$$

*where  $\kappa$  is the invariant value for (14.1), and  $R_i$ ,  $S_i$ , and  $T_i$  are given below:*

*Type I:*

$$(14.3) \quad R_i = \frac{(q^i - q^{-i})^2 (q^{d-i} - q^{i-d})(q^{d-i+1} - q^{i-d-1})}{(q - q^{-1})^2 (q^d - q^{-d})(q^{d-1} - q^{1-d})},$$

$$(14.4) \quad S_i = \frac{(q^{d-i+1} - q^{i-d-1})^2 (q^i - q^{-i})(q^{i-1} - q^{1-i})}{(q - q^{-1})^2 (q^d - q^{-d})(q^{d-1} - q^{1-d})},$$

$$(14.5) \quad T_i = \frac{(q^i - q^{-i})(q^{i-1} - q^{1-i})(q^{d-i} - q^{i-d})(q^{d-i+1} - q^{i-d-1})}{(q - q^{-1})^2 (q^2 - q^{-2})^2},$$

*where  $\beta = q^2 + q^{-2}$ .*

*Type II:*

$$(14.6) \quad R_i = \frac{i^2 (d - i)(d - i + 1)}{d(d - 1)},$$

$$(14.7) \quad S_i = \frac{i(i - 1)(d - i + 1)^2}{d(d - 1)},$$

$$(14.8) \quad T_i = \frac{i(i - 1)(d - i)(d - i + 1)}{4}.$$

Type III<sup>+</sup>:

$$(14.9) \quad R_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \\ (d-i+1)/d & \text{if } i \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

$$(14.10) \quad S_i = \begin{cases} i/d & \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } i \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

$$(14.11) \quad T_i = \begin{cases} -i(d-i)/4 & \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \\ -(i-1)(d-i+1)/4 & \text{if } i \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Type III<sup>-</sup>:

$$(14.12) \quad R_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \\ (d-i)/(d-1) & \text{if } i \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

$$(14.13) \quad S_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \\ (i-1)/(d-1) & \text{if } i \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

$$(14.14) \quad T_i = \begin{cases} i(d-i+1)/4 & \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \\ (i-1)(d-i)/4 & \text{if } i \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Type IV:

$$(14.15) \quad R_1 = 1, \quad R_2 = 0, \quad R_3 = 0,$$

$$(14.16) \quad S_1 = 0, \quad S_2 = 0, \quad S_3 = 1,$$

$$(14.17) \quad T_1 = 0, \quad T_2 = 1, \quad T_3 = 0.$$

NOTE 14.2. In (14.3)–(14.14), the denominators of  $R_i$ ,  $S_i$ , and  $T_i$  are nonzero by Lemma 13.3.

The proof of Proposition 14.1 will be given in Sections 16, 19, 22, 25, and 28.

THEOREM 14.3. Referring to Notation 12.1, the following (i)–(iii) hold:

(i) Assume that  $d = 1$ . Then  $A$  and  $B$  are compatible if and only if

$$\varphi_1\phi_1 = \varphi'_1\phi'_1.$$

(ii) Assume that  $d = 2$ . Then  $A$  and  $B$  are compatible if and only if

$$\varphi_1\phi_1 = \varphi'_1\phi'_1, \quad \varphi_2\phi_2 = \varphi'_2\phi'_2.$$

(iii) Assume that  $d \geq 3$ . Then  $A$  and  $B$  are compatible if and only if

$$(14.18) \quad \kappa = \kappa', \quad \varphi_1\phi_1 = \varphi'_1\phi'_1, \quad \varphi_d\phi_d = \varphi'_d\phi'_d.$$

*Proof.* (i), (ii) By Theorem 12.2.

(iii) First assume that  $A$  and  $B$  are compatible. We show that (14.18) holds. By Theorem 12.2,  $\varphi_1\phi_1 = \varphi'_1\phi'_1$  and  $\varphi_d\phi_d = \varphi'_d\phi'_d$ . To show  $\kappa = \kappa'$ , we invoke Proposition 14.1. We consider the equation (14.2) for  $\Phi$  and  $\Phi'$ . Let the scalars  $\{R_i\}_{i=1}^d$ ,  $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^d$ ,  $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^d$  (resp.  $\{R'_i\}_{i=1}^d$ ,  $\{S'_i\}_{i=1}^d$ ,  $\{T'_i\}_{i=1}^d$ ) be from

Proposition 14.1 for  $\Phi$  (resp.  $\Phi'$ ). By (14.3)–(14.17), we have  $R_i = R'_i$ ,  $S_i = S'_i$ ,  $T_i = T'_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . Using this and Theorem 12.2(iii), we compare the equation (14.2) for  $\Phi$  with the equation (14.2) for  $\Phi'$ . This gives  $T_i \kappa = T_i \kappa'$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . Observe that in Proposition 14.1, for each type the scalars  $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^d$  are not all zero by Lemma 13.3. By these comments, we get  $\kappa = \kappa'$ . We have shown that (14.18) holds. Next assume that (14.18) holds. By Proposition 14.1, we obtain  $\varphi_i \phi_i = \varphi'_i \phi'_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . By this and Theorem 12.2,  $A$  and  $B$  are compatible.  $\square$

COROLLARY 14.4. Referring to Notation 12.1, the following (i)–(iii) hold:

(i) Assume that  $d = 1$ . Then  $A$  and  $B$  are compatible if and only if

$$(a_0 - \theta_0)(a_0 - \theta_d) = (a'_0 - \theta'_0)(a'_0 - \theta'_d).$$

(ii) Assume that  $d = 2$ . Then  $A$  and  $B$  are compatible if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} (a_0 - \theta_0)(a_0 - \theta_2) &= (a'_0 - \theta'_0)(a'_0 - \theta'_2), \\ (a_2 - \theta_0)(a_2 - \theta_2) &= (a'_2 - \theta'_0)(a'_2 - \theta'_2). \end{aligned}$$

(iii) Assume that  $d \geq 3$ . Then  $A$  and  $B$  are compatible if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa &= \kappa', \\ (a_0 - \theta_0)(a_0 - \theta_d) &= (a'_0 - \theta'_0)(a'_0 - \theta'_d), \\ (a_d - \theta_0)(a_d - \theta_d) &= (a'_d - \theta'_0)(a'_d - \theta'_d). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By (6.14) and (6.15),  $\varphi_1 \phi_1 = \varphi'_1 \phi'_1$  if and only if

$$(a_0 - \theta_0)(a_0 - \theta_d) = (a'_0 - \theta'_0)(a'_0 - \theta'_d).$$

By (6.16) and (6.17),  $\varphi_d \phi_d = \varphi'_d \phi'_d$  if and only if

$$(a_d - \theta_0)(a_d - \theta_d) = (a'_d - \theta'_0)(a'_d - \theta'_d).$$

By these comments and Theorem 14.3, we get the result.  $\square$

We finish this section with a comment. Referring to Notation 12.1, assume that  $A, B$  are compatible and let  $K = A - B$ . Recall from Lemma 11.3 that  $K$  is a companion of  $A$ , and therefore diagonal by Lemma 11.6. By Lemma 6.41 we see that

$$(14.19) \quad K_{i,i} = a_i - a'_i \quad (0 \leq i \leq d).$$

**15. The companions for type O.** In this section, we describe the companions for type O. We first consider the case  $d = 1$ .

PROPOSITION 15.1. Referring to Notation 12.1, assume that  $d = 1$  and  $A, B$  are compatible. Consider the companion  $K = A - B$  from Definition 11.2. Then

$$\begin{aligned} K_{0,0} &= a_0 - a'_0, \\ K_{1,1} &= \theta_0 + \theta_1 - a_0 - \theta'_0 - \theta'_1 + a'_0. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By (14.19) and Lemma 6.31.  $\square$

Next, we consider the case  $d = 2$ .

PROPOSITION 15.2. Referring to Notation 12.1, assume that  $d = 2$  and  $A, B$  are compatible. Consider the companion  $K = A - B$  from Definition 11.2. Then

$$\begin{aligned} K_{0,0} &= a_0 - a'_0, \\ K_{1,1} &= \theta_0 + \theta_2 - a_0 - \theta'_0 - \theta'_2 + a'_0 + \frac{(a_0 - a'_0 - \theta_1 + \theta'_1)(\theta_0^* - \theta_1^*)}{\theta_1^* - \theta_2^*}, \\ K_{2,2} &= \frac{(\theta_1 - \theta'_1)(\theta_0^* - \theta_2^*) - (a_0 - a'_0)(\theta_0^* - \theta_1^*)}{\theta_1^* - \theta_2^*}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By (14.19) and Lemma 6.54. □

**16. The parameter arrays of type I.** In this section, we describe the parameter arrays of type I. We then prove Proposition 14.1 for type I. Throughout this section, assume that  $d \geq 3$  and fix a nonzero  $q \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $q^4 \neq 1$ .

LEMMA 16.1. For a sequence

$$(16.1) \quad (\delta, \mu, h, \delta^*, \mu^*, h^*, \tau),$$

of scalars in  $\mathbb{F}$ , define

$$(16.2) \quad \theta_i = \delta + \mu q^{2i-d} + h q^{d-2i} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d),$$

$$(16.3) \quad \theta_i^* = \delta^* + \mu^* q^{2i-d} + h^* q^{d-2i} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d),$$

$$(16.4) \quad \varphi_i = (q^i - q^{-i})(q^{d-i+1} - q^{i-d-1})(\tau - \mu \mu^* q^{2i-d-1} - h h^* q^{d-2i+1}) \quad (1 \leq i \leq d),$$

$$(16.5) \quad \phi_i = (q^i - q^{-i})(q^{d-i+1} - q^{i-d-1})(\tau - h \mu^* q^{2i-d-1} - \mu h^* q^{d-2i+1}) \quad (1 \leq i \leq d).$$

Then the sequence

$$(16.6) \quad (\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d; \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d),$$

is a parameter array over  $\mathbb{F}$  that has type I and fundamental constant  $\beta = q^2 + q^{-2}$ , provided that the inequalities in Lemma 6.15(i),(ii) hold. Conversely, assume that the sequence (16.6) is a parameter array over  $\mathbb{F}$  that has type I and fundamental constant  $\beta = q^2 + q^{-2}$ . Then there exists a unique sequence (16.1) of scalars in  $\mathbb{F}$  that satisfies (16.2)–(16.5).

Proof. Assume that the inequalities in Lemma 6.15(i),(ii) hold. Using (16.2)–(16.5), we routinely verify the conditions Lemma 6.15(iii)–(v). Thus, the sequence (16.6) is a parameter array over  $\mathbb{F}$ . Evaluating the expression on the left in (6.3) using (16.2), we find that the parameter array (16.6) has fundamental constant  $\beta = q^2 + q^{-2}$ . By  $q^4 \neq 1$ , we have  $\beta \neq \pm 2$ . So the parameter array (16.6) has type I. The last assertion comes from [37, Theorem 6.1]. □

DEFINITION 16.2. Referring to Lemma 16.1, assume that the sequence (16.6) is a parameter array over  $\mathbb{F}$ . We call the scalars  $\delta, \mu, h, \delta^*, \mu^*, h^*, \tau$  the basic variables of (16.6) with respect to  $q$ . We call the sequence  $(\delta, \mu, h, \delta^*, \mu^*, h^*, \tau)$  the basic sequence of (16.6) with respect to  $q$ .

LEMMA 16.3. Referring to Lemma 16.1, the following hold for  $0 \leq i, j \leq d$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_i - \theta_j &= (q^{i-j} - q^{j-i})(\mu q^{i+j-d} - h q^{d-i-j}), \\ \theta_i^* - \theta_j^* &= (q^{i-j} - q^{j-i})(\mu^* q^{i+j-d} - h^* q^{d-i-j}). \end{aligned}$$



*Proof.* Routine verification using (16.2) and (16.3). □

LEMMA 16.4. *The inequalities in Lemma 6.15(i),(ii) hold if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (16.7) \quad & q^{2i} \neq 1 && (1 \leq i \leq d), \\
 (16.8) \quad & \mu \neq hq^{2i} && (1-d \leq i \leq d-1), \\
 (16.9) \quad & \mu^* \neq h^*q^{2i} && (1-d \leq i \leq d-1), \\
 (16.10) \quad & \tau \neq \mu\mu^*q^{2i-d-1} + hh^*q^{d-2i+1} && (1 \leq i \leq d), \\
 (16.11) \quad & \tau \neq h\mu^*q^{2i-d-1} + \mu h^*q^{d-2i+1} && (1 \leq i \leq d).
 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Routine verification using (16.4), (16.5), and Lemma 16.3. □

For the rest of this section, let  $\Phi$  denote a Leonard system over  $\mathbb{F}$  of type I with fundamental constant  $\beta = q^2 + q^{-2}$  and parameter array:

$$(16.12) \quad (\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d; \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d).$$

DEFINITION 16.5. *By the basic variables (resp. basic sequence) of  $\Phi$  with respect to  $q$ , we mean the basic variables (resp. basic sequence) with respect to  $q$  for the parameter array (16.12).*

For the rest of this section, let  $(\delta, \mu, h, \delta^*, \mu^*, h^*, \tau)$  denote the basic sequence of  $\Phi$  with respect to  $q$ .

LEMMA 16.6. *In the table below, for each Leonard system in the first column, we give the basic sequence with respect to  $q$ :*

Leonard system	Basic sequence
$\Phi^\downarrow$	$(\delta, \mu, h, \delta^*, h^*, \mu^*, \tau)$
$\Phi^\updownarrow$	$(\delta, h, \mu, \delta^*, \mu^*, h^*, \tau)$
$\Phi^\vee$	$(-\delta, -\mu, -h, \delta^*, \mu^*, h^*, -\tau)$

*Proof.* Concerning  $\Phi^\downarrow$  and  $\Phi^\updownarrow$ , use Lemma 6.28. Concerning  $\Phi^\vee$ , use Lemma 7.10. □

LEMMA 16.7. *For scalars  $\xi, \zeta, \xi^*, \zeta^*$  in  $\mathbb{F}$  with  $\xi\xi^* \neq 0$ , consider the Leonard system:*

$$(\xi A + \zeta I; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; \xi^* A^* + \zeta^* I; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d).$$

*For this Leonard system, the basic sequence with respect to  $q$  is equal to*

$$(\xi\delta + \zeta; \xi\mu, \xi h, \xi^*\delta^* + \zeta^*; \xi^*\mu^*, \xi^*h^*, \xi\xi^*\tau).$$

*Proof.* Use Lemma 6.29. □

COROLLARY 16.8. *The Leonard system*

$$(A - \delta I; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^* - \delta^* I; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d),$$

*has basic sequence  $(0, \mu, h, 0, \mu^*, h^*, \tau)$  with respect to  $q$ .*

DEFINITION 16.9. *The Leonard system  $\Phi$  is said to be reduced whenever  $\delta = 0$  and  $\delta^* = 0$ .*

LEMMA 16.10. *The invariant value  $\kappa$  for  $\Phi$  satisfies*

$$(16.13) \quad \kappa = \mu h (q - q^{-1})^2 (q^2 - q^{-2})^2.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 6.25 and (16.2). □

*Proof of PROPOSITION 14.1, type I.* One routinely verifies (14.2) using (14.3)–(14.5), (16.13), and Lemma 16.1. □

Note that Theorem 14.3 holds for type I.

**17. A characterization of compatibility in terms of the basic sequence, type I.** In this section, we characterize the compatibility relation for Leonard pairs of type I in terms of the basic sequence. Throughout this section, Notation 12.1 is in effect. Assume that  $\Phi$  has type I and fundamental constant  $\beta = q^2 + q^{-2}$ . Note that  $\Phi'$  has type I and fundamental constant  $\beta$ . Let

$$(\delta, \mu, h, \delta^*, \mu^*, h^*, \tau), \quad (\delta', \mu', h', \delta'^*, \mu'^*, h'^*, \tau')$$

denote the basic sequence of  $\Phi$  and  $\Phi'$  with respect to  $q$ , respectively.

**THEOREM 17.1.** *The matrices  $A, B$  are compatible if and only if the following (17.1)–(17.3) hold:*

$$(17.1) \quad \mu h = \mu' h',$$

$$(17.2) \quad \tau(\mu + h) = \tau'(\mu' + h'),$$

$$(17.3) \quad \tau^2 + (\mu + h)^2 \mu^* h^* = \tau'^2 + (\mu' + h')^2 \mu'^* h'^*.$$

*Proof.* We will invoke Theorem 14.3. To do this, we investigate the conditions in (14.18). By Lemma 16.10 and (16.7),  $\kappa = \kappa'$  if and only if (17.1) holds. Using (16.4), (16.5), we find that under the assumption (17.1) the expression  $\varphi_1 \phi_1 - \varphi'_1 \phi'_1 - \varphi_d \phi_d + \varphi'_d \phi'_d$  is equal to

$$(q - q^{-1})^2 (q^d - q^{-d})^2 (q^{d-1} - q^{1-d}) (\mu^* - h^*),$$

times

$$\tau(\mu + h) - \tau'(\mu' + h').$$

Using (16.4) and (16.5), we find that under the assumptions (17.1) and (17.2), the expression  $\varphi_1 \phi_1 - \varphi'_1 \phi'_1$  is equal to

$$(q - q^{-1})^2 (q^d - q^{-d})^2,$$

times

$$\tau^2 + (\mu + h)^2 \mu^* h^* - \tau'^2 - (\mu' + h')^2 \mu'^* h'^*.$$

By these comments and (16.7), (16.9), we find that under the assumption (17.1), both  $\varphi_1 \phi_1 = \varphi'_1 \phi'_1$  and  $\varphi_d \phi_d = \varphi'_d \phi'_d$  hold if and only if both (17.2) and (17.3) hold. Now, the result follows from Theorem 14.3. □

Our next goal is to solve the equations (17.1)–(17.3) for  $\mu'$ ,  $h'$ , and  $\tau'$ . It is convenient to handle separately the cases  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$  and  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$ . We first consider the case  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$ .

**THEOREM 17.2.** *Assume that  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$ . Then the equations (17.1)–(17.3) hold if and only if at least one of the following (17.4)–(17.8) holds:*

$$(17.4) \quad \tau' = \tau, \quad (\mu', h') \text{ is a permutation of } (\mu, h);$$

$$(17.5) \quad \tau' = -\tau, \quad (\mu', h') \text{ is a permutation of } (-\mu, -h);$$

$$(17.6) \quad \mu^* h^* \neq 0, \quad \mu' h' = \mu h, \quad \mu' + h' = \tau(\mu^* h^*)^{-1/2}, \quad \tau' = (\mu + h)(\mu^* h^*)^{1/2};$$

$$(17.7) \quad \mu^* h^* \neq 0, \quad \mu' h' = \mu h, \quad \mu' + h' = -\tau(\mu^* h^*)^{-1/2}, \quad \tau' = -(\mu + h)(\mu^* h^*)^{1/2};$$

$$(17.8) \quad \mu^* h^* = 0, \quad \tau' = \tau = 0, \quad \mu' h' = \mu h.$$

*Proof.* One routinely checks that each of (17.4)–(17.8) gives a solution to (17.1)–(17.3). Now assume that (17.1)–(17.3) hold. We show that at least one of (17.4)–(17.8) holds.

We claim that if  $\tau^2 = \tau'^2$  and  $\tau \neq 0$  then either (17.4) or (17.5) holds. If  $\tau' = \tau \neq 0$  then  $\mu + h = \mu' + h'$  by (17.2), so (17.4) holds in view of (17.1). If  $\tau' = -\tau \neq 0$ , then  $\mu + h = -\mu' - h'$  by (17.2), so (17.5) holds in view of (17.1). We have shown the claim.

For the moment, assume that  $\mu^*h^* = 0$ . By (17.3),  $\tau'^2 = \tau^2$ . If  $\tau = 0$ , then (17.8) holds. If  $\tau \neq 0$  then either (17.4) or (17.5) holds by the claim. For the rest of this proof, assume that  $\mu^*h^* \neq 0$ . In (17.3), multiply each side by  $\tau'^2$ , and simplify the result using (17.2) to get

$$(\tau^2 - \tau'^2)(\tau'^2 - (\mu + h)^2\mu^*h^*) = 0.$$

Thus, at least one of the following (17.9) and (17.10) holds:

$$(17.9) \quad \tau'^2 = \tau^2,$$

$$(17.10) \quad \tau'^2 = (\mu + h)^2\mu^*h^*.$$

First consider the case (17.9). We may assume that  $\tau = 0$ ; otherwise, either (17.4) or (17.5) holds by the claim. By (17.3) with  $\tau = \tau' = 0$ , we get  $(\mu + h)^2 = (\mu' + h')^2$ . Thus, either  $\mu' + h' = \mu + h$  or  $\mu' + h' = -\mu - h$ . If  $\mu' + h' = \mu + h$ , then (17.4) holds in view of (17.1). If  $\mu' + h' = -\mu - h$ , then (17.5) holds in view of (17.1).

Next consider the case (17.10). By (17.3) and (17.10),

$$(17.11) \quad \tau^2 = (\mu' + h')^2\mu^*h^*.$$

For the moment, assume that  $\tau = 0$ . Then by (17.11),  $\mu' + h' = 0$ , and so one of (17.6), (17.7) holds in view of (17.10). For the rest of this proof, assume that  $\tau \neq 0$ . By (17.11), one of the following (17.12) and (17.13) holds:

$$(17.12) \quad \tau = (\mu' + h')(\mu^*h^*)^{1/2},$$

$$(17.13) \quad \tau = -(\mu' + h')(\mu^*h^*)^{1/2}.$$

If (17.12) holds, then by (17.2),

$$(\mu' + h')(\mu + h)(\mu^*h^*)^{1/2} = \tau'(\mu' + h').$$

In this equation, we have  $\mu' + h' \neq 0$  by (17.11) and  $\tau \neq 0$ . So

$$(\mu + h)(\mu^*h^*)^{1/2} = \tau'.$$

Thus, (17.6) holds. If (17.13) holds, then by (17.2),

$$-(\mu' + h')(\mu + h)(\mu^*h^*)^{1/2} = \tau'(\mu' + h').$$

In this equation, we have  $\mu' + h' \neq 0$  by (17.11) and  $\tau \neq 0$ . So,

$$-(\mu + h)(\mu^*h^*)^{1/2} = \tau'.$$

Thus, (17.7) holds. □

We have some comments about (17.4) and (17.5). By Proposition 12.3, (17.4) holds if and only if there exists  $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $B = A + \zeta I$ . By Proposition 12.4, (17.5) holds if and only if there exists  $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $B = A^\vee + \zeta I$ . The solutions (17.4)–(17.8) are not mutually exclusive.

Next, we consider the case  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$ .

**THEOREM 17.3.** *Assume that  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$ . Then the equations (17.1)–(17.3) hold if and only if at least one of the following (17.14)–(17.16) holds:*

$$(17.14) \quad \tau' = \tau, \quad (\mu', h') \text{ is a permutation of } (\mu, h);$$

$$(17.15) \quad \mu^* h^* \neq 0, \quad \mu' h' = \mu h, \quad \mu' + h' = \tau(\mu^* h^*)^{-1/2}, \quad \tau' = (\mu + h)(\mu^* h^*)^{1/2};$$

$$(17.16) \quad \mu^* h^* = 0, \quad \tau' = \tau = 0, \quad \mu' h' = \mu h.$$

*Proof.* One routinely checks that each of (17.14)–(17.16) gives a solution to (17.1)–(17.3). Now assume that (17.1)–(17.3) holds. We show that at least one of (17.14)–(17.16) holds.

For the moment, assume that  $\mu^* h^* = 0$ . By (17.3), we have  $\tau'^2 = \tau^2$ , so  $\tau' = \tau$  since  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$ . We may assume that  $\tau \neq 0$ ; otherwise, (17.16) holds. By (17.2),  $\mu + h = \mu' + h'$ . By this and (17.1), we get (17.14). For the rest of this proof, assume that  $\mu^* h^* \neq 0$ . By (17.3),

$$\tau^2 - \tau'^2 = ((\mu' + h')^2 - (\mu + h)^2) \mu^* h^*.$$

By this and since  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$ ,

$$(\tau - \tau')^2 = (\mu' + h' - \mu - h)^2 \mu^* h^*,$$

and so

$$\tau - \tau' = (\mu' + h' - \mu - h)(\mu^* h^*)^{1/2}.$$

In this equation, multiply each side by  $\tau'$  and simplify the result using (17.2) to get

$$(\tau - \tau') \left( \tau' - (\mu + h)(\mu^* h^*)^{1/2} \right) = 0.$$

Thus, at least one of the following (17.17) and (17.18) holds:

$$(17.17) \quad \tau' = \tau,$$

$$(17.18) \quad \tau' = (\mu + h)(\mu^* h^*)^{1/2}.$$

First assume that (17.17) holds. If  $\tau' = \tau \neq 0$ , then by (17.1) and (17.2), we get (17.14). If  $\tau' = \tau = 0$ , then by (17.3), we get  $(\mu + h)^2 = (\mu' + h')^2$ . By this and since  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$ , we get  $\mu + h = \mu' + h'$ . By this and (17.1), we get (17.14). Next assume that (17.18) holds. In (17.3), eliminate  $\tau'$  using (17.18) to get

$$\tau^2 = (\mu' + h')^2 \mu^* h^*.$$

By this and since  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$ ,

$$\tau = (\mu' + h')(\mu^* h^*)^{1/2}.$$

By these comments and (17.1), we get (17.15). □

We have a comment about (17.14). By Proposition 12.3, (17.14) holds if and only if there exists  $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $B = A + \zeta I$ . The solutions (17.14)–(17.16) are not mutually exclusive.

**18. Describing the companions for a Leonard pair of type I.** In this section, we describe the companions for a Leonard pair of type I. Throughout this section, Notation 12.1 is in effect. Assume that  $\Phi$  has type I and fundamental constant  $\beta = q^2 + q^{-2}$ . Note that  $\Phi'$  has type I and fundamental constant  $\beta$ . Let

$$(18.1) \quad (\delta, \mu, h, \delta^*, \mu^*, h^*, \tau), \quad (\delta', \mu', h', \delta'^*, \mu'^*, h'^*, \tau')$$

denote the basic sequence of  $\Phi$  and  $\Phi'$  with respect to  $q$ , respectively. Assume that  $A$  and  $B$  are compatible and consider the companion  $K = A - B$ . We will give the entries of  $K$ . To avoid complicated formulas, we assume that each of  $\Phi$  and  $\Phi'$  is reduced so that  $\delta = 0, \delta' = 0, \delta^* = 0$ .

We first assume  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$ . Under this assumption, we consider the cases (17.4)–(17.8) in Theorem 17.2. For the moment assume that (17.4) holds. By the comments below Theorem 17.2, there exists  $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $B = A + \zeta I$ . By this and  $\delta = \delta' = 0$ , we get  $B = A$ . So  $K = 0$ . Next assume that (17.5) holds. By the comments below Theorem 17.2, there exists  $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $B = A^\vee + \zeta I$ . By this and  $\delta = \delta' = 0$ , we get  $B = A^\vee$ . By this and Lemma 10.3,  $K_{i,i} = 2a_i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ . Next, we give the  $K$  that corresponds to solutions (17.6), (17.7). In this case,  $\mu^* h^* \neq 0$ ; we may assume  $\mu^* h^* = 1$  in view of Lemma 16.7. To avoid trivialities, we interpret  $1^{1/2} = 1$ .

**THEOREM 18.1.** *Assume that  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$ . Then the following hold:*

(i) *Assume that (17.6) holds with  $\mu^* h^* = 1$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} K_{0,0} &= \frac{q^d(\mu^* - q^{-d-1})(\mu + h - \tau)}{\mu^* - q^{d-1}}, \\ K_{i,i} &= \frac{q^{d-2i}(\mu^* - q^{-d-1})(\mu^* - q^{d+1})(\mu + h - \tau)}{(\mu^* - q^{d-2i-1})(\mu^* - q^{d-2i+1})} \quad (1 \leq i \leq d-1), \\ K_{d,d} &= \frac{q^{-d}(\mu^* - q^{d+1})(\mu + h - \tau)}{\mu^* - q^{1-d}}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) *Assume that (17.7) holds with  $\mu^* h^* = 1$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} K_{0,0} &= \frac{q^d(\mu^* + q^{-d-1})(\mu + h + \tau)}{\mu^* + q^{d-1}}, \\ K_{i,i} &= \frac{q^{d-2i}(\mu^* + q^{-d-1})(\mu^* + q^{d+1})(\mu + h + \tau)}{(\mu^* + q^{d-2i-1})(\mu^* + q^{d-2i+1})} \quad (1 \leq i \leq d-1), \\ K_{d,d} &= \frac{q^{-d}(\mu^* + q^{d+1})(\mu + h + \tau)}{\mu^* + q^{1-d}}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Use (14.19) and Lemmas 6.45, 16.1 with  $\delta = \delta' = 0$ . □

Next, we give the  $K$  that corresponds to solution (17.8).

**THEOREM 18.2.** *Assume that  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$ . Then*

(i) *Assume that (17.8) holds with  $\mu^* = 0$ . Then*

$$K_{i,i} = q^{2i-d}(\mu + h - \mu' - h') \quad (0 \leq i \leq d).$$

(i) *Assume that (17.8) holds with  $h^* = 0$ . Then*

$$K_{i,i} = q^{d-2i}(\mu + h - \mu' - h') \quad (0 \leq i \leq d).$$

*Proof.* Use (14.19) and Lemmas 6.45, 16.1 with  $\delta = \delta' = 0$ . □

Next, we assume  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$ . Under this assumption, we consider the cases (17.14)–(17.16) in Theorem 17.3. For the moment assume that (17.14) holds. By the comments below Theorem 17.3, there exists  $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $B = A + \zeta I$ . By this and  $\delta = \delta' = 0$ , we get  $B = A$ . So  $K = 0$ . We now give the  $K$  that corresponds to solution (17.15). In view of Lemma 16.7, we may assume that  $\mu^* h^* = 1$ .

**THEOREM 18.3.** *Assume that  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$  and (17.15) holds with  $\mu^* h^* = 1$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} K_{0,0} &= \frac{q^d(\mu^* + q^{-d-1})(\mu + h + \tau)}{\mu^* + q^{d-1}}, \\ K_{i,i} &= \frac{q^{d-2i}(\mu^* + q^{-d-1})(\mu^* + q^{d+1})(\mu + h + \tau)}{(\mu^* + q^{d-2i-1})(\mu^* + q^{d-2i+1})} & (1 \leq i \leq d-1), \\ K_{d,d} &= \frac{q^{-d}(\mu^* + q^{d+1})(\mu + h + \tau)}{\mu^* + q^{1-d}}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Use (14.19) and Lemmas 6.45, 16.1 with  $\delta = \delta' = 0$ . □

Next, we give the  $K$  that corresponds to solution (17.16).

**THEOREM 18.4.** *Assume that  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$ . Then*

(i) *Assume that (17.16) holds with  $\mu^* = 0$ . Then*

$$K_{i,i} = q^{2i-d}(\mu + h - \mu' - h') \quad (0 \leq i \leq d).$$

(i) *Assume that (17.16) holds with  $h^* = 0$ . Then*

$$K_{i,i} = q^{d-2i}(\mu + h - \mu' - h') \quad (0 \leq i \leq d).$$

*Proof.* Use (14.19) and Lemmas 6.45, 16.1 with  $\delta = \delta' = 0$ . □

**19. The parameter arrays of type II.** In this section, we describe the parameter arrays of type II. We then prove Proposition 14.1 for type II. Throughout this section, assume that  $d \geq 3$ .

**LEMMA 19.1.** *Assume that  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$ . For a sequence*

$$(19.1) \quad (\delta, \mu, h, \delta^*, \mu^*, h^*, \tau),$$

*of scalars in  $\mathbb{F}$ , define*

$$(19.2) \quad \theta_i = \delta + \mu(i - d/2) + hi(d - i),$$

$$(19.3) \quad \theta_i^* = \delta^* + \mu^*(i - d/2) + h^*i(d - i),$$

*for  $0 \leq i \leq d$  and*

$$(19.4) \quad \varphi_i = i(d - i + 1)(\tau - \mu\mu^*/2 + (h\mu^* + \mu h^*)(i - (d + 1)/2) + hh^*(i - 1)(d - i)),$$

$$(19.5) \quad \phi_i = i(d - i + 1)(\tau + \mu\mu^*/2 + (h\mu^* - \mu h^*)(i - (d + 1)/2) + hh^*(i - 1)(d - i)),$$

*for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . Then the sequence*

$$(19.6) \quad (\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d; \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d),$$

is a parameter array over  $\mathbb{F}$  that has type II, provided that the inequalities in Lemma 6.15(i),(ii) hold. Conversely, assume that the sequence (19.6) is a parameter array over  $\mathbb{F}$  that has type II. Then there exists a unique sequence (19.1) of scalars in  $\mathbb{F}$  that satisfies (19.2)–(19.5).

*Proof.* Assume that the inequalities in Lemma 6.15(i),(ii) hold. Using (19.2)–(19.5), we routinely verify the conditions Lemma 6.15(iii)–(v). Thus, the sequence (19.6) is a parameter array over  $\mathbb{F}$ . Evaluating the expression on the left in (6.3) using (19.2), we find that the parameter array (19.6) has fundamental constant  $\beta = 2$ . So the parameter array (19.6) has type II. The last assertion comes from [37, Theorem 7.1].  $\square$

DEFINITION 19.2. Referring to Lemma 19.1, assume that the sequence (19.6) is a parameter array over  $\mathbb{F}$ . We call the scalars  $\delta, \mu, h, \delta^*, \mu^*, h^*, \tau$  the basic variables of (19.6). We call the sequence  $(\delta, \mu, h, \delta^*, \mu^*, h^*, \tau)$  the basic sequence of (19.6).

LEMMA 19.3. Referring to Lemma 19.1, the following hold for  $0 \leq i, j \leq d$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_i - \theta_j &= (i - j)(\mu + h(d - i - j)), \\ \theta_i^* - \theta_j^* &= (i - j)(\mu^* + h^*(d - i - j)). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Routine verification using (19.2) and (19.3).  $\square$

LEMMA 19.4. Referring to Lemma 19.1, the inequalities in Lemma 6.15(i),(ii) hold if and only if

- (19.7)  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F})$  is equal to 0 or greater than  $d$ ,
- (19.8)  $\mu \neq hi \quad (1 - d \leq i \leq d - 1)$ ,
- (19.9)  $\mu^* \neq h^*i \quad (1 - d \leq i \leq d - 1)$ ,
- (19.10)  $\tau \neq \mu\mu^*/2 - (h\mu^* + \mu h^*)(i - (d + 1)/2) - hh^*(i - 1)(d - i) \quad (1 \leq i \leq d)$ ,
- (19.11)  $\tau \neq -\mu\mu^*/2 - (h\mu^* - \mu h^*)(i - (d + 1)/2) - hh^*(i - 1)(d - i) \quad (1 \leq i \leq d)$ .

*Proof.* Routine verification using (19.4), (19.5), and Lemma 19.3.  $\square$

For the rest of this section, let  $\Phi$  denote a Leonard system over  $\mathbb{F}$  that has type II and parameter array:

$$(19.12) \quad (\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d; \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d).$$

DEFINITION 19.5. By the basic variables (resp. basic sequence) of  $\Phi$ , we mean the basic variables (resp. basic sequence) of the parameter array (19.12).

For the rest of this section, let  $(\delta, \mu, h, \delta^*, \mu^*, h^*, \tau)$  denote the basic sequence of  $\Phi$ .

LEMMA 19.6. In the table below, for each Leonard system in the first column we give the basic sequence:

Leonard system	Basic sequence
$\Phi^\downarrow$	$(\delta, \mu, h, \delta^*, -\mu^*, h^*, \tau)$
$\Phi^\updownarrow$	$(\delta, -\mu, h, \delta^*, \mu^*, h^*, \tau)$
$\Phi^\vee$	$(-\delta, -\mu, -h, \delta^*, \mu^*, h^*, -\tau)$

*Proof.* Concerning  $\Phi^\downarrow$  and  $\Phi^\updownarrow$ , use Lemma 6.28. Concerning  $\Phi^\vee$ , use Lemma 7.10.  $\square$

LEMMA 19.7. For scalars  $\xi, \zeta, \xi^*, \zeta^*$  in  $\mathbb{F}$  with  $\xi\xi^* \neq 0$ , consider the Leonard system:

$$(\xi A + \zeta I; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; \xi^* A^* + \zeta^* I; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d).$$



For this Leonard system, the basic sequence is equal to

$$(\xi\delta + \zeta; \xi\mu, \xi h, \xi^*\delta^* + \zeta^*; \xi^*\mu^*, \xi^*h^*, \xi\xi^*\tau).$$

*Proof.* Use Lemma 6.29. □

COROLLARY 19.8. *The Leonard system*

$$(A - \delta I; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^* - \delta^* I; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d),$$

has basic sequence  $(0, \mu, h, 0, \mu^*, h^*, \tau)$ .

DEFINITION 19.9. *We say that  $\Phi$  is reduced whenever  $\delta = 0$  and  $\delta^* = 0$ .*

LEMMA 19.10. *The invariant value  $\kappa$  for  $\Phi$  satisfies  $\kappa = 4h^2$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 6.25 and (19.2). □

*Proof of Proposition 14.1, type II.* One routinely verifies (14.2) using (14.3)–(14.5) and Lemmas 19.1, 19.10. □

Note that Theorem 14.3 holds for type II.

**20. A characterization of compatibility in terms of the basic sequence, type II.** In this section, we characterize the compatibility relation for Leonard pairs of type II in terms of the basic sequence. Throughout this section, Notation 12.1 is in effect. Assume that  $\Phi$  has type II. Note that  $\Phi'$  has type II. Let

$$(\delta, \mu, h, \delta^*, \mu^*, h^*, \tau), \quad (\delta', \mu', h', \delta'^*, \mu'^*, h'^*, \tau'),$$

denote the basic sequence of  $\Phi$  and  $\Phi'$ , respectively.

THEOREM 20.1. *The matrices  $A$  and  $B$  are compatible if and only if the following (20.1)–(20.3) hold:*

$$(20.1) \quad h^2 = h'^2,$$

$$(20.2) \quad 2h\tau + \mu^2 h^* = 2h'\tau' + \mu'^2 h'^*,$$

$$(20.3) \quad 4\tau^2 - \mu^2(\mu^{*2} + (d-1)^2 h^{*2}) = 4\tau'^2 - \mu'^2(\mu'^{*2} + (d-1)^2 h'^{*2}).$$

*Proof.* We will invoke Theorem 14.3. To do this, we investigate the conditions in (14.18). By Lemma 19.10 and (19.7),  $\kappa = \kappa'$  if and only if (20.1) holds. Using (19.4), (19.5), we find that under the assumption (20.1) the expression  $\varphi_1\phi_1 - \varphi'_1\phi'_1 - \varphi_d\phi_d + \varphi'_d\phi'_d$  is equal to  $d^2(1-d)\mu^*$  times:

$$2h\tau + \mu^2 h^* - 2h'\tau' - \mu'^2 h'^*.$$

Using (19.4) and (19.5), we find that under the assumptions (20.1) and (20.2) the expression  $\varphi_1\phi_1 - \varphi'_1\phi'_1$  is equal to  $d^2/4$  times:

$$4\tau^2 - \mu^2(\mu^{*2} + (d-1)^2 h^{*2}) - 4\tau'^2 + \mu'^2(\mu'^{*2} + (d-1)^2 h'^{*2}).$$

By these comments and (19.7), (19.9), we find that under the assumption (20.1) both  $\varphi_1\phi_1 = \varphi'_1\phi'_1$  and  $\varphi_d\phi_d = \varphi'_d\phi'_d$  hold if and only if both (20.2) and (20.3) hold. Now the result follows from Theorem 14.3. □

Our next goal is to solve the equations (20.1)–(20.3) for  $\mu', h', \tau'$ .

THEOREM 20.2. *The equations (20.1)–(20.3) hold if and only if at least one of the following (20.4)–(20.8) holds:*

$$(20.4) \quad h' = h, \quad \tau' = \tau, \quad \mu'^2 = \mu^2;$$

$$(20.5) \quad h' = -h, \quad \tau' = -\tau, \quad \mu'^2 = \mu^2;$$

$$(20.6) \quad \begin{aligned} h^* \neq 0, \quad h' = h \neq 0, \quad \tau' = -\tau - \frac{h}{2h^*} (\mu^{*2} + (d-1)^2 h^{*2}), \\ \mu'^2 = \mu^2 + \frac{h}{h^{*2}} (4h^* \tau + h(\mu^{*2} + (d-1)^2 h^{*2})); \end{aligned}$$

$$(20.7) \quad \begin{aligned} h^* \neq 0, \quad h' = -h \neq 0, \quad \tau' = \tau + \frac{h}{2h^*} (\mu^{*2} + (d-1)^2 h^{*2}), \\ \mu'^2 = \mu^2 + \frac{h}{h^{*2}} (4h^* \tau + h(\mu^{*2} + (d-1)^2 h^{*2})); \end{aligned}$$

$$(20.8) \quad h^* = 0, \quad h' = h = 0, \quad 4(\tau^2 - \tau'^2) = (\mu^2 - \mu'^2)\mu^{*2}.$$

*Proof.* One routinely checks that each of (20.4)–(20.8) gives a solution to (20.1)–(20.3). Now assume that (20.1)–(20.3) hold. We show that at least one of (20.4)–(20.8) holds.

First consider the case  $h^* = 0$ . Note by (19.9) that  $\mu^* \neq 0$ . By (20.2) and (20.3),

$$(20.9) \quad h\tau = h'\tau',$$

$$(20.10) \quad 4(\tau^2 - \tau'^2) = (\mu^2 - \mu'^2)\mu^{*2}.$$

We may assume that  $h \neq 0$ ; otherwise,  $h = h' = 0$  by (20.1), and so (20.8) holds by (20.10). By (20.1), we have either  $h' = h$  or  $h' = -h$ . If  $h' = h$ , then by (20.9) we get  $\tau' = \tau$ , and so (20.4) holds by (20.10). If  $h' = -h$ , then by (20.9) we get  $\tau' = -\tau$ , and so (20.5) holds by (20.10).

Next consider the case  $h^* \neq 0$ . First assume that  $h = 0$ . By (20.1),  $h' = 0$ . By (20.2),  $\mu^2 = \mu'^2$ . By this and (20.3),  $\tau^2 = \tau'^2$ . So either  $\tau' = \tau$  or  $\tau' = -\tau$ . If  $\tau' = \tau$ , then (20.4) holds. If  $\tau' = -\tau$ , then (20.5) holds. Next assume that  $h \neq 0$ . By (20.1), we have either  $h' = h$  or  $h' = -h$ . First assume that  $h' = h$ . By (20.2),

$$(20.11) \quad \mu'^2 = \mu^2 + \frac{2h(\tau - \tau')}{h^*}.$$

In (20.3), eliminate  $\mu'$  using (20.11) to get

$$(20.12) \quad (\tau - \tau') \left( 2(\tau + \tau') + \frac{h}{h^*} (\mu^{*2} + (d-1)^2 h^{*2}) \right) = 0.$$

We may assume that  $\tau \neq \tau'$ ; otherwise,  $\mu'^2 = \mu^2$  by (20.11), and so (20.4) holds. By (20.12),

$$\tau' = -\tau - \frac{h}{2h^*} (\mu^{*2} + (d-1)^2 h^{*2}).$$

By this and (20.11),

$$\mu'^2 = \mu^2 + \frac{h}{h^{*2}} (4\tau h^* + h(\mu^{*2} + (d-1)^2 h^{*2})).$$

Thus, (20.6) holds. Next assume that  $h' = -h$ . By (20.2),

$$(20.13) \quad \mu'^2 = \mu^2 + \frac{2h(\tau + \tau')}{h^*}.$$

In (20.3), eliminate  $\mu'$  using (20.13) to get

$$(20.14) \quad (\tau + \tau') \left( 2(\tau - \tau') + \frac{h}{h^*} (\mu^{*2} + (d-1)^2 h^{*2}) \right) = 0.$$

We may assume that  $\tau + \tau' \neq 0$ ; otherwise,  $\mu'^2 = \mu^2$  by (20.13), and so (20.5) holds. By (20.14),

$$\tau' = \tau + \frac{h}{2h^*} (\mu^{*2} + (d-1)^2 h^{*2}).$$

By this and (20.13),

$$\mu'^2 = \mu^2 + \frac{h}{h^{*2}} (4\tau h^* + h(\mu^{*2} + (d-1)^2 h^{*2})).$$

Thus, (20.7) holds. □

We have some comments about (20.4) and (20.5). By Proposition 12.3, (20.4) holds if and only if there exists  $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $B = A + \zeta I$ . By Proposition 12.4, (20.5) holds if and only if there exists  $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $B = A^\vee + \zeta I$ . The solutions (20.4)–(20.8) are not mutually exclusive.

**21. Describing the companions for a Leonard pair of type II.** In this section, we describe the companions for a Leonard pair of type II. Throughout this section, Notation 12.1 is in effect. Assume that  $\Phi$  has type II. Note that  $\Phi'$  has type II. Let

$$(21.1) \quad (\delta, \mu, h, \delta^*, \mu^*, h^*, \tau), \quad (\delta', \mu', h', \delta'^*, \mu'^*, h'^*, \tau')$$

denote the basic sequence of  $\Phi$  and  $\Phi'$ , respectively. Assume that  $A$  and  $B$  are compatible and consider the companion  $K = A - B$ . We will give the entries of  $K$ . To avoid complicated formulas, we assume that each of  $\Phi$  and  $\Phi'$  is reduced so that  $\delta = 0, \delta' = 0, \delta^* = 0$ .

For the moment assume that (20.4) holds. By the comments below Theorem 20.2, there exists  $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $B = A + \zeta I$ . By this and  $\delta = \delta' = 0$ , we get  $B = A$ . So  $K = 0$ . Next assume that (20.5) holds. By the comments below Theorem 20.2, there exists  $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $B = A^\vee + \zeta I$ . By this and  $\delta = \delta' = 0$ , we get  $B = A^\vee$ . By this and Lemma 10.3,  $K_{i,i} = 2a_i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ . Next, we give the  $K$  that corresponds to solutions (20.6) and (20.7).

**THEOREM 21.1.** *The following hold:*

(i) *Assume that (20.6) holds. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} K_{0,0} &= -\frac{d(4h^*\tau + h(\mu^{*2} + (d-1)^2 h^{*2}))}{2h^*(\mu^* + (d-1)h^*)}, \\ K_{i,i} &= -\frac{((d-2i)\mu^* + (d(d+1) - 2i(d-i))h^*)(4h^*\tau + h(\mu^{*2} + (d-1)^2 h^{*2}))}{2h^*(\mu^* + (d-2i-1)h^*)(\mu^* + (d-2i+1)h^*)} \\ &\hspace{15em} (1 \leq i \leq d-1), \\ K_{d,d} &= -\frac{d(4h^*\tau + h(\mu^{*2} + (d-1)^2 h^{*2}))}{2h^*(-\mu^* + (d-1)h^*)}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Assume that (20.7) holds. Then

$$\begin{aligned} K_{0,0} &= \frac{dh(\mu^* + (d-1)h^*)}{2h^*}, \\ K_{i,i} &= \frac{h((d-2i)\mu^* + (d(d-1) - 2i(d-i))h^*)}{2h^*} \quad (1 \leq i \leq d-1), \\ K_{d,d} &= \frac{dh(-\mu^* + (d-1)h^*)}{2h^*}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Use (14.19) and Lemmas 6.45, 19.1 with  $\delta = \delta' = 0$ . □

Next, we give the  $K$  that corresponds to solution (20.8).

**THEOREM 21.2.** Assume that (20.8) holds. Then

$$K_{i,i} = -\frac{(d-2i)(\tau - \tau')}{\mu^*} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d).$$

*Proof.* Use (14.19) and Lemmas 6.45, 19.1 with  $\delta = \delta' = 0$ . □

**22. The parameter arrays of type III<sup>+</sup>.** In this section, we describe the parameter arrays of type III<sup>+</sup>. We then prove Proposition 14.1 for type III<sup>+</sup>. Throughout this section, assume that  $d \geq 3$ .

**LEMMA 22.1.** Assume that  $d$  is even and  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$ . For a sequence

$$(22.1) \quad (\delta, s, h, \delta^*, s^*, h^*, \tau),$$

of scalars in  $\mathbb{F}$ , define

$$(22.2) \quad \theta_i = \begin{cases} \delta + s + h(i - d/2) & \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \\ \delta - s - h(i - d/2) & \text{if } i \text{ is odd} \end{cases} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d),$$

$$(22.3) \quad \theta_i^* = \begin{cases} \delta^* + s^* + h^*(i - d/2) & \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \\ \delta^* - s^* - h^*(i - d/2) & \text{if } i \text{ is odd} \end{cases} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d),$$

and for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ ,

$$(22.4) \quad \varphi_i = \begin{cases} i(\tau - sh^* - s^*h - hh^*(i - (d+1)/2)) & \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \\ (d-i+1)(\tau + sh^* + s^*h + hh^*(i - (d+1)/2)) & \text{if } i \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

$$(22.5) \quad \phi_i = \begin{cases} i(\tau - sh^* + s^*h + hh^*(i - (d+1)/2)) & \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \\ (d-i+1)(\tau + sh^* - s^*h - hh^*(i - (d+1)/2)) & \text{if } i \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Then the sequence

$$(22.6) \quad (\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d; \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d),$$

is a parameter array over  $\mathbb{F}$  that has type III<sup>+</sup>, provided that the inequalities in Lemma 6.15(i),(ii) hold. Conversely, assume that the sequence (22.6) is a parameter array over  $\mathbb{F}$  that has type III<sup>+</sup>. Then there exists a unique sequence (22.1) of scalars in  $\mathbb{F}$  that satisfies (22.2)–(22.5).

*Proof.* Assume that the inequalities in Lemma 6.15(i),(ii) hold. Using (22.2)–(22.5), we routinely verify the conditions Lemma 6.15(iii)–(v). Thus, the sequence (22.6) is a parameter array over  $\mathbb{F}$ . Evaluating the expression on the left in (6.3) using (22.2), we find that the parameter array (22.6) has fundamental constant  $\beta = -2$ . So the parameter array (22.6) has type III<sup>+</sup>. The last assertion comes from [37, Theorem 8.1].  $\square$

DEFINITION 22.2. Referring to Lemma 22.1, assume that the sequence (22.6) is a parameter array over  $\mathbb{F}$ . We call the scalars  $\delta, s, h, \delta^*, s^*, h^*, \tau$  the basic variables of (22.6). We call the sequence  $(\delta, s, h, \delta^*, s^*, h^*, \tau)$  the basic sequence of (22.6).

LEMMA 22.3. Referring to Lemma 22.1, the following hold for  $0 \leq i, j \leq d$ :

$$\theta_i - \theta_j = \begin{cases} h(i-j) & \text{if } i \text{ is even, } j \text{ is even,} \\ 2s + h(i+j-d) & \text{if } i \text{ is even, } j \text{ is odd,} \\ h(j-i) & \text{if } i \text{ is odd, } j \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

$$\theta_i^* - \theta_j^* = \begin{cases} h^*(i-j) & \text{if } i \text{ is even, } j \text{ is even,} \\ 2s^* + h^*(i+j-d) & \text{if } i \text{ is even, } j \text{ is odd,} \\ h^*(j-i) & \text{if } i \text{ is odd, } j \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Routine verification using (22.2) and (22.3).  $\square$

LEMMA 22.4. Referring to Lemma 22.1, the inequalities in Lemma 6.15(i),(ii) hold if and only if

(22.7)  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F})$  is equal to 0 or greater than  $d/2$ ,

(22.8)  $h \neq 0, \quad h^* \neq 0,$

(22.9)  $2s \neq ih \quad \text{if } i \text{ is odd} \quad (1-d \leq i \leq d-1),$

(22.10)  $2s^* \neq ih^* \quad \text{if } i \text{ is odd} \quad (1-d \leq i \leq d-1),$

(22.11)  $\tau \neq sh^* + s^*h + hh^*(i - (d+1)/2) \quad \text{if } i \text{ is even} \quad (1 \leq i \leq d),$

(22.12)  $\tau \neq -sh^* - s^*h - hh^*(i - (d+1)/2) \quad \text{if } i \text{ is odd} \quad (1 \leq i \leq d),$

(22.13)  $\tau \neq sh^* - s^*h - hh^*(i - (d+1)/2) \quad \text{if } i \text{ is even} \quad (1 \leq i \leq d),$

(22.14)  $\tau \neq -sh^* + s^*h + hh^*(i - (d+1)/2) \quad \text{if } i \text{ is odd} \quad (1 \leq i \leq d).$

*Proof.* Routine verification using (22.4), (22.5), and Lemma 22.3.  $\square$

For the rest of this section, let  $\Phi$  denote a Leonard system over  $\mathbb{F}$  that has type III<sup>+</sup> and parameter array

(22.15)  $(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d; \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d).$

DEFINITION 22.5. By the basic variables (resp. basic sequence) of  $\Phi$ , we mean the basic variables (resp. basic sequence) of the parameter array (22.15).

For the rest of this section, let  $(\delta, s, h, \delta^*, s^*, h^*, \tau)$  denote the basic sequence of  $\Phi$ .

LEMMA 22.6. In the table below, for each Leonard system in the first column, we give the basic sequence:

Leonard system	Basic sequence
$\Phi^\downarrow$	$(\delta, s, h, \delta^*, s^*, -h^*, \tau)$
$\Phi^\Downarrow$	$(\delta, s, -h, \delta^*, s^*, h^*, \tau)$
$\Phi^\vee$	$(-\delta, -s, -h, \delta^*, s^*, h^*, -\tau)$

*Proof.* Concerning  $\Phi^\downarrow$  and  $\Phi^\uparrow$ , use Lemma 6.28. Concerning  $\Phi^\vee$ , use Lemma 7.10. □

LEMMA 22.7. For scalars  $\xi, \zeta, \xi^*, \zeta^*$  in  $\mathbb{F}$  with  $\xi\xi^* \neq 0$ , consider the Leonard system:

$$(\xi A + \zeta I; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; \xi^* A^* + \zeta^* I; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d).$$

For this Leonard system, the basic sequence is equal to

$$(\xi\delta + \zeta; \xi s, \xi h, \xi^* \delta^* + \zeta^*; \xi^* s^*, \xi^* h^*, \xi\xi^* \tau).$$

*Proof.* Use Lemma 6.29. □

COROLLARY 22.8. The Leonard system

$$(A - \delta I; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^* - \delta^* I; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d),$$

has basic sequence  $(0, s, h, 0, s^*, h^*, \tau)$ .

DEFINITION 22.9. We say that  $\Phi$  is reduced whenever  $\delta = 0$  and  $\delta^* = 0$ .

LEMMA 22.10. The variable  $\kappa$  for  $\Phi$  satisfies  $\kappa = 4h^2$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 6.25 and (22.2). □

*Proof of Proposition 14.1, type III<sup>+</sup>.* One routinely verifies (14.2) using (14.3)–(14.5) and Lemmas 22.1, 22.10. □

Note that Theorem 14.3 holds for type III<sup>+</sup>.

**23. A characterization of compatibility in terms of the basic sequence, type III<sup>+</sup>.** In this section, we characterize the compatibility relation for Leonard pairs of type III<sup>+</sup> in terms of the basic sequence. Throughout this section, Notation 12.1 is in effect. Assume that  $\Phi$  has type III<sup>+</sup>. Note that  $\Phi'$  has type III<sup>+</sup>. Let

$$(\delta, s, h, \delta^*, s^*, h^*, \tau), \quad (\delta', s', h', \delta'^*, s'^*, h'^*, \tau'),$$

denote the basic sequence of  $\Phi$  and  $\Phi'$ , respectively.

THEOREM 23.1. The matrices  $A$  and  $B$  are compatible if and only if the following (23.1)–(23.3) hold:

$$(23.1) \quad h^2 = h'^2,$$

$$(23.2) \quad (\tau + sh^*)^2 = (\tau' + s'h'^*)^2,$$

$$(23.3) \quad (\tau - sh^*)^2 = (\tau' - s'h'^*)^2.$$

*Proof.* We will invoke Theorem 14.3. To do this, we investigate the conditions in (14.18). By Lemma 22.10 and (22.7),  $\kappa = \kappa'$  if and only if (23.1) holds. Using (22.4) and (22.5), we find that under the assumption (23.1) the expression  $\varphi_1\phi_1 - \varphi'_1\phi'_1$  is equal to  $d^2$  times:

$$(\tau + sh^*)^2 - (\tau' + s'h'^*)^2,$$

and the expression  $\varphi_d\phi_d - \varphi'_d\phi'_d$  is equal to  $d^2$  times:

$$(\tau - sh^*)^2 - (\tau' - s'h'^*)^2.$$

By these comments and (22.7), we find that under the assumption (23.1),  $\varphi_1\phi_1 = \varphi'_1\phi'_1$  holds if and only if (23.2) holds, and  $\varphi_d\phi_d = \varphi'_d\phi'_d$  if and only if (23.3) holds. Now the result follows from Theorem 14.3. □

Our next goal is to solve the equations (23.1)–(23.3) for  $s'$ ,  $h'$ , and  $\tau'$ .

**THEOREM 23.2.** *The equations (23.1)–(23.3) hold if and only if at least one of the following (23.4)–(23.7) holds:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (23.4) \quad & s' = s, & \tau' = \tau, & h'^2 = h^2; \\
 (23.5) \quad & s' = -s, & \tau' = -\tau, & h'^2 = h^2; \\
 (23.6) \quad & s' = \tau/h^*, & \tau' = sh^*, & h'^2 = h^2; \\
 (23.7) \quad & s' = -\tau/h^*, & \tau' = -sh^*, & h'^2 = h^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* One routinely checks that each of (23.4)–(23.7) gives a solution to (23.1)–(23.3). Now assume that (23.1)–(23.3) hold. Note by (22.8) that  $h^* \neq 0$ . By (23.2),

$$\tau + sh^* = \tau' + s'h^* \quad \text{or} \quad \tau + sh^* = -\tau' - s'h^*.$$

By (23.3),

$$\tau - sh^* = \tau' - s'h^* \quad \text{or} \quad \tau - sh^* = -\tau' + s'h^*.$$

By these comments and (23.1), we get at least one of (23.4)–(23.7). □

We have some comments about (23.4) and (23.5). By Proposition 12.3, (23.4) holds if and only if there exists  $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $B = A + \zeta I$ . By Proposition 12.4, (23.5) holds if and only if there exists  $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $B = A^\vee + \zeta I$ . The solutions (23.4)–(23.7) are not mutually exclusive.

**24. Describing the companions for a Leonard pair of type III<sup>+</sup>.** In this section, we describe the companions for a Leonard pair of type III<sup>+</sup>. Throughout this section, Notation 12.1 is in effect. Assume that  $\Phi$  has type III<sup>+</sup>. Note that  $\Phi'$  has type III<sup>+</sup>. Let

$$(24.1) \quad (\delta, s, h, \delta^*, s^*, h^*, \tau), \quad (\delta', s', h', \delta'^*, s'^*, h'^*, \tau'),$$

denote the basic sequence of  $\Phi$  and  $\Phi'$ , respectively. Assume that  $A$  and  $B$  are compatible and consider the companion  $K = A - B$ . We will give the entries of  $K$ . To avoid complicated formulas, we assume that each of  $\Phi$  and  $\Phi'$  is reduced so that  $\delta = 0$ ,  $\delta' = 0$ ,  $\delta^* = 0$ .

For the moment assume that (23.4) holds. By the comments below Theorem 23.2, there exists  $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $B = A + \zeta I$ . By this and  $\delta = \delta' = 0$ , we get  $B = A$ . So  $K = 0$ . Next assume that (23.5) holds. By the comments below Theorem 23.2, there exists  $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $B = A^\vee + \zeta I$ . By this and  $\delta = \delta' = 0$ , we get  $B = A^\vee$ . By this and Lemma 10.3,  $K_{i,i} = 2a_i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ . We now give the  $K$  that corresponds to solutions (23.6), (23.7).

**THEOREM 24.1.** *The following hold:*

(i) *Assume (23.6) holds. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_{0,0} &= s - \tau/h^*, \\
 K_{i,i} &= \begin{cases} \frac{(s - \tau/h^*)(2s^* - (d+1)h^*)}{2s^* - (d-2i+1)h^*} & \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \\ -\frac{(s - \tau/h^*)(2s^* - (d+1)h^*)}{2s^* - (d-2i-1)h^*} & \text{if } i \text{ is odd} \end{cases} \quad (1 \leq i \leq d).
 \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Assume that (23.7) holds. Then

$$K_{i,i} = \begin{cases} \frac{(s + \tau/h^*)(2s^* + (d + 1)h^*)}{2s^* - (d - 2i - 1)h^*} & \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \\ -\frac{(s + \tau/h^*)(2s^* + (d + 1)h^*)}{2s^* - (d - 2i + 1)h^*} & \text{if } i \text{ is odd} \end{cases} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d - 1),$$

$$K_{d,d} = s + \tau/h^*.$$

*Proof.* Use (14.19) and Lemmas 6.45, 22.1 with  $\delta = \delta' = 0$ . □

**25. The parameter arrays of type III<sup>-</sup>.** In this section, we describe the parameter arrays of type III<sup>-</sup>. We then prove Proposition 14.1 for type III<sup>-</sup>. Throughout this section, assume that  $d \geq 3$ .

LEMMA 25.1. Assume that  $d$  is odd and  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$ . For a sequence

$$(25.1) \quad (\delta, s, h, \delta^*, s^*, h^*, \tau),$$

of scalars in  $\mathbb{F}$ , define

$$(25.2) \quad \theta_i = \begin{cases} \delta + s + h(i - d/2) & \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \\ \delta - s - h(i - d/2) & \text{if } i \text{ is odd} \end{cases} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d),$$

$$(25.3) \quad \theta_i^* = \begin{cases} \delta^* + s^* + h^*(i - d/2) & \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \\ \delta^* - s^* - h^*(i - d/2) & \text{if } i \text{ is odd} \end{cases} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d),$$

and for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ ,

$$(25.4) \quad \varphi_i = \begin{cases} hh^*i(d - i + 1) & \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \\ \tau - 2ss^* + i(d - i + 1)hh^* - (sh^* + s^*h)(2i - d - 1) & \text{if } i \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

$$(25.5) \quad \phi_i = \begin{cases} hh^*i(d - i + 1) & \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \\ \tau + 2ss^* + i(d - i + 1)hh^* + (sh^* - s^*h)(2i - d - 1) & \text{if } i \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Then the sequence

$$(25.6) \quad (\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d; \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d),$$

is a parameter array over  $\mathbb{F}$  that has type III<sup>-</sup>, provided that the inequalities in Lemma 6.15(i),(ii) hold. Conversely, assume that the sequence (25.6) is a parameter array over  $\mathbb{F}$  that has type III<sup>-</sup>. Then there exists a unique sequence (25.1) of scalars in  $\mathbb{F}$  that satisfies (25.2)–(25.5).

*Proof.* Assume that the inequalities in Lemma 6.15(i),(ii) hold. Using (25.2)–(25.5) we routinely verify the conditions Lemma 6.15(iii)–(v). Thus the sequence (25.6) is a parameter array over  $\mathbb{F}$ . Evaluating the expression on the left in (6.3) using (25.2), we find that the parameter array (25.6) has fundamental constant  $\beta = -2$ . So the parameter array (25.6) has type III<sup>-</sup>. The last assertion comes from [37, Theorem 9.1]. □

DEFINITION 25.2. Referring to Lemma 25.1, assume that the sequence (25.6) is a parameter array over  $\mathbb{F}$ . We call the scalars  $\delta, s, h, \delta^*, s^*, h^*, \tau$  the basic variables of (25.6). We call the sequence  $(\delta, s, h, \delta^*, s^*, h^*, \tau)$  the basic sequence of (25.6).



LEMMA 25.3. Referring to Lemma 25.1, the following hold for  $0 \leq i, j \leq d$ :

$$\theta_i - \theta_j = \begin{cases} h(i-j) & \text{if } i \text{ is even, } j \text{ is even,} \\ 2s + h(i+j-d) & \text{if } i \text{ is even, } j \text{ is odd,} \\ h(j-i) & \text{if } i \text{ is odd, } j \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

$$\theta_i^* - \theta_j^* = \begin{cases} h^*(i-j) & \text{if } i \text{ is even, } j \text{ is even,} \\ 2s^* + h^*(i+j-d) & \text{if } i \text{ is even, } j \text{ is odd,} \\ h^*(j-i) & \text{if } i \text{ is odd, } j \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Routine verification using (25.2) and (25.3). □

LEMMA 25.4. Referring to Lemma 25.1, the inequalities in Lemma 6.15(i),(ii) hold if and only if

(25.7)  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F})$  is equal to 0 or greater than  $(d-1)/2$ ,

(25.8)  $h \neq 0, \quad h^* \neq 0$ ,

(25.9)  $2s \neq ih$  if  $i$  is even  $(1-d \leq i \leq d-1)$ ,

(25.10)  $2s^* \neq ih^*$  if  $i$  is even  $(1-d \leq i \leq d-1)$ ,

(25.11)  $\tau \neq 2ss^* - i(d-i+1)hh^* + (sh^* + s^*h)(2i-d-1)$  if  $i$  is odd  $(1 \leq i \leq d)$ ,

(25.12)  $\tau \neq -2ss^* - i(d-i+1)hh^* - (sh^* - s^*h)(2i-d-1)$  if  $i$  is odd  $(1 \leq i \leq d)$ .

*Proof.* Routine verification using (25.4), (25.5), and Lemma 25.3. □

For the rest of this section, let  $\Phi$  denote a Leonard system over  $\mathbb{F}$  that has type III<sup>-</sup> and parameter array:

(25.13)  $(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d; \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d).$

DEFINITION 25.5. By the basic variables (resp. basic sequence) of  $\Phi$ , we mean the basic variables (resp. basic sequence) of the parameter array (25.13).

For the rest of this section, let  $(\delta, s, h, \delta^*, s^*, h^*, \tau)$  denote the basic sequence of  $\Phi$ .

LEMMA 25.6. In the table below, for each Leonard system in the first column, we give the basic sequence:

Leonard system	Basic sequence
$\Phi^\downarrow$	$(\delta, s, h, \delta^*, s^*, -h^*, \tau)$
$\Phi^\updownarrow$	$(\delta, s, -h, \delta^*, s^*, h^*, \tau)$
$\Phi^\vee$	$(-\delta, -s, -h, \delta^*, s^*, h^*, -\tau)$

*Proof.* Concerning  $\Phi^\downarrow$  and  $\Phi^\updownarrow$ , use Lemma 6.28. Concerning  $\Phi^\vee$ , use Lemma 7.10. □

LEMMA 25.7. For scalars  $\xi, \zeta, \xi^*, \zeta^*$  in  $\mathbb{F}$  with  $\xi\xi^* \neq 0$ , consider the Leonard system:

$$(\xi A + \zeta I; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; \xi^* A^* + \zeta^* I; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d).$$

For this Leonard system, the basic sequence is equal to

$$(\xi\delta + \zeta; \xi s, \xi h, \xi^*\delta^* + \zeta^*; \xi^*s^*, \xi^*h^*, \xi\xi^*\tau).$$

*Proof.* Use Lemma 6.29. □

COROLLARY 25.8. *The Leonard system*

$$(A - \delta I; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^* - \delta^* I; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d),$$

has basic sequence  $(0, s, h, 0, s^*, h^*, \tau)$ .

DEFINITION 25.9. *We say that  $\Phi$  is reduced whenever  $\delta = 0$  and  $\delta^* = 0$ .*

LEMMA 25.10. *The invariant value  $\kappa$  for  $\Phi$  satisfies  $\kappa = 4h^2$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 6.25 and (25.2). □

*Proof of Proposition 14.1, type III<sup>-</sup>.* One routinely verifies (14.2) using (14.3)–(14.5) and Lemmas 25.1, 25.10. □

Note that Theorem 14.3 holds for type III<sup>-</sup>.

**26. A characterization of compatibility in terms of the basic sequence, type III<sup>-</sup>.** In this section, we characterize the compatibility relation for Leonard pairs of type III<sup>-</sup> in terms of the basic sequence. Throughout this section, Notation 12.1 is in effect. Assume that  $\Phi$  has type III<sup>-</sup>. Note that  $\Phi'$  has type III<sup>-</sup>. Let

$$(\delta, s, h, \delta^*, s^*, h^*, \tau), \quad (\delta', s', h', \delta'^*, s'^*, h'^*, \tau'),$$

denote the basic sequence of  $\Phi$  and  $\Phi'$ , respectively.

THEOREM 26.1. *The matrices  $A$  and  $B$  are compatible if and only if the following (26.1)–(26.3) hold:*

$$(26.1) \quad h^2 = h'^2,$$

$$(26.2) \quad h\tau + 2h^*s^2 = h'\tau' + 2h^*s'^2,$$

$$(26.3) \quad 2h^*\tau^2 + (4s^{*2} + (d+1)^2h^{*2})h\tau = 2h^*\tau'^2 + (4s'^{*2} + (d+1)^2h'^{*2})h'\tau'.$$

*Proof.* We will invoke Theorem 14.3. To do this, we investigate the conditions in (14.18). By Lemma 25.10 and  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$ ,  $\kappa = \kappa'$  if and only if (26.1) holds. Using (25.4) and (25.5), we find that under the assumption (26.1) the expression  $\varphi_1\phi_1 - \varphi'_1\phi'_1 - \varphi_d\phi_d + \varphi'_d\phi'_d$  is equal to  $4(d-1)s^*$  times:

$$h\tau + 2h^*s^2 - h'\tau' - 2h^*s'^2.$$

Using (25.4) and (25.5), we find that under the assumptions (26.1) and (26.2), the expression  $\varphi_1\phi_1 - \varphi'_1\phi'_1$  is equal to

$$\frac{2h^*\tau^2 + (4s^{*2} + (d+1)^2h^{*2})h\tau - 2h^*\tau'^2 - (4s'^{*2} + (d+1)^2h'^{*2})h'\tau'}{2h^*}.$$

By these comments and (25.7) and (25.10), we find that under the assumption (26.1), both  $\varphi_1\phi_1 = \varphi'_1\phi'_1$  and  $\varphi_d\phi_d = \varphi'_d\phi'_d$  hold if and only if both (26.2) and (26.3) hold. Now the result follows from Theorem 14.3. □

Our next goal is to solve the equations (26.1)–(26.3) for  $s'$ ,  $h'$ , and  $\tau'$ .

THEOREM 26.2. *The equations (26.1)–(26.3) hold if and only if at least one of the following (26.4)–(26.7) holds:*

$$(26.4) \quad h' = h, \quad \tau' = \tau, \quad s'^2 = s^2;$$

$$(26.5) \quad h' = -h, \quad \tau' = -\tau, \quad s'^2 = s^2;$$

$$(26.6) \quad \begin{aligned} h' &= h, & \tau' &= -\tau - 2hh^* \left( (s^*/h^*)^2 + ((d+1)/2)^2 \right), \\ s'^2 &= s^2 + (h/h^*)\tau + h^2 \left( (s^*/h^*)^2 + ((d+1)/2)^2 \right); \end{aligned}$$

$$(26.7) \quad \begin{aligned} h' &= -h, & \tau' &= \tau + 2hh^* \left( (s^*/h^*)^2 + ((d+1)/2)^2 \right), \\ s'^2 &= s^2 + (h/h^*)\tau + h^2 \left( (s^*/h^*)^2 + ((d+1)/2)^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* One routinely checks that each of (26.4)–(26.7) gives a solution to (26.1)–(26.3). Now assume that (26.1)–(26.3) hold. We show that at least one of (26.4)–(26.7) holds.

By (26.1), we have either  $h' = h$  or  $h' = -h$ . First assume that  $h' = h$ . We may assume that  $\tau \neq \tau'$ ; otherwise  $s^2 = s'^2$  by (26.2), and so (26.4) holds. By (26.3),

$$(\tau - \tau') \left( 2h^*(\tau + \tau') + h(4s^{*2} + (d+1)^2h^{*2}) \right) = 0.$$

By this and  $\tau - \tau' \neq 0$ ,

$$(26.8) \quad 2h^*(\tau + \tau') + h(4s^{*2} + (d+1)^2h^{*2}) = 0.$$

By (26.2),

$$s'^2 = s^2 + \frac{h(\tau - \tau')}{2h^*}.$$

By this and (26.8), we get (26.6). Next assume that  $h' = -h$ . We may assume that  $\tau' \neq -\tau$ ; otherwise  $s^2 = s'^2$  by (26.2), and so (26.5) holds. By (26.3),

$$(\tau + \tau') \left( 2h^*(\tau - \tau') + h(4s^{*2} + (d+1)^2h^{*2}) \right) = 0.$$

By this and  $\tau + \tau' \neq 0$ ,

$$(26.9) \quad 2h^*(\tau - \tau') + h(4s^{*2} + (d+1)^2h^{*2}) = 0.$$

By (26.2),

$$s'^2 = s^2 + \frac{h(\tau + \tau')}{2h^*}.$$

By this and (26.9), we get (26.7). □

We have some comments about (26.4) and (26.5). By Proposition 12.3, (26.4) holds if and only if there exists  $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $B = A + \zeta I$ . By Proposition 12.4, (26.5) holds if and only if there exists  $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $B = A^\vee + \zeta I$ . The solutions (26.4)–(26.7) are not mutually exclusive.

**27. Describing the companions for a Leonard pair of type III<sup>-</sup>.** In this section, we describe the companions for a Leonard pair of type III<sup>-</sup>. Throughout this section, Notation 12.1 is in effect. Assume that  $\Phi$  has type III<sup>-</sup>. Note that  $\Phi'$  has type III<sup>-</sup>. Let

$$(27.1) \quad (\delta, s, h, \delta^*, s^*, h^*, \tau), \quad (\delta', s', h', \delta'^*, s'^*, h'^*, \tau'),$$

denote the basic sequence of  $\Phi$  and  $\Phi'$ , respectively. Assume that  $A$  and  $B$  are compatible and consider the companion  $K = A - B$ . We will give the entries of  $K$ . To avoid complicated formulas, we assume that each of  $\Phi$  and  $\Phi'$  is reduced so that  $\delta = 0$ ,  $\delta' = 0$ , and  $\delta^* = 0$ .

For the moment assume that (26.4) holds. By the comments below Theorem 26.2, there exists  $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $B = A + \zeta I$ . By this and  $\delta = \delta' = 0$ , we get  $B = A$ . So  $K = 0$ . Next assume that (26.5) holds. By the comments below Theorem 26.2, there exists  $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $B = A^\vee + \zeta I$ . By this and  $\delta = \delta' = 0$ , we get  $B = A^\vee$ . By this and Lemma 10.3,  $K_{i,i} = 2a_i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ . We now give the  $K$  that corresponds to solutions (26.6) and (26.7).

THEOREM 27.1. *The following hold:*

(i) *Assume that (26.6) holds. Then*

$$K_{i,i} = \begin{cases} \frac{\tau + hh^* \left( (s^*/h^*)^2 + ((d+1)/2)^2 \right)}{s^* - ((d-1)/2 - i)h^*} & \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{\tau + hh^* \left( (s^*/h^*)^2 + ((d+1)/2)^2 \right)}{s^* - ((d+1)/2 - i)h^*} & \text{if } i \text{ is odd} \end{cases} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d).$$

(ii) *Assume that (26.7) holds. Then*

$$K_{0,0} = -h(s^*/h^* + (d+1)/2),$$

$$K_{i,i} = \begin{cases} -\frac{hh^* \left( (s^*/h^*)^2 - ((d+1)/2)^2 \right)}{s^* - ((d+1)/2 - i)h^*} & \text{if } i \text{ even,} \\ \frac{hh^* \left( (s^*/h^*)^2 - ((d+1)/2)^2 \right)}{s^* - ((d-1)/2 - i)h^*} & \text{if } i \text{ odd} \end{cases} \quad (1 \leq i \leq d-1),$$

$$K_{d,d} = h(s^*/h^* - (d+1)/2).$$

*Proof.* Use (14.19) and Lemmas 6.45, 25.1 with  $\delta = \delta' = 0$ . □

**28. The parameter arrays of type IV.** In this section, we describe the parameter arrays of type IV. We then prove Proposition 14.1 for type IV. Note by Lemma 13.3 that  $d = 3$  for type IV.

LEMMA 28.1. *Assume that  $d = 3$  and  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$ . For a sequence*

$$(28.1) \quad (\delta, h, s, \delta^*, h^*, s^*, r),$$

*of scalars in  $\mathbb{F}$ , define*

$$(28.2) \quad \theta_0 = \delta, \quad \theta_1 = \delta + h(s+1), \quad \theta_2 = \delta + h, \quad \theta_3 = \delta + hs,$$

$$(28.3) \quad \theta_0^* = \delta^*, \quad \theta_1^* = \delta^* + h^*(s^*+1), \quad \theta_2^* = \delta^* + h^*, \quad \theta_3^* = \delta^* + h^*s^*,$$

$$(28.4) \quad \varphi_1 = hh^*r, \quad \varphi_2 = hh^*, \quad \varphi_3 = hh^*(r + s + s^*),$$

$$(28.5) \quad \phi_1 = hh^*(r + s + ss^*), \quad \phi_2 = hh^*, \quad \phi_3 = hh^*(r + s^* + ss^*).$$

Then the sequence

$$(28.6) \quad (\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d; \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d),$$

is a parameter array over  $\mathbb{F}$  that has type IV, provided that the inequalities in Lemma 6.15(i),(ii) hold. Conversely, assume that the sequence (28.6) is a parameter array over  $\mathbb{F}$  that has type IV. Then there exists a unique sequence (28.1) of scalars in  $\mathbb{F}$  that satisfies (28.2)–(28.5).

*Proof.* Assume that the inequalities in Lemma 6.15(i),(ii) hold. Using (28.2)–(28.5) we routinely verify the conditions Lemma 6.15(iii)–(v). Thus, the sequence (28.6) is a parameter array over  $\mathbb{F}$ . Evaluating the expression on the left in (6.3) using (28.2), we find that the parameter array (28.6) has fundamental constant  $\beta = 2$ . So the parameter array (28.6) has type IV. The last assertion comes from [37, Theorem 10.1].  $\square$

DEFINITION 28.2. Referring to Lemma 28.1, assume that the sequence (28.6) is a parameter array over  $\mathbb{F}$ . We call the scalars  $\delta, h, s, \delta^*, h^*, s^*, r$  the basic variables of (28.6). We call the sequence  $(\delta, h, s, \delta^*, h^*, s^*, r)$  the basic sequence of (28.6).

LEMMA 28.3. Referring to Lemma 28.1, the inequalities in Lemma 6.15(i),(ii) hold if and only if

$$(28.7) \quad h \neq 0, \quad s \neq 0, \quad s + 1 \neq 0, \quad h^* \neq 0, \quad s^* \neq 0, \quad s^* + 1 \neq 0,$$

$$(28.8) \quad r \neq 0, \quad r + s + s^* \neq 0, \quad r + s + ss^* \neq 0, \quad r + s^* + ss^* \neq 0.$$

*Proof.* Routine verification using (28.2)–(28.5).  $\square$

For the rest of this section, let  $\Phi$  denote a Leonard system over  $\mathbb{F}$  that has type IV and parameter array:

$$(28.9) \quad (\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d; \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d).$$

DEFINITION 28.4. By the basic variables (resp. basic sequence) of  $\Phi$ , we mean the basic variables (resp. basic sequence) of the parameter array (28.9).

For the rest of this section, let  $(\delta, h, s, \delta^*, h^*, s^*, r)$  denote the basic sequence of  $\Phi$ .

LEMMA 28.5. In the table below, for each Leonard system in the first column, we give the basic sequence:

Leonard system	Basic sequence
$\Phi^\downarrow$	$(\delta, s, h, \delta^* + h^*s^*, s^*, h^*, r + s^* + ss^*)$
$\Phi^\updownarrow$	$(\delta + hs, s, h, \delta^*, s^*, h^*, r + s + ss^*)$
$\Phi^\vee$	$(\delta, s, h, \delta^*, s^*, h^*, r)$

*Proof.* Concerning  $\Phi^\downarrow$  and  $\Phi^\updownarrow$ , use Lemma 6.28. Concerning  $\Phi^\vee$ , use Lemma 7.10.  $\square$

LEMMA 28.6. For scalars  $\xi, \zeta, \xi^*, \zeta^*$  in  $\mathbb{F}$  with  $\xi\xi^* \neq 0$ , consider the Leonard system:

$$(\xi A + \zeta I; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; \xi^* A^* + \zeta^* I; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d).$$

For this Leonard system, the basic sequence is equal to

$$(\xi\delta + \zeta, \xi h, s, \xi^*\delta^* + \zeta^*, \xi^*h^*, s^*, r).$$

*Proof.* Use Lemma 6.29.  $\square$

LEMMA 28.7. *The invariant value  $\kappa$  for  $\Phi$  satisfies  $\kappa = h^2$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 6.25 and (28.2). □

*Proof of Proposition 14.1, type IV.* One routinely verifies (14.2) using (14.3)–(14.5) and Lemmas 28.1, 28.7. □

Note that Theorem 14.3 holds for type IV.

**29. A characterization of compatibility in terms of the basic sequence, type IV.** In this section, we characterize the compatibility relation for Leonard pairs of type IV in terms of the basic sequence. Throughout this section, Notation 12.1 is in effect. Assume that  $\Phi$  has type IV. Note that  $\Phi'$  has type IV. Let

$$(\delta, h, s, \delta^*, h^*, s^*, r) \qquad (\delta', h', s', \delta'^*, h'^*, s'^*, r'),$$

denote the basic sequence of  $\Phi$  and  $\Phi'$ , respectively.

THEOREM 29.1. *The matrices  $A$  and  $B$  are compatible if and only if the following (29.1)–(29.3) hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} (29.1) \qquad \qquad \qquad h &= h', \\ (29.2) \qquad \qquad \qquad s(1 + s + s^*) &= s'(1 + s' + s'^*), \\ (29.3) \qquad \qquad \qquad r(r + s + ss^*) &= r'(r' + s' + s's'^*). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We will invoke Theorem 14.3. To do this, we investigate the conditions in (14.18). By Lemma 28.7 and since  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$ ,  $\kappa = \kappa'$  if and only if (29.1) holds. Using (28.4) and (28.5), we find that under the assumption (29.1) the expression  $\varphi_1\phi_1 - \varphi'_1\phi'_1$  is equal to  $h^2h^{*2}$  times:

$$r(r + s + ss^*) - r'(r' + s' + s's'^*),$$

and the expression  $\varphi_3\phi_3 - \varphi'_3\phi'_3$  is equal to  $h^2h^{*2}$  times:

$$(r + s + s^*)(r + s^* + ss^*) - (r' + s' + s'^*)(r' + s'^* + s's'^*).$$

By these comments and (28.7), we find that under the assumption (29.1),  $\varphi_1\phi_1 = \varphi'_1\phi'_1$  holds if and only if (29.2) holds, and  $\varphi_d\phi_d = \varphi'_d\phi'_d$  holds if and only if (29.3) holds. Now the result follows from Theorem 14.3. □

Our next goal is solve the equations (29.1)–(29.3) for  $h'$ ,  $s'$ , and  $r'$ .

THEOREM 29.2. *The equations (29.1)–(29.3) hold if and only if at least one of the following (29.4) and (29.5) holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} (29.4) \qquad h' &= h, \quad s' = s, \quad r' = r \quad \text{or} \quad r' = r + s + ss^*, \\ (29.5) \qquad h' &= h, \quad s' = 1 + s + s^*, \quad \frac{r + r'}{1 + s^*} + \frac{r'(1 + s^*)}{r + r'} = s, \quad r' + r \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Recall that  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$ . One routinely checks that each of (29.4) and (29.5) gives a solution to (29.1)–(29.3). Now assume that (29.1)–(29.3) hold. We show that (29.4) or (29.5) holds.

By (29.1),  $h' = h$ . Using (29.2), we find that

$$(s' + s)(s' + 1 + s + s^*) = 0.$$

So either  $s' = s$  or  $s' = 1 + s + s^*$ . First assume that  $s' = s$ . By (29.3) with  $s' = s$ ,

$$(r + r')(r + r' + s + ss^*) = 0.$$

So either  $r' = r$  or  $r' = r + s + ss^*$ . Thus, (29.4) holds. Next assume that  $s' = 1 + s + s^*$ . In (29.3), eliminate  $s'$  to get

$$r(r + s + ss^*) + r'(r' + (1 + s + s^*)(1 + s^*)) = 0.$$

In this equation, rearrange terms to get

$$(r + r')^2 + s(r + r')(1 + s^*) + r'(1 + s^*)^2 = 0.$$

We have  $r + r' \neq 0$ ; otherwise  $r'(1 + s^*)^2 = 0$ , contradicting Lemma 28.3. In the above equation, multiply each side by  $(r + r')^{-1}(1 + s^*)^{-1}$  to get the third equation in (29.5). By these comments (29.5) holds.  $\square$

We have a comment about (29.4). By Proposition 12.3, solution (29.4) holds if and only if there exists  $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $B = A + \zeta I$ . In this case,  $\zeta = \delta' - \delta$  in view of Lemma 28.6.

**30. Describing the companions for a Leonard pair of type IV.** In this section, we describe the companions for a Leonard pair of type IV. Throughout this section, Notation 12.1 is in effect. Assume that  $\Phi$  has type IV. Note that  $\Phi'$  has type IV. Let

$$(30.1) \quad (\delta, h, s, \delta^*, h^*, s^*, r) \quad (\delta', h', s', \delta^*, h^*, s^*, r'),$$

denote the basic sequence of  $\Phi$  and  $\Phi'$ , respectively. Assume that  $A$  and  $B$  are compatible and consider the companion  $K = A - B$ . We will give the entries of  $K$ .

For the moment assume that (29.4) holds. By the comment below Theorem 29.2, we have  $B = A + (\delta' - \delta)I$ , so  $K = (\delta - \delta')I$ . We now give the  $K$  that corresponds to solution (29.5).

**THEOREM 30.1.** *Assume that (29.5) holds. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} K_{0,0} &= \delta - \delta' + \frac{h(r + r')}{s^* + 1}, & K_{1,1} &= \delta - \delta' + h \left( 1 + s^* + \frac{r + r'}{s^* + 1} \right), \\ K_{2,2} &= \delta - \delta' + h \left( 1 + \frac{r + r'}{s^* + 1} \right), & K_{3,3} &= \delta - \delta' + h \left( s^* + \frac{r + r'}{s^* + 1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Use (14.19) and Lemmas 6.45, 28.1.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] H. Alnajjar. Leonard pairs from the equitable generators of  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ . *Dirasat, Pure Sci.*, 37:31–35, 2010.
- [2] H. Alnajjar and B. Curtin. A family of tridiagonal pairs related to the quantum affine algebra  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ . *Electron. J. Linear Algebra*, 13:1–9, 2005.
- [3] E. Bannai and T. Ito. *Algebraic Combinatorics I, Association Schemes*, Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Co. Inc., Menlo Park, CA, 1984.
- [4] J.M.P. Balmececa and J.P. Maralit. Leonard triples from Leonard pairs constructed from the standard basis of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ . *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 437:1961–1977, 2012.
- [5] P. Baseilhac. An integrable structure related with tridiagonal algebras. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 705:605–619, 2005.
- [6] P. Baseilhac. Deformed Dolan-Grady relations in quantum integrable models. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 709:491–521, 2005.

- [7] P. Baseilhac. The  $q$ -deformed analogue of the Onsager algebra: Beyond the Bethe ansatz approach. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 754:309–328, 2006.
- [8] P. Baseilhac. A family of tridiagonal pairs and related symmetric functions. *J. Phys. A*, 39:11773–11791, 2006.
- [9] P. Baseilhac and S. Belliard. The half-infinite  $XXZ$  chain in Onsager’s approach. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 873:550–584, 2013.
- [10] P. Baseilhac and K. Koizumi. A deformed analogue of Onsager’s symmetry in the  $XXZ$  open spin chain. *J. Stat. Mech. Theory Exp.*, P10005, 15 pp., 2005.
- [11] P. Baseilhac and K. Koizumi. Exact spectrum of the  $XXZ$  open spin chain from the  $q$ -Onsager algebra representation theory. *J. Stat. Mech. Theory Exp.*, P09006, 27 pp., 2007.
- [12] G. Benkart and P. Terwilliger. Irreducible modules for the quantum affine algebra  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$  and its Borel subalgebra. *J. Algebra*, 282:172–194, 2004.
- [13] S. Bockting-Conrad. Tridiagonal pairs of  $q$ -Racah type, the double lowering operator  $\psi$ , and the quantum algebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ . *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 445:256–279, 2014.
- [14] S. Bockting-Conrad and P. Terwilliger. The algebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  in disguise. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 459:548–585, 2014.
- [15] A. E. Brouwer, A. M. Cohen and A. Neumaier. *Distance-Regular Graphs*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [16] J.S. Caughman IV and N. Wolff. The Terwilliger algebra of a distance-regular graph that supports a spin model. *J. Algebraic Comb.*, 21:289–310, 2005.
- [17] B. Curtin. Spin Leonard pairs. *Ramanujan J.*, 13:319–332, 2007.
- [18] B. Curtin and K. Nomura. Some formulas for spin models on distance-regular graphs. *J. Comb. Theory B*, 75:206–236, 1999.
- [19] E.R. van Dam, J.H. Koolen and H. Tanaka. Distance-regular graphs. *Electron. J. Comb.*, 1994, Dynamic Surveys, Paper No. DS22, 156pp.
- [20] Ph. Delsarte. An algebraic approach to the association schemes of coding theory. *Philips Res. Rep. Suppl.*, 10, 1973.
- [21] B. Hartwig. Three mutually adjacent Leonard pairs. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 408:19–39, 2005.
- [22] B. Hartwig. The tetrahedron algebra and its finite-dimensional irreducible modules. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 422:219–235, 2007.
- [23] H. Huang. Finite-dimensional irreducible modules of the universal DAHA of type  $(C_1^\vee, C_1)$ . *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, 1–28, 2020. DOI: 10.1080/03081087.2020.1817297.
- [24] H. Huang. The universal DAHA of type  $(C_1^\vee, C_1)$  and Leonard triples. *Commun. Algebra*, 49:1255–1273, 2021.
- [25] P. Iliev and P. Terwilliger. The Rahman polynomials and the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbb{C})$ . *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 364:4225–4238, 2012.
- [26] T. Ito, H. Rosengren, and P. Terwilliger. Evaluation modules for the  $q$ -tetrahedron algebra. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 451:107–168, 2014.
- [27] T. Ito, K. Tanabe, and P. Terwilliger. Some algebra related to P- and Q-polynomial association schemes. In: *Codes and Association Schemes* (Piscataway NJ, 1999), American Mathematical Society, Providence RI, 167–192, 2001.
- [28] T. Ito and P. Terwilliger. Tridiagonal pairs and the quantum affine algebra  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ . *Ramanujan J.*, 13:39–62, 2007.
- [29] T. Ito and P. Terwilliger. Finite-dimensional irreducible modules for the three-point  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  loop algebra. *Commun. Algebra*, 36:4557–4598, 2008.
- [30] T. Ito and P. Terwilliger. Tridiagonal pairs of  $q$ -Racah type. *J. Algebra*, 322:68–93, 2009.
- [31] R. Koekoek, P.A. Lesky, and R.F.Swarttouw. *Hypergeometric Orthogonal Polynomials and Their  $q$ -Analog*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2010.
- [32] J.H. Lee.  $Q$ -polynomial distance-regular graphs and a double affine Hecke algebra of rank one. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 439:3184–3240, 2013.
- [33] J.H. Lee and H. Tanaka. Dual polar graphs, a nil-DAHA of rank one, and non-symmetric dual  $q$ -Krawtchouk polynomials. *Symmetry Integr. Geom. Methods Appl.*, 14:009, 27 pages, 2018.
- [34] D. Leonard. Orthogonal polynomials, duality and association schemes. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 13:656–663, 1982.
- [35] W. Liu. The attenuated space poset  $\mathcal{A}_q(N, M)$ . *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 506:244–273, 2016.
- [36] S. Miklavič and P. Terwilliger. Bipartite  $Q$ -polynomial distance-regular graphs and uniform posets. *J. Algebraic Comb.*, 38:225–242, 2013.
- [37] K. Nomura and P. Terwilliger. Balanced Leonard pairs. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 420:51–69, 2007.
- [38] K. Nomura and P. Terwilliger. Affine transformations of a Leonard pair. *Electron. J. Linear Algebra*, 16:389–418, 2007.
- [39] K. Nomura and P. Terwilliger. Krawtchouk polynomials, the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ , and Leonard pairs. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 437:345–375, 2012.
- [40] K. Nomura and P. Terwilliger. The universal DAHA of type  $(C_1^\vee, C_1)$  and Leonard pairs of  $q$ -Racah type. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 533:14–83, 2017.
- [41] K. Nomura and P. Terwilliger. Leonard pairs, spin models, and distance-regular graphs. *J. Comb. Theory A*, 177:105312, 2021.
- [42] K. Nomura and P. Terwilliger. Totally bipartite tridiagonal pairs. *Electronic J. Linear Algebra*, 37:434–491, 2021.



- [43] J. Rotman. *Advanced Modern Algebra*, (2nd ed.). American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010.
- [44] H. Tanaka. A bilinear form relating two Leonard systems. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 431:1726–1739, 2009.
- [45] P. Terwilliger. The incidence algebra of a uniform poset. In: *Coding Theory and Design Theory Part I: Coding Theory, IMA Volumes in Mathematics and Its Applications*, Vol. 20, Springer, New York, 193–212, 1990.
- [46] P. Terwilliger. Introduction to Leonard pairs and Leonard systems. *Sūrikaiseikikenkyūshio Kokyūroku*, 1109:67–79, 1999. (Algebraic Combinatorics, Kyoto, 1999)
- [47] P. Terwilliger. Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 330:149–203, 2001.
- [48] P. Terwilliger. Introduction to Leonard pairs. *J. Comp. Appl. Math.*, 153:463–475, 2003.
- [49] P. Terwilliger. Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other; the TD-D and the LB-UB canonical form. *J. Algebra*, 291:1–45, 2005.
- [50] P. Terwilliger. Leonard pairs from 24 points of view. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, 32:827–888, 2002.
- [51] P. Terwilliger. Leonard pairs and the  $q$ -Racah polynomials. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 387:235–276, 2004.
- [52] P. Terwilliger. Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other one; comments on the parameter array. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, 34:307–332, 2005.
- [53] P. Terwilliger. *An Algebraic Approach to the Askey Scheme of Orthogonal Polynomials, Orthogonal Polynomials and Special Functions*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1883. Springer, Berlin, 255–330, 2006. [arXiv:math/0408390](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0408390).
- [54] P. Terwilliger. Notes on the Leonard system classification. *Graphs Comb.*, 37:1687–1748, 2021.
- [55] C. Worawannotai. Dual polar graphs, the quantum algebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ , and Leonard systems of dual  $q$ -Krawtchouk type. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 438:443–497, 2013.