

## THE DISTANCE MATRIX OF A BIDIRECTED TREE\*

R. B. BAPAT<sup> $\dagger$ </sup>, A. K. LAL<sup> $\ddagger$ </sup>, AND SUKANTA PATI<sup>§</sup>

**Abstract.** A bidirected tree is a tree in which each edge is replaced by two arcs in either direction. Formulas are obtained for the determinant and the inverse of a bidirected tree, generalizing well-known formulas in the literature.

Key words. Tree, Distance matrix, Laplacian matrix, Determinant, Block matrix.

AMS subject classifications. 05C50, 15A15.

**1. Introduction.** We refer to [4], [8] for basic definitions and terminology in graph theory. A *tree* is a simple connected graph without any circuit. We consider trees in which each edge is replaced by two arcs in either direction. In this paper, such trees are called *bidirected trees*.

We now introduce some notation. Let  $\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{0}$  be the column vectors consisting of all ones and all zeros, respectively, of the appropriate order. Let  $J = \mathbf{e}\mathbf{e}^t$  be the matrix of all ones. For a tree T on n vertices, let  $d_i$  be the degree of the *i*-th vertex and let  $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)^t$ ,  $\delta = 2\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{d}$  and  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{d} - \mathbf{e}$ . Note that  $\delta + \mathbf{z} = \mathbf{e}$ .

Let T be a tree on n vertices. The distance matrix of a tree T is a  $n \times n$  matrix D with  $D_{ij} = k$ , if the path from the vertex i to the vertex j is of length k; and  $D_{ii} = 0$ . The Laplacian matrix, L, of a tree T is defined by  $L = \text{diag}(\mathbf{d}) - A$ , where A is the adjacency matrix of T.

The distance matrix of a tree is extensively investigated in the literature. The classical result concerns the determinant of the matrix D (see Graham and Pollak [7]), which asserts that if T is any tree on n vertices then  $\det(D) = (-1)^{n-1}(n-1)2^{n-2}$ . Thus,  $\det(D)$  is a function dependent only on n, the number of vertices of the tree. The formula for the inverse of the matrix D was obtained in a subsequent article by Graham and Lovász [6] who showed that  $D^{-1} = \frac{(\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{z})(\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{z})^t}{2(n-1)} - \frac{L}{2}$ . This result was

<sup>\*</sup> Received by the editors May 18, 2008. Accepted for publication April 10, 2009. Handling Editor: Bryan L. Shader.

 $<sup>^\</sup>dagger Stat-Math$ Unit, Indian Statistical Institute Delhi, 7-SJSS Marg, New Delhi - 110 016, India (rbb@isid.ac.in).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur, Kanpur - 208 016, India (arlal@iitk.ac.in).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>§</sup>Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology, Guwahati, India (sukanta\_pati@yahoo.co.in).



### R. B. Bapat, A. K. Lal, and S. Pati

extended to a weighted tree in [1]. A q-analogue of the distance matrix was considered in [2]. In this paper, we extend the result of Graham and Lovász by considering the distance matrix for a bidirected tree, denoted  $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{D}_{ij})$ .

2. Preliminaries. Let T be a tree on n vertices. Replace each undirected edge  $f_i = \{u, v\}$  of T with two arcs (oppositely oriented edges)  $e_i = (u, v)$  and  $e'_i = (v, u)$ . Let  $u_i > 0$  and  $v_i > 0$  be the weights of the arcs  $e_i$  and  $e'_i$ , respectively. We call the resulting graph a *bidirected tree* T with the underlying tree structure T. The distance  $\mathcal{D}_{ij}$  from i to j is defined as the sum of the weights of the arcs in the unique directed path from i to j. Thus if  $\mathcal{D}_{ij} = \sum_{i \in A} u_i + \sum_{j \in B} v_j$ , then  $\mathcal{D}_{ji} = \sum_{i \in A} v_i + \sum_{j \in B} u_j$ . Note that the diagonal entries of the matrix  $\mathcal{D}$  are zero and in general the matrix  $\mathcal{D}$  is not a symmetric matrix. We are interested in extending the definition of a Laplacian to the bidirected trees. The Laplacian matrix  $\mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{L}_{kl})$  of a bidirected tree  $\mathcal{T}$  with the underlying tree structure T is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_{k,l} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \{k,l\} \notin T \\ -\frac{1}{u_i + v_i} & \text{if } f_i = \{k,l\} \in T \\ \sum_{f_i \sim k} \frac{1}{u_i + v_i} & \text{if } k = l, \end{cases}$$

where  $e_i \sim k$  means that k is an endvertex of  $e_i$ . Notice that, in view of the Gersgorin disc theorem, the matrix  $\mathcal{L}$  is a positive semidefinite matrix. For the sake of convenience, we write  $w_t = u_t + v_t$ . Then, the distance matrix  $\mathcal{D}$  and the Laplacian matrix  $\mathcal{L}$  of the bidirected tree  $\mathcal{T}$  (shown in Figure 2.1) are given by



FIG. 2.1. A bidirected Tree on 6 vertices



### Distance Matrix of a Bidirected Tree

	0	$u_1$	$u_1 + u_2$	$u_1 + u_3 + v_4$	$u_1 + u_3$	$u_1 + u_3 + u_5$	
$\mathcal{D} =$	$v_1$	0	$u_2$	$u_3 + v_4$	$u_3$	$u_3 + u_5$	
	$v_1 + v_2$	$v_2$	0	$v_2 + u_3 + v_4$	$v_2 + u_3$	$v_2 + u_3 + u_5$	
	$v_1 + v_3 + u_4$	$v_3 + u_4$	$u_2 + v_3 + u_4$	0	$u_4$	$u_4 + u_5$	,
	$v_1 + v_3$	$v_3$	$u_2 + v_3$	$v_4$	0	$u_5$	
	$v_1 + v_3 + v_5$	$v_3 + v_5$	$u_2 + v_3 + v_5$	$v_4 + v_5$	$v_5$	0	

and

$$\mathcal{L} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{w_1} & -\frac{1}{w_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{w_1} & \frac{1}{w_1} + \frac{1}{w_2} + \frac{1}{w_3} & -\frac{1}{w_2} & -\frac{1}{w_3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{w_2} & \frac{1}{w_2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{w_3} & 0 & \frac{1}{w_4} & -\frac{1}{w_4} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{w_4} & \frac{1}{w_3} + \frac{1}{w_4} + \frac{1}{w_5} & -\frac{1}{w_5} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{w_5} & \frac{1}{w_5} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Observe that if  $u_i = v_i = 1$  for all *i*, then the matrices  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  reduce to the matrices D and  $\frac{1}{2}L$ , respectively.

We now introduce some further notation. Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a bidirected tree on n vertices. Let  $\tilde{T}$  be a spanning tree of  $\mathcal{T}$ . Thus,  $\tilde{T}$  is obtained from  $\mathcal{T}$  by choosing one arc and hence  $\mathcal{T}$  has  $2^{n-1}$  spanning trees. Let us denote the *indegree* and the *outdegree* of the vertex v in  $\tilde{T}$  by  $\operatorname{In}_{\tilde{T}}(v)$  and  $\operatorname{Out}_{\tilde{T}}(v)$ , respectively. Consider the vectors  $\mathbf{z}_1$  and  $\mathbf{z}_2$ defined by

$$\mathbf{z}_1(i) = (-1)^n \sum_{\tilde{T}} \left[ \operatorname{In}_{\tilde{T}}(i) - 1 \right] w(\tilde{T})$$
(2.1)

$$\mathbf{z}_{2}(i) = (-1)^{n} \sum_{\tilde{T}} \left[ \operatorname{Out}_{\tilde{T}}(i) - 1 \right] w(\tilde{T}), \qquad (2.2)$$

where  $w(\tilde{T})$  is the product of the arc weights of  $\tilde{T}$ . For example, the vectors  $\mathbf{z}_1$  and  $\mathbf{z}_2$  for the bidirected tree T given in Figure 2.1 are

$$\mathbf{z}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} -u_{1}w_{2}w_{3}w_{4}w_{5} \\ [-u_{2}u_{3}v_{1} + u_{1}u_{3}v_{2} + u_{1}u_{2}v_{3} + 2u_{1}v_{2}v_{3} + v_{1}v_{2}v_{3}]w_{4}w_{5} \\ -v_{2}w_{1}w_{3}w_{4}w_{5} \\ -u_{4}w_{1}w_{2}w_{3}w_{5} \\ w_{1}w_{2}\left[u_{3}u_{4}u_{5} - u_{5}v_{3}v_{4} + 2u_{3}u_{4}v_{5} + u_{4}v_{3}v_{5} + u_{3}v_{4}v_{5}\right] \\ -v_{5}w_{1}w_{2}w_{3}w_{4} \end{bmatrix}$$



236 and

$$\mathbf{z}_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} -v_{1}w_{2}w_{3}w_{4}w_{5} \\ [u_{1}u_{2}u_{3} + 2u_{2}u_{3}v_{1} + u_{3}v_{1}v_{2} + u_{2}v_{1}v_{3} - u_{1}v_{2}v_{3}]w_{4}w_{5} \\ -u_{2}w_{1}w_{3}w_{4}w_{5} \\ -v_{4}w_{1}w_{2}w_{3}w_{5} \\ w_{1}w_{2}\left[u_{4}u5v_{3} + u_{3}u_{5}v_{4} + 2u_{5}v_{3}v_{4} - u_{3}u_{4}v_{5} + v_{3}v_{4}v_{5}\right] \\ -u_{5}w_{1}w_{2}w_{3}w_{4} \end{bmatrix}$$

Note that taking  $u_i = v_i = 1$  for all *i*, and putting  $k = In_{\mathcal{T}}(i)$ , we see that

$$(-1)^{n} \mathbf{z}_{1}(i) = \sum_{\tilde{T}} \left[ \operatorname{In}_{\tilde{T}}(i) - 1 \right] = \sum_{r=0}^{k} 2^{n-k-1} \sum_{\substack{\tilde{T} \\ \operatorname{In}_{\tilde{T}}(i) = r}} \left[ \operatorname{In}_{\tilde{T}}(i) - 1 \right]$$

$$= \left[\sum_{r=0}^{k} \binom{k}{r} (r-1)\right] 2^{n-1-k} = \left(k2^{k-1} - 2^{k}\right) 2^{n-1-k} = 2^{n-2}(k-2),$$

so that  $\mathbf{z}_1 = \mathbf{z}_2 = (-1)^{n-1} 2^{n-2} (\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{z}).$ 

Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a bidirected graph. Since each arc of a spanning tree  $\tilde{T}$  contributes 1 to exactly one entry in  $\operatorname{In}_{\tilde{T}}$ , we have  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{In}_{\tilde{T}}(i) = n - 1$ . Hence,

$$\mathbf{z}_{1}^{t} \mathbf{e} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{z}_{1}(i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{n} \sum_{\tilde{T}} \left[ \operatorname{In}_{\tilde{T}}(i) - 1 \right] w(\tilde{T})$$
  
$$= (-1)^{n} \sum_{\tilde{T}} w(\tilde{T}) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \operatorname{In}_{\tilde{T}}(i) - 1 \right] = (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{\tilde{T}} w(\tilde{T})$$
  
$$= (-1)^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} w_{i}.$$
 (2.3)

A similar reasoning implies that

$$\mathbf{z}_{2}^{t}\mathbf{e} = (-1)^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} w_{i}.$$
 (2.4)

For a bidirected tree  $\mathcal{T}$  on n vertices we define  $w(\mathcal{T})$  as

$$w(\mathcal{T}) = \sum_{\tilde{T}} w(\tilde{T}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} w_i = (-1)^{n-1} \mathbf{z}_1^t \mathbf{e} = (-1)^{n-1} \mathbf{z}_2^t \mathbf{e}.$$



# Distance Matrix of a Bidirected Tree

We use the convention that if T is a tree on a single vertex then  $\mathbf{z}_1 = \mathbf{e} = \mathbf{z}_2$  and w(T) = 1. With this convention, for a bidirected forest  $\mathcal{F}$  with the bidirected trees  $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{T}_k$  as components, the weight of  $\mathcal{F}$  is defined as  $w(\mathcal{F}) = \prod_{i=1}^k w(\mathcal{T}_i)$ .

In the next section, we relate the matrices  $\mathcal{D}^{-1}$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  and also obtain some properties of the matrix  $\mathcal{D}^{-1}$  with respect to minors. As corollaries, we obtain the results of Graham and Pollak [7]) on det(D) and that of Graham and Lovasz [6] on  $D^{-1}$ .

**3.** The main result. In this section, we extend certain results on distance matrices of trees to distance matrices of bidirected trees. Recall that a *pendant vertex* is a vertex of degree one. Denote by G-v the graph obtained by deleting the vertex v and all arcs incident on it from G. By  $\mathbf{e}_k$  we denote the vector with only one nonzero entry 1 which appears at the *k*th place.

Given any tree T on vertices  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$  we may view it as a rooted tree and hence there is a relabeling of the vertices so that for each i > 1 the vertex i is adjacent to only one vertex from  $\{1, ..., i-1\}$ . With such a labeling the vertex n is always a pendant vertex. Henceforth, unless stated otherwise, each bidirected tree will be assumed to have an underlying tree with such a labeling. Furthermore, for i < j, the weight of an arc  $e_{j-1} = (i, j)$  will be assumed to be  $u_{j-1}$  and the weight of the arc  $e'_{j-1} = (j, i)$  will be assumed to be  $v_{j-1}$ . If  $\mathcal{T}$  is a bidirected tree by  $\mathcal{T} - e_{j-1} - e'_{j-1}$ we denote the bidirected graph obtained by deleting the arcs (i, j) and (j, i) from  $\mathcal{T}$ .

We use the method of mathematical induction to prove our results. In the induction step, we start with a bidirected tree  $\mathcal{T}'$  on k + 1 vertices, where the pendant vertex k + 1 is adjacent to the vertex r. We use the definition of the distance matrix of the bidirected tree  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}' - \{k + 1\}$  to get the distance matrix of  $\mathcal{T}'$ . Putting  $\mathcal{D}' = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}'), \ \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{T}), \ \mathcal{L}' = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{T}'), \ \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{T})$ , we see that

$$\mathcal{D}' = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{D} & u_k \mathbf{e} + \mathcal{D} \mathbf{e}_r \\ v_k \mathbf{e}^t + \mathbf{e}_r^t \mathcal{D} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{L}' = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{L} + \frac{1}{w_k} \mathbf{e}_r \mathbf{e}_r^t & -\frac{1}{w_k} \mathbf{e}_r \\ -\frac{1}{w_k} \mathbf{e}_r^t & \frac{1}{w_k} \end{bmatrix}.$$
(3.1)

Furthermore,

$$(-1)^{k+1}\mathbf{z}'_{1}(k+1) = \sum_{\tilde{T}} \left[ \operatorname{In}_{\tilde{T}}(k+1) - 1 \right] w(\tilde{T})$$
$$= \sum_{(k+1,r)\in\tilde{T}} [-1]w(\tilde{T})$$
$$= w(\mathcal{T}) \ (-v_{k}).$$



R. B. Bapat, A. K. Lal, and S. Pati

Also

$$(-1)^{k+1}\mathbf{z}_{1}'(r) = \sum_{\tilde{T}} \left[ \operatorname{In}_{\tilde{T}}(r) - 1 \right] w(\tilde{T})$$
  
= 
$$\sum_{(r,k+1)\in\tilde{T}} \left[ \operatorname{In}_{\tilde{T}}(r) - 1 \right] w(\tilde{T}) + \sum_{(k+1,r)\in\tilde{T}} \left[ \operatorname{In}_{\tilde{T}}(r) - 1 \right] w(\tilde{T})$$
  
= 
$$(-1)^{k} \mathbf{z}_{1}(r) u_{k} + \left[ (-1)^{k} \mathbf{z}_{1}(r) v_{k} + w(\mathcal{T}) v_{k} \right],$$

and for  $i \neq k+1, r$ , we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{z}_{1}'(i) &= (-1)^{k+1} \sum_{\tilde{T}} \left[ \operatorname{In}_{\tilde{T}}(i) - 1 \right] w(\tilde{T}) \\ &= (-1)^{k+1} \sum_{(r,k+1) \in \tilde{T}} \left[ \operatorname{In}_{\tilde{T}}(i) - 1 \right] w(\tilde{T}) + (-1)^{k+1} \sum_{(k+1,r) \in \tilde{T}} \left[ \operatorname{In}_{\tilde{T}}(i) - 1 \right] w(\tilde{T}) \\ &= -\mathbf{z}_{1}(i) u_{k} \qquad \qquad - \mathbf{z}_{1}(i) v_{k} \\ &= -z_{1}(i) w_{k}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\mathbf{z}_{1}^{\prime} = \begin{bmatrix} -w_{k}\mathbf{z}_{1} + (-1)^{k+1}w(\mathcal{T}) v_{k}\mathbf{e}_{r} \\ (-1)^{k+1}w(\mathcal{T}) (-v_{k}) \end{bmatrix}.$$
(3.2)

Similarly we have

$$\mathbf{z}_{2}' = \begin{bmatrix} -w_{k}\mathbf{z}_{2} + (-1)^{k+1}w(\mathcal{T}) \ u_{k}\mathbf{e}_{r} \\ (-1)^{k+1}w(\mathcal{T}) \ (-u_{k}) \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (3.3)

Note that these two equations provide an efficient way of computing the vectors  $\mathbf{z}_1$  and  $\mathbf{z}_2$  for a bidirected tree. Combined with the next theorem they give an efficient way to compute  $\mathcal{D}^{-1}$ . We shall use our previous observations are in the proof of the next theorem.

THEOREM 3.1. Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the distance matrix of a bidirected tree on n vertices where the pendant vertex n is adjacent to r. Then

$$\det(\mathcal{D}) = (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} u_i v_i w(\mathcal{T} - e_i - e'_i)$$
(3.4)

$$\mathcal{D}\mathbf{z}_1 = \det(\mathcal{D})\mathbf{e}, \quad \mathbf{z}_2^t \mathcal{D} = \det(\mathcal{D})\mathbf{e}^t, \quad and$$
 (3.5)

$$\mathcal{D}^{-1} = -\mathcal{L} - (-1)^n \frac{\mathbf{z}_1 \mathbf{z}_2^{\iota}}{\det(\mathcal{D}) w(\mathcal{T})}.$$
(3.6)



#### Distance Matrix of a Bidirected Tree

*Proof.* We prove the theorem by induction on the number of vertices of any bidirected tree. So, as the first step, let n = 2. In this case, the matrices  $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{L}, \mathbf{z}_1$  and  $\mathbf{z}_2^t$  are respectively,

$$\mathcal{D} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & u_1 \\ v_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{L} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{w_1} & -\frac{1}{w_1} \\ -\frac{1}{w_1} & \frac{1}{w_1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{z}_1 = -\begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ v_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{z}_2 = -\begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ u_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

As  $w(\mathcal{T} - e_1 - e_1') = 1$ ,  $\det(\mathcal{D}) = -u_1v_1 = (-1)^{2-1}u_1v_1w(\mathcal{T} - e_1 - e_1')$ ,  $\mathcal{D} \mathbf{z}_1 = \det(\mathcal{D}) \mathbf{e}$  and  $\mathbf{z}_2^t \mathcal{D} = \det(\mathcal{D}) \mathbf{e}^t$ . Thus (3.5) is true for n = 2. Also, for n = 2, the right of (3.6) reduces to

$$-\mathcal{L} - \frac{\mathbf{z}_{1}\mathbf{z}_{2}^{t}}{\det(\mathcal{D})w(\mathcal{T})} = -\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{w_{1}} & -\frac{1}{w_{1}}\\ -\frac{1}{w_{1}} & \frac{1}{w_{1}} \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{-w_{1}u_{1}v_{1}} \begin{bmatrix} u_{1}v_{1} & u_{1}^{2}\\ v_{1}^{2} & u_{1}v_{1} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= -\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{w_{1}} & -\frac{1}{w_{1}}\\ -\frac{1}{w_{1}} & \frac{1}{w_{1}} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{w_{1}} & \frac{u_{1}}{v_{1}w_{1}}\\ \frac{v_{1}}{u_{1}w_{1}} & \frac{1}{w_{1}} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{v_{1}}\\ \frac{1}{u_{1}} & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \mathcal{D}^{-1}$$

Hence (3.6) holds for n = 2. We now assume that the equalities in (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6) are true for n = k. Let n = k + 1 and  $\mathcal{T}'$  be a bidirected tree on k + 1 vertices. Put  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}' - \{k + 1\}$ . To establish the first equality (3.5) we need to show that

$$\det(D') = (-1)^k \sum_{i=1}^k u_i v_i w(\mathcal{T}' - e_i - e'_i).$$

As  $\mathcal{D}$  is invertible, using (3.1), the induction hypothesis and (2.3), we have

$$det(\mathcal{D}') = det(\mathcal{D}) \left[ 0 - (v_k \mathbf{e}^t + \mathbf{e}_r^t \mathcal{D}) \mathcal{D}^{-1} (u_k \mathbf{e} + \mathcal{D} \mathbf{e}_r) \right]$$
(3.7)  

$$= - det(\mathcal{D}) \left[ u_k v_k \mathbf{e}^t \mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathbf{e} + v_k \mathbf{e}^t \mathbf{e}_r + u_k \mathbf{e}_r^t \mathbf{e} + \mathbf{e}_r^t \mathcal{D} \mathbf{e}_r \right]$$
  

$$= - det(\mathcal{D}) \left[ u_k v_k \frac{\mathbf{e}^t \mathbf{z}_1}{det(\mathcal{D})} + v_k + u_k \right]$$
  

$$= (-1)^k u_k v_k w(\mathcal{T}) - w_k det(\mathcal{D})$$
(3.8)  

$$= (-1)^k u_k v_k w(\mathcal{T}) + (-1)^k w_k \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} u_i v_i w(\mathcal{T} - e_i - e_i')$$
  

$$= (-1)^k \left[ u_k v_k w(\mathcal{T}' - e_k - e_k') + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} u_i v_i w(\mathcal{T}' - e_i - e_i') \right]$$
  

$$= (-1)^k \sum_{i=1}^k u_i v_i w(\mathcal{T}' - e_i - e_i').$$

Hence the first equality holds for n = k + 1.



R. B. Bapat, A. K. Lal, and S. Pati

To prove the second equality we need to show that

$$\mathcal{D}'\mathbf{z}_1' = \det(\mathcal{D}')\mathbf{e}, \quad \mathbf{z}_2'^t \mathcal{D}' = \det(\mathcal{D}')\mathbf{e}^t.$$

Using the expressions given in (3.1) and (3.2) we have

$$\mathcal{D}'\mathbf{z}_1' = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{D} & u_k \mathbf{e} + \mathcal{D} \mathbf{e}_r \\ v_k \mathbf{e}^t + \mathbf{e}_r^t \mathcal{D} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -w_k \mathbf{z}_1 + (-1)^{k+1} w(\mathcal{T}) \ v_k \mathbf{e}_r \\ (-1)^k w(\mathcal{T}) \ v_k \end{bmatrix}.$$

The first block of the vector  $\mathcal{D}'\mathbf{z}'_1$  reduces to

$$-w_k \mathcal{D}\mathbf{z}_1 + (-1)^k u_k v_k w(\mathcal{T})\mathbf{e}.$$

Substituting  $det(\mathcal{D})\mathbf{e}$  for  $\mathcal{D}\mathbf{z}_1$  and using (3.8),

the first block of 
$$\mathcal{D}'\mathbf{z}_1' = \det(\mathcal{D}')\mathbf{e}.$$
 (3.9)

The second block of the vector  $\mathcal{D}'\mathbf{z}'_1$  reduces to

$$-v_k w_k \mathbf{e}^t \mathbf{z}_1 - w_k \mathbf{e}_r^t \mathcal{D} \mathbf{z}_1 + (-1)^{k+1} v_k w(\mathcal{T}) \big( v_k \mathbf{e}^t \mathbf{e}_r + \mathbf{e}_r^t \mathcal{D} \mathbf{e}_r \big).$$

Now using the equality  $\mathbf{e}_r^t \mathcal{D} \mathbf{e}_r = 0$ , the equations (2.3), (3.4) and (3.8), we have

the second block of 
$$\mathcal{D}' \mathbf{z}'_1 = \det(\mathcal{D}').$$
 (3.10)

A similar reasoning gives that  $\mathbf{z}_2'^t \mathcal{D}' = \det(\mathcal{D}')\mathbf{e}^t$ . Hence the second equality is established for n = k + 1.

We now prove that the matrix  $\mathcal{D}'^{-1}$  is indeed given by (3.6). As  $\det(\mathcal{D}') \neq 0$ , put  $W = 0 - (v_k \mathbf{e}^t + \mathbf{e}_r^t \mathcal{D}) \mathcal{D}^{-1}(u_k \mathbf{e} + \mathcal{D} \mathbf{e}_r)$ . From (3.7), it follows that

$$W^{-1} = \frac{\det \mathcal{D}}{\det(\mathcal{D}')}.$$
(3.11)

Let  $\mathcal{D}'^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}$ . Since  $\mathcal{D}' = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{D} & u_k \mathbf{e} + \mathcal{D} \mathbf{e}_r \\ v_k \mathbf{e}^t + \mathbf{e}_r^t \mathcal{D} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}$ , it is straightforward to see that

$$A_{11} = \mathcal{D}^{-1} + \mathcal{D}^{-1}(u_k \mathbf{e} + \mathcal{D}\mathbf{e}_r)W^{-1}(v_k \mathbf{e}^t + \mathbf{e}_r^t \mathcal{D})\mathcal{D}^{-1}, \qquad (3.12)$$

$$A_{12} = -\mathcal{D}^{-1}(u_k \mathbf{e} + \mathcal{D}\mathbf{e}_r)W^{-1}, \qquad (3.13)$$

$$A_{21} = -W^{-1}(v_k \mathbf{e}^t + \mathbf{e}_r^t \mathcal{D})\mathcal{D}^{-1}, \qquad (3.14)$$

$$A_{22} = W^{-1}. (3.15)$$

Using (3.11) and the induction hypothesis, we have

$$A_{11} = \mathcal{D}^{-1} + \frac{\det \mathcal{D}}{\det(\mathcal{D}')} \left( u_k \mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathbf{e} + \mathbf{e}_r \right) \left( v_k \mathbf{e}^t \mathcal{D}^{-1} + \mathbf{e}_r^t \right)$$
  
$$= \mathcal{D}^{-1} + \frac{\det \mathcal{D}}{\det(\mathcal{D}')} \left( u_k \frac{\mathbf{z}_1}{\det(\mathcal{D})} + \mathbf{e}_r \right) \left( v_k \frac{\mathbf{z}_2^t}{\det(\mathcal{D})} + \mathbf{e}_r^t \right)$$
  
$$= \mathcal{D}^{-1} + \frac{1}{\det(\mathcal{D}')} \left[ \frac{u_k v_k}{\det(\mathcal{D})} \mathbf{z}_1 \mathbf{z}_2^t + \left( u_k z_1 \mathbf{e}_r^t + v_k \mathbf{e}_r \mathbf{z}_2^t \right) + \det(\mathcal{D}) \mathbf{e}_r \mathbf{e}_r^t \right] (3.16)$$



Distance Matrix of a Bidirected Tree

and

$$A_{12} = -\mathcal{D}^{-1}(u_k \mathbf{e} + \mathcal{D}\mathbf{e}_r)W^{-1} = -\frac{\det \mathcal{D}}{\det(\mathcal{D}')} \left[ u_k \mathcal{D}^{-1}\mathbf{e} + \mathbf{e}_r \right] = -\frac{u_k \mathbf{z}_1 + \det(\mathcal{D})\mathbf{e}_r}{\det(\mathcal{D}')}.$$
(3.17)

Similarly

$$A_{21} = -\frac{v_k \mathbf{z}_2^t + \det(\mathcal{D})\mathbf{e}_r^t}{\det(\mathcal{D}')}.$$
(3.18)

We now determine the first and second blocks of the matrix

$$-\mathcal{L}' - (-1)^{k+1} \frac{\mathbf{z}_1' \mathbf{z}_2'^{t}}{\det(\mathcal{D}') w(\mathcal{T}')}.$$
(3.19)

Using Equations (3.1), (3.2), (3.3), (3.7), (3.11) and the induction hypothesis, the first block of (3.19) equals

$$-\left(\mathcal{L} + \frac{\mathbf{e}_{r}\mathbf{e}_{r}^{t}}{w_{k}}\right) + \frac{(-1)^{k}\left(w_{k}^{2}\mathbf{z}_{1}\mathbf{z}_{2}^{t} + u_{k}v_{k}w(\mathcal{T})^{2}\mathbf{e}_{r}\mathbf{e}_{r}^{t}\right) + u_{k}w_{k}w(\mathcal{T})\mathbf{z}_{1}\mathbf{e}_{r}^{t} + v_{k}w_{k}w(\mathcal{T})\mathbf{e}_{r}\mathbf{z}_{2}^{t}}{\det(\mathcal{D}')w_{k}w(\mathcal{T})}$$

$$= -\mathcal{L} + \frac{(-1)^{k}w_{k}\mathbf{z}_{1}\mathbf{z}_{2}^{t}}{\det(\mathcal{D}')w(\mathcal{T})} - \frac{\mathbf{e}_{r}\mathbf{e}_{r}^{t}}{w_{k}} + \frac{(-1)^{k}u_{k}v_{k}w(\mathcal{T})\mathbf{e}_{r}\mathbf{e}_{r}^{t}}{w_{k}\det(\mathcal{D}')} + \frac{u_{k}\mathbf{z}_{1}\mathbf{e}_{r}^{t} + v_{k}\mathbf{e}_{r}\mathbf{z}_{2}^{t}}{\det(\mathcal{D}')}$$

$$= \mathcal{D}^{-1} + \frac{(-1)^{k}\mathbf{z}_{1}\mathbf{z}_{2}^{t}}{\det(\mathcal{D}')w(\mathcal{T})} \left[w_{k} + \frac{\det(\mathcal{D}')}{\det(\mathcal{D})}\right] - \frac{\mathbf{e}_{r}\mathbf{e}_{r}^{t}}{w_{k}} + \frac{\det(\mathcal{D}') + w_{k}\det(\mathcal{D})}{w_{k}\det(\mathcal{D}')}\mathbf{e}_{r}\mathbf{e}_{r}^{t}$$

$$+ \frac{u_{k}\mathbf{z}_{1}\mathbf{e}_{r}^{t} + v_{k}\mathbf{e}_{r}\mathbf{z}_{2}^{t}}{\det(\mathcal{D}')}$$

$$= \mathcal{D}^{-1} + \frac{u_{k}v_{k}\mathbf{z}_{1}\mathbf{z}_{2}^{t}}{\det(\mathcal{D})} + \frac{\det(\mathcal{D})}{\det(\mathcal{D}')}\mathbf{e}_{r}\mathbf{e}_{r}^{t} + \frac{u_{k}\mathbf{z}_{1}\mathbf{e}_{r}^{t} + v_{k}\mathbf{e}_{r}\mathbf{z}_{2}^{t}}{\det(\mathcal{D}')}$$

$$(3.20)$$

and the second block of (3.19) equals

$$\frac{\mathbf{e}_{r}}{w_{k}} - \frac{u_{k}w_{k}w(\mathcal{T})\mathbf{z}_{1} - (-1)^{k}u_{k}v_{k}w(\mathcal{T})^{2}\mathbf{e}_{r}}{\det(\mathcal{D}')w_{k}w(\mathcal{T})}$$

$$= \frac{\mathbf{e}_{r}}{w_{k}} - \frac{u_{k}\mathbf{z}_{1}}{\det(\mathcal{D}')} - \frac{\mathbf{e}_{r}}{w_{k}\det(\mathcal{D}')}\left[\det(\mathcal{D}') + w_{k}\det(\mathcal{D})\right]$$

$$= -\frac{u_{k}\mathbf{z}_{1} + \det(\mathcal{D})\mathbf{e}_{r}}{\det(\mathcal{D}')} = -(u_{k}D^{-1}\mathbf{e} + \mathbf{e}_{r})W^{-1}.$$
(3.21)

Showing that  $A_{21}$  is the (2,1)-block of (3.19) is similar. The (2,2)-block of (3.19) is

$$-\frac{1}{w_k} + \frac{(-1)^k u_k v_k w(\mathcal{T})^2}{\det(\mathcal{D}') w_k w(\mathcal{T})} = -\frac{1}{w_k} + \frac{\det(\mathcal{D}') + w_k \det(\mathcal{D})}{\det(\mathcal{D}') w_k} = W^{-1}.$$

Hence the third equality is established for n = k + 1 and the proof is complete using induction.

242



R. B. Bapat, A. K. Lal, and S. Pati

4. Bidirected trees with two types of weights. Suppose T is a rooted tree with root r. Let u and v be two vertices of T. As we traverse the u-v path from u to v there exists a vertex, say w (which may be u itself), such that the path from u to v moves in the direction of r until it meets vertex w and then moves away from r. Let the lengths of the two paths u-w and w-v be  $\ell_1$  and  $\ell_2$ , respectively. Also, let x and y be two constants. We define the distance between u and v as

$$\bar{D}(u,v) = \ell_1 y + \ell_2 x. \tag{4.1}$$

Clearly, when x = y = 1, this reduces to the usual distance between u and v. We illustrate this with the following example.



Consider the tree given in Figure 4.1. The distance matrix of the tree is as follows:

	0	x	x	2x	2x	2x	3x	3x	1
$\overline{D} =$	y	0	x + y	x	x	2x + y	2x	2x	
	y	x + y	0	2x + y	2x + y	x	3x + y	3x+y	1
	2y	y	x + 2y	0	x + y	2x + 2y	2x + y	2x+y	
	2y	y	x + 2y	x + y	0	2x + 2y	x	x	•
	2y	x + 2y	y	2x + 2y	2x + 2y	0	3x + 2y	3x+2y	
	3y	2y	x + 3y	x + 2y	y	2x + 3y	0	x+y	
	$\lfloor 3y \rfloor$	2y	x + 3y	x + 2y	y	2x + 3y	x + y	0	

Observe that if we apply a similar labeling to T as in the previous section and consider the bidirected tree  $\mathcal{T}$  with the underlying tree structure T, and use the weights  $u_i = x \ \forall i, v_i = y \ \forall i$ , then the distance matrix  $\mathcal{D}$  of the bidirected tree is nothing but the distance matrix  $\overline{D}$ .

Henceforth a rooted tree is assumed to have the root 1 and the labeling as described earlier. Let u be a vertex of a rooted tree T. A vertex v is called a *child* of u if u and v are adjacent and u is on the v-1 path. Let us denote the number of children of u by ch(u). With the notations defined above, we have the following result.

COROLLARY 4.1. Let T be a rooted tree on n vertices and consider the distance



# Distance Matrix of a Bidirected Tree

matrix  $\overline{D}$ . Also, let  $\mathbf{z}_1$  and  $\mathbf{z}_2$  be vectors of order n given by

$$(\mathbf{z}_{1})_{i} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{n} ((ch(i)-1)y-x)(x+y)^{n-2}, & \text{if } i = 1, \\ (-1)^{n-1}y(x+y)^{n-2}, & \text{if } i \text{ is a pendant vertex}, \\ (-1)^{n}(ch(i)-1)y(x+y)^{n-2}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$(4.2)$$

and

$$(\mathbf{z}_{2})_{i} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{n} ((ch(i)-1)x-y)(x+y)^{n-2}, & \text{if } i = r, \\ (-1)^{n-1}x(x+y)^{n-2}, & \text{if } i \text{ is a pendant vertex}, \\ (-1)^{n}(ch(i)-1)x(x+y)^{n-2}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(4.3)

Then

$$\det(\mathcal{D}) = (-1)^{n-1}(n-1)xy(x+y)^{n-2}$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}^{-1} = -\frac{L}{x+y} + \frac{\mathbf{z}_1 \mathbf{z}_2^t}{(n-1)xy(x+y)^{2n-3}},$$

where L is the usual Laplacian matrix.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be the bidirected tree associated with T. As  $\overline{D}$  is the same as  $\mathcal{D}$  with  $u_i = x$  and  $v_i = y$ , the assertion about the determinant follows easily from (3.4).

The vectors  $\mathbf{z}_1$ ,  $\mathbf{z}_2$  defined here are nothing but the vectors defined in (2.1) and (2.2). In order to see this note that let  $\tilde{T}$  be a spanning tree of  $\mathcal{T}$  and put k = ch(1).

$$(-1)^{n} \mathbf{z}_{1}(1) = \sum_{\tilde{T}} \left[ \operatorname{In}_{\tilde{T}}(1) - 1 \right] w(\tilde{T}) = \sum_{r=0}^{k} (x+y)^{n-1-k} \sum_{\substack{\tilde{T} \\ \operatorname{In}_{\tilde{T}}(1)=r}} \left[ \operatorname{In}_{\tilde{T}}(1) - 1 \right] y^{r} x^{k-r}$$
$$= (x+y)^{n-1-k} \sum_{r=0}^{k} \binom{k}{r} (r-1) y^{r} x^{k-r} = (x+y)^{n-1-k} \left[ ky(x+y)^{k-1} - (x+y)^{k} \right]$$

$$= (x+y)^{n-2} [(ch(1)-1)y - x].$$

If i is a pendant vertex, put k = ch(i) and observe that

$$(-1)^{n} \mathbf{z}_{1}(i) = \sum_{\tilde{T}} \left[ \operatorname{In}_{\tilde{T}}(i) - 1 \right] w(\tilde{T}) = -x(x+y)^{n-2}.$$

If *i* is any other vertex, then put k = ch(i), and let *p* be the parent of *i*. We have  $(-1)^n \mathbf{z}_1(i) = \sum_{\tilde{T}} \left[ \operatorname{In}_{\tilde{T}}(i) - 1 \right] w(\tilde{T}) = \sum_{(i,p) \in \tilde{T}} \left[ \operatorname{In}_{\tilde{T}}(i) - 1 \right] w(\tilde{T}) + \sum_{(p,i) \in \tilde{T}} \left[ \operatorname{In}_{\tilde{T}}(i) - 1 \right] w(\tilde{T})$ 

$$= (x+y)^{n-3}y[(k-1)y-x] + kxy(x+y)^{n-3} = [ch(i)-1]y(x+y)^{n-2}.$$

The vector  $\mathbf{z}_2$  may be verified similarly. Now the assertion about inverse of  $\overline{D}$  follows from (3.6).

As a corollary, we obtain the result of Graham and Pollak [7] on det(D).

COROLLARY 4.2. Let T be a tree on n vertices and let D be its distance matrix. Then  $det(D) = (-1)^{n-1}(n-1)2^{n-2}$ .

*Proof.* Let us denote by T the bidirected tree obtained from the given tree T. As observed earlier, the substitution of  $u_i = v_i = 1$  for  $1 \le i \le n - 1$ , reduces the matrix  $\mathcal{D}$  to the distance matrix D. Under this condition, we have  $w_i = u_i + v_i = 2$  and  $w(\mathcal{T} - e_i - e'_i) = 2^{n-2}$  for  $1 \le i \le n - 1$ . Therefore

$$\det(D) = \det(\mathcal{D})\big|_{u_i = v_i = 1} = (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} u_i v_i w(T - e_i)\big|_{u_i = v_i = 1} = (-1)^{n-1} (n-1)2^{n-2}.$$

We now give a corollary to our result that gives a formula for  $D^{-1}$ . This result was also obtained by Graham and Lovasz (see [6]).

COROLLARY 4.3. Let T be a tree on n vertices and let D be its distance matrix, L be its Laplacian matrix and let  $\mathbf{z}$  and  $\mathbf{e}$  be the vectors defined earlier. Then

$$D^{-1} = \frac{(\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{z})(\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{z})^t}{2(n-1)} - \frac{L}{2}.$$

*Proof.* Let us denote by T the bidirected tree obtained from the given tree T. Observe that under the condition,  $u_i = v_i = 1$ , the matrix  $\mathcal{D}$  reduces to D, the matrix  $\mathcal{L}$  reduces to  $\frac{L}{2}$  and  $\mathbf{z}_1 = \mathbf{z}_2 = (-1)^{n-2} 2^{n-2} (\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{e})$ . So, we have

$$D^{-1} = \mathcal{D}^{-1} \big|_{u_i = v_i = 1} = -\mathcal{L} + (-1)^{n-1} \frac{\mathbf{z}_1 \mathbf{z}_2^t}{\det(\mathcal{D}) w(T)} \big|_{u_i = v_i = 1}$$
$$= -\frac{L}{2} + \frac{2^{2n-4} (\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{z}) (\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{z})^t}{(n-1)2^{n-2}2^{n-1}}$$
$$= -\frac{L}{2} + \frac{(\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{z}) (\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{z})^t}{2(n-1)}.$$

Hence the required result follows.



#### Distance Matrix of a Bidirected Tree

## REFERENCES

- R. B. Bapat, S. J. Kirkland, and M. Neumann. On distance matrices and Laplacians. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 401:193–209, 2005.
- [2] R. B. Bapat, A. K. Lal, and S. Pati. A q-analogue of the distance matrix of a tree. Linear Algebra Appl., 416:799–814, 2006.
- [3] R. B. Bapat and T. E. S. Raghavan. Nonnegative Matrices and Applications. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications 64, ed. G. C. Rota, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [4] J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty. Graph Theory with Applications. American Elsevier Publishing Co., New York, 1976.
- [5] Miroslav Fiedler. Some inverse problems for elliptic matrices with zero diagonal. Linear Algebra Appl., 332/334:197–204, 2001.
- [6] R. L. Graham and L. Lovasz. Distance Matrix Polynomials of Trees. Adv. in Math., 29(1):60–88, 1978.
- [7] R. L. Graham and H. O. Pollak. On the addressing problem for loop switching. Bell. System Tech. J., 50:2495–2519, 1971.
- [8] F. Harary. Graph Theory. Addison-Wesley, New York, 1969.
- [9] L. Hogben. Spectral graph theory and the inverse eigenvalue problem of a graph. Electron. J. Linear Algebra, 14:12—31, 2005.
- [10] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson. *Matrix Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1985.