



AN ELEMENTARY PROOF OF MIRSKY'S LOW RANK APPROXIMATION THEOREM*

CHI-KWONG LI[†] AND GILBERT STRANG[‡]

Abstract. An elementary proof is given for Mirsky's result on best low rank approximations of a given matrix with respect to all unitarily invariant norms.

Key words. Unitarily invariant norm, Singular value, Best approximation.

AMS subject classifications. 15A60.

1. Introduction. Let $M_{m,n}$ be the set of $m \times n$ matrices over \mathbb{F} , where \mathbb{F} is the real field or the complex field. Denote by \mathbf{x}^* and A^* the conjugate transpose of a vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}^n$ and a matrix $A \in M_{m,n}$. They reduce to the transposes of \mathbf{x} and A if their entries are real. It is well-known that every $A \in M_{m,n}$ admits a singular value decomposition

$$A = \sum_{j=1}^r \sigma_j \mathbf{u}_j \mathbf{v}_j^* = [\mathbf{u}_1 \cdots \mathbf{u}_r] \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \sigma_r \end{bmatrix} [\mathbf{v}_1 \cdots \mathbf{v}_r]^*,$$

where r is the rank of A , $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_r\} \in \mathbb{C}^m$ and $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r\} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ are orthonormal families, and $\sigma_1 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_r > 0$ are the nonzero singular values of A ; e.g., see [5, 7]. By this result, one may use r positive numbers $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r$, and $[\mathbf{u}_1 \cdots \mathbf{u}_r] \in M_{m,r}$ and $[\mathbf{v}_1 \cdots \mathbf{v}_r] \in M_{n,r}$ to represent the $m \times n$ matrix A . If m, n are large and r is small, the singular value decomposition provides efficient means to store or transmit data encoded in the matrix A . In case the matrix A has a high rank, one may find a suitable low rank approximation of A within an acceptable error bound condition that can be stored or transmitted efficiently. The singular value decomposition allows us to construct the best low rank approximation for A by the following result of Mirsky [5, Theorem 3], which is an extension of the result of Schmidt [6, §18, Das Approximations Theorem]; see also [1].

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a unitarily invariant norm on $M_{m,n}$. Suppose $A \in M_{m,n}$ has singular value decomposition $A = \sum_{j=1}^r \sigma_j \mathbf{u}_j \mathbf{v}_j^*$. If $k \leq r$, then the matrix $A_k = \sum_{j=1}^k \sigma_j \mathbf{u}_j \mathbf{v}_j^*$ satisfies*

$$\|A - A_k\| \leq \|A - B\| \quad \text{for any } B \in M_{m,n} \text{ with rank at most } k.$$

Recall that a norm on $M_{m,n}$ is unitarily invariant if $\|UAV\| = \|A\|$ for any $A \in M_{m,n}$, $U \in \mathcal{U}_m$ and $V \in \mathcal{U}_n$, where $\mathcal{U}_N = \{A \in M_N : A^*A = I_N\}$ is the group of unitary matrices in the complex case and the group of orthogonal matrices in the real case. Note that the nonzero singular values of A are just the positive square roots of the nonzero eigenvalues of A^*A so that the singular values of A and UAV are always

*Received by the editors on July 28, 2020. Accepted for publication on August 9, 2020. Handling Editor: Michael Tsatsomeros. Corresponding Author: Chi-Kwong Li.

[†]Department of Mathematics, College of William and Mary, Williamsburg, VA 23187-8795, USA (ckli@math.wm.edu). Supported by the Simons Foundation Grant 351047.

[‡]Department of Mathematics, MIT, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA (gilstrang@gmail.com).

the same for any $U \in \mathcal{U}_m$ and $V \in \mathcal{U}_n$. Thus, $\|A\|$ only depends on the singular values of A . Examples of unitarily invariant norms include the spectral norm and the Frobenius norm defined by

$$\|A\|_2 = \max\{|Ax| : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}^n, |\mathbf{x}| = 1\} \quad \text{and} \quad \|A\|_F = (\text{tr } A^*A)^{1/2}, \quad \text{respectively,}$$

where $|\mathbf{u}| = (\sum_{j=1}^n |u_j|^2)^{1/2}$ for $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_n)^t \in \mathbb{F}^n$. If A has nonzero singular values $\sigma_1 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_r$, then $\|A\|_2 = \sigma_1$ and $\|A\|_F = (\sum_{j=1}^r \sigma_j^2)^{1/2}$.

One may see [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7] and their references for the wide applications of Theorem 1.1. The original and subsequent proofs of Theorem 1.1 used symmetric gauge functions, Weyl inequalities, and the Ky Fan dominance theorem [2, 4, 5]. In [7], simple proofs of Theorem 1.1 for the special cases of the spectral norm and the Frobenius norm were given. In the next section, we will give a self-contained elementary proof of Mirsky's result that only uses the condition for a homogeneous system of linear equations $B\mathbf{z} = 0$ to have a nonzero solution and the fact that matrices $X, Y \in M_{m,n}$ with the same singular values satisfy $\|X\| = \|Y\|$ for any unitarily invariant norm $\|\cdot\|$.

2. An elementary proof of Theorem 1.1. Suppose $\|\cdot\|$ is a unitarily invariant norm on $M_{m,n}$, and $A \in M_{m,n}$ has singular value decomposition $A = \sum_{j=1}^r \sigma_j \mathbf{u}_j \mathbf{v}_j^*$. Suppose $B \in M_{m,n}$ with rank at most $k \leq r$, and $C = A - B$ has singular value decomposition

$$C = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \xi_j \mathbf{x}_j \mathbf{y}_j^*$$

with $\xi_1 \geq \dots \geq \xi_{\ell} \geq 0$ and orthonormal sets $\{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{\ell}\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}^m, \{\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_{\ell}\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n$. Let $\sigma_j = 0$ for $j > r$. First, we show that

$$(2.1) \quad \xi_j \geq \sigma_{k+j} \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, \ell.$$

Extend $\{\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_{\ell}\}$ to an orthonormal basis $\{\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_n\}$ for \mathbb{F}^n . Then every unit vector $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{F}^n$ can be written as $\mathbf{y} = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \mathbf{y}_j$ for a unit vector $(a_1, \dots, a_n)^t \in \mathbb{F}^n$ so that

$$|C\mathbf{y}| = \left| C \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j \mathbf{y}_j \right) \right| = \left| \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} a_j \xi_j \mathbf{x}_j \right| = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} |a_j \xi_j|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Thus, $\xi_1 = |C\mathbf{y}_1| = \max\{|C\mathbf{v}| : \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{F}^n, |\mathbf{v}| = 1\}$; for $j = 2, \dots, \ell$,

$$(2.2) \quad \xi_j = |C\mathbf{y}_j| = \max\{|C\mathbf{v}| : \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{F}^n, \mathbf{y}_1^* \mathbf{v} = \dots = \mathbf{y}_{j-1}^* \mathbf{v} = 0, |\mathbf{v}| = 1\}.$$

Now, B has rank at most k and so does the matrix $B[\mathbf{v}_1 | \dots | \mathbf{v}_{k+1}] \in M_{n,k+1}$. Hence, there is a unit vector $\mathbf{z}_1 = (a_1, \dots, a_{k+1})^t \in \mathbb{F}^m$ satisfying $B[\mathbf{v}_1 | \dots | \mathbf{v}_{k+1}] \mathbf{z}_1 = 0$. Consider the unit vector $\tilde{\mathbf{z}} = a_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + a_{k+1} \mathbf{v}_{k+1} \in \mathbb{F}^n$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_1 &= \|A - B\|_2 \geq |(A - B)\tilde{\mathbf{z}}| = |A\tilde{\mathbf{z}}| = |A(a_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + a_{k+1} \mathbf{v}_{k+1})| \\ &= \left| \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} a_j \sigma_j \mathbf{u}_j \right| = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} |a_j \sigma_j|^2 \right\}^{1/2} \geq \sigma_{k+1} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} |a_j|^2 \right\}^{1/2} = \sigma_{k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

For $j > 1$, append row $\mathbf{y}_1^*, \mathbf{y}_2^*, \dots, \mathbf{y}_{j-1}^*$ to the matrix B to get $B_j \in M_{m+j-1,n}$. Then B_j has rank at most $k + j - 1$ and so does the matrix $B_j[\mathbf{v}_1 | \dots | \mathbf{v}_{k+j}] \in M_{m+j-1,k+j}$. Hence, there is a unit vector

$\mathbf{z}_j = (b_1, \dots, b_{k+j})^t \in \mathbb{F}^{k+j}$ satisfying $B_j[\mathbf{v}_1 \cdots \mathbf{v}_{k+j}]\mathbf{z}_j = 0$. As a result, the unit vector $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_j = b_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + b_{k+j}\mathbf{v}_{k+j} \in \mathbb{F}^n$ satisfies $B\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_j = 0 \in \mathbb{F}^m$ and $\mathbf{y}_i^*\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_j = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, j-1$. By (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_j &\geq |(A - B)\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_j| = |A\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_j| = |A(b_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + b_{k+j}\mathbf{v}_{k+j})| \\ &= \left| \sum_{j=1}^{k+j} b_j\sigma_j\mathbf{u}_j \right| = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{k+j} |b_j\sigma_j|^2 \right\}^{1/2} \geq \sigma_{k+j} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{k+j} |b_j|^2 \right\}^{1/2} = \sigma_{k+j}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of (2.1) is complete.

To prove Theorem 1.1, we construct matrices C_1, \dots, C_ℓ in $M_{m,n}$ such that

$$(2.3) \quad \|A - A_k\| \leq \|C_1\| \leq \cdots \leq \|C_\ell\| = \|A - B\|.$$

Let $\{E_{11}, E_{12}, \dots, E_{mn}\}$ be the standard basis for $M_{m,n}$. We continue to assume $\sigma_j = 0$ if $j > r$, and set $D = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \sigma_{k+j}E_{jj}$ so that $\|D\| = \|A - A_k\|$. By (2.1), for every $j = 1, \dots, \ell$, there is $t_j \in [0, 1]$ such that $t_j\xi_j = \sigma_{k+j}$. Let $C_1 = \xi_1E_{11} + \sum_{j=2}^{\ell} \sigma_{k+j}E_{jj}$ and $\tilde{C}_1 = -\xi_1E_{11} + \sum_{j=2}^{\ell} \sigma_{k+j}E_{jj}$. Then both C_1 and \tilde{C}_1 have singular values $\xi_1, \sigma_{k+2}, \dots, \sigma_\ell$, and $D = \frac{1+t_1}{2}C_1 + \frac{1-t_1}{2}\tilde{C}_1$:

$$\|D\| = \left\| \frac{1+t_1}{2}C_1 + \frac{1-t_1}{2}\tilde{C}_1 \right\| \leq \frac{1+t_1}{2}\|C_1\| + \frac{1-t_1}{2}\|\tilde{C}_1\| = \|C_1\|.$$

Now, let $C_2 = \xi_1E_{11} + \xi_2E_{22} + \sum_{j=3}^{\ell} \sigma_{k+j}E_{jj}$ and $\tilde{C}_2 = \xi_1E_{11} - \xi_2E_{22} + \sum_{j=3}^{\ell} \sigma_{k+j}E_{jj}$. Then both C_2 and \tilde{C}_2 have singular values $\xi_1, \xi_2, \sigma_{k+3}, \dots, \sigma_\ell$, and

$$\|C_1\| = \left\| \frac{1+t_2}{2}C_2 + \frac{1-t_2}{2}\tilde{C}_2 \right\| \leq \frac{1+t_2}{2}\|C_2\| + \frac{1-t_2}{2}\|\tilde{C}_2\| = \|C_2\|.$$

Repeating this argument ℓ times, we get C_1, \dots, C_ℓ , where C_ℓ has singular values ξ_1, \dots, ξ_ℓ and

$$\|A - A_k\| = \|D\| \leq \|C_1\| \leq \cdots \leq \|C_\ell\| = \|C\| = \|A - B\|.$$

Thus, (2.3) holds.

Notes added in proof. As observed by the referee, inequalities in (2.1) are just special cases of Weyl inequalities asserting that for any $X, Y \in M_{m,n}$ and $i + j < \min\{m, n\}$, we have $\sigma_i(X) + \sigma_j(Y) \geq \sigma_{i+j-1}(X + Y)$, where $\sigma_1(Z) \geq \sigma_2(Z) \geq \cdots$ are the singular values of $Z \in M_{m,n}$. Applying this result to our matrices B with rank at most k and $C = A - B$, one gets

$$\sigma_{k+j}(A) = \sigma_{k+j}(C + B) \leq \sigma_j(C) + \sigma_{k+1}(B) = \sigma_j(C),$$

which yields (2.1). The Weyl inequalities can be proved using subspace intersection properties; e.g., see [2, Theorem 3.3.16 (a)]. As mentioned in the introduction, one may prove Theorem 1.1 using singular value inequalities and the Ky Fan dominance theorem, e.g., see [2, p. 215].

Acknowledgments. We thank the referee for alerting us that the special case of Theorem 1.1 for the Frobenius norm was published in [6], and rediscovered in [1]. We also thank the referee for some helpful suggestions.



REFERENCES

- [1] C. Eckart and G. Young. The approximation of one matrix by another of lower rank. *Psychometrika*, 1:211–218, 1936.
- [2] R.A. Horn and C.R. Johnson. *Topics in Matrix Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.
- [3] C.K. Li and N.K. Tsing. On unitarily invariant norms and related results. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 20:107–119, 1987.
- [4] A.W. Marshall, I. Olkin, and B.C. Arnold. *Inequalities: Theory of Majorization and Its Applications*, second edition. Springer, New York, 2011.
- [5] L. Mirsky. Symmetric gauge functions and unitarily invariant norms. *Quarterly Journal of Mathematics*, 11:50–59, 1960.
- [6] E. Schmidt. Zur Theorie der linearen und nichtlinearen Integralgleichungen. *Mathematische Annalen*, 63:433–476, 1907.
- [7] G. Strang. *Linear Algebra and Learning from Data*. Wellesley-Cambridge Press, 2019.