

# ON A NEW CLASS OF STRUCTURED MATRICES RELATED TO THE DISCRETE SKEW-SELF-ADJOINT DIRAC SYSTEMS\*

B. FRITZSCHE<sup>†</sup>, B. KIRSTEIN<sup>†</sup>, AND A.L. SAKHNOVICH<sup>‡</sup>

**Abstract.** A new class of the structured matrices related to the discrete skew-self-adjoint Dirac systems is introduced. The corresponding matrix identities and inversion procedure are treated. Analogs of the Schur coefficients and of the Christoffel-Darboux formula are studied. It is shown that the structured matrices from this class are always positive-definite, and applications for an inverse problem for the discrete skew-self-adjoint Dirac system are obtained.

**Key words.** Structured matrices, Matrix identity, Schur coefficients, Christoffel-Darboux formula, Transfer matrix function, Discrete skew-self-adjoint Dirac system, Weyl function, Inverse problem.

**AMS subject classifications.** 15A09, 15A24, 39A12.

**1. Introduction.** It is well-known that Toeplitz and block Toeplitz matrices are closely related to a discrete system of equations, namely to Szegő recurrence. This connection have been actively studied during the last decades. See, for instance, [1]–[5], [12, 25] and numerous references therein. The connections between block Toeplitz matrices and Weyl theory for the self-adjoint discrete Dirac system were treated in [11]. (See [26] for the Weyl theory of the discrete analog of the Schrödinger equation.) The Weyl theory for the skew-self-adjoint discrete Dirac system

$$(1.1) \quad W_{k+1}(\lambda) - W_k(\lambda) = -\frac{i}{\lambda} C_k W_k(\lambda), \quad C_k = C_k^* = C_k^{-1}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

was developed in [14, 18]. Here  $C_k$  are  $2p \times 2p$  matrix functions. When  $p = 1$ , system (1.1) is an auxiliary linear system for the isotropic Heisenberg magnet model. Explicit solutions of the inverse problem were constructed in [14]. A general procedure to construct the solutions of the inverse problem for system (1.1) was given in [18], using a new class of structured matrices  $S$ , which satisfy the matrix identity

$$(1.2) \quad AS - SA^* = iIII^*.$$

---

\*Received by the editors May 19, 2008. Accepted for publication September 11, 2008. Handling Editor: Harm Bart.

<sup>†</sup>Fakultät für Mathematik und Informatik, Mathematisches Institut, Universität Leipzig, Johannisgasse 26, D-04103 Leipzig, Germany (fritzsche@math.uni-leipzig.de, kirstein@math.uni-leipzig.de).

<sup>‡</sup>Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Wien, Nordbergstrasse 15, A-1090 Wien, Austria (al\_sakhnov@yahoo.com). Supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) under Grant no. Y330.

Here,  $S$  and  $A$  are  $(n+1)p \times (n+1)p$  matrices and  $\Pi$  is an  $(n+1)p \times 2p$  matrix. The block matrix  $A$  has the form

$$(1.3) \quad A := A(n) = \left\{ a_{j-k} \right\}_{k,j=0}^n, \quad a_r = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } r > 0 \\ \frac{i}{2} I_p & \text{for } r = 0 \\ i I_p & \text{for } r < 0 \end{cases},$$

where  $I_p$  is the  $p \times p$  identity matrix. The matrix  $\Pi = [\Phi_1 \ \Phi_2]$  consists of two block columns of the form

$$(1.4) \quad \Phi_1 = \begin{bmatrix} I_p \\ I_p \\ \vdots \\ I_p \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Phi_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_0 \\ \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

**DEFINITION 1.1.** *The class of the block matrices  $S$  determined by the matrix identity (1.2) and formulas (1.3) and (1.4) is denoted by  $\Omega_n$ .*

Notice that the blocks  $\alpha_k$  in [18] are Taylor coefficients of the Weyl functions and that the matrices  $C_n$  ( $0 \leq n \leq l$ ) in (1.1) are easily recovered from the expressions  $\Pi(n)^* S(n)^{-1} \Pi(n)$  ( $0 \leq n \leq l$ ) (see Theorem 3.4 of [18]). In this way, the structure of the matrices  $S$  determined by the matrix identity (1.2) and formulas (1.3) and (1.4), their inversion and conditions of invertibility prove essential. Recall that the self-adjoint block Toeplitz matrices satisfy [15]–[17] the identity  $AS - SA^* = i\Pi J \Pi^*$  ( $J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_p \\ I_p & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ), which is close to (1.2)–(1.4). We refer also to [20]–[24] and references therein for the general method of the operator identities. The analogs of various results on the Toeplitz matrices and  $j$ -theory from [6]–[11] can be obtained for the class  $\Omega_n$ , too.

**2. Structure of the matrices from  $\Omega_n$ .** Consider first the block matrix  $S = \left\{ s_{kj} \right\}_{k,j=0}^n$  with the  $p \times p$  entries  $s_{kj}$ , which satisfies the identity

$$(2.1) \quad AS - SA^* = iQ, \quad Q = \{q_{kj}\}_{k,j=0}^n.$$

One can easily see that the equality

$$(2.2) \quad q_{kj} = s_{kj} + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} s_{rj} + \sum_{r=0}^{j-1} s_{kr}$$

follows from (2.1). Sometimes we add comma between the indices and write  $s_{k,j}$ . Putting  $s_{-1,j} = s_{k,-1} = q_{-1,j} = q_{k,-1} = 0$ , from (2.2) we have

$$(2.3) \quad s_{k+1,j+1} - s_{kj} = q_{kj} + q_{k+1,j+1} - q_{k+1,j} - q_{k,j+1}, \quad -1 \leq k, j \leq n-1.$$

Now, putting  $Q = i\Pi\Pi^*$  and taking into account (2.3), we get the structure of  $S$ .

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let  $S \in \Omega_n$ . Then we have*

$$(2.4) \quad s_{k+1,j+1} - s_{kj} = \alpha_{k+1}\alpha_{j+1}^* \quad (-1 \leq k, j \leq n-1),$$

excluding the case when  $k = -1$  and  $j = -1$  simultaneously. For that case, we have

$$(2.5) \quad s_{00} = I_p + \alpha_0\alpha_0^*.$$

Notice that for the block Toeplitz matrix, the equalities  $s_{k+1,j+1} - s_{kj} = 0$  ( $0 \leq k, j \leq n-1$ ) hold. Therefore, Toeplitz and block Toeplitz matrices can be used to study certain homogeneous processes and appear as a result of discretization of homogeneous equations. From this point of view, the matrix  $S \in \Omega_n$  is perturbed by the simplest inhomogeneity.

The authors are grateful to the referee for the next interesting remark.

REMARK 2.2. *From (1.2)–(1.4) we get another useful identity, namely,*

$$(2.6) \quad S - NSN^* = \widehat{\Pi}\widehat{\Pi}^*,$$

where

$$(2.7) \quad N = \{\delta_{k-j-1}I_p\}_{k,j=0}^n = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & 0 \\ I_p & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & I_p & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \widehat{\Pi} = \begin{bmatrix} I_p & \alpha_0 \\ 0 & \alpha_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \alpha_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Indeed, it is easy to see that  $(I_{(n+1)p} - N)A = \frac{i}{2}(I_{(n+1)p} + N)$ . Hence, the identity

$$i(S - NSN^*) = i(I_{(n+1)p} - N)\Pi\Pi^*(I_{(n+1)p} - N^*)$$

follows from (1.2). By (2.7), we have  $(I_{(n+1)p} - N)\Pi = \widehat{\Pi}$ , and so (2.6) is valid. Relations (2.4) and (2.5) are immediate from (2.6).

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Let  $S = \{s_{kj}\}_{k,j=0}^n \in \Omega_n$ . Then  $S$  is positive and, moreover,  $S \geq I_{(n+1)p}$ . We have  $S > I_{(n+1)p}$  if and only if  $\det \alpha_0 \neq 0$ .*

*Proof.* From (2.5) it follows that  $S(0) = s_{00} \geq I_p$  and that  $S(0) > I_p$ , when  $\det \alpha_0 \neq 0$ . The necessity of  $\det \alpha_0 \neq 0$ , for the inequality  $S > I_{(n+1)p}$  to be true, follows from (2.5), too. We shall prove that  $S \geq I_{(n+1)p}$  and that  $S > I_{(n+1)p}$ , when  $\det \alpha_0 \neq 0$ , by induction.

Suppose that  $S(r-1) = \{s_{kj}\}_{k,j=0}^{r-1} \geq I_{rp}$  ( $r \geq 1$ ). According to (2.6), we can

present  $S(r) = \left\{ s_{kj} \right\}_{k,j=0}^r$  in the form  $S(r) = S_1 + S_2$ ,

$$(2.8) \quad S_1 := \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_0 \\ \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_0^* & \alpha_1^* & \cdots & \alpha_r^* \end{bmatrix}, \quad S_2 := \begin{bmatrix} I_p & 0 \\ 0 & S(r-1) \end{bmatrix}.$$

By the assumption of induction, it is immediate that  $S(r) \geq S_2 \geq I_{(r+1)p}$ . Hence, we get  $S = S(n) \geq I_{(n+1)p}$ .

Suppose that  $\det \alpha_0 \neq 0$  and  $S(r-1) > I_{(n+1)p}$ . Let  $S(r)f = f$  ( $f \in BC^{(r+1)p}$ ), i.e., let  $f^*(S(r) - I_{(r+1)p})f = 0$ . By (2.8), we have  $S_1 \geq 0$ , and by the assumption of induction, we have  $S_2 - I_{(r+1)p} \geq 0$ . So, it follows from  $f^*(S(r) - I_{(r+1)p})f = 0$  that  $f^*S_1f = 0$  and  $f^*(S_2 - I_{(r+1)p})f = 0$ . Hence, as  $\alpha_0\alpha_0^* > 0$  and  $S(r-1) > I_{rp}$ , we derive  $f = 0$ . In other words,  $S(r)f = f$  implies  $f = 0$ , that is,  $\det(S(r) - I_{(r+1)p}) \neq 0$ . From  $\det(S(r) - I_{(r+1)p}) \neq 0$  and  $S(r) \geq I_{(r+1)p}$ , we get  $S(r) > 0$ . So, the condition  $\det \alpha_0 \neq 0$  implies  $S(n) > I_{(n+1)p}$  by induction.  $\square$

REMARK 2.4. Using formula (2.5) and representations  $S(r) = S_1(r) + S_2(r)$  ( $0 < r \leq n$ ), where  $S_1(r)$  and  $S_2(r)$  are given by (2.8), one easily gets

$$(2.9) \quad S = I_{(n+1)p} + \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_0 \\ \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_0^* & \alpha_1^* & \cdots & \alpha_n^* \end{bmatrix} \\
+ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \alpha_0 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \alpha_0^* & \cdots & \alpha_{n-1}^* \end{bmatrix} + \cdots + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ \alpha_0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & \alpha_0^* \end{bmatrix} \\
= I_{(n+1)p} + V_\alpha V_\alpha^*, \quad V_\alpha := \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \alpha_1 & \alpha_0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \alpha_n & \alpha_{n-1} & \alpha_{n-2} & \cdots & \alpha_0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Here,  $V_\alpha$  is a triangular block Toeplitz matrix, and formula (2.9) is another way to prove Proposition 2.3. Further, we will be interested in a block triangular factorization of the matrix  $S$  itself, namely,  $S = V_-^{-1}(V_-^*)^{-1}$ , where  $V_-$  is a lower triangular matrix.

Similar to the block Toeplitz case (see [13] and references therein) the matrices  $S \in \Omega_n$  admit the matrix identity of the form  $A_1S - SA_1 = Q_1$ , where  $Q_1$  is of low

rank,  $A_1 := \{\delta_{k-j+1} I_p\}_{k,j=0}^n = N^*$  and  $N$  is given in (2.7). The next proposition follows easily from (2.4).

PROPOSITION 2.5. *Let  $S \in \Omega_n$ . Then we have*

$$(2.10) \quad A_1 S - S A_1 = y_1 y_2^* + y_3 y_4^* + y_5 y_6^*, \quad A_1^* S - S A_1^* = -(y_2 y_1^* + y_4 y_3^* + y_6 y_5^*),$$

where

$$(2.11) \quad y_1 = \begin{bmatrix} s_{10} \\ s_{20} \\ \vdots \\ s_{n0} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad y_3 = - \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ I_p \end{bmatrix}, \quad y_5 = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad y_6 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{bmatrix},$$

$$(2.12) \quad y_2^* = \begin{bmatrix} I_p & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad y_4^* = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & s_{n0} & s_{n1} & \cdots & s_{n,n-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Differently than the block Toeplitz matrix case, the rank of  $A_1 S - S A_1$  is in general situation larger than the rank of  $AS - SA^*$ , where  $A$  is given by (1.3). (To see this compare (1.2)–(1.4) and (2.10)–(2.12).)

**3. Transfer matrix function and Weyl functions.** Introduce the  $(r+1)p \times (n+1)p$  matrix

$$(3.1) \quad P_k := \begin{bmatrix} I_{(r+1)p} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad r \leq n.$$

It follows from (1.3) that  $P_r A(n) = A(r) P_r$ . Hence, using (1.2) we derive

$$(3.2) \quad A(r) S(r) - S(r) A(r)^* = i \Pi(r) \Pi(r)^*, \quad \Pi(r) := P_r \Pi.$$

As  $S > 0$ , it admits a block triangular factorization

$$(3.3) \quad S = V_-^{-1} (V_-^*)^{-1},$$

where  $V_-^{\pm 1}$  are block lower triangular matrices. It is immediate from (3.3) that

$$(3.4) \quad S(r) = V_-(r)^{-1} (V_-(r)^*)^{-1}, \quad V_-(r) := P_r V_- P_r^*.$$

Recall that  $S$ -node [21, 23, 24] is the triple  $(A(r), S(r), \Pi(r))$  that satisfies the matrix identity (3.2) (see also [21, 23, 24] for a more general definition of the  $S$ -node). Following [21, 23, 24], introduce the transfer matrix function corresponding to the  $S$ -node:

$$(3.5) \quad w_A(r, \lambda) = I_{2p} - i \Pi(r)^* S(r)^{-1} (A(r) - \lambda I_{(r+1)p})^{-1} \Pi(r).$$

In particular, taking into account (3.4) and (3.5), we get

$$(3.6) \quad w_A(0, \lambda) = I_{2p} - \frac{2i}{i - 2\lambda} \beta(0)^* \beta(0), \quad \beta(0) = V_-(0) \Pi(0).$$

By the factorization theorem 4 from [21] (see also [23, p. 188]), we have

$$(3.7) \quad w_A(r, \lambda) = \left( I_{2p} - i \Pi(r)^* S(r)^{-1} P^* (PA(r) P^* - \lambda I_p)^{-1} (PS(r)^{-1} P^*)^{-1} \right. \\ \left. \times PS(r)^{-1} \Pi(r) \right) w_A(r-1, \lambda), \quad P = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & I_p \end{bmatrix}.$$

According to (1.3), we obtain

$$(3.8) \quad (PA(r) P^* - \lambda I_p)^{-1} = \left( \frac{i}{2} - \lambda \right)^{-1} I_p.$$

Using (3.4), we derive

$$(3.9) \quad PS(r)^{-1} P^* = (V_-(r))_{rr}^* (V_-(r))_{rr}, \quad PS(r)^{-1} \Pi(r) = (V_-(r))_{rr}^* P V_-(r) \Pi(r),$$

where  $(V_-(r))_{rr}$  is the block entry of  $V_-(r)$  (the entry from the  $r$ -th block row and the  $r$ -th block column). In view of (3.8) and (3.9), we rewrite (3.7) in the form

$$(3.10) \quad w_A(r, \lambda) = \left( I_{2p} - \frac{2i}{i - 2\lambda} \beta(r)^* \beta(r) \right) w_A(r-1, \lambda),$$

$$(3.11) \quad \beta(r) = P V_-(r) \Pi(r) = (V_- \Pi)_r, \quad 0 < r \leq n.$$

Here,  $(V_- \Pi)_r$  is the  $r$ -th  $p \times 2p$  block of the block column vector  $V_- \Pi$ . Moreover, according to (3.9) and definitions (3.6), (3.11) of  $\beta$ , we have

$$(3.12) \quad \left( PS(r)^{-1} P^* \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} PS(r)^{-1} \Pi(r) = u(r) \beta(r), \\ u(r) := \left( PS(r)^{-1} P^* \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (V_-(r))_{rr}^*, \quad u(r)^* u(r) = I_p.$$

As  $u$  is unitary, the properties of  $\left( PS(r)^{-1} P^* \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} PS(r)^{-1} \Pi(r)$  proved in [18, p. 2098] imply the next proposition.

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** *Let  $S \in \Omega_n$  and let  $\beta(k)$  ( $0 \leq k \leq n$ ) be given by (3.3), (3.4), (3.6) and (3.11). Then we have*

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{cases} \beta(k) \beta(k)^* = I_p & (0 \leq k \leq n), \\ \det \beta(k-1) \beta(k)^* \neq 0 & (0 < k \leq n), \\ \det \beta_1(0) \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $\beta_1(k)$ ,  $\beta_2(k)$  are  $p \times p$  blocks of  $\beta(k)$ .

REMARK 3.2. Notice that the lower triangular factor  $V_-$  is not defined by  $S$  uniquely. Hence, the matrices  $\beta(k)$  are not defined uniquely, too. Nevertheless, in view of (3.12), the matrices  $\beta(k)^*\beta(k)$  are uniquely defined, which suffices for our considerations.

When  $p = 1$  and  $C_k \neq \pm I_2$ , the matrices  $C_k = C_k^* = C_k^{-1}$  (i.e., the potential of the system (1.1)) can be presented in the form  $C_k = I_2 - 2\beta(k)^*\beta(k)$ , where  $\beta(k)\beta(k)^* = 1$ . Therefore, it is assumed in [18] for the system (1.1) on the interval  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , that

$$(3.14) \quad C_k = I_{2p} - 2\beta(k)^*\beta(k),$$

where  $\beta(k)$  are  $p \times 2p$  matrices and (3.13) holds. Relation (3.14) implies  $C_k = U_k j U_k^*$ , where  $j = \begin{bmatrix} -I_p & 0 \\ 0 & I_p \end{bmatrix}$  and  $U_k$  are unitary  $2p \times 2p$  matrices. The equalities  $C_k = C_k^* = C_k^{-1}$  follow. Consider the fundamental solution  $W_r(\lambda)$  of the system (1.1) normalized by  $W_0(\lambda) = I_{2p}$ . Using (3.6) and (3.10), one easily derives

$$(3.15) \quad W_{r+1}(\lambda) = \left( \frac{\lambda - i}{\lambda} \right)^{r+1} w_A \left( r, \frac{\lambda}{2} \right), \quad 0 \leq r \leq n.$$

Similar to the continuous case, the Weyl functions of the system (1.1) are defined via Möbius (linear-fractional) transformation

$$(3.16) \quad \varphi(\lambda) = (\mathcal{W}_{11}(\lambda)R(\lambda) + \mathcal{W}_{12}(\lambda)Q(\lambda))(\mathcal{W}_{21}(\lambda)R(\lambda) + \mathcal{W}_{22}(\lambda)Q(\lambda))^{-1},$$

where  $\mathcal{W}_{ij}$  are  $p \times p$  blocks of  $\mathcal{W}$  and

$$(3.17) \quad \mathcal{W}(\lambda) = \{\mathcal{W}_{ij}(\lambda)\}_{i,j=1}^2 := W_{n+1}(\bar{\lambda})^*.$$

Here,  $R$  and  $Q$  are any  $p \times p$  matrix functions analytic in the neighborhood of  $\lambda = i$  and such that

$$(3.18) \quad \det \left( \mathcal{W}_{21}(i)R(i) + \mathcal{W}_{22}(i)Q(i) \right) \neq 0.$$

One can easily verify that such pairs always exist (see [18, p. 2090]). A matrix function  $\varphi(\lambda)$  of order  $p$ , analytic at  $\lambda = i$ , generates a matrix  $S \in \Omega_n$  via the Taylor coefficients

$$(3.19) \quad \varphi \left( i \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right) = -(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 z + \cdots + \alpha_n z^n) + O(z^{n+1}) \quad (z \rightarrow 0)$$

and identity (1.2). By Theorem 3.7 in [18], such  $\varphi$  is a Weyl function of some system (1.1) if and only if  $S$  is invertible. Now, from Proposition 2.3 it follows that  $S > 0$ , and the next proposition is immediate.

**PROPOSITION 3.3.** *Any  $p \times p$  matrix function  $\varphi$ , which is analytic at  $\lambda = i$ , is a Weyl function of some system (1.1) on the interval  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , such that (3.13) and (3.14) hold.*

Moreover, from the proof of the statement (ii) of Theorem 3.7 in [18], the Corollary 3.6 in [18] and our Proposition 3.3, we get:

**PROPOSITION 3.4.** *Let the  $p \times p$  matrix function  $\varphi$  be analytic at  $\lambda = i$  and admit expansion (3.19). Then  $\varphi$  is a Weyl function of the system (1.1) ( $0 \leq k \leq n$ ), where  $C_k$  are defined by the formulas (1.2)–(1.4),  $\Pi = [\Phi_1 \quad \Phi_2]$ , (3.9), (3.11) and (3.14). Moreover, any Weyl function of this system admits expansion (3.19).*

**4. Schur coefficients and Christoffel-Darboux formula.** The sequence  $\{\alpha_k\}_{k=0}^n$  uniquely determines via formulas (1.2)–(1.4) or (1.3), (1.4), (2.4) and (2.5) the  $S$ -node  $(A, S, \Pi)$ . Then, using (3.3), (3.11) and (3.14), we uniquely recover the system (1.1) ( $0 \leq k \leq n$ ), or equivalently, we recover the sequence  $\{\beta_k^* \beta_k\}_{k=0}^n$ , such that (3.13) holds. By Proposition 3.4, one can use Weyl functions of this system to obtain the sequence  $\{\alpha_k\}_{k=0}^n$ .

**REMARK 4.1.** *Thus, there are one to one correspondences between the sequences  $\{\alpha_k\}_{k=0}^n$ , the  $S$ -nodes  $(A, S, \Pi)$  satisfying (1.2), the systems (1.1) ( $0 \leq k \leq n$ ) with  $C_k$  of the form (3.14) and the sequences  $\{\beta_k^* \beta_k\}_{k=0}^n$ , such that (3.13) holds.*

Next, we consider a correspondence between  $\{\beta_k^* \beta_k\}_{k=0}^n$  and some  $p \times p$  matrices  $\{\rho_k\}_{k=0}^n$  ( $\|\rho_k\| \leq 1$ ). Notice that  $0 \leq \beta_1(k) \beta_1(k)^* \leq I_p$ , and suppose that these inequalities are strict:

$$(4.1) \quad 0 < \beta_1(k) \beta_1(k)^* < I_p \quad (0 \leq k \leq n).$$

In view of the first relation in (3.13) and inequalities (4.1), we have  $\det \beta_1(k) \neq 0$  and  $\det \beta_2(k) \neq 0$ . So, we can put

$$(4.2) \quad \rho_k := \left( \beta_2(k)^* \beta_2(k) \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \beta_2(k)^* \beta_1(k).$$

It follows from (4.2) that

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho_k \rho_k^* &= \left( \beta_2(k)^* \beta_2(k) \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \beta_2(k)^* (I_p - \beta_2(k) \beta_2(k)^*) \beta_2(k) \left( \beta_2(k)^* \beta_2(k) \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= I_p - \beta_2(k)^* \beta_2(k). \end{aligned}$$

By (4.2) and (4.3), we obtain

$$(4.4) \quad [\rho_k \quad (I_p - \rho_k \rho_k^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}] = u_k \beta(k), \quad \|\rho_k\| < 1,$$

where

$$(4.5) \quad u_k := \left( \beta_2(k)^* \beta_2(k) \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \beta_2(k)^*, \quad u_k u_k^* = I_p,$$

i.e.,  $u_k$  is unitary.

REMARK 4.2. Under condition (4.1), according to (4.4) and (4.5), the sequence  $\{\beta_k^* \beta_k\}_{k=0}^n$  is uniquely recovered from the sequence  $\{\rho_k\}_{k=0}^n$  ( $\|\rho_k\| \leq 1$ ):

$$(4.6) \quad \beta_k^* \beta_k = \begin{bmatrix} \rho_k^* \\ (I_p - \rho_k \rho_k^*)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{bmatrix} [\rho_k \quad (I_p - \rho_k \rho_k^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}].$$

By Remark 4.1 this means that the  $S$ -node can be recovered from the sequence  $\{\rho_k\}_{k=0}^n$ . Therefore, similar to the Toeplitz case, we call  $\rho_k$  the Schur coefficients of the  $S$ -node  $(A, S, \Pi)$ .

Besides Schur coefficients, we obtain an analog of the Christoffel-Darboux formula.

PROPOSITION 4.3. Let  $S \in \Omega_n$ , let  $w_A(r, \lambda)$  be introduced by (3.5) for  $r \geq 0$  and put  $w_A(-1, \lambda) = I_{2p}$ . Then we have

$$(4.7) \quad \sum_{k=-1}^{n-1} w_A(k, \mu)^* \beta(k+1)^* \beta(k+1) w_A(k, \lambda) = \frac{(2\lambda - i)(2\bar{\mu} + i)}{4i(\bar{\mu} - \lambda)} (w_A(n, \mu)^* w_A(n, \lambda) - I_{2p}).$$

*Proof.* From (3.10) it follows that

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & w_A(k+1, \mu)^* w_A(k+1, \lambda) - w_A(k, \mu)^* w_A(k, \lambda) = \\ & w_A(k, \mu)^* \left( \left( I_{2p} - \frac{2i}{2\bar{\mu} + i} \beta(k+1)^* \beta(k+1) \right) \right. \\ & \left. \times \left( I_{2p} + \frac{2i}{2\lambda - i} \beta(k+1)^* \beta(k+1) \right) - I_{2p} \right) w_A(k, \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Using  $\beta(k)\beta(k)^* = I_p$ , we rewrite (4.8) in the form

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & w_A(k+1, \mu)^* w_A(k+1, \lambda) - w_A(k, \mu)^* w_A(k, \lambda) \\ & = \frac{4i(\bar{\mu} - \lambda)}{(2\lambda - i)(2\bar{\mu} + i)} w_A(k, \mu)^* \beta(k+1)^* \beta(k+1) w_A(k, \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Equality (4.7) follows from (4.9).  $\square$

**5. Inversion of  $S \in \Omega_n$ .** To recover the system (1.1) from  $\{\alpha_k\}_{k=0}^n$ , it is convenient to use formula (3.11). The matrices  $V_-(r)$  ( $r \geq 0$ ) in this formula can be constructed recursively.

PROPOSITION 5.1. *Let  $S = V_-^{-1}(V_-^*)^{-1} \in \Omega_n$ . Then  $V_-(r+1)$  ( $0 \leq r < n$ ) can be constructed by the formula*

$$(5.1) \quad V_-(r+1) = \begin{bmatrix} V_-(r) & 0 \\ -t(r)S_{21}(r)V_-(r)^*V_-(r) & t(r) \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $S_{21}(r) = [s_{r+1,0} \quad s_{r+1,1} \quad \dots \quad s_{r+1,r}]$ ,

$$(5.2) \quad t(r) = \left( s_{r+1,r+1} - S_{21}(r)V_-(r)^*V_-(r)S_{21}(r)^* \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

*Proof.* To prove the proposition it suffices to assume that  $V_-(r)$  satisfies (3.4) and prove  $S(r+1) = V_-(r+1)^{-1}(V_-(r+1)^*)^{-1}$ . In view of Proposition 2.3 and (3.4), we have  $s_{r+1,r+1} - S_{21}(r)V_-(r)^*V_-(r)S_{21}(r)^* > 0$ , i.e., formula (5.2) is well defined. Now, it is easily checked that  $S(r+1)^{-1} = V_-(r+1)^*V_-(r+1)$  (see formula (2.7) in [17]).  $\square$

Put  $T = \{t_{kj}\}_{k,j=0}^n = S^{-1}$ ,

$$(5.3) \quad \widehat{Q} = \{\widehat{q}_{kj}\}_{k,j=0}^n = T \Pi \Pi^* T, \quad X = T \Phi_1, \quad Y = T \Phi_2,$$

where  $t_{kj}$  and  $\widehat{q}_{kj}$  are  $p \times p$  blocks of  $T$  and  $\widehat{Q}$ , respectively. Similar to [15, 16, 20, 22] and references therein, we get the next proposition.

PROPOSITION 5.2. *Let  $S \in \Omega_n$ . Then  $T = S^{-1}$  is recovered from  $X$  and  $Y$  by the formula*

$$(5.4) \quad t_{kj} = \widehat{q}_{kj} + \widehat{q}_{k+1,j+1} - \widehat{q}_{k+1,j} - \widehat{q}_{k,j+1} + t_{k+1,j+1},$$

or, equivalently, by the formula

$$(5.5) \quad t_{kj} = \widehat{q}_{kj} + 2 \sum_{r=1}^{n-k} \widehat{q}_{k+r,j+r} - \sum_{r=1}^{n-k} \widehat{q}_{k+r,j+r-1} - \sum_{r=1}^{n-k+1} \widehat{q}_{k+r-1,j+r},$$

where we fix  $t_{kj} = 0$  and  $\widehat{q}_{kj} = 0$  for  $k > n$  or  $j > n$ , and

$$(5.6) \quad \widehat{Q} = XX^* + YY^*.$$

The block vectors  $X$  and  $Y$  are connected by the relations

$$(5.7) \quad \sum_{r=0}^n (X_r - X_r^*) = 0, \quad \sum_{r=0}^{n-k} X_{n-r} = \sum_{r=0}^{n-k} \widehat{q}_{k+r,r} \quad (k \geq 0),$$

$$\sum_{r=0}^{n-k} X_{n-r}^* = \sum_{r=0}^{n-k} \widehat{q}_{r,k+r} \quad (k > 0).$$

*Proof.* From the identity (1.2) and formula (5.3), it follows that

$$(5.8) \quad TA - A^*T = i\widehat{Q},$$

where  $\widehat{Q}$  satisfies (5.6). The identity  $TA - A^*T = i\widehat{Q}$  yields (5.4), which, in its turn, implies (5.5).

To derive (5.7), we rewrite (5.8) in the form

$$(5.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & (A^* - \lambda I_{(n+1)p})^{-1}T - T(A - \lambda I_{(n+1)p})^{-1} \\ & = i(A^* - \lambda I_{(n+1)p})^{-1}\widehat{Q}(A - \lambda I_{(n+1)p})^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and multiply both sides of (5.9) by  $\Phi_1$  from the right and by  $\Phi_1^*$  from the left. Taking into account (5.3), we get

$$(5.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \Phi_1^*(A^* - \lambda I_{(n+1)p})^{-1}X - X^*(A - \lambda I_{(n+1)p})^{-1}\Phi_1 \\ & = i\Phi_1^*(A^* - \lambda I_{(n+1)p})^{-1}\widehat{Q}(A - \lambda I_{(n+1)p})^{-1}\Phi_1. \end{aligned}$$

It is easily checked (see formula (1.10) in [17]) that

$$(5.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & (A - \lambda I_{(n+1)p})^{-1}\Phi_1 = \left(\frac{i}{2} - \lambda\right)^{-1} \text{col}[I_p \ \zeta^{-1}I_p \ \cdots \ \zeta^{-n}I_p], \\ & \Phi_1^*(A^* - \lambda I_{(n+1)p})^{-1} = -\left(\frac{i}{2} + \lambda\right)^{-1} [I_p \ \zeta I_p \ \cdots \ \zeta^n I_p], \end{aligned}$$

where col means column,

$$(5.12) \quad \zeta = \frac{\lambda - \frac{i}{2}}{\lambda + \frac{i}{2}}, \quad \frac{i}{2} - \lambda = \frac{i\zeta}{\zeta - 1}, \quad -\frac{i}{2} - \lambda = \frac{i}{\zeta - 1}.$$

Notice that we have

$$(5.13) \quad \Phi_1^*T\Phi_1 = \Phi_1^*X = X^*\Phi_1,$$

which implies the first equality in (5.7). Multiply both sides of (5.10) by  $\lambda^2 + \frac{1}{4}$  and use (5.11), (5.12) and the first equality in (5.7) to rewrite the result in the form

$$(5.14) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{i}{\zeta - 1} \left( [(\zeta - 1)I_p \ (\zeta^2 - 1)I_p \ \cdots \ (\zeta^n - 1)I_p]X \right. \\ & \quad \left. + X^* \text{col}[0 \ \zeta^{-1}(\zeta - 1)I_p \ \cdots \ \zeta^{-n}(\zeta^n - 1)I_p] \right) \\ & = i[I_p \ \zeta I_p \ \cdots \ \zeta^n I_p] \widehat{Q} \text{col}[I_p \ \zeta^{-1}I_p \ \cdots \ \zeta^{-n}I_p]. \end{aligned}$$

The equalities for the coefficients corresponding to the same degrees of  $\zeta$  on the left-hand side and on the right-hand side of (5.14) imply the second and the third relations in (5.7).  $\square$

**6. Factorization and similarity conditions.** The block matrix

$$(6.1) \quad K = \begin{bmatrix} K_0 \\ K_1 \\ \vdots \\ K_n \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $K_j$  are  $p \times (n+1)p$  matrices of the form

$$(6.2) \quad K_j = i\beta(j)[\beta(0)^* \ \beta(1)^* \ \cdots \ \beta(j-1)^* \ \beta(j)^*/2 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0],$$

plays an essential role in [18]. From the proof of Theorem 3.4 in [18] the following result is immediate.

**PROPOSITION 6.1.** *Let a  $(n+1)p \times (n+1)p$  matrix  $K$  be given by formulas (6.1) and (6.2), and let conditions (3.13) hold. Then  $K$  is similar to  $A$ :*

$$(6.3) \quad K = V_- A V_-^{-1},$$

where  $V_-^{\pm 1}$  are block lower triangular matrices.

Proposition 6.1 is a discrete analog of the theorem on similarity to the integration operator [19].

**REMARK 6.2.** *Note that  $V_-^{-1}$  can be chosen so that*

$$(6.4) \quad V_-^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1(0) \\ \vdots \\ \beta_1(n) \end{bmatrix} = \Phi_1.$$

Moreover,  $V_-^{-1}$  is a factor of  $S$ , i.e.,  $S = V_-^{-1}(V_-^*)^{-1} \in \Omega_n$ . Any matrix  $S \in \Omega_n$  can be obtained in this way.

An analogue of Proposition 6.1 for the self-adjoint discrete Dirac system and block Toeplitz matrices  $S$  follows from the proof of Theorem 5.2 in [11].

**PROPOSITION 6.3.** *Let a  $(n+1)p \times (n+1)p$  matrix  $K$  be given by formulas (6.1) and*

$$(6.5) \quad K_j = i\beta(j)J[\beta(0)^* \ \cdots \ \beta(j-1)^* \ \beta(j)^*/2 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0], \quad J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_p \\ I_p & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\beta(k)$  are  $p \times 2p$  matrices. Let conditions  $\beta(k)J\beta(k)^* = I_p$  ( $0 \leq k \leq n$ ) hold. Then  $K$  is similar to  $A$ :  $K = V_- A V_-^{-1}$ , where  $V_-^{\pm 1}$  are block lower triangular matrices. Moreover,  $V_-$  can be chosen so that  $S = V_-^{-1}(V_-^*)^{-1}$  is a block Toeplitz matrix.

**Acknowledgment.** The authors are grateful to Professor W. Schempp and GALA project for the opportunity to meet in Grossbothen and discuss this paper.

# REFERENCES

- [1] D. Alpay and I. Gohberg. Connections between the Carathodory-Toeplitz and the Nehari extension problems: the discrete scalar case. *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, 37:125–142, 2000.
- [2] Ph. Delsarte, Y. Genin, and Y. Kamp. Orthogonal polynomial matrices on the unit circles. *IEEE Trans. Circuits and Systems*, CAS-25:149–160, 1978.
- [3] Ph. Delsarte, Y. Genin, and Y. Kamp. Schur parametrization of positive definite block-Toeplitz systems. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 36:34–46, 1979.
- [4] V.K. Dubovoj, B. Fritzsche, and B. Kirstein. *Matricial version of the classical Schur problem*. Teubner-Texte zur Mathematik [Teubner Texts in Mathematics] 129, B. G. Teubner Verlagsgesellschaft mbH, Stuttgart, 1992.
- [5] H. Dym. Hermitian block Toeplitz matrices, orthogonal polynomials, reproducing kernel Pontryagin spaces, interpolation and extension. *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.*, 34:79-135, 1988.
- [6] B. Fritzsche and B. Kirstein. An extension problem for non-negative Hermitian block Toeplitz matrices. *Math. Nachr.*, Part I, 130:121–135, 1987; Part II, 131:287–297, 1987; Part III, 135:319–341, 1988; Part IV, 143:329–354, 1989; Part V, 144:283–308, 1989.
- [7] B. Fritzsche and B. Kirstein. On the Weyl matrix balls associated with nondegenerate matrix-valued Carathodory functions. *Z. Anal. Anwendungen*, 12:239–261, 1993.
- [8] B. Fritzsche, B. Kirstein, and M. Mosch. On block completion problems for Arov-normalized  $j_{qq}$ - $J_q$ -elementary factors. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 346:273–291, 2002.
- [9] B. Fritzsche, B. Kirstein, and K. Müller. An analysis of the block structure of certain subclasses of  $j_{qq}$ -inner functions. *Z. Anal. Anwendungen*, 17:459–478, 1998.
- [10] B. Fritzsche, B. Kirstein, and A.L. Sakhnovich. Completion problems and scattering problems for Dirac type differential equations with singularities. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 317:510–525, 2006.
- [11] B. Fritzsche, B. Kirstein, I. Roitberg, and A.L. Sakhnovich. Weyl matrix functions and inverse problems for discrete Dirac-type self-adjoint systems: explicit and general solutions. *Operators and Matrices*, 2:201–231, 2008.
- [12] L. Golinskii and P. Nevai. Szegő difference equations, transfer matrices and orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 223:223–259, 2001.
- [13] G. Heinig and K. Rost. *Algebraic methods for Toeplitz-like matrices and operators*. Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., 13, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1984.
- [14] M.A. Kaashoek and A.L. Sakhnovich. Discrete skew self-adjoint canonical system and the isotropic Heisenberg magnet model. *J. Functional Anal.*, 228:207–233, 2005.
- [15] A.L. Sakhnovich. A certain method of inverting Toeplitz matrices. *Mat. Issled.*, 8:180–186, 1973.
- [16] A.L. Sakhnovich. On the continuation of the block Toeplitz matrices. *Functional Analysis (Uljanovsk)*, 14:116–127, 1980.
- [17] A.L. Sakhnovich. Toeplitz matrices with an exponential growth of entries and the first Szegő limit theorem. *J. Functional Anal.*, 171:449–482, 2000.
- [18] A.L. Sakhnovich. Skew-self-adjoint discrete and continuous Dirac-type systems: inverse problems and Borg-Marchenko theorems. *Inverse Problems*, 22:2083–2101, 2006.
- [19] L.A. Sakhnovich. Spectral analysis of Volterra’s operators defined in the space of vector-functions  $L_m^2(0, l)$ . *Ukr. Mat. Zh.*, 16:259–268, 1964.
- [20] L.A. Sakhnovich. An integral equation with a kernel dependent on the difference of the arguments. *Mat. Issled.*, 8:138–146, 1973.

- [21] L.A. Sakhnovich. On the factorization of the transfer matrix function. *Sov. Math. Dokl.*, 17:203–207, 1976.
- [22] L.A. Sakhnovich. *Integral equations with difference kernels on finite intervals*. Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., 84, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1996.
- [23] L.A. Sakhnovich. *Interpolation theory and its applications*. Mathematics and its Applications, 428, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1997.
- [24] L.A. Sakhnovich. *Spectral theory of canonical differential systems, method of operator identities*. Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., 107, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1999.
- [25] B. Simon. *Orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle*, Parts 1/2. Colloquium Publications, 51/54, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2005.
- [26] G. Teschl. *Jacobi operators and completely integrable nonlinear lattices*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 72, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2000.