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#### Abstract

The possible dimensions of spaces of matrices over GF(2) whose nonzero elements all have rank 2 are investigated.
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Let $\mathcal{M}_{m, n}(F)$ denote the vector space of all $m \times n$ matrices over the field $F$. In the case that $m=n$ we write $\mathcal{M}_{n}(F)$. A subspace, $\mathcal{K}$, is called a rank- $k$ space if each nonzero entry in $\mathcal{K}$ has rank equal $k$. We assume throughout that $1 \leq k \leq m \leq n$.

The structure of rank- $k$ spaces has been studied lately by not only matrix theorists but group theorists and algebraic geometers; see [4], [5], [6]. In [3], [7], it was shown that the dimension of a rank- $k$ space is at most $n+m-2 k+1$, and in [1] that the dimension of a rank- $k$ space is at most $\max (k+1, n-k+1)$, when the field is algebraically closed.

In [2] it was shown that, if $|F| \geq n+1$ and $n \geq 2 k-1$, then the dimension of a rank- $k$ space is at most $n$. Thus, if $k=2$ and $F$ is not the field of two elements, we know that the dimension of a rank-2 space is at most $n$. In [2] it was also shown that if $n=q k+r$, with $0 \leq r<k$ then if $F$ has an extension of degree $k$ and one of degree $k+r$, then there is a rank- $k$ space of dimension $n$. Thus, for $k=2$, the only case left to investigate is when $|F|=2$.

In this paper we shall show that if $m=n=3$ there is a rank-2 space of dimension $n+1$ over the field of two elements and that if $n \geq 4$ the dimension of a rank- 2 space is at most $n$.

Further, is easily shown that for any field, the dimension of a rank- $m$ space is at most $n$. Thus, henceforth, we assume that $k=2,3 \leq m \leq n$ and that $F=\mathcal{Z}_{2}$, the field of two elements.

Example 1. Consider the space of matrices

$$
\left\{\left.\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
a & c & c \\
d & a+b & c \\
d & d & b
\end{array}\right] \right\rvert\, a, b, c, d \in \mathcal{Z}_{2}\right\}
$$

It is easily checked that this is a 4 dimensional rank-2 subspace of $\mathcal{M}_{3}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{2}\right)$.
It follows that for $n=3, n$ is not an upper bound on the dimension of a rank- 2 space.

We let $I_{k}$ denote the identity matrix of order $k \times k, O_{k, l}$ the zero matrix of order $k \times l$, and $O_{k}$ denotes $O_{k, k}$. When the order is obvious from the context, we omit

[^0]the subscripts. We shall use the notation $\rho(A)$ to denote the rank of the matrix A. For increasing sequences, $\alpha \subseteq\{1,2, \cdots, m\}$, and $\beta \subseteq\{1,2, \cdots, n\}$, we will let $A[\alpha \mid \beta]$ denote the submatrix of $\bar{A}$ on rows $\alpha$ and columns $\beta$. That is, $A[i, j \mid k, l]=$ $\left[\begin{array}{cc}a_{i k} & a_{i l} \\ a_{j k} & a_{j l}\end{array}\right]$.

Theorem 2. If $n \geq 4, \mathcal{K}$ is a rank 2 space and $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{Z}_{2}$, then $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{K} \leq n$.
Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\left[\begin{array}{ll}I_{2} & O \\ O & O\end{array}\right] \in \mathcal{K}$. We also suppose that $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{K}>n$.

Suppose that there is some nonzero $C \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $C=\left[\begin{array}{cc}O_{2} & C_{2} \\ O & C_{4}\end{array}\right]$. Then $C_{4}=O$ and $\rho\left(C_{2}\right)=2$. Multiplying all elements of $\mathcal{K}$ by appropriate matrices that leave $\left[\begin{array}{cc}I_{2} & O \\ O & O\end{array}\right]$ fixed, we can assume that $\left[\begin{array}{ccc}O & I_{2} & O \\ O & O & O\end{array}\right] \in \mathcal{K}$.

Now, since $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{K}>n$, there exists $A \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $a_{1 j}=0$ for all $j$ and the rank of $A$ is 2. Now, let $B(x, y)=x\left[\begin{array}{cc}I_{2} & O \\ O & O\end{array}\right]+y\left[\begin{array}{ccc}O & I_{2} & O \\ O & O & O\end{array}\right]+A$. Then, $B(x, y)[1,2,3 \mid 1,2,3]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}x & 0 & y \\ a_{21} & a_{22}+x & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33}\end{array}\right]$ must have zero determinant for all $x, y \in \mathcal{F}$. That is

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{33} x+a_{33} a_{22} x+a_{23} a_{32} x+a_{31} a_{22} y+a_{31} x y+a_{32} a_{21} y=0 . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that in $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{Z}_{2}, x^{2}=x$ for all $x$. It follows that for $x=0$ and $y=1$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{31} a_{22}+a_{32} a_{21}=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $\mathrm{x}=1$ and $\mathrm{y}=0$ we have

$$
a_{33}+a_{33} a_{22}+a_{32} a_{23}=0
$$

Now, we have

$$
y\left(a_{31} a_{22}+a_{32} a_{21}\right)+x\left(a_{33}+a_{33} a_{22}+a_{32} a_{23}\right)=a_{31} x y
$$

from (1) and each term of the left hand side is zero. Thus $a_{31}=0$.
By considering $B(x, y)[1,2, r \mid 1,2,3]$ as above, we get that $a_{r 1}=0$ for all $r$.
Similarly, $B(x, y)[1,2, r \mid 1,2,4]$ must have zero determinant for all $r \geq 3$. Thus, $a_{r 4} x+a_{22} a_{r 4} x+a_{24} a_{r 2} x+a_{r 2} x y=0$. If $x=1$ and $y=0$ we get $a_{r 4}+a_{22} a_{r 4}+a_{24} a_{r 2}=$ 0 and hence $a_{r 2} x y=0$ for all $x, y$. Thus, $a_{r 2}=0$ for all $r \geq 3$.

Now, $B(x, y)[1,2, r \mid 1,3,4]$ must also have zero determinant. That is, $a_{23} a_{r 4} x+$ $a_{24} a_{43} x+a_{21} a_{r 4} y+a_{r 3} x y=0$. As above we get that $a_{r 3}=0$ for all $r \geq 3$.

Since $B(x, y)[1,2, r \mid 2,3, s]$ must have zero determinant for $r, s \geq 3$, we get $a_{22} a_{r s} y+a_{r s} x y=0$ for all $x, y$. As above, we get that $a_{r s}=0$ for $r, s \geq 3$.

The above contradicts that $A$ has rank 2 since $A$ has only one nonzero row. Thus, there is no matrix $C \in \mathcal{K}$ of the form $C=\left[\begin{array}{cc}O_{2} & C_{2} \\ O & C_{4}\end{array}\right]$.

Similarly, there is no matrix in $\mathcal{K}$ of the form $\left[\begin{array}{cc}O_{2} & O \\ C_{3} & C_{4}\end{array}\right]$.
Since $n \geq 4$ and we have supposed that $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{K}>n$, there is some rank- 2 matrix $A \in \mathcal{K}$ of the form $A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}O_{2} & A_{2} \\ A_{3} & A_{4}\end{array}\right]$. From the above, we know that $A_{2}$ and $A_{3}$ are not zero. Since $\rho(A) \geq \rho\left(A_{2}\right)+\rho\left(A_{3}\right)$, we must have $\rho\left(A_{2}\right)=\rho\left(A_{3}\right)=1$.

Let $R, S$ and $Q$ be invertible matrices such that

$$
R A_{2} Q=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \overrightarrow{0}^{t} \\
0 & \overrightarrow{0}^{t}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and

$$
S A_{3} R^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha & \beta \\
\overrightarrow{0} & \overrightarrow{0}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Let $B=(R \oplus S) A\left(R_{-1} \oplus Q\right)$. We have two cases: $\alpha=0$ (and hence $\beta=1$ ) or $\alpha=1$.
Case 1. $\alpha=1$. In this case let $E=\left[\begin{array}{cc}1 & \beta \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right] \oplus I_{n-2}$ and $F=\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & \beta \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right] \oplus I_{m-2}$. Then $F\left(x\left[\begin{array}{cc}I_{2} & O \\ O & O\end{array}\right]+B\right) E=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}x & 0 & 1 & \overrightarrow{0}^{t} \\ 0 & x & 0 & \overrightarrow{0}^{t} \\ 1 & 0 & A_{4} \\ \overrightarrow{0} & \overrightarrow{0} & \end{array}\right]=x\left[\begin{array}{cc}I_{2} & O \\ O & O\end{array}\right]+F B E$.

Let $C=F B E$. Now, since $\operatorname{det}\left(x\left[\begin{array}{cc}I_{2} & O \\ O & O\end{array}\right]+C\right)[1,2, r \mid 1,2, s]$ must be zero, if $r \geq 3$ and $s \geq 3$, we have $c_{r s}=0$ for all such $(r, s) \neq(3,3)$ since the coefficient of $x$ must be zero. For $(r, s)=(3,3)$ we get $x^{2} c_{33}+x=0$, so $c_{33}=1$. That is

$$
C=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 1 & \\
0 & 0 & 0 & O \\
1 & 0 & 1 & \\
& O & & O
\end{array}\right]
$$

With out loss of generality we may assume that $C \in \mathcal{K}$. Since $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{K}>n \geq 4$, there is some $B \in \mathcal{K}$ which is rank 2 and such that

$$
B=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & b_{12} & 0 & b_{14} & \cdots \\
b_{21} & b_{22} & b_{23} & b_{24} & \cdots \\
0 & b_{32} & 0 & b_{34} & \cdots \\
b_{41} & b_{42} & b_{43} & b_{44} & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots
\end{array}\right]
$$

Let $G(x, y)=x\left[\begin{array}{cc}I_{2} & O \\ O & O\end{array}\right]+y C+B$. Since $G(x, y) \in \mathcal{K}$ for all $x$ and $y$, we must have that $\operatorname{det} G(x, y)[r s t \mid u v w]=0$ for any increasing sequences $(r, s, t)$ and $(u, v, w)$, and hence, the coefficient of each term in the polynomial must be 0 . Thus, we obtain
a) $b_{\alpha \beta}=0$ for all $\alpha, \beta \geq 4$,
b) $b_{1 \beta}=0$ for all $\beta \geq 4$,
c) $b_{2 \beta}=0$ for all $\beta \geq 4$,
d) $b_{3 \beta}=0$ for all $\beta \geq 4$,
e) $b_{\alpha 1}=0$ for all $\alpha \geq 4$,
f) $b_{\alpha 2}=0$ for all $\alpha \geq 4$, and
g) $b_{\alpha 3}=0$ for all $\alpha \geq 4$;
when we take $[r s t \mid u v w]=$
a) $[23 \alpha \mid 23 \beta]$,
b) $[123 \mid 12 \beta]$,
c) $[123 \mid 13 \beta]$,
d) $[123 \mid 23 \beta]$,
e) $[12 \alpha \mid 123]$,
f) $[13 \alpha \mid 123]$, and
g) [23 $\alpha \mid 123]$, respectively.

Thus

$$
B=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & b_{12} & 0 & \\
b_{21} & b_{22} & b_{23} & O \\
0 & b_{32} & 0 & \\
& O & & O
\end{array}\right],
$$

and hence, $\operatorname{det} G(x, y)[123 \mid 123]=x\left(b_{23} b_{32}\right)+y\left(b_{12} b_{23}+b_{22}+b_{21} b_{32}+b_{12} b_{21}\right)+x y\left(b_{22}\right)$. Thus $b_{22}=0$ and $b_{23} b_{32}=0$. By the symmetry of $x\left[\begin{array}{cc}I_{2} & O \\ O & O\end{array}\right]+y C$ we may assume that $b_{23}=0$. Since $B$ must have rank 2 , we have that $b_{21}=1$ and from the coefficient of $y$, and that $B$ must have rank 2 , we get that $b_{32}=b_{12}=1$. So,

$$
B=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 1 & 0 & \\
1 & 0 & 0 & O \\
0 & 1 & 0 & \\
& O & & O
\end{array}\right]
$$

Now, since $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{K} \geq 4$ we must have $F \in \mathcal{K}$ of rank 2 such that

$$
F=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & f_{13} & f_{14} & \cdots \\
0 & f_{22} & f_{23} & f_{24} & \cdots \\
0 & f_{32} & f_{33} & f_{34} & \cdots \\
f_{41} & f_{42} & f_{43} & f_{44} & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots
\end{array}\right] .
$$

For $H(x, y, z)=x\left[\begin{array}{ll}I_{2} & O \\ O & O\end{array}\right]+y C+z B+F$, by considering the minors on [rst|uvw] as above, we obtain
a) $f_{\alpha \beta}=0$ for all $\alpha, \beta \geq 4$,
b) $f_{1 \beta}=f_{2 \beta}=0$ for all $\beta \geq 4$,
c) $f_{3 \beta}=0$ for all $\beta \geq 4$,
d) $f_{\alpha 1}=f_{\alpha 2}=0$ for all $\alpha \geq 4$, and
e) $f_{\alpha 3}=0$ for all $\alpha \geq 4$;
when we take $[r s t \mid u v w]=$
a) $[23 \alpha \mid 23 \beta]$,
b) $[123 \mid 12 \beta]$,
c) $[123 \mid 13 \beta]$,
d) $[12 \alpha \mid 123]$, and
e) $[23 \alpha \mid 123]$, respectively.

Now, $\operatorname{det} H(x, y, z)[123 \mid 123]=x\left(f_{33}+f_{22} f_{33}+f_{32} f_{23}\right)+y\left(f_{22}+f_{22} f_{13}\right)+z\left(f_{13}+\right.$ $\left.f_{13} f_{32}+f_{33}\right)+x y\left(f_{22}+f_{13}\right)+x z\left(f_{23}\right)+y z\left(f_{32}+f_{23}\right)$. Thus $f_{23}=f_{32}=0$ and $f_{22}=f_{13}=f_{33}$. Since the rank of $F$ must be 2 , we have that

$$
F=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 1 & \\
0 & 1 & 0 & O \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \\
& O & & O
\end{array}\right]
$$

But then,

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I_{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]+C+F=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & \\
0 & 0 & 0 & O \\
1 & 0 & 0 & \\
& O & & O
\end{array}\right]
$$

a rank 1 matrix, a contradiction.
Case 2. $\alpha=0$. Here we must have $\beta=1$ so that

$$
B=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 1 & \\
0 & 0 & 0 & O \\
0 & 1 & 0 & \\
& O & & O
\end{array}\right]
$$

(Note that if $b_{i j} \neq 0$ for any $(i, j)$ with $i, j \geq 3$ then $x\left[\begin{array}{cc}I_{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]+B$ has rank 3 or more for some $x$.)

Now, since $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{K} \geq 4$. we have some matrix $E \in \mathcal{K}$ such that

$$
E=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & e_{12} & 0 & e_{14} & \cdots \\
e_{21} & 0 & e_{23} & e_{24} & \cdots \\
e_{31} & 0 & e_{33} & e_{34} & \cdots \\
e_{41} & e_{42} & e_{43} & e_{44} & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots
\end{array}\right]
$$

Let $C(x, y)=x\left[\begin{array}{cc}I_{2} & O \\ O & O\end{array}\right]+y B+E$. Then, since $\operatorname{det} C(x, y)[r, s, t \mid u, v, w]=0$ for all strictly increasing $(r, s, t)$ and ( $u, v, w$ ), each term in the polynomial must be zero, i.e., each coefficient in the polynomial expansion must be zero. Thus, we get
a) $e_{33}=e_{21}=0$ and $e_{31}=e_{23}$,
b) $e_{\alpha 3}=0$ for $\alpha \geq 4$,
c) $e_{\alpha 1}=0$ for $\alpha \geq 4$,
d) $e_{2 \beta}=0$ for $\beta \geq 4$,
e) $e_{3 \beta}=0$ for $\beta \geq 4$, and
f) $e_{\alpha \beta}=0$ for $\alpha, \beta \geq 4$;
when considering $\operatorname{det} C(x, y)[r, s, t \mid u, v, w]=0$ for $[r, s, t \mid u, v, w]=$
a) $[1,2,3 \mid 1,2,3]$,
b) $[1,3, \alpha \mid 1,2,3]$,
c) $[1,2, \alpha \mid 1,2,3]$,
d) $[1,2,3 \mid 1,2, \beta]$,
e) $[1,2,3 \mid 2,3, \beta]$, and,
f) $[1,3, \alpha \mid 1,2, \beta]$, respectively.

Thus,

$$
E=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & e_{12} & 0 & e_{14} & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & e_{23} & 0 & \cdots \\
e_{23} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
0 & e_{42} & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Subcase 1. $e_{23}=1$. In this case, since the rank of $E$ must be 2, and $e_{31}=e_{23}$, we have that $e_{1 i}=0$ and $e_{j 2}=0$ for all $i, j \geq 4$, and that $e_{12}=0$ by considering that $\operatorname{det} E[123 \mid 13 i]=0$ and $\operatorname{det} E[13 j \mid 123]=0$, and $\operatorname{det} E[123 \mid 123]=0$ respectively. Thus

$$
E=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 0 & \\
0 & 0 & 1 & O \\
1 & 0 & 0 & \\
& O & & O
\end{array}\right]
$$

Now, since $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{K}>4$, there is some nonzero $F \in \mathcal{K}$ such that

$$
F=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
f_{11} & f_{12} & f_{13} & f_{14} & \cdots \\
f_{21} & 0 & 0 & f_{24} & \cdots \\
f_{31} & 0 & 0 & f_{34} & \cdots \\
f_{41} & f_{42} & f_{43} & f_{44} & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Let $G(x, y, z)=x\left[\begin{array}{cc}I_{2} & O \\ O & O\end{array}\right]+y B+z E+F$. As above we get
a) $f_{\alpha \beta}=0$ for all $\alpha, \beta \geq 4$,
b) $f_{13}=f_{31}=f_{11}=f_{12}=f_{21}=0$,
c) $f_{3 \beta}=f_{2 \beta}=f_{1 \beta}=0$ for $\beta \geq 4$, and
d) $f_{\alpha 3}=f_{\alpha 2}=f_{\alpha 1}=0$ for $\alpha \geq 4$;
when considering that $\operatorname{det} G(x, y, z)[r, s, t \mid u, v, w]$ must be zero for $[r, s, t \mid u, v, w]=$
a) $[23 \alpha \mid 23 \beta]$,
b) $[123 \mid 123]$,
c) $[123 \mid 12 \beta]$, and
d) $[12 \alpha \mid 123]$, respectively.

But then, $F=O$, a contradiction.
Subcase 2. $e_{23}=0$. In this case, since the rank of $E$ is 2 , we have that $e_{1 i}=1$ for some $i \geq 4$ and $e_{j 2}=1$ for some $j \geq 4$. Here, there are invertible matrices $U$ and
$V$ such that $U E V=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ & O & & O\end{array}\right]$, and $U\left[\begin{array}{cc}I_{2} & O \\ O & O\end{array}\right] V=\left[\begin{array}{cc}I_{2} & O \\ O & O\end{array}\right]$ and
$U B V=B$. Thus we may assume that $E=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & O \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \\ & & O & & O\end{array}\right]$.
Now, let $G(x, y, z)=x\left[\begin{array}{cc}I_{2} & O \\ O & O\end{array}\right]+y B+z E$. Then,

$$
G(x, y, z)=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
x & 0 & y & z & 0 & \cdots \\
0 & x & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
0 & y & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
0 & z & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots
\end{array}\right]
$$

Since $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{K}>n$, there exists $H \in \mathcal{K}, H \neq 0$ such that $h_{1 j}=0$ for all $j$. Let $K(x, y, z)=G(x, y, z)+H$. We get
a) $h_{\alpha \beta}=0$ for $\alpha \geq 3$ and $\beta \geq 4$,
b) $h_{2 \beta}=0$ for $\beta \geq 4$,
c) $h_{\alpha 1}=0$, for $\alpha \geq 4$,
d) $h_{\alpha 3}=0$, for $\alpha \geq 4$,
e) $h_{33}=h_{23}=0$, and
f) $h_{31}=h_{21}=0$;
when we consider that $\operatorname{det} H(x, y, z)[\gamma \mid \eta]=0$ for $[\gamma \mid \eta]=$
a) $[12 \alpha \mid 23 \beta]$,
b) $[123 \mid 12 \beta]$,
c) $[12 \alpha \mid 123]$,
d) $[13 \alpha \mid 123]$,
e) $[123 \mid 234]$, and
f) $[123 \mid 234]$, respectively.

That is $H=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & h_{22} & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & h_{32} & 0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots\end{array}\right]$. But the rank of $H$ is 1 since $H \neq 0$, a contradiction since $H \in \mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ is a rank 2 space.

We have thus obtained a contradiction in each case, and hence our supposition that $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{K}>n$ is false, and the theorem is proved.

Corollary 3. Over any field $F$, for $m, n \geq 3$, the dimension of any rank-2 subspace of $\mathcal{M}_{m, n}(F)$ is at most $n$, except when $m=n=3$ and $F=\mathcal{Z}_{2}$, in which case, the dimension is at most 4.

Proof. By the above theorem and comments, unless $m=n=3$ and $F=\mathcal{Z}_{2}$, the dimension of any rank-2 space is at most $n$. If $\mathcal{K}$ is a rank- 2 subspace of $\mathcal{M}_{3}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{2}\right)$, define $\left.\left.\mathcal{K}^{+}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}A & \overrightarrow{0}\end{array}\right] \right\rvert\, A \in \mathcal{K}\right\}$. Then $\mathcal{K}^{+}$is a rank-2 subspace of $\mathcal{M}_{3,4}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{2}\right)$ and hence the dimension is at most 4 . Clearly $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{K}^{+}$are isomorphic so that the dimension of $\mathcal{K}$ is at most 4 also. $\square$

Another example of a 4 dimensional rank-2 subspace of $\mathcal{M}_{3}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{2}\right)$ which is not equivalent to the one in Example 1 is given below.

Example 4. Consider the space of matrices

$$
\left\{\left.\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
a & 0 & c \\
d & a+b & 0 \\
0 & c+d & b
\end{array}\right] \right\rvert\, a, b, c, d \in \mathcal{Z}_{2}\right\}
$$

It is easily checked that this is a 4 dimensional rank-2 subspace of $\mathcal{M}_{3}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{2}\right)$.
Conjecture 5. Over any field, $F$, the dimension of a rank-k subspace of $\mathcal{M}_{m, n}(F)$ is at most $n$ unless $m=n=3, k=2$ and $F=\mathcal{Z}_{2}$.
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