I L
AS

THE TRIANGLE GRAPH T_6 IS NOT SPN*

STEPHEN DRURY †

Abstract. A real symmetric matrix A is copositive if $x'Ax \ge 0$ for every nonnegative vector x. A matrix is SPN if it is a sum of a real positive semidefinite matrix and a nonnegative matrix. Every SPN matrix is copositive, but the converse does not hold for matrices of order greater than 4. A graph G is an SPN graph if every copositive matrix whose graph is G is SPN. It is shown that the triangle graph T_6 is not SPN.

Key words. Copositive matrices, SPN matrices.

AMS subject classifications. 15B48, 15B35.

1. Introduction. A real symmetric matrix A is said to be copositive (COP) if $x'Ax \ge 0$ for every nonnegative vector x. It is said to be SPN if it can be expressed as a sum of a real positive semidefinite matrix and a nonnegative matrix. It is immediate that every SPN matrix is COP. Diananda [2] showed that every COP matrix of order less than or equal to 4 is SPN. A more comprehensive discussion of this situation is given in [5].

For matrices of order 5 and more, not every COP matrix is SPN. The question then becomes to understand which non-zero patterns allow one to deduce SPN from COP. This is done in terms of a graph G, the matrix under consideration only being allowed to have non-zero entries on the diagonal and where the adjacency matrix of G is non-zero. A graph G is said to be SPN if this additional restriction forces a COP matrix to be SPN.

In [6], Shaked-Monderer gives a comprehensive and fascinating discussion of this topic. Unfortunately the article contains an unfortunate error, see [7]. In a further paper [4], further progress is made and a number of conjectures are stated. In particular, it is conjectured that the triangle graph T_n is SPN for all $n \ge 5$. The graph T_n has vertices 1 and 2 joined by an edge and further vertices $3, 4, \ldots, n$ each joined only to the vertices 1 and 2.

The object of this article is to show that T_6 is not an SPN graph and one may conclude that T_n is also not an SPN graph for $n \ge 6$. It is known that T_5 is an SPN graph [7].

2. The example. Let

(2.1)
$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\cos(\theta) & \cos(2\theta) & -\cos(\theta) & -\sin(\theta) & 1 \\ -\cos(\theta) & 1 & -\cos(\theta) & \cos(2\theta) & 1 & -\sin(\theta) \\ \cos(2\theta) & -\cos(\theta) & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\cos(\theta) & \cos(2\theta) & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\sin(\theta) & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -\sin(\theta) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

*Received by the editors on December 20, 2019. Accepted for publication on January 6, 2020. Handling Editor: Michael Tsatsomeros.

[†]Department of Mathematics and Statistics, McGill University, Montreal, Canada H3A 0B9 (stephen.drury@mcgill.ca).

The Triangle Graph T_6 is not SPN

I L
AS

where θ is chosen in the range $0 < \theta < \frac{\pi}{6}$. Then A is carried on the graph T_6 . Our objective is to show that A is COP but not SPN.

PROPOSITION 1. The matrix A is not SPN.

Proof. Let $v_1 = (1, 2\cos(\theta), 1, 0, 0, 0)'$, $v_2 = (2\cos(\theta), 1, 0, 1, 0, 0)'$, $v_3 = (0, 1, \cos(\theta), 0, 0, \sin(\theta))'$ and $v_4 = (1, 0, 0, \cos(\theta), \sin(\theta), 0)'$. Then $v'_j A v_j = 0$ for j = 1, 2, 3, 4. Suppose that A = P + N where P is positive semidefinite and N is nonnegative then $v'_j P v_j \ge 0$ and $v'_j N v_j \ge 0$ since the v_j are nonnegative vectors. It follows that $v'_j P v_j = 0$ for j = 1, 2, 3, 4 and then that $P v_j = 0$. For each j, let S_j be the support of v_j . Then since for $v'_j N v_j = 0$, it follows that $p_{i,k} = a_{i,k}$ for $(i,k) \in \bigcup_{j=1}^4 (S_j \times S_j)$. Thus, we may write

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\cos(\theta) & \cos(2\theta) & -\cos(\theta) & -\sin(\theta) & p_{1,6} \\ -\cos(\theta) & 1 & -\cos(\theta) & \cos(2\theta) & p_{2,5} & -\sin(\theta) \\ \cos(2\theta) & -\cos(\theta) & 1 & p_{3,4} & p_{3,5} & 0 \\ -\cos(\theta) & \cos(2\theta) & p_{3,4} & 1 & 0 & p_{4,6} \\ -\sin(\theta) & p_{2,5} & p_{3,5} & 0 & 1 & p_{5,6} \\ p_{1,6} & -\sin(\theta) & 0 & p_{4,6} & p_{5,6} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Next, we study the 24 equations coming from $Pv_j = 0$ for j = 1, 2, 3, 4. These amount to

$$0 = p_{1,6} - \sin(2\theta),$$

$$0 = p_{2,5} - \sin(2\theta),$$

$$0 = p_{3,4} + \cos(3\theta),$$

$$0 = p_{1,6} + \cos(\theta)p_{4,6} + \sin(\theta)p_{5,6},$$

$$0 = p_{2,5} + \cos(\theta)p_{3,5} + \sin(\theta)p_{5,6},$$

$$0 = \sin(2\theta)p_{1,6} + p_{4,6},$$

$$0 = \sin(2\theta)p_{2,5} + p_{3,5},$$

$$0 = \cos(2\theta) + \cos(\theta)p_{3,4} + \sin(\theta)p_{4,6},$$

$$0 = \cos(2\theta) + \cos(\theta)p_{3,4} + \sin(\theta)p_{3,5}$$

and for $0 < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2}$, the only solution is

$$p_{1,6} = p_{2,5} = \sin(2\theta), \quad p_{3,5} = p_{4,6} = (1 - 4\cos(\theta)^2)\sin(\theta), \quad -p_{3,4} = p_{5,6} = \cos(3\theta).$$

Thus, unless $\cos(3\theta) = 0$, $n_{3,4}$ and $n_{5,6}$ have opposite signs. This contradiction shows that the decomposition A = P + N cannot exist.

We need the following from [3, Lemma 3.1].

LEMMA 2. Let A be a real symmetric matrix with $a_{i,i} = 1$ for i = 1, ..., n. Suppose that every principal submatrix B of A, in which each off-diagonal entry is less than 1, is copositive. Then A is copositive.

PROPOSITION 3. The matrix A is COP.

Proof. By Lemma 2 and using the fact that it is sufficient to consider only maximal subsets with the stated property, we see that we need only consider the four subsets $Q_1 = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $Q_2 = \{1, 3, 4, 5\}$, $Q_3 = \{2, 3, 4, 6\}$ and $Q_4 = \{3, 4, 5, 6\}$ and the corresponding principal submatrices B_j for j = 1, 2, 3, 4. Since these matrices are 4×4 , it will suffice by the result of Diananda [2] to show that they are SPN.

91

Stephen Drury

We see that $B_4 = I$ is positive semidefinite. Also

$B_2 =$	/ 1	0	$-\cos(\theta)$	$-\sin(\theta)$	۱ I	(0	$\cos(2\theta)$	0	0
	0	1	0	0		$\cos(2\theta)$	0	0	0
	$-\cos(\theta)$	0	1	0	+	0	0	0	0
	$\sqrt{-\sin(\theta)}$	0	0	1 /		0	0	0	0/

is SPN since $\cos(2\theta) \ge 0$ and the first matrix in the sum is positive semidefinite. The matrix B_3 is similar to B_2 . We have

where the first matrix in the sum is positive semidefinite of rank 2 and the second is nonnegative since $\cos(3\theta) \ge 0$. Thus, the B_j are SPN for j = 1, 2, 3, 4.

3. Comments. For a real symmetric $n \times n$ matrix A, one may define

$$\operatorname{copv}(A) = \inf\{x'Ax : x \in \mathbb{R}^n_+, \, \|x\| \le 1\},\$$

where ||x|| denotes the euclidean norm of the vector x. One may also define

(3.2)
$$\operatorname{spnv}(A) = \sup\{\lambda_{\min}(A - N) : N \text{ a nonnegative matrix}\}.$$

Here, we have denoted $\lambda_{\min}(X)$ the smallest eigenvalue of a real symmetric matrix X. Clearly, $\operatorname{spnv}(A) \leq \operatorname{copv}(A)$, A is COP if and only if $\operatorname{copv}(A) \geq 0$, and A is SPN if and only if $\operatorname{spnv}(A) \geq 0$.

It is hard to compute copv(A) numerically, but spnv(A) can be computed for real symmetric matrices A with constant diagonal (i.e., all diagonal entries equal) using semidefinite programming. To see this first observe that the definition of spnv(A) is unchanged if we restrict N to be a nonnegative matrix with zero diagonal in (3.2). Then we will have

(3.3)
$$\operatorname{spnv}(A) = \sup\{t : A - N - X - tI = 0, N \text{ nonnegative with zero diagonal, } Xpsd.\}$$

But in (3.3) X is forced to have a constant diagonal. Therefore,

 $\operatorname{spnv}(A) = \sup\{-x_{1,1} : X \text{ psd. with constant diagonal}, X \leq A \text{ off diagonal}\}.$

Thus, the computation of spnv(A) becomes a semidefinite programming problem over $n \times n$ real psd. matrices X with objective function $X \mapsto -x_{1,1}$, linear constraints $x_{1,1}-x_{j,j} = 0$ for $j = 2, \ldots, n$ and linear inequalities $x_{j,k} \leq a_{j,k}$ for $1 \leq j < k \leq n$.

The counterexample presented above was obtained by a computer search seeking to maximize the ratio (of negative numbers) $\operatorname{spnv}(A)/\operatorname{copv}(A)$ for matrices A with zero diagonal carried on the graph. For this, we used the well established software packages CSDP [1] and SDPA [8] to estimate $\operatorname{spnv}(A)$. Heuristic estimates were used for $\operatorname{copv}(A)$. Further comments are available at http://www.math.mcgill.ca/drury/research/spn/. The optimal value of θ is close to 0.366032 and in this case, the diagonal entries of A need to be replaced with 1.002873 to render the resulting matrix SPN.

Acknowledgment. I thank the referee for a very speedy referee's report and for showing me how to write the paper without reference to numerics.

The Triangle Graph T_6 is not SPN

REFERENCES

- B. Borchers. CSDP, a C library for semidefinite programming. Optimization Methods and Software, 11(1-4):613-623, Interior Point Methods, 1999.
- P.H. Diananda. On non-negative forms in real variables some or all of which are non-negative. Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society, 58:17–25, 1962.
- [3] A.J. Hoffman and F. Pereira. On copositive matrices with -1, 0, 1 entries. Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A, 14:302–309, 1973.
- [4] L. Hogben and N. Shaked-Monderer. SPN Graphs. Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra, 35:376–386, 2019.
- [5] P. Li and Y.-Y. Feng. Criteria for copositive matrices of order four. Linear Algebra and its Applications, 194:109–124, 1993.
- [6] N. Shaked-Monderer. SPN graphs: When copositive = SPN. Linear Algebra and its Applications, 509:82–113, 2016.
- [7] N. Shaked-Monderer. Corrigendum to "SPN graphs: When copositive = SPN". Linear Algebra and its Applications, 541:285-286, 2018.
- [8] M. Yamashita, K. Fujisawa, K. Nakata, M. Nakata, M. Fukuda, K. Kobayashi, and K. Goto. A high-performance software package for semidefinite programs: SDPA 7. Research Report B-460, Department of Mathematical and Computing Science, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan, September, 2010.

93