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#### Abstract

The distance spectral radius of a connected hypergraph is the largest eigenvalue of its distance matrix. The unique hypertrees with minimum distance spectral radii are determined in the class of hypertrees of given diameter, in the class of hypertrees of given matching number, and in the class of non-hyperstar-like hypertrees, respectively. The unique hypergraphs with minimum and second minimum distance spectral radii are determined in the class of unicylic hypergraphs. The unique hypertree with maximum distance spectral radius is determined in the class of $k$-th power hypertrees of given matching number.
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1. Introduction. A (simple) hypergraph $G$ consists of a vertex set $V(G)$ and an edge set $E(G)$, where every edge in $E(G)$ is a subset of $V(G)$ containing at least two vertices, see [2]. For $u, v \in V(G)$, if they are contained in some edge of $G$, then we say that they are adjacent, or $v$ is a neighbor of $u$. For $u \in V(G)$, let $N_{G}(u)$ be the set of neighbors of $u$ in $G$. The degree of a vertex $u$ in $G$, denoted by $\delta_{G}(u)$, is the number of edges containing $u$ in $G$. For an integer $k \geq 2$, the hypergraph $G$ is $k$-uniform if every edge of $G$ contains exactly $k$ vertices.

For distinct vertices $v_{0}, \ldots, v_{p}$ and distinct edges $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{p}$ of $G$, the alternating sequence $\left(v_{0}, e_{1}, v_{1}\right.$, $\left.\ldots, v_{p-1}, e_{p}, v_{p}\right)$ is a path of $G$ from $v_{0}$ to $v_{p}$ of length $p$ if $v_{i-1}, v_{i} \in e_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, p$, and $e_{i} \cap e_{j}=\emptyset$ for $i, j=1, \ldots, p$ with $j>i+1$. For distinct vertices $v_{0}, \ldots, v_{p-1}$ and distinct edges $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{p}$, the alternating sequence $\left(v_{0}, e_{1}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{p-1}, e_{p}, v_{0}\right)$ is a cycle of $G$ (of length $p$ ) if $v_{i-1}, v_{i} \in e_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, p$ with $v_{p}=v_{0}$, and $e_{i} \cap e_{j}=\emptyset$ for $i, j=1, \ldots, p$ with $|i-j|>1$ and $\{i, j\} \neq\{1, p\}$. If there is a path from $u$ to $v$ for any $u, v \in V(G)$, then we say that $G$ is connected. A hypertree is a connected hypergraph with no cycles. A unicylic hypergraph is a connected hypergraph with exactly one cycle.

A path $\left(v_{0}, e_{1}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{p-1}, e_{p}, v_{p}\right)$ of a hypergraph $G$ is called a pendant path of $G$ at $v_{0}$, if $\delta_{G}\left(v_{0}\right) \geq 2$, $\delta_{G}\left(v_{i}\right)=2$ for $1 \leq i \leq p-1, \delta_{G}(v)=1$ for $v \in e_{i} \backslash\left\{v_{i-1}, v_{i}\right\}$ with $1 \leq i \leq p$, and $\delta_{G}\left(v_{p}\right)=1$. If $p=1$, then we call $e_{1}$ a pendant edge of $G$ (at $v_{0}$ ). A hyperstar is a hypertree in which all edges are pendant edges at a common vertex. A hypertree is hyperstar-like if it consists of a single vertex, or a single edge, or some pendant paths at a vertex. A hypertree that is not hyperstar-like is said to be non-hyperstar-like.

Let $G$ be a connected hypergraph on $n$ vertices. For $u, v \in V(G)$, the distance between $u$ and $v$ in $G$, denoted by $d_{G}(u, v)$, is the length of a shortest path connecting them in $G$. In particular, $d_{G}(u, u)=0$. The diameter of $G$ is $\max \left\{d_{G}(u, v): u, v \in V(G)\right\}$. The distance matrix of $G$ is defined as $D(G)=$ $\left(d_{G}(u, v)\right)_{u, v \in V(G)}$. The distance spectral radius of $G$, denoted by $\rho(G)$, is the largest eigenvalue of $D(G)$.

[^0]For a connected hypergraph $G, D(G)=D\left(O_{G}\right)$, where $O_{G}$ is a graph with $V\left(O_{G}\right)=V(G)$ such that for $u, v \in V\left(O_{G}\right),\{u, v\}$ is an edge of $O_{G}$ if and only if $u$ and $v$ are in some edge of $G$. Obviously, each edge of $G$ corresponds to a complete subgraph in $O_{G}$. We note that the distance matrix (in a metric space) was originally defined by Cayley [3] in 1841, while the distance matrix of a graph was first studied in [6].

The eigenvalues of distance matrices of graphs, arisen from a data communication problem studied by Graham and Pollack [5] in 1971, have been studied extensively, and in particular, the distance spectral radius received much attention, see the survey [1]. Sivasubramanian [15] studied properties of distance matrix of a 3 -uniform hypertree. Watanabe et. al. [17] studied a $q$-ary extension of the classical binary addressing problem of graphs which was originally posed by Graham and Pollak [5], and found a sharp lower bound for the minimum length of addressings in terms of distance eigenvalues of uniform hypertrees. Lin and Zhou [8] and Lin et al. [10] studied the distance spectral radius of uniform hypergraphs and particularly, uniform hypertrees. Lin and Zhou [9] studied the distance spectral radius of uniform hypergraphs with cycles, and particularly, uniform unicyclic hypergraphs. Wang and Zhou [16] studied the distance spectral radius of a hypergraph that is not necessarily uniform. They proposed some graft transformations that decrease or increase the distance spectral radius of a hypergraph, determined the unique hypertrees with minimum and maximum distance spectral radius, respectively, among hypertrees on $n$ vertices with $m$ edges, where $1 \leq m \leq n-1$, and also determined the unique hypertrees with the first three smallest (largest, respectively) distance spectral radii among hypertrees on $n \geq 6$ vertices. Note that the hypertrees with minimum, second minimum and third minimum distance spectral radii are all hyperstar-like hypertrees.

We point out that the spectral theory of hypergraphs can be studied with matrices and tensors. In 2012, Cooper and Dutle [4] proposed the study of hypergraphs through tensors, and this new approach has been widely accepted by researchers of this area, see, e.g. [7, 13, 14]. However, to obtain eigenvalues of tensors has a high computational cost. In this regard, we see that the study of hypergraphs via matrices still has its place.

A matching of a hypergraph is a subset of edges such that any two edges have no vertex in common. The matching number of a hypergraph $G$, denoted by $\beta(G)$, is the maximum number of edges in a matching of $G$.

For $k \geq 2$ and a graph $G$ on $n$ vertices, the $k$-th power of $G$ is defined as the $k$-uniform hypergraph on $n+(k-2)|E(G)|$ vertices with vertex set $V(G) \cup\left(\cup_{e \in E(G)} V_{e}\right)$ and edge set $\left\{e \cup V_{e}: e \in E(G)\right\}$, where $\left|V_{e}\right|=k-2$ for $e \in E(G)$, see [7]. Obviously, the 2-nd power of $G$ is $G$ itself. A hypergraph is a $k$-th power hypertree if it is the $k$-th power of some tree.

In this paper, we determine the unique hypertree of given diameter with minimum distance spectral radius, the unique hypertree of given matching number with minimum distance spectral radius, the unique non-hyperstar-like hypertree with minimum distance spectral radius, the unique unicylic hypergraphs with respectively minimum and second minimum distance spectral radii, and the unique $k$-th power hypertree of given matching number with maximum distance spectral radius.
2. Preliminaries. Let $G$ be a connected hypergraph. Since $D(G)$ is irreducible, by Perron-Frobenius theorem, $\rho(G)$ is simple and there is a unique unit positive eigenvector corresponding to $\rho(G)$, which is called the distance Perron vector of $G$, denoted by $x(G)$.

Let $V(G)=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ and $x=\left(x_{v_{1}}, \ldots, x_{v_{n}}\right)^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then

$$
x^{T} D(G) x=2 \sum_{\{u, v\} \subseteq V(G)} d_{G}(u, v) x_{u} x_{v}
$$

If $x$ is unit and $x$ has at least one nonnegative component, then by Rayleigh's principle, we have $\rho(G) \geq$ $x^{T} D(G) x$ with equality if and only if $x=x(G)$.

For $x=x(G)$ and each $u \in V(G)$, we have

$$
\rho(G) x_{u}=\sum_{v \in V(G)} d_{G}(u, v) x_{v},
$$

which is called the distance eigenequation of $G$ at $u$.
The following lemma was stated in [8] for a connected uniform hypergraph. However, its proof applies to any connected hypergraph that is not necessarily uniform.

Lemma 2.1. [8] Let $G$ be a connected hypergraph with $\eta$ being an automorphism of $G$ and $x=x(G)$. Then $\eta(u)=v$ implies that $x_{u}=x_{v}$.

Lemma 2.2. [16] For $k, r \geq 2$, let $G$ be a connected hypergraph with two pendant edges, say $e_{1}=$ $\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right\}$ and $e_{2}=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{r}\right\}$ at $w_{k}$ and $v_{r}$, respectively. Let $x=x(G)$. Then $(\rho(G)+k) x_{w_{1}}-(\rho(G)+$ $r) x_{v_{1}}=\rho(G)\left(x_{w_{k}}-x_{v_{r}}\right)$.

For a square nonnegative matrix $M$, let $\rho(M)$ be its spectral radius, i.e., the maximum modulus of its eigenvalues. We restate Corollary 2.2 in [11, p. 38]. If $M$ and $N$ are square nonnegative matrices, $M$ is irreducible, $M-N$ is nonnegative, and $M-N \neq 0$, then $\rho(M)>\rho(N)$. For a connected hypergraph $G$, we have $\rho(G)=\rho(D(G))$ by Perron-Frobenius theorem. So we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. Let $G$ be a connected hypergraph with $u, v \in V(G)$ such that $u$ and $v$ are not adjacent. Let $G^{\prime}$ be a hypergraph with $V\left(G^{\prime}\right)=V(G)$ such that $u$ and $v$ are adjacent, and two vertices in $G^{\prime}$ are adjacent if they are adjacent in $G$. Then $\rho\left(G^{\prime}\right)<\rho(G)$.

Let $G$ be a hypergraph with $u, v \in V(G)$ and $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{r} \in E(G)$ such that $u \notin e_{i}$ and $v \in e_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Let $e_{i}^{\prime}=\left(e_{i} \backslash\{v\}\right) \cup\{u\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Suppose that $e_{i}^{\prime} \notin E(G)$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Let $G^{\prime}$ be the hypergraph with $V\left(G^{\prime}\right)=V(G)$ and $E\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\left(E(G) \backslash\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{r}\right\}\right) \cup\left\{e_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, e_{r}^{\prime}\right\}$. Then we say that $G^{\prime}$ is obtained from $G$ by moving edges $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{r}$ from $v$ to $u$.

Lemma 2.4. [16] Let $G$ be a hypergraph with connected induced subhypergraphs $G_{0}, H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ such that there are two adjacent vertices $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ in $G_{0}$ with $N_{G_{0}}\left(w_{1}\right) \backslash\left\{w_{2}\right\}=N_{G_{0}}\left(w_{2}\right) \backslash\left\{w_{1}\right\}, V\left(H_{i}\right) \cap V\left(G_{0}\right)=$ $\left\{w_{i}\right\}$ for $i=1,2, V\left(H_{1}\right) \cap V\left(H_{2}\right)=\emptyset, V(G)=V\left(G_{0}\right) \cup V\left(H_{1}\right) \cup V\left(H_{2}\right)$, and $E(G)=E\left(G_{0}\right) \cup E\left(H_{1}\right) \cup E\left(H_{2}\right)$. Suppose that $\left|V\left(H_{i}\right)\right| \geq 2$ for $i=1,2$. Let $G^{\prime}$ be the hypergraph obtained from $G$ by moving all edges containing $w_{2}$ except the edges in $E\left(G_{0}\right)$ from $w_{2}$ to $w_{1}$. Then $\rho(G)>\rho\left(G^{\prime}\right)$.

Let $G$ be a hypergraph with $e_{1}, e_{2} \in E(G)$ and $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{s} \in V(G)$ such that $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{s} \notin e_{1}$ and $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{s} \in e_{2}$, where $\left|e_{2}\right|-s \geq 2$. Let $e_{1}^{\prime}=e_{1} \cup\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{s}\right\}$ and $e_{2}^{\prime}=e_{2} \backslash\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{s}\right\}$. Suppose that $e_{1}^{\prime}, e_{2}^{\prime} \notin E(G)$. Let $G^{\prime}$ be the hypergraph with $V\left(G^{\prime}\right)=V(G)$ and $E\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\left(E(G) \backslash\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}\right) \cup\left\{e_{1}^{\prime}, e_{2}^{\prime}\right\}$. Then we say that $G^{\prime}$ is obtained from $G$ by moving vertices $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{s}$ from $e_{2}$ to $e_{1}$.

For a connected hypergraph $G$ with $V_{1} \subseteq V(G)$, let $\sigma_{G}\left(V_{1}\right)$ be the sum of the entries of the distance Perron vector of $G$ corresponding to the vertices in $V_{1}$. Furthermore, if all the vertices of $V_{1}$ induce a connected subhypergraph $H$ of $G$, then we write $\sigma_{G}(H)$ instead of $\sigma_{G}\left(V_{1}\right)$.

For $e \in E(G)$, let $G-e$ be the subhypergraph of $G$ obtained by deleting $e$.
3. Distance spectral radius of hypertrees with given diameter. A hypertree is a loose path if there is a path containing all its vertices. For $2 \leq d \leq n-1$, let $T_{n}^{d}$ be a loose path of the form $\left(v_{0}, e_{1}, v_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, v_{d-1}, e_{d}, v_{d}\right)$, where $\left|e_{\left\lceil\frac{d}{2}\right\rceil}\right|=n-d+1$ and $\left|e_{i}\right|=2$ for $i \neq\left\lceil\frac{d}{2}\right\rceil$. Let $T_{n}^{1}$ be the hypertree on $n$ vertices consisting of a single edge.

Lemma 3.1. Suppose that $d$ is even with $2 \leq d<n-1$. Let $x=x\left(T_{n}^{d}\right)$ and $u \in e_{\frac{d}{2}} \backslash\left\{v_{\frac{d}{2}-1}, v_{\frac{d}{2}}\right\}$. Then
(i) $x_{v_{d-i}}>x_{v_{i}}$ for $i=0, \ldots, \frac{d}{2}-1$;
(ii) $\left(\left\lceil\frac{\left|e_{\frac{d}{2}}\right|}{2}\right\rceil-1\right) x_{u}+\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{d}{2}-1} x_{v_{i}}>\sum_{i=\frac{d}{2}+1}^{d} x_{v_{i}}$.

Proof. Let $T=T_{n}^{d}$. Since $d<n-1$, we have $\left|e_{\frac{d}{2}}\right| \geq 3$. By Lemma 2.1, $x_{w}=x_{u}$ for $w \in e_{\frac{d}{2}} \backslash\left\{v_{\frac{d}{2}-1}, v_{\frac{d}{2}}\right\}$.
Suppose first that $d=2$. From the distance eigenequations of $T$ at $v_{2}$ and $v_{0}$, we have

$$
\rho(T)\left(x_{v_{2}}-x_{v_{0}}\right)=\left(\left|e_{1}\right|-2\right) x_{u}+2 x_{v_{0}}-2 x_{v_{2}},
$$

i.e.,

$$
\left(\left|e_{1}\right|-2\right) x_{u}=(\rho(T)+2)\left(x_{v_{2}}-x_{v_{0}}\right)
$$

Obviously, $\left(\left|e_{1}\right|-2\right) x_{u}>0$. Thus, $x_{v_{2}}>x_{v_{0}}$, proving (i). Furthermore, we have

$$
\left(\left|e_{1}\right|-2\right) x_{u}>2\left(x_{v_{2}}-x_{v_{0}}\right)
$$

and thus, $\left(\left\lceil\frac{\left|e_{1}\right|}{2}\right\rceil-1\right) x_{u}+x_{v_{0}}>x_{v_{2}}$, proving (ii).
Now suppose that $d \geq 4$. By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$
\rho(T)\left(x_{v_{d-1}}-x_{v_{1}}\right)=(\rho(T)+2)\left(x_{v_{d}}-x_{v_{0}}\right) .
$$

For $2 \leq i \leq \frac{d}{2}-1$ with $d \geq 6$, from the distance eigenequations of $T$ at $v_{d-(i-1)}, v_{i-1}, v_{d-i}$, and $v_{i}$, we have

$$
\rho(T)\left(x_{v_{d-(i-1)}}-x_{v_{i-1}}\right)-\rho(T)\left(x_{v_{d-i}}-x_{v_{i}}\right)=2 \sum_{j=0}^{i-1}\left(x_{v_{j}}-x_{v_{d-j}}\right)
$$

i.e.,

$$
\rho(T)\left(x_{v_{d-i}}-x_{v_{i}}\right)=(\rho(T)+2)\left(x_{v_{d-(i-1)}}-x_{v_{i-1}}\right)+2 \sum_{j=0}^{i-2}\left(x_{v_{d-j}}-x_{v_{j}}\right)
$$

Thus, it follows that $x_{v_{d-i}}-x_{v_{i}}$ for $0 \leq i \leq \frac{d}{2}-1$ are all positive, or zero, or negative.
From the distance eigenequations of $T$ at $v_{\frac{d}{2}+1}$ and $v_{\frac{d}{2}-1}$, we have

$$
\rho(T)\left(x_{v_{\frac{d}{2}+1}}-x_{v_{\frac{d}{2}-1}}\right)=\left(\left|e_{\frac{d}{2}}\right|-2\right) x_{u}+2 \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{d}{2}-1} x_{v_{i}}-2 \sum_{i=\frac{d}{2}+1}^{d} x_{v_{i}}
$$

i.e.,

$$
\left(\left|e_{\frac{d}{2}}\right|-2\right) x_{u}=(\rho(T)+2)\left(x_{v_{\frac{d}{2}+1}}-x_{v_{\frac{d}{2}-1}}\right)+2 \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{d}{2}-2}\left(x_{v_{d-i}}-x_{v_{i}}\right)
$$

Obviously, $\left(\left|e_{\frac{d}{2}}\right|-2\right) x_{u}>0$. Thus, $x_{v_{d-i}}>x_{v_{i}}$ for $0 \leq i \leq \frac{d}{2}-1$. This proves (i).
Note that

$$
\frac{1}{2} \rho(T)\left(x_{v_{\frac{d}{2}+1}}-x_{v_{\frac{d}{2}-1}}\right)=\frac{\left|e_{\frac{d}{2}}\right|-2}{2} x_{u}+\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{d}{2}-1} x_{v_{i}}-\sum_{i=\frac{d}{2}+1}^{d} x_{v_{i}}
$$

By (i), we have $x_{v_{\frac{d}{2}+1}}-x_{v_{\frac{d}{2}-1}}>0$. Now (ii) follows immediately.
Theorem 3.2. Let $T$ be a hypertree on $n \geq 6$ vertices with diameter $d$, where $1 \leq d \leq n-1$. Then $\rho(T) \geq \rho\left(T_{n}^{d}\right)$ with equality if and only if $T \cong T_{n}^{d}$.

Proof. It is trivial if $d=1$.
Suppose that $d \geq 2$. Let $T$ be a hypertree on $n$ vertices with diameter $d$ that minimizes the distance spectral radius.

Let $P=\left(v_{0}, e_{1}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{d-1}, e_{d}, v_{d}\right)$ be a path of length $d$ in $T$.
Suppose that there exists a vertex $v_{i}$ with $1 \leq i \leq d-1$ of degree at least three. Let $\delta_{T}\left(v_{i}\right)=t \geq 3$. Then $T$ consists of $t$ subhypertrees $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{t}$ such that $\left|V\left(T_{i}\right)\right| \geq 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$ and $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{t}$ have exactly one vertex $v_{i}$ in common. We may assume that $e_{i} \in E\left(T_{1}\right)$ and $e_{i+1} \in E\left(T_{2}\right)$. Let $T^{\prime}$ be the hypertree obtained from $T$ by deleting edge $e_{i}$ and all edges in $E\left(T_{3}\right)$, and adding an edge $e_{i} \cup V\left(T_{3}\right)$. Obviously, the diameter of $T^{\prime}$ is $d$. By Lemma 2.3, $\rho\left(T^{\prime}\right)<\rho(T)$, a contradiction. Thus, $\delta_{T}\left(v_{i}\right)=2$ for $i=1, \ldots, d-1$.

Suppose that there exists an edge $e_{i}$ with $2 \leq i \leq d-1$ of size at least three, whose deletion yields at least three nontrivial components, i.e., there exists a vertex $w$ in $e_{i} \backslash\left\{v_{i-1}, v_{i}\right\}$ with $\delta_{T}(w) \geq 2$. Let $T_{w}$ be the component in $T-e_{i}$ containing $w$. Let $T^{\prime \prime}$ be the hypertree obtained from $T$ by deleting edge $e_{i}$ and all edges in $E\left(T_{w}\right)$, and adding an edge $e_{i} \cup V\left(T_{w}\right)$. Obviously, $T^{\prime \prime}$ also has diameter $d$. By Lemma 2.3, $\rho\left(T^{\prime \prime}\right)<\rho(T)$, a contradiction. Thus, $T-e_{i}$ has exactly two nontrivial components for $2 \leq i \leq d-1$. It follows that $T=P$. If $d=n-1$, then $T \cong T_{n}^{d}$. Suppose that $d<n-1$. Let $x=x\left(T_{n}^{d}\right)$.

Case 1. $d$ is even.
By Lemma 3.1 (i), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{d}{2}-1} x_{v_{i}}<\sum_{i=\frac{d}{2}}^{d} x_{v_{i}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose there exist some $k$ with $1 \leq k \leq \frac{d}{2}$ and some $\ell$ with $\frac{d}{2}+1 \leq \ell \leq d$ such that $\left|e_{k}\right| \geq 3$ and $\left|e_{\ell}\right| \geq 3$. We may assume that $\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{d}{2}}\left|e_{i}\right| \geq \sum_{i=\frac{d}{2}+1}^{d}\left|e_{i}\right|$. Let $T^{*}$ be the hypertree obtained from $T$ by moving all vertices in $e_{i} \backslash\left\{v_{i-1}, v_{i}\right\}$ for each $i \neq \frac{d}{2}$ from $e_{i}$ to $e_{d}$. Obviously, $T^{*} \cong T_{n}^{d}$. Let $u \in e_{k} \backslash\left\{v_{k-1}, v_{k}\right\}$. By Lemmas 2.1 and 3.1 (ii),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{d}{2}}\left(\left|e_{i}\right|-2\right) x_{u}+\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{d}{2}-1} x_{v_{i}}>\sum_{i=\frac{d}{2}+1}^{d} x_{v_{i}} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

As we pass from $T$ to $T^{*}$, for $i=1, \ldots, \frac{d}{2}-1$ (with $d \geq 4$ ), the distance between a vertex of $e_{i} \backslash\left\{v_{i-1}, v_{i}\right\}$ and a vertex of $\left\{v_{\frac{d}{2}}, \ldots, v_{d}\right\}$ is decreased by $\frac{d}{2}-i$, the distance between a vertex of $e_{i} \backslash\left\{v_{i-1}, v_{i}\right\}$ and a vertex of $\left\{v_{0}, \ldots, v_{\frac{d}{2}-1}\right\}$ is increased by at most $\frac{d}{2}-i$; for $i=\frac{d}{2}+1, \ldots, d$, the distance between a vertex of $e_{i} \backslash\left\{v_{i-1}, v_{i}\right\}$ and a vertex of $\left\{v_{0}, \ldots, v_{\frac{d}{2}-1}\right\}$ is decreased by $i-\frac{d}{2}$, the distance between a vertex of $e_{i} \backslash\left\{v_{i-1}, v_{i}\right\}$ and a vertex of $\cup_{i=1}^{\frac{d}{2}}\left(e_{i} \backslash\left\{v_{i-1}, v_{i}\right\}\right)$ is decreased by at least $i-\frac{d}{2}$, the distance between a vertex of $e_{i} \backslash\left\{v_{i-1}, v_{i}\right\}$ and a vertex of $\left\{v_{\frac{d}{2}+1}, \ldots, v_{d}\right\}$ is increased by at most $i-\frac{d}{2}$, and the distances between all other vertex pairs are decreased or remain unchanged. Let $F=\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1} \sigma_{T^{*}}\left(e_{i} \backslash\left\{v_{i-1}, v_{i}\right\}\right)\left(\frac{d}{2}-i\right)\left(\sum_{i=\frac{d}{2}}^{d} x_{v_{i}}-\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{d}{2}-1} x_{v_{i}}\right)$. Then $F=0$ if $d=2$, and from (3.1), $F>0$ if $d \geq 4$. Thus, from (3.2), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho(T)-\rho\left(T^{*}\right)\right) & \geq \frac{1}{2} x^{\top}\left(D(T)-D\left(T^{*}\right)\right) x \\
& \geq F+\sum_{i=\frac{d}{2}+1}^{d} \sigma_{T^{*}}\left(e_{i} \backslash\left\{v_{i-1}, v_{i}\right\}\right)\left(i-\frac{d}{2}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{d}{2}}\left(\left|e_{i}\right|-2\right) x_{u}+\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{d}{2}-1} x_{v_{i}}-\sum_{i=\frac{d}{2}+1}^{d} x_{v_{i}}\right) \\
& \geq \sum_{i=\frac{d}{2}+1}^{d} \sigma_{T^{*}}\left(e_{i} \backslash\left\{v_{i-1}, v_{i}\right\}\right)\left(i-\frac{d}{2}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{d}{2}}\left(\left|e_{i}\right|-2\right) x_{u}+\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{d}{2}-1} x_{v_{i}}-\sum_{i=\frac{d}{2}+1}^{d} x_{v_{i}}\right) \\
& >0
\end{aligned}
$$

and so $\rho\left(T^{*}\right)<\rho(T)$, a contradiction. Therefore, we have either $\left|e_{i}\right|=2$ for $i=1, \ldots, \frac{d}{2}$ or $\left|e_{i}\right|=2$ for $i=\frac{d}{2}+1, \ldots, d$. If $d=2$, then $T \cong T_{n}^{d}$. Suppose that $d \geq 4$. Suppose that $T \not \approx T_{n}^{d}$. Then we may assume that $\left|e_{i}\right|=2$ for $i=\frac{d}{2}+1, \ldots, d$, but $\left|e_{i}\right| \geq 3$ for some $i=1, \ldots, \frac{d}{2}-1$.

Let $\widehat{T}$ be the hypertree obtained from $T$ by moving all vertices in $e_{i} \backslash\left\{v_{i-1}, v_{i}\right\}$ for each $i=1, \ldots, \frac{d}{2}-1$ from $e_{i}$ to $e_{\frac{d}{2}}$. Obviously, $\widehat{T} \cong T_{n}^{d}$.

As we pass from $T$ to $\widehat{T}$, for $i=1, \ldots, \frac{d}{2}-1$, the distance between a vertex of $e_{i} \backslash\left\{v_{i-1}, v_{i}\right\}$ and a vertex of $\left\{v_{\frac{d}{2}}, \ldots, v_{d}\right\}$ is decreased by $\frac{d}{2}-i$, the distance between a vertex of $e_{i} \backslash\left\{v_{i-1}, v_{i}\right\}$ and a vertex of $\left\{v_{0}, \ldots, v_{\frac{d}{2}-1}\right\}$ is increased by at most $\frac{d}{2}-i$, and the distance between any other vertex pair is decreased or remains unchanged. Then, from (3.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}(\rho(T)-\rho(\widehat{T})) & \geq \frac{1}{2} x^{\top}(D(T)-D(\widehat{T})) x \\
& \geq \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1} \sigma_{\widehat{T}}\left(e_{i} \backslash\left\{v_{i-1}, v_{i}\right\}\right)\left(\frac{d}{2}-i\right)\left(\sum_{i=\frac{d}{2}}^{d} x_{v_{i}}-\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{d}{2}-1} x_{v_{i}}\right) \\
& >0
\end{aligned}
$$

and thus, $\rho(\widehat{T})<\rho(T)$, a contradiction. Therefore, $T \cong T_{n}^{d}$.
Case 2. $d$ is odd.
Let $A=\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{d-1}{2}} x_{v_{i}}$ and $B=\sum_{i=\frac{d+1}{2}}^{d} x_{v_{i}}$. By Lemma 2.1, $x_{v_{i}}=x_{v_{d-i}}$ for $i=0, \ldots, \frac{d-1}{2}$, and thus, $A=B$.

Suppose that there exists some $i$ with $1 \leq i \leq d$ and $i \neq \frac{d+1}{2}$, such that $\left|e_{i}\right| \geq 3$. Let $T^{*}$ be the hypertree obtained from $T$ by moving all vertices in $e_{i} \backslash\left\{v_{i-1}, v_{i}\right\}$ for each $i \neq \frac{d+1}{2}$ from $e_{i}$ to $e_{\frac{d+1}{2}}$. Obviously, $T^{*} \cong T_{n}^{d}$.

As we pass from $T$ to $T^{*}$, for $i=1, \ldots, \frac{d-1}{2}$, the distance between a vertex of $e_{i} \backslash\left\{v_{i-1}, v_{i}\right\}$ and a vertex of $\left\{v_{\frac{d+1}{2}}, \ldots, v_{d}\right\}$ is decreased by $\frac{d+1}{2}-i$, the distance between a vertex of $e_{i} \backslash\left\{v_{i-1}, v_{i}\right\}$ and a vertex of $\left\{v_{0}, \ldots, v_{\frac{d-3}{2}}\right\}$ is increased by at most $\frac{d+1}{2}-i$, for $i=\frac{d+3}{2}, \ldots, d$, the distance between a vertex of $e_{i} \backslash\left\{v_{i-1}, v_{i}\right\}$ and a vertex of $\left\{v_{0}, \ldots, v_{\frac{d-1}{2}}\right\}$ is decreased by $i-\frac{d+1}{2}$, the distance between a vertex of $e_{i} \backslash\left\{v_{i-1}, v_{i}\right\}$ and a vertex of $\left\{v_{\frac{d+3}{2}}, \ldots, v_{d}\right\}$ is increased by at most $i-\frac{d+1}{2}$, and the distances between all other vertex pairs are decreased or remain unchanged. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}\left(\rho(T)-\rho\left(T^{*}\right)\right) \geq \frac{1}{2} x^{\top}\left(D(T)-D\left(T^{*}\right)\right) x \\
& \geq \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \sigma_{T^{*}}\left(e_{i} \backslash\left\{v_{i-1}, v_{i}\right\}\right)\left(\frac{d+1}{2}-i\right)\left(B-A+x_{v_{\frac{d-1}{2}}}\right) \\
&+\sum_{i=\frac{d+3}{2}}^{d} \sigma_{T^{*}}\left(e_{i} \backslash\left\{v_{i-1}, v_{i}\right\}\right)\left(i-\frac{d+1}{2}\right)\left(A-B+x_{v_{\frac{d+1}{2}}}\right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \sigma_{T^{*}}\left(e_{i} \backslash\left\{v_{i-1}, v_{i}\right\}\right)\left(\frac{d+1}{2}-i\right) x_{v_{\frac{d-1}{2}}} \\
&+\sum_{i=\frac{d+3}{2}}^{d} \sigma_{T^{*}}\left(e_{i} \backslash\left\{v_{i-1}, v_{i}\right\}\right)\left(i-\frac{d+1}{2}\right) x_{v_{\frac{d+1}{2}}^{2}} \\
&>0,
\end{aligned}
$$

and thus, $\rho\left(T^{*}\right)<\rho(T)$, a contradiction. Therefore, $\left|e_{i}\right|=2$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$ with $i \neq \frac{d+1}{2}$. It follows that $T \cong T_{n}^{d}$.
4. Distance spectral radius of non-hyperstar-like hypertrees. For $n \geq 6$, let $H_{n}$ be a hypertree on $n$ vertices obtained from $T_{n-3}^{1}$ with edge $e=\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n-3}\right\}$ by attaching a pendant edge $\left\{u_{i}, w_{i}\right\}$ to $w_{i}$ for each $i=1,2,3$. Let $H_{n}^{\prime}$ be the hypertree obtained from $H_{n}$ by deleting edges $e$ and $\left\{u_{2}, w_{2}\right\}$, and adding edges $e \backslash\left\{w_{2}\right\},\left\{u_{2}, w_{1}\right\}$ and $\left\{w_{2}, w_{3}\right\}$.

Lemma 4.1. Let $H_{n}$ and $H_{n}^{\prime}$ be defined above. Then $\rho\left(H_{n}\right)<\rho\left(H_{n}^{\prime}\right)$.
Proof. Let $x=x\left(H_{n}\right)$. By Lemma 2.1, $x_{w_{1}}=x_{w_{2}}=x_{w_{3}}$ and $x_{u_{1}}=x_{u_{2}}=x_{u_{3}}$. For $v \in V\left(H_{n}\right) \backslash$ $\left\{w_{1}, u_{1}\right\}, 2 d_{H_{n}}\left(v, w_{1}\right)-d_{H_{n}}\left(v, u_{1}\right) \geq 0$. From the distance eigenequations of $H_{n}$ at $w_{1}$ and $u_{1}$, we have $\rho\left(H_{n}\right)\left(2 x_{w_{1}}-x_{u_{1}}\right) \geq 2 x_{u_{1}}-x_{w_{1}}$, which implies that $\left(\rho\left(H_{n}\right)+1\right)\left(2 x_{w_{1}}-x_{u_{1}}\right) \geq x_{u_{1}}+x_{w_{1}}>0$. Thus, $2 x_{w_{1}}>x_{u_{1}}$.

As we pass from $H_{n}$ to $H_{n}^{\prime}$, the distance between $u_{2}$ and $w_{2}$ is increased by 2 , the distance between $u_{2}$ and a vertex of $\left\{u_{1}, w_{1}\right\}$ is decreased by 1 , the distance between $w_{2}$ and a vertex of $\left\{u_{1}, w_{1}\right\}$ is increased by

1 , and the distances between all other vertex pairs are increased or remain unchanged. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho\left(H_{n}^{\prime}\right)-\rho\left(H_{n}\right)\right) & \geq \frac{1}{2} x^{\top}\left(D\left(H_{n}^{\prime}\right)-D\left(H_{n}\right)\right) x \\
& \geq 2 x_{u_{2}} x_{w_{2}}-x_{u_{2}} x_{u_{1}}-x_{u_{2}} x_{w_{1}}+x_{w_{2}} x_{u_{1}}+x_{w_{2}} x_{w_{1}} \\
& =x_{u_{2}}\left(2 x_{w_{1}}-x_{u_{1}}\right)+x_{w_{1}}^{2} \\
& >0
\end{aligned}
$$

and thus, $\rho\left(H_{n}\right)<\rho\left(H_{n}^{\prime}\right)$.
THEOREM 4.2. Let $T$ be a non-hyperstar-like hypertree on $n \geq 6$ vertices. Then $\rho(T) \geq \rho\left(H_{n}\right)$ with equality if and only if $T \cong H_{n}$.

Proof. Let $T$ be a non-hyperstar-like hypertree on $n$ vertices that minimizes the distance spectral radius.
Let $d$ be the diameter of $T$. Obviously, $d \geq 3$. Let $P=\left(v_{0}, e_{1}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{d-1}, e_{d}, v_{d}\right)$ be a path of length $d$ in $T$.

Suppose that $d \geq 4$. Let $T^{\prime}$ be the hypertree obtained from $T$ by moving all edges containing $v_{2}$ except $e_{2}$ from $v_{2}$ to $v_{1}$. Let $T^{\prime \prime}$ be the hypertree obtained from $T$ by moving all edges containing $v_{d-2}$ except $e_{d-1}$ from $v_{d-2}$ to $v_{d-1}$. Since $T$ is non-hyperstar-like, one of $T^{\prime}$ and $T^{\prime \prime}$, say $T^{\prime}$, is non-hyperstar-like. By Lemma 2.4, $\rho\left(T^{\prime}\right)<\rho(T)$, a contradiction. Thus, $d=3$. Therefore, $T$ is a hypertree obtainable from $T_{k}^{1}$ with edge $e=\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right\}$ by attaching $t_{i}$ pendant edges to $w_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$, where $t_{1} \geq t_{2} \geq \cdots \geq t_{k} \geq 0$ and $t_{2} \geq 1$.

Suppose that $t_{2} \geq 2$.
Suppose that $t_{3} \geq 1$. Let $T^{\prime \prime \prime}$ be the hypertree obtained from $T$ by moving all edges containing $w_{3}$ except $e$ from $w_{3}$ to $w_{1}$. Obviously, $T^{\prime \prime \prime}$ is non-hyperstar-like. By Lemma 2.4, $\rho\left(T^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)<\rho(T)$, a contradiction. Thus, $t_{3}=\cdots=t_{k}=0$.

Suppose that $t_{1} \geq 3$. Let $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{t_{1}}$ be $t_{1}$ pendant edges at $w_{1}$. Let $T^{*}$ be the hypertree obtained from $T$ by deleting edges $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{t_{1}-1}$ and adding a pendant edge $\cup_{i=1}^{t_{1}-1} e_{i}$ at $w_{1}$. Obviously, $T^{*}$ is non-hyperstarlike. By Lemma 2.3, we have $\rho\left(T^{*}\right)<\rho(T)$, a contradiction. Thus, $t_{1}=2$. It follows that $t_{1}=t_{2}=2$ and $t_{3}=\cdots=t_{k}=0$.

If $n=6$, then $T \cong H_{n}^{\prime}$.
Suppose that $n \geq 7$. Let $e_{1}^{1}$ and $e_{1}^{2}$ be two pendant edges at $w_{1}$. Let $e_{2}^{1}$ and $e_{2}^{2}$ be two pendant edges at $w_{2}$. For $i=1,2$, choose $u_{1}^{i} \in e_{1}^{i} \backslash\left\{w_{1}\right\}$ and $u_{2}^{i} \in e_{2}^{i} \backslash\left\{w_{2}\right\}$.

Suppose that $\left|e_{j}^{i}\right| \geq 3$ for some $j, i \in\{1,2\}$, say $\left|e_{1}^{1}\right| \geq 3$. Let $z_{1} \in e_{1}^{1} \backslash\left\{w_{1}, u_{1}^{1}\right\}$. Let $\widehat{T}$ be the hypertree obtained from $T$ by moving all vertices in $e_{j}^{i} \backslash\left\{w_{j}, u_{j}^{i}\right\}$ from $e_{j}^{i}$ to $e$ for each $j=1,2$ and $i=1,2$. Obviously, $\widehat{T} \cong H_{n}^{\prime}$.

Let $x=x(\widehat{T})$. By Lemma 2.1, $x_{w_{1}}=x_{w_{2}}, x_{u_{1}^{1}}=x_{u_{1}^{2}}=x_{u_{2}^{1}}=x_{u_{2}^{2}}$, and $x_{v}=x_{z_{1}}$ for $v \in V(\widehat{T}) \backslash$ $\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, u_{1}^{1}, u_{1}^{2}, u_{2}^{1}, u_{2}^{2}\right\}$.

As we pass from $T$ to $\widehat{T}$, for $i, j=1,2$, the distance between a vertex of $e_{j}^{i} \backslash\left\{w_{j}, u_{j}^{i}\right\}$ and $u_{j}^{i}$ is increased by 1 , the distance between a vertex of $e_{j}^{i} \backslash\left\{w_{j}, u_{j}^{i}\right\}$ and $e \backslash\left\{w_{j}\right\} \cup\left\{u_{\ell}^{1}, u_{\ell}^{2}\right\}$ with $\ell=\{1,2\} \backslash\{j\}$ is decreased
by 1 , and the distances between all other vertex pairs are decreased or remain unchanged. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}(\rho(T)-\rho(\widehat{T})) & \geq \frac{1}{2} x^{\top}(D(T)-D(\widehat{T})) x \\
& \geq \sum_{j=1}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sigma_{\widehat{T}}\left(e_{j}^{i} \backslash\left\{w_{j}, u_{j}^{i}\right\}\right)\left(\sum_{s=1}^{k} x_{w_{s}}-x_{w_{j}}+x_{u_{\ell}^{1}}+x_{u_{\ell}^{2}}-x_{u_{j}^{i}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2}\left(\left|e_{j}^{i}\right|-2\right) x_{z_{1}}\left(\sum_{s=1}^{k} x_{w_{s}}-x_{w_{j}}+x_{u_{\ell}^{1}}+x_{u_{\ell}^{2}}-x_{u_{j}^{i}}\right) \\
& >\sum_{j=1}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2}\left(\left|e_{j}^{i}\right|-2\right) x_{z_{1}}\left(\sum_{s=1}^{k} x_{w_{s}}-x_{w_{j}}\right) \\
& >0
\end{aligned}
$$

and thus, $\rho(\widehat{T})<\rho(T)$, a contradiction. Thus, $T \cong H_{n}^{\prime}$.
By Lemma 4.1, $\rho\left(H_{n}\right)<\rho(T)$, a contradiction. It follows that $t_{2}=1$. Since $T$ is non-hyperstar-like, we have $t_{3}=1$.

Suppose that $k \geq 4$ and $t_{4}=1$. Let $\widetilde{T}$ be the hypertree obtained from $T$ by moving all edges containing $w_{4}$ except $e$ from $w_{4}$ to $w_{1}$. Obviously, $\widetilde{T}$ is non-hyperstar-like. By Lemma 2.4, $\rho(\widetilde{T})<\rho(T)$, a contradiction. Thus, $t_{4}=\cdots=t_{k}=0$.

Suppose that $t_{1} \geq 2$. Let $e_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, e_{t_{1}}^{\prime}$ be $t_{1}$ pendant edges at $w_{1}$. Let $T_{1}$ be the hypertree obtained from $T$ by deleting edges $e_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, e_{t_{1}}^{\prime}$ and adding a pendant edge $\cup_{i=1}^{t_{1}} e_{i}^{\prime}$ at $w_{1}$. Obviously, $T_{1}$ is non-hyperstar-like. By Lemma 2.3, we have $\rho\left(T_{1}\right)<\rho(T)$, a contradiction. Thus, $t_{1}=1$. It follows that $t_{1}=t_{2}=t_{3}=1$ and $t_{4}=\cdots=t_{k}=0$ for $k \geq 4$. For $i=1,2,3$, let $e_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ be the pendant edge at $w_{i}$ in $T$, and choose $u_{i} \in e_{i}^{\prime \prime} \backslash\left\{w_{i}\right\}$. We may assume that $\left|e_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right| \geq\left|e_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right| \geq\left|e_{3}^{\prime \prime}\right| \geq 2$.

Suppose that $\left|e_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right| \geq 3$. Let $z_{2} \in e_{1}^{\prime \prime} \backslash\left\{w_{1}, u_{1}\right\}$. Let $T_{2}$ be the hypertree obtained from $T$ by moving all vertices in $e_{i}^{\prime \prime} \backslash\left\{w_{i}, u_{i}\right\}$ from $e_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ to $e$ for each $i=1,2,3$. Obviously, $T_{2} \cong H_{n}$. Let $x=x\left(T_{2}\right)$. By Lemma 2.1, $x_{w_{1}}=x_{w_{2}}=x_{w_{3}}, x_{u_{1}}=x_{u_{2}}=x_{u_{3}}$, and $x_{v}=x_{z_{2}}$ for $v \in V\left(T_{2}\right) \backslash\left(\cup_{i=1}^{3}\left\{w_{i}, u_{i}\right\}\right)$.

As we pass from $T$ to $T_{2}$, for $i=1,2,3$, the distance between a vertex of $e_{i}^{\prime \prime} \backslash\left\{w_{i}, u_{i}\right\}$ and $u_{i}$ is increased by 1 , the distance between a vertex of $e_{i}^{\prime \prime} \backslash\left\{w_{i}, u_{i}\right\}$ and $e \backslash\left\{w_{i}\right\} \cup\left\{u_{s}, u_{t}\right\}$ with $\{s, t\}=\{1,2,3\} \backslash\{i\}$ is decreased by 1 , and the distances between all other vertex pairs are decreased or remain unchanged. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho(T)-\rho\left(T_{2}\right)\right) & \geq \frac{1}{2} x^{\top}\left(D(T)-D\left(T_{2}\right)\right) x \\
& \geq \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sigma_{T_{2}}\left(e_{i}^{\prime \prime} \backslash\left\{w_{i}, u_{i}\right\}\right)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} x_{w_{j}}-x_{w_{i}}+x_{u_{s}}+x_{u_{t}}-x_{u_{i}}\right) \\
& >\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(\left|e_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right|-2\right) x_{z_{2}}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} x_{w_{j}}-x_{w_{i}}\right) \\
& >0
\end{aligned}
$$

and thus, $\rho\left(T_{2}\right)<\rho(T)$, a contradiction. It follows that $\left|e_{1}\right|=\left|e_{2}\right|=\left|e_{3}\right|=2$. Thus, $T \cong H_{n}$.
5. Distance spectral radius of unicylic hypergraphs. In this section, we determine the unique unicylic hypergraphs with minimum and second minimum distance spectral radius, respectively.

A unicyclic hypergraph is a loose cycle if there is a cycle containing all its vertices. For $n \geq 3$, let $U_{n}^{1}$ be the loose cycle of length two on $n$ vertices such that the sizes of the edges are 2 and $n$.

Let $K_{3}$ be a triangle on 3 vertices. If $G$ is a unicylic hypergraph on 3 vertices, then $G \cong U_{3}^{1}$ or $K_{3}$, and obviously, $\rho\left(U_{3}^{1}\right)=\rho\left(K_{3}\right)$.

THEOREM 5.1. Let $G$ be a unicylic hypergraph on $n \geq 4$ vertices. Then $\rho(G) \geq n-1$ with equality if and only if $G \cong U_{n}^{1}$.

Proof. Let $g$ be the length of the unique cycle $C$ of $G$. Let $d$ be the diameter of $G$. If $g \geq 3$, since $n \geq 4$, then $d \geq 2$. If $g=2$ and $G \not \equiv U_{n}^{1}$, then there is a vertex outside $C$ or the sizes of both edges of $C$ are at least 3 , implying that $d \geq 2$. Therefore, we have either $G \cong U_{n}^{1}$ or $d \geq 2$. By Corollary 2.2 in [11, p. 38], $U_{n}^{1}$ is the unique unicylic hypergraph on $n \geq 4$ vertices with minimum distance spectral radius, which is $n-1 . \square$

LEmmA 5.2. Let $G$ be a hypergraph consisting of three connected subhypergraphs $G_{0}, G_{1}, G_{2}$ such that $G_{0}$ is a cycle of length two, where $E\left(G_{0}\right)=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$ with $e_{1} \cap e_{2}=\{u, v\}, V\left(G_{1}\right) \cap V\left(G_{2}\right)=\emptyset, V\left(G_{1}\right) \cap V\left(G_{0}\right)=$ $\{u\}, V\left(G_{2}\right) \cap V\left(G_{0}\right)=\{v\}$, and $E(G)=E\left(G_{0}\right) \cup E\left(G_{1}\right) \cup E\left(G_{2}\right)$. Let $\left|e_{i}\right|=n_{i}$ for $i=1$, 2 . If $n_{1}-2 \geq n_{2} \geq 2$, let $w_{1} \in e_{1} \backslash\{u, v\}$ and $G^{\prime}$ be the hypergraph obtained from $G$ by moving vertex $w_{1}$ from $e_{1}$ to $e_{2}$. Then $\rho(G)<\rho\left(G^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. Let $x=x(G)$. Let $w_{2} \in e_{2} \backslash\{u, v\}$ if $n_{2} \geq 3$. By Lemma 2.1, $x_{z}=x_{w_{1}}$ if $z \in e_{1} \backslash\{u, v\}$, and $x_{z}=x_{w_{2}}$ if $z \in e_{2} \backslash\{u, v\}$.

Let $V_{1}=V(G) \backslash\left(\left(e_{1} \cup e_{2}\right) \backslash\{u, v\}\right)$. Note that for $z \in V_{1}, d_{G}\left(w_{1}, z\right)=d_{G}\left(w_{2}, z\right)$. From the distance eigenequations of $G$ at $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho(G) x_{w_{1}}=\left(n_{1}-3\right) x_{w_{1}}+2\left(n_{2}-2\right) x_{w_{2}}+\sum_{z \in V_{1}} d_{G}\left(w_{1}, z\right) x_{z} \\
& \rho(G) x_{w_{2}}=2\left(n_{1}-2\right) x_{w_{1}}+\left(n_{2}-3\right) x_{w_{2}}+\sum_{z \in V_{1}} d_{G}\left(w_{2}, z\right) x_{z}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\rho(G)\left(x_{w_{1}}-x_{w_{2}}\right)=\left(n_{2}-1\right) x_{w_{2}}-\left(n_{1}-1\right) x_{w_{1}}
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\rho(G)+n_{1}-1\right) x_{w_{1}}=\left(\rho(G)+n_{2}-1\right) x_{w_{2}} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By interlacing theorem, we have $\rho(G) \geq \rho\left(T_{n_{2}}^{1}\right)=n_{2}-1$. So, from (5.3), we have

$$
\frac{x_{w_{2}}}{x_{w_{1}}}=1+\frac{n_{1}-n_{2}}{\rho(G)+n_{2}-1} \geq 1+\frac{2}{\rho(G)+n_{2}-1} \geq 1+\frac{1}{\rho(G)}>\frac{\rho(G)+2}{\rho(G)+1}
$$

and thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\rho(G)+1) x_{w_{2}}-(\rho(G)+2) x_{w_{1}}>0 \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

As we pass from $G$ to $G^{\prime}$, the distance between $w_{1}$ and a vertex of $e_{1} \backslash\left\{u, v, w_{1}\right\}$ is increased by 1 , the distance between $w_{1}$ and a vertex of $e_{2} \backslash\{u, v\}$ is decreased by 1 , and the distance between any other vertex pair remains unchanged. Thus,

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho\left(G^{\prime}\right)-\rho(G)\right) \geq \frac{1}{2} x^{\top}\left(D\left(G^{\prime}\right)-D(G)\right) x=x_{w_{1}}\left(\left(n_{1}-3\right) x_{w_{1}}-\left(n_{2}-2\right) x_{w_{2}}\right)
$$

This, together with (5.3) and (5.4), implies that

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho\left(G^{\prime}\right)-\rho(G)\right) \geq x_{w_{1}}\left((\rho(G)+1) x_{w_{2}}-(\rho(G)+2) x_{w_{1}}\right)>0
$$

and thus, $\rho(G)<\rho\left(G^{\prime}\right)$.
For $n \geq 4$, let $U_{n}^{2}$ be the loose cycle of length two on $n$ vertices such that the sizes of the edges are 3 and $n-1$, and let $U_{n}^{3}$ be the loose cycle of length three on $n$ vertices such that the size of the edges are 2,2 and $n-1$.

THEOREM 5.3. Let $G$ be a unicylic hypergraph on $n \geq 4$ vertices, where $G \nsubseteq U_{n}^{1}$. Then $\rho(G) \geq \rho^{*}$ with equality if and only if $G \cong U_{n}^{2}$ or $U_{n}^{3}$, where $\rho^{*}$ is the the largest root of the equation $\rho^{3}+(3-n) \rho^{2}+(12-$ $5 n) \rho+4-2 n=0$.

Proof. Let $G$ be a unicylic hypergraph on $n$ vertices with $G \nsupseteq U_{n}^{1}$ that minimizes the distance spectral radius.

Let $C$ be the unique cycle in $G$ with $E(C)=\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{g}\right\}$ such that $v_{i-1}, v_{i} \in e_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, g$ with $v_{g}=v_{0}$, where $g \geq 2$. For $i=0, \ldots, g-1$, let $T_{i}$ be the component in $G-E(C)$ containing $v_{i}$. If $g=2$, then we assume that $\left|e_{1}\right| \geq\left|e_{2}\right|$.

Suppose that there exists a vertex $v_{i}$ with $0 \leq i \leq g-1$ of degree at least three, i.e., $\left|V\left(T_{i}\right)\right| \geq 2$. Let $e_{0}=e_{g}$ if $i=0$. Let $G^{\prime}$ be the unicyclic hypergraph obtained from $G$ by deleting edge $e_{i}$ and all edges in $E\left(T_{i}\right)$, and adding an edge $e_{i} \cup V\left(T_{i}\right)$ if $g \geq 3$, and let $G^{\prime}$ be the unicyclic hypergraph obtained from $G$ by deleting edge $e_{0}$ and all edges in $E\left(T_{i}\right)$, and adding an edge $e_{0} \cup V\left(T_{i}\right)$ if $g=2$. Obviously, $G^{\prime} \nsupseteq U_{n}^{1}$. By Lemma 2.3, $\rho\left(G^{\prime}\right)<\rho(G)$, a contradiction. Thus, $\delta_{T}\left(v_{i}\right)=2$ for $i=0, \ldots, g-1$.

Suppose that there exists an edge with $1 \leq i \leq g$ of size at least three, whose deletion gives at least two nontrivial components, i.e., there exists a vertex $w$ in $e_{i} \backslash\left\{v_{i-1}, v_{i}\right\}$ with $\delta_{G}(w) \geq 2$. Let $T_{w}$ be the component in $G-e_{i}$ containing $w$. Suppose first that $g \geq 3$ or $g=2$ and $\left|e_{2}\right| \geq 3$. Let $G^{\prime \prime}$ be the unicyclic hypergraph obtained from $G$ by deleting edge $e_{i}$ and all edges in $E\left(T_{w}\right)$, and adding an edge $e_{i} \cup V\left(T_{w}\right)$. Obviously, $G^{\prime \prime} \not \equiv U_{n}^{1}$. By Lemma 2.3, $\rho\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)<\rho(G)$, a contradiction. So assume that $g=2$ and $\left|e_{2}\right|=2$. Then $i=1$. Let $G^{\prime \prime}$ be the unicyclic hypergraph obtained from $G$ by moving the edges containing $w$ except $e_{1}$ from $w$ to $v_{0}$. Then $\delta_{G^{\prime \prime}}\left(v_{0}\right)>2$, but as $D\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is permutationally similar to $D(G)$, we have $\rho\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)=\rho(G)$, a contradiction. Therefore, $G-e_{i}$ has exactly one nontrivial component for $1 \leq i \leq g$. That is, $G=C$.

Suppose that $g \geq 4$. Let $G^{*}$ be the unicyclic hypergraph obtained from $G$ by deleting edges $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$, and adding an edge $e_{1} \cup e_{2}$. Obviously, $G^{*} \nsubseteq U_{n}^{1}$. By Lemma 2.3, $\rho\left(G^{*}\right)<\rho(G)$, a contradiction. Thus, $g=2$ or $g=3$.

Case 1. $g=2$.
Since $G \nsubseteq U_{n}^{1}$, then $\left|e_{2}\right| \geq 3$. If $n=4,5$, then $G \cong U_{n}^{2}$. Suppose that $n \geq 6$ and $\left|e_{2}\right| \geq 4$. Let $w \in e_{2} \backslash\left\{v_{1}, v_{0}\right\}$. Let $H$ be the unicyclic hypergraph obtained from $G$ by moving vertex $w$ from $e_{2}$ to $e_{1}$. By Lemma 5.2, $\rho(H)<\rho(G)$, a contradiction. Thus, $\left|e_{2}\right|=3$. Therefore, $G \cong U_{n}^{2}$.

Case 2. $g=3$.
We may assume that $\left|e_{3}\right| \geq\left|e_{2}\right| \geq\left|e_{1}\right| \geq 2$. Suppose that $\left|e_{2}\right| \geq 3$. Let $H$ be the unicyclic hypergraph obtained from $G$ by moving all vertices in $e_{i} \backslash\left\{v_{i-1}, v_{i}\right\}$ from $e_{i}$ to $e_{3}$ for $i=1,2$. Obviously, $H \cong U_{n}^{3}$. Let $e_{1}^{\prime}=\left\{v_{0}, v_{1}\right\}, e_{2}^{\prime}=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$ and $e_{3}^{\prime}=V(G) \backslash\left\{v_{1}\right\}$ be the edges of $H$.

Let $x=x(H)$. By Lemma 2.1, $x_{v_{0}}=x_{v_{2}}$, and $x_{w}$ is a constant for any $w \in e_{3}^{\prime} \backslash\left\{v_{2}, v_{0}\right\}$. From the distance eigenequations of $H$ at $w \in e_{3}^{\prime} \backslash\left\{v_{0}, v_{2}\right\}, v_{0}$ and $v_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho(H) x_{w} & =2 x_{v_{0}}+2 x_{v_{1}}+(n-4) x_{w} \\
\rho(H) x_{v_{0}} & =x_{v_{0}}+x_{v_{1}}+(n-3) x_{w} \\
\rho(H) x_{v_{1}} & =2 x_{v_{0}}+2(n-3) x_{w}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\rho(H)\left(x_{w}+x_{v_{0}}-x_{v_{1}}\right)=-x_{w}+3 x_{v_{1}}+x_{v_{0}}>-x_{w}+3 x_{v_{1}},
$$

which implies $(\rho(H)+1)\left(x_{w}+x_{v_{0}}-x_{v_{1}}\right)>x_{v_{0}}+2 x_{v_{1}}>0$. Thus, for any $w \in e_{3} \backslash\left\{v_{2}, v_{0}\right\}$, we have $x_{w}+x_{v_{0}}-x_{v_{1}}>0$.

As we pass from $G$ to $H$, the distance between a vertex of $e_{1} \backslash\left\{v_{0}, v_{1}\right\}$ and $v_{1}$ is increased by 1 , the distance between a vertex of $e_{1} \backslash\left\{v_{0}, v_{1}\right\}$ and $e_{3} \backslash\left\{v_{0}\right\}$ is decreased by 1 , the distance between a vertex of $e_{2} \backslash\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$ and $v_{1}$ is increased by 1 , the distance between a vertex of $e_{2} \backslash\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$ and $e_{3} \backslash\left\{v_{2}\right\}$ is decreased by 1 , and the distances between all other vertex pairs are decreased or remain unchanged. Then, for any $w \in e_{3} \backslash\left\{v_{2}, v_{0}\right\}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}(\rho(G)-\rho(H)) \geq & \frac{1}{2} x^{\top}(D(G)-D(H)) x \\
\geq & \sigma_{H}\left(e_{1} \backslash\left\{v_{0}, v_{1}\right\}\right)\left(\sigma_{H}\left(e_{3} \backslash\left\{v_{0}\right\}\right)-x_{v_{1}}\right) \\
& +\sigma_{H}\left(e_{2} \backslash\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}\right)\left(\sigma_{H}\left(e_{3} \backslash\left\{v_{2}\right\}\right)-x_{v_{1}}\right) \\
\geq & \sigma_{H}\left(e_{1} \backslash\left\{v_{0}, v_{1}\right\}\right)\left(x_{w}+x_{v_{2}}-x_{v_{1}}\right) \\
& +\sigma_{H}\left(e_{2} \backslash\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}\right)\left(x_{w}+x_{v_{0}}-x_{v_{1}}\right) \\
= & \sigma_{H}\left(e_{3}^{\prime} \backslash e_{3}\right)\left(x_{w}+x_{v_{0}}-x_{v_{1}}\right) \\
> & 0
\end{aligned}
$$

and thus, $\rho(G)>\rho(H)$, a contradiction. It follows that $\left|e_{2}\right|=2$. Therefore, $G \cong U_{n}^{3}$.
By combining Cases 1 and 2, we conclude that $G \cong U_{n}^{2}$ or $U_{n}^{3}$. With proper labelling of the vertices, $U_{n}^{2}$ and $U_{n}^{3}$ have the same distance matrix, and thus, $\rho\left(U_{n}^{2}\right)=\rho\left(U_{n}^{3}\right)$. From the distance eigenequations of $U_{n}^{3}$ used above in Case 2, it is easily seen that $\rho\left(U_{n}^{3}\right)$ is the largest root of the equation $\rho^{3}+(3-n) \rho^{2}+(12-$ $5 n) \rho+4-2 n=0$.
6. Distance spectral radius of hypertrees with given matching number. For $2 \leq \beta \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$, let $F_{n, \beta}$ be the hypertree obtained from a hypertree on $n-\beta$ vertices consisting of a single edge $e$ by attaching a pendant edge of size two to each of $\beta$ chosen vertices of $e$, respectively. Obviously, $\beta\left(F_{n, \beta}\right)=\beta$.

THEOREM 6.1. Let $T$ be a hypertree on $n$ vertices with matching number $\beta$, where $2 \leq \beta \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$. Then $\rho(T) \geq \rho\left(F_{n, \beta}\right)$ with equality if and only if $T \cong F_{n, \beta}$.

Proof. Let $T$ be a hypertree on $n$ vertices with matching number $\beta$ that minimizes the distance spectral radius.

Let $M=\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{\beta}\right\}$ be a maximum matching in $T$. Suppose that there is an edge in $M$, say $e_{1}$, which has two vertices of degree at least two, say $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$. Let $T^{\prime}$ be the hypertree obtained from $T$ by moving all edges containing $w_{2}$ except $e_{1}$ from $w_{2}$ to $w_{1}$. Obviously, $M$ is also a maximum matching of $T^{\prime}$. By Theorem 2.4, $\rho(T)>\rho\left(T^{\prime}\right)$, a contradiction. Thus, $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{\beta}$ are all pendant edges.

For $i=1, \ldots, \beta$, let $v_{i}$ be the unique vertex in $e_{i}$ of degree at least two. Let $V_{1}=V(T) \backslash \cup_{i=1}^{\beta} e_{i} \backslash\left\{v_{i}\right\}$. Obviously, $\left|V_{1}\right| \geq \beta \geq 2$. Suppose that there are two vertices in $V_{1}$, say $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$, which are not adjacent. Let $T^{\prime \prime}$ be the hypertree obtained from $T$ by deleting all edges in $T$ except the edges in $M$, and adding an edge $V_{1}$. Obviously, $\beta\left(T^{\prime \prime}\right)=\beta$. By Lemma 2.3, $\rho(T)>\rho\left(T^{\prime \prime}\right)$, a contradiction. Thus, any two vertices in $V_{1}$ are adjacent, which implies that $V_{1}$ is an edge.

We may assume that $\left|e_{1}\right| \geq \cdots \geq\left|e_{\beta}\right| \geq 2$. Suppose that $\left|e_{1}\right| \geq 3$. For $i=1, \ldots, \beta$, choose a vertex $v_{i}^{\prime}$ of degree one in $e_{i}$. Let $w \in e_{1} \backslash\left\{v_{1}, v_{1}^{\prime}\right\}$. Let $T^{*}$ be the hypertree obtained from $T$ by moving all the vertices in $e_{i} \backslash\left\{v_{i}, v_{i}^{\prime}\right\}$ from $e_{i}$ to $e$ for each $i=1, \ldots, \beta$. Obviously, $T^{*} \cong F_{n, \beta}$. Let $x=x\left(T^{*}\right)$. By Lemma 2.1, $x_{v_{1}}=\cdots=x_{v_{\beta}}, x_{v_{1}^{\prime}}=\cdots=x_{v_{\beta}^{\prime}}$ and $x_{v}=x_{w}$ if $v \in V(T) \backslash \cup_{i=1}^{\beta}\left\{v_{i}, v_{i}^{\prime}\right\}$.

As we pass from $T$ to $T^{*}$, for $i=1, \ldots, \beta$, the distance between a vertex of $e_{i} \backslash\left\{v_{i}, v_{i}^{\prime}\right\}$ and $v_{i}^{\prime}$ is increased by 1 , the distance between a vertex of $e_{i} \backslash\left\{v_{i}, v_{i}^{\prime}\right\}$ and $\left\{v_{j}, v_{j}^{\prime}\right\}$ with $j \in\{1, \ldots, \beta\} \backslash\{i\}$ is decreased by 1 , and the distances between all other vertex pairs are decreased or remain unchanged. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho(T)-\rho\left(T^{*}\right)\right) & \geq \frac{1}{2} x^{\top}\left(D(T)-D\left(T^{*}\right)\right) x \\
& \geq \sum_{i=1}^{\beta} \sigma_{T^{*}}\left(e_{i} \backslash\left\{v_{i}, v_{i}^{\prime}\right\}\right)\left(\sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq \beta \\
j \neq i}}\left(x_{v_{j}^{\prime}}+x_{v_{j}}\right)-x_{v_{i}^{\prime}}\right) \\
& >\sum_{i=1}^{\beta} \sigma_{T^{*}}\left(e_{i} \backslash\left\{v_{i}, v_{i}^{\prime}\right\}\right) \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq \beta \\
j \neq i}} x_{v_{j}} \\
& >0
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore, $\rho(T)>\rho\left(T^{*}\right)$, a contradiction. It follows that $\left|e_{1}\right|=\cdots=\left|e_{\beta}\right|=2$, i.e., $T \cong F_{n, \beta}$.
7. Distance spectral radius of power hypertrees with given matching number. Nath and Paul [12] determined the unique tree with maximum distance spectral radius among trees on $n$ vertices with matching number $\beta$, where $1 \leq \beta \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$. In this section, we determine the unique hypertree with maximum distance spectral radius among $k$-th power hypertrees with $m$ edges and matching number $\beta$, where $1 \leq \beta \leq\left\lfloor\frac{m+1}{2}\right\rfloor$.

Lemma 7.1. [16] For $t \geq 3$, let $G$ be a hypergraph consisting of $t$ connected subhypergraphs $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{t}$ such that $\left|V\left(G_{i}\right)\right| \geq 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$ and $V\left(G_{i}\right) \cap V\left(G_{j}\right)=\{u\}$ for $1 \leq i<j \leq t$. Suppose that $\emptyset \neq I \subseteq$ $\{3, \ldots, t\}$. Let $v \in V\left(G_{2}\right) \backslash\{u\}$ and $G^{\prime}$ be the hypergraph obtained from $G$ by moving all the edges containing $u$ in $G_{i}$ for all $i \in I$ from $u$ to $v$. If $\sigma_{G}\left(G_{1}\right) \geq \sigma_{G}\left(G_{2}\right)$, then $\rho(G)<\rho\left(G^{\prime}\right)$.

For positive integers $p, q$ and $d$, let $T_{2 d}(p, q)$ be the $k$-uniform hypertree obtained from a $k$-uniform loose path

$$
\left(u_{d}, e_{d}, u_{d-1}, \ldots, u_{2}, e_{2}, u_{1}, e_{1}, u_{0}\left(v_{0}\right), e_{1}^{\prime}, v_{1}, e_{2}^{\prime}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{d-1}, e_{d}^{\prime}, v_{d}\right)
$$

by attaching $p-1$ pendant edges to $u_{d-1}$ and $q-1$ pendant edges to $v_{d-1}$. In particular, $T_{2}(p, q)$ is a
$k$-uniform hyperstar with $p+q$ edges. Let $T_{2 d}(0,1)$ be a $k$-uniform loose path on $2 d-1$ edges.
Lemma 7.2. For $d \geq 2$ and $2 \leq p \leq q, \rho\left(T_{2 d}(p, q)\right)>\rho\left(T_{2 d}(p-1, q+1)\right)$.
Proof. Let $H=T_{2 d}(p, q)$. Let $H^{\prime}$ be the hypergraph obtained from $H$ by moving the pendant edge $e_{d}$ from $u_{d-1}$ to $v_{d-1}$. Obviously, $H^{\prime} \cong T_{2 d}(p-1, q+1)$.

Let $x=x\left(H^{\prime}\right)$. By Lemma 2.1, the entry of $x$ corresponding to each vertex of degree one in the $p-1$ pendant edges containing $u_{d-1}$ is the same, which we denote by $\mu$, the entry of $x$ corresponding to each vertex of degree one in the $q+1$ pendant edges containing $v_{d-1}$ is the same, all equal to $x_{u_{d}}$, the entry of $x$ corresponding to each vertex of $e_{i} \backslash\left\{u_{i-1}, u_{i}\right\}$ for $i=1, \ldots, d-1$ is the same, which we denote by $a_{i}$, the entry of $x$ corresponding to each vertex of $e_{i}^{\prime} \backslash\left\{v_{i-1}, v_{i}\right\}$ is the same, which we denote by $b_{i}$.

For $i=1, \ldots, d-1$, from the distance eigenequations of $H^{\prime}$ at $u_{i}$ and $v_{i}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho\left(H^{\prime}\right) x_{u_{i}}= & \sum_{j=0}^{d-1}(i+j) x_{v_{j}}+\sum_{j=1}^{d-1}(k-2)(i+j) b_{j}+(k-1)(q+1)(d+i) x_{u_{d}} \\
& +\sum_{j=0}^{d-1}|i-j| x_{u_{j}}+\sum_{j=1}^{i}(k-2)(i-j+1) a_{j} \\
& +\sum_{j=i+1}^{d-1}(k-2)(j-i) a_{j}+(k-1)(p-1)(d-i) \mu, \\
\rho\left(H^{\prime}\right) x_{v_{i}}= & \sum_{j=0}^{d-1}|i-j| x_{v_{j}}+\sum_{j=1}^{i}(k-2)(i-j+1) b_{j}+\sum_{j=i+1}^{d-1}(k-2)(j-i) b_{j} \\
& +(k-1)(q+1)(d-i) x_{u_{d}}+\sum_{j=0}^{d-1}(i+j) x_{u_{j}} \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{d-1}(k-2)(i+j) a_{j}+(k-1)(p-1)(d+i) \mu,
\end{aligned}
$$

and for $k \geq 3$ and $i=1, \ldots, d-1$, from the distance eigenequations of $H^{\prime}$ at a vertex in $e_{i} \backslash\left\{u_{i-1}, u_{i}\right\}$ and a vertex in $e_{i}^{\prime} \backslash\left\{v_{i-1}, v_{i}\right\}$, respectively, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho\left(H^{\prime}\right) a_{i}= & \sum_{j=0}^{d-1}(i+j) x_{v_{j}}+\sum_{j=1}^{d-1}(k-2)(i+j) b_{j}+(k-1)(q+1)(d+i) x_{u_{d}} \\
& +\sum_{j=0}^{i-1}(i-j) x_{u_{j}}+\sum_{j=i}^{d-1}(j-i+1) x_{u_{j}}+\sum_{j=1}^{d-1}(k-2)(|i-j|+1) a_{j} \\
& +(k-1)(p-1)(d-i+1) \mu-a_{i}, \\
\rho\left(H^{\prime}\right) b_{i}= & \sum_{j=0}^{d-1}(i+j) x_{u_{j}}+\sum_{j=1}^{d-1}(k-2)(i+j) a_{j}+(k-1)(p-1)(d+i) \mu \\
& +\sum_{j=0}^{i-1}(i-j) x_{v_{j}}+\sum_{j=i}^{d-1}(j-i+1) x_{v_{j}}+\sum_{j=1}^{d-1}(k-2)(|i-j|+1) b_{j} \\
& +(k-1)(q+1)(d-i+1) x_{u_{d}}-b_{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho\left(H^{\prime}\right)\left(x_{u_{i}}-x_{v_{i}}\right)= & 2 i \sum_{j=i}^{d-1}\left(x_{v_{j}}-x_{u_{j}}\right)+2 i \sum_{j=i+1}^{d-1}(k-2)\left(b_{j}-a_{j}\right) \\
& +2 i(k-1)(q+1) x_{u_{d}}-2 i(k-1)(p-1) \mu \\
& +\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} 2 j\left(x_{v_{j}}-x_{u_{j}}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{i}(k-2)(2 j-1)\left(b_{j}-a_{j}\right), \\
\left(\rho\left(H^{\prime}\right)+1\right)\left(a_{i}-b_{i}\right)= & (2 i-1) \sum_{j=i}^{d-1}\left(x_{v_{j}}-x_{u_{j}}\right)+(2 i-1) \sum_{j=i+1}^{d-1}(k-2)\left(b_{j}-a_{j}\right) \\
& +(2 i-1)(k-1)(q+1) x_{u_{d}}-(2 i-1)(k-1)(p-1) \mu \\
& +\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} 2 j\left(x_{v_{j}}-x_{u_{j}}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{i}(k-2)(2 j-1)\left(b_{j}-a_{j}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $A=\sum_{j=1}^{d-1}\left((k-2) a_{j}+x_{u_{j}}\right)+(k-1)(p-1) \mu$ and $B=\sum_{j=1}^{d-1}\left((k-2) b_{j}+x_{v_{j}}\right)+(k-1)(q+1) x_{u_{d}}$. Now we prove $A<B$. Suppose this is not true. Next we prove that $a_{i} \leq b_{i}$ and $x_{u_{i}} \leq x_{v_{i}}$ by induction on $i$ for $1 \leq i \leq d-1$. For $i=1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\rho\left(H^{\prime}\right)+1\right)\left(a_{1}-b_{1}\right)= & \sum_{j=1}^{d-1}\left(x_{v_{j}}-x_{u_{j}}\right)+\sum_{j=2}^{d-1}(k-2)\left(b_{j}-a_{j}\right)+(k-1)(q+1) x_{u_{d}} \\
& -(k-1)(p-1) \mu+(k-2)\left(b_{1}-a_{1}\right) \\
= & B-A \\
\leq & 0
\end{aligned}
$$

we have $a_{1} \leq b_{1}$, and then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho\left(H^{\prime}\right)\left(x_{u_{1}}-x_{v_{1}}\right)= & 2 \sum_{j=1}^{d-1}\left(x_{v_{j}}-x_{u_{j}}\right)+2 \sum_{j=2}^{d-1}(k-2)\left(b_{j}-a_{j}\right) \\
& +2(k-1)(q+1) x_{u_{d}}-2(k-1)(p-1) \mu+(k-2)\left(b_{1}-a_{1}\right) \\
= & 2(B-A)+(k-2)\left(a_{1}-b_{1}\right) \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

implying that $x_{u_{1}} \leq x_{v_{1}}$. Now suppose that $i \geq 2, a_{j} \leq b_{j}$ and $x_{u_{j}} \leq x_{v_{j}}$ for $1 \leq j \leq i-1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\rho\left(H^{\prime}\right)+1\right)\left(a_{i}-b_{i}\right)-\rho\left(H^{\prime}\right)\left(x_{u_{i-1}}-x_{v_{i-1}}\right)= & \sum_{j=i}^{d-1}\left((k-2) b_{j}+x_{v_{j}}-(k-2) a_{j}-x_{u_{j}}\right) \\
& +(k-1)(q+1) x_{u_{d}}-(k-1)(p-1) \mu \\
= & (B-A)-\sum_{j=1}^{i-1}\left((k-2)\left(b_{j}-a_{j}\right)+\left(x_{v_{j}}-x_{u_{j}}\right)\right) \\
\leq & 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\left(\rho\left(H^{\prime}\right)+1\right)\left(a_{i}-b_{i}\right) \leq \rho\left(H^{\prime}\right)\left(x_{u_{i-1}}-x_{v_{i-1}}\right) \leq 0$, from which we have $a_{i} \leq b_{i}$. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho\left(H^{\prime}\right)\left(x_{u_{i}}-x_{v_{i}}\right)-\left(\rho\left(H^{\prime}\right)+1\right)\left(a_{i}-b_{i}\right)= \sum_{j=i}^{d-1}\left(x_{v_{j}}-x_{u_{j}}\right)+\sum_{j=i+1}^{d-1}(k-2)\left(b_{j}-a_{j}\right) \\
&+(k-1)(q+1) x_{u_{d}}-(k-1)(p-1) \mu \\
&=(B-A)-\sum_{j=1}^{i-1}\left(x_{v_{j}}-x_{u_{j}}\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{i}(k-2)\left(b_{j}-a_{j}\right) \\
& \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\rho\left(H^{\prime}\right)\left(x_{u_{i}}-x_{v_{i}}\right) \leq\left(\rho\left(H^{\prime}\right)+1\right)\left(a_{i}-b_{i}\right) \leq 0$, implying that $x_{u_{i}} \leq x_{v_{i}}$. It follows that $a_{i} \leq b_{i}$ and $x_{u_{i}} \leq x_{v_{i}}$ for $1 \leq i \leq d-1$. Thus, $\sum_{j=1}^{d-1}\left((k-2) a_{j}+x_{u_{j}}\right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{d-1}\left((k-2) b_{j}+x_{v_{j}}\right)$.

By Lemma 2.2, $\left(\rho\left(H^{\prime}\right)+k\right)\left(\mu-x_{u_{d}}\right)=\rho\left(H^{\prime}\right)\left(x_{u_{d-1}}-x_{v_{d-1}}\right) \leq 0$, and thus, $\mu \leq x_{u_{d}}$. This is impossible, because it would imply that $A<B$. Therefore, $A<B$.

As above, we have $a_{i}>b_{i}$ and $x_{u_{i}}>x_{v_{i}}$ for $1 \leq i \leq d-1$, and since

$$
\rho\left(H^{\prime}\right)\left(x_{u_{i}}-x_{v_{i}}\right)>\left(\rho\left(H^{\prime}\right)+1\right)\left(a_{i}-b_{i}\right)>\rho\left(H^{\prime}\right)\left(x_{u_{i-1}}-x_{v_{i-1}}\right)>0,
$$

we have $x_{u_{i}}-x_{v_{i}}>a_{i}-b_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq d-1$ and $x_{u_{i}}-x_{v_{i}}>x_{u_{i-1}}-x_{v_{i-1}}$ for $2 \leq i \leq d-1$. By Lemma $2.2, \mu-x_{u_{d}}=\frac{\rho\left(H^{\prime}\right)}{\rho\left(H^{\prime}\right)+k}\left(x_{u_{d-1}}-x_{v_{d-1}}\right)<x_{u_{d-1}}-x_{v_{d-1}}$.

As we pass from $H$ to $H^{\prime}$, the distance between a vertex of $e_{d} \backslash\left\{u_{d-1}\right\}$ and a vertex of degree one in the remaining $p-1$ pendant edges at $u_{d-1}$ is increased by $2 d-2(p \geq 2)$, the distance between a vertex of $e_{d} \backslash\left\{u_{d-1}\right\}$ and a vertex of degree one in the $q$ pendant edges at $v_{d-1}$ is decreased by $2 d-2$, for $0 \leq i \leq d-1$, the distance between a vertex of $e_{d} \backslash\left\{u_{d-1}\right\}$ and $u_{i}$ is increased by $2 i$, the distance between a vertex of $e_{d} \backslash\left\{u_{d-1}\right\}$ and $v_{i}$ is decreased by $2 i$, the distance between a vertex of $e_{d} \backslash\left\{u_{d-1}\right\}$ and $e_{i} \backslash\left\{u_{i-1}, u_{i}\right\}$ is increased by $2 i-1$, the distance between a vertex of $e_{d} \backslash\left\{u_{d-1}\right\}$ and $e_{i}^{\prime} \backslash\left\{v_{i-1}, v_{i}\right\}$ is decreased by $2 i-1$, and the distances between all other vertex pairs remain unchanged. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho(H)-\rho\left(H^{\prime}\right)\right) \geq \frac{1}{2} x^{\top}\left(D(H)-D\left(H^{\prime}\right)\right) x=(k-1) x_{u_{d}} W \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
W=(k-1)(2 d-2)\left(q x_{u_{d}}-(p-1) \mu\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} 2 i\left(x_{v_{i}}-x_{u_{i}}\right)+(k-2) \sum_{i=1}^{d-1}(2 i-1)\left(b_{i}-a_{i}\right)
$$

Let

$$
F=\sum_{i=1}^{d-1}(2 i+(k-2)(2 i-1))+2(p-1)(k-1)(d-1) .
$$

By the distance eigenequations of $H^{\prime}$ at $u_{d-1}$ and $v_{d-1}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho\left(H^{\prime}\right)\left(x_{u_{d-1}}-x_{v_{d-1}}\right)= & (k-1)(2 d-2)\left((q+1) x_{u_{d}}-(p-1) \mu\right) \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} 2 i\left(x_{v_{i}}-x_{u_{i}}\right)+(k-2) \sum_{i=1}^{d-1}(2 i-1)\left(b_{i}-a_{i}\right) \\
= & W+(k-1)(2 d-2) x_{u_{d}} \\
= & 2 W+\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} 2 i\left(x_{u_{i}}-x_{v_{i}}\right)+(k-2) \sum_{i=1}^{d-1}(2 i-1)\left(a_{i}-b_{i}\right) \\
& +(k-1)(2 d-2)\left((p-1) \mu-(q-1) x_{u_{d}}\right) \\
< & 2 W+\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} 2 i\left(x_{u_{i}}-x_{v_{i}}\right)+(k-2) \sum_{i=1}^{d-1}(2 i-1)\left(x_{u_{i}}-x_{v_{i}}\right) \\
& +(k-1)(2 d-2)(p-1)\left(\mu-x_{u_{d}}\right) \\
< & 2 W+F\left(x_{u_{d-1}}-x_{v_{d-1}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 W>\left(\rho\left(H^{\prime}\right)-F\right)\left(x_{u_{d-1}}-x_{v_{d-1}}\right) . \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $w \in V\left(H^{\prime}\right)$, there is a subhypergraph $H^{*}$ of $H^{\prime}$ (obtained from $H^{\prime}$ by removing $q-p+2$ pendant edges at $v_{d-1}$ and the resulting isolated vertices) such that $w \in V\left(H^{*}\right)$ and $H^{*} \cong T_{2 d}(p-1, p-1)$. Then

$$
\sum_{z \in V\left(H^{\prime}\right)} d_{H^{\prime}}(w, z)>\sum_{z \in V\left(H^{*}\right)} d_{H^{*}}(w, z)
$$

It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{z \in V\left(H^{*}\right)} d_{H^{*}}(w, z) \geq & \sum_{z \in V\left(H^{*}\right)} d_{H^{*}}\left(u_{0}, z\right) \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{d-1}\left(d_{H^{*}}\left(u_{0}, u_{i}\right)+d_{H^{*}}\left(u_{0}, v_{i}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{z \in e_{i} \backslash\left\{u_{i-1}, u_{i}\right\}} d_{H^{*}}\left(u_{0}, z\right)+\sum_{z \in e_{i}^{\prime} \backslash\left\{v_{i-1}, v_{i}\right\}} d_{H^{*}}\left(u_{0}, z\right)\right) \\
& +(p-1)\left(\sum_{z \in e_{d} \backslash\left\{u_{d-1}\right\}} d_{H^{*}}\left(u_{0}, z\right)+\sum_{z \in e_{d}^{\prime} \backslash\left\{v_{d-1}\right\}} d_{H^{*}}\left(u_{0}, z\right)\right) \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{d-1}(2 i+2(k-2) i)+2(p-1)(k-1) d \\
> & F .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\sum_{z \in V\left(H^{\prime}\right)} d_{H^{\prime}}(w, z)>F$ for any $w \in V\left(H^{\prime}\right)$. Since $\rho\left(H^{\prime}\right)$ is bounded below by the minimum row sum of $D\left(H^{\prime}\right)$, we have $\rho\left(H^{\prime}\right)>F$. Recall that $x_{u_{d-1}}>x_{v_{d-1}}$. So, by (7.6), we have $W>0$. Now, from (7.5), we have $\rho(H)>\rho\left(H^{\prime}\right)$.

For positive integers $p, q$ and $d$, let $T_{2 d+1}(p, q)$ be the $k$-uniform hypertree obtained from a $k$-uniform loose path

$$
\left(u_{d}, e_{d}, u_{d-1}, \ldots, u_{2}, e_{2}, u_{1}, e_{1}, u_{0}, e_{0}, v_{0}, e_{1}^{\prime}, v_{1}, e_{2}^{\prime}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{d-1}, e_{d}^{\prime}, v_{d}\right)
$$

by attaching $p-1$ pendant edges to $u_{d-1}$ and $q-1$ pendant edges to $v_{d-1}$.
Theorem 7.3. Let $T$ be a $k$-th power hypertree with $m$ edges and matching number $\beta$, where $1 \leq \beta \leq$ $\left\lfloor\frac{m+1}{2}\right\rfloor$. Then $\rho(T) \leq \rho\left(T_{2 \beta}\left(\left\lfloor\frac{m-2 \beta+2}{2}\right\rfloor,\left\lceil\frac{m-2 \beta+2}{2}\right\rceil\right)\right)$ with equality if and only if $T \cong T_{2 \beta}\left(\left\lfloor\frac{m-2 \beta+2}{2}\right\rfloor,\left\lceil\frac{m-2 \beta+2}{2}\right\rceil\right)$.

Proof. It is trivial when $\beta=1$.
Suppose that $\beta \geq 2$. Let $T$ be a $k$-th hypertree with $m$ edges and matching number $\beta$ that maximizes the distance spectral radius.

If $\beta=\frac{m+1}{2}$, then recalling that $T_{2 \beta}(0,1)$ is the unique hypertree with maximum distance spectral radius among $k$-uniform hypertrees with $m$ edges [8] and noting that $\beta\left(T_{2 \beta}(0,1)\right)=\frac{m+1}{2}$, we have $T \cong T_{2 \beta}(0,1)$, as desired.

Suppose that $2 \leq \beta \leq\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor$. Let $M$ be a maximum matching in $T$.
If there is no vertex of degree at least three in $T$, then since $T$ is a $k$-th power hypertree, we have $T \cong T_{m}(1,1)$ with $\beta=\frac{m}{2}$, as desired.

Suppose that there exists a vertex $u$ in $T$ of degree at least three. Let $\delta_{T}(u)=t \geq 3$, and $E_{T}(u)=$ $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{t}\right\}$. Then $T$ consists of $t$ subhypertrees $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{t}$ such that $\left|E\left(T_{i}\right)\right| \geq 1$ and $e_{i} \in E\left(T_{i}\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$, $\cup_{i=1}^{t} E\left(T_{i}\right)=E(T)$, and $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{t}$ have exactly one vertex $u$ in common. Suppose that $\left|E\left(T_{1}\right)\right|,\left|E\left(T_{2}\right)\right| \geq 2$. We consider three cases.

Case 1. $e_{3} \notin M$.
We may assume that $\sigma_{T}\left(T_{1}\right) \geq \sigma_{T}\left(T_{2}\right)$. Let $w$ be a vertex of degree at least two contained in some pendant edge in $T_{2}$. Let $T^{\prime}$ be the hypertree obtained from $T$ by moving edge $e_{3}$ from $u$ to $w$. Then $\beta\left(T^{\prime}\right)=\beta$, and by Lemma 7.1, $\rho\left(T^{\prime}\right)>\rho(T)$, a contradiction.

Case 2. $e_{3} \in M$ and $\left|E\left(T_{3}\right)\right| \geq 2$.
Since $e_{3} \in M$, we have $e_{1} \notin M$. We may assume that $\sigma_{T}\left(T_{2}\right) \geq \sigma_{T}\left(T_{3}\right)$. Let $z$ be a vertex of degree at least two contained in some pendant edge in $T_{3}$. Let $T^{\prime \prime}$ be the hypertree obtained from $T$ by moving edge $e_{1}$ from $u$ to $z$. Then $\beta\left(T^{\prime \prime}\right)=\beta$, and by Lemma 7.1, $\rho\left(T^{\prime \prime}\right)>\rho(T)$, a contradiction.

Case 3. $e_{3} \in M$ and $\left|E\left(T_{3}\right)\right|=1$.
Let $v$ be a vertex of degree one in $e_{3}$. Let $T^{*}$ be the hypertree obtained from $T$ by moving edge $e_{1}$ from $u$ to $v$. Then $\beta\left(T^{*}\right)=\beta$, and by Lemma 2.4, $\rho\left(T^{*}\right)>\rho(T)$, a contradiction.

By combining Cases $1-3$, we conclude that, among the $t$ subhypertrees $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{t}$ of $T$ containing $u$, only one has at least two edges, as $T$ is not a $k$-uniform hyperstar. Since $u$ is arbitrary and $T$ is a $k$ th power hypertree, it follows that $T \cong T_{\ell}(p, q)$ for some positive integers $p, q$ and $\ell$ with $q \geq p \geq 1$, $q \geq 2,3 \leq \ell \leq 2 \beta$, and $p+q+\ell=m+2$. Note that $\beta=\left\lceil\frac{\ell}{2}\right\rceil$. If $\ell$ is odd, then by Lemma 2.4, $\rho(T)=\rho\left(T_{\ell}(p, q)\right)<\rho\left(T_{\ell+1}(p, q-1)\right)$, a contradiction. Thus, $\ell$ is even and $\ell=2 \beta$. If $q-p \geq 2$, then by Lemma 7.2, we have $\rho\left(T_{2 \beta}(p, q)\right)<\rho\left(T_{2 \beta}(p+1, q-1)\right)$, a contradiction. It follows that $q-p=0$, 1, i.e., $T \cong T_{2 \beta}\left(\left\lfloor\frac{m-2 \beta+2}{2}\right\rfloor,\left\lceil\frac{m-2 \beta+2}{2}\right\rceil\right)$.
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