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#### Abstract

In this paper, it is shown that among connected graphs with maximum clique size $\omega$, the minimum value of the spectral radius of adjacency matrix is attained for a kite graph $P K_{n-\omega, \omega}$, which consists of a complete graph $K_{\omega}$ to a vertex of which a path $P_{n-\omega}$ is attached. For any fixed $\omega$, a small interval to which the spectral radii of kites $P K_{m, \omega}, m \geq 1$, belong is exhibited.
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1. Introduction. All graphs in this note are simple and undirected. For a graph $G$, let $A(G)$ denote its adjacency matrix, $\rho(G)$ the spectral radius of $A(G)$, and $P_{G} \equiv P_{G}(\lambda)$ the characteristic polynomial of $A(G)$. For other undefined notions, the reader is referred to [2].

Brualdi and Solheid [3] proposed the following general problem, which became one of the classic problems of spectral graph theory:

Given a set $\mathcal{G}$ of graphs, find an upper bound for the spectral radius in this set and characterize the graphs in which the maximal spectral radius is attained.

Such extremal graphs, for example, asymptotically have more closed walks of any given length than the other graphs in the set. However, let us point out that it may be of (practical) interest to characterize the graphs having the minimum spectral radius as well: Wang et al. [15] recently proposed a new analytic model that accurately models the propagation of computer viruses on arbitrary network graph $G$, which, under reasonable approximations, has an epidemic threshold of $1 / \rho(G)$, below which the number of infected nodes in the network decays exponentially. Thus, the computer networks with smaller spectral radii are more resistant to virus propagation.

[^0]As expected, there are far less results on the minimum spectral radius than on the maximum spectral radius of graphs: some recent results are given in $[1,8]$, while the older results are presented in Section 3 of [6].

We study here the set $\mathcal{G}_{n, \omega}, n \geq \omega \geq 2$, of connected graphs of order $n$ with a maximum clique size $\omega$. Recently, Nikiforov [12] showed that the Turán graphs attain the maximum spectral radius in $\mathcal{G}_{n, \omega}$. Experimenting with the computer system AutoGraphiX [4], which is well suited to find extremal graphs and support conjecture making, we observed that kite graphs attain the minimum spectral radius in $\mathcal{G}_{n, \omega}$. Recall that the kite graph $P K_{m, w}$ is a graph on $m+w$ vertices obtained from the path $P_{m}$ and the complete graph $K_{w}$ by adding an edge between an end vertex of $P_{m}$ and a vertex of $K_{w}$. This observation turned out to be correct. Specifically,

Theorem 1.1. If $G \in \mathcal{G}_{n, \omega}, n \geq \omega \geq 2$, then

$$
\rho(G) \geq \rho\left(P K_{n-\omega, \omega}\right)
$$

The equality holds if and only if $G$ is isomorphic to $P K_{n-\omega, \omega}$.
We are also interested to find a good estimate of $\rho\left(P K_{m, \omega}\right)$. It is obvious that

$$
\rho\left(P K_{0, \omega}\right)=\rho\left(K_{\omega}\right)=\omega-1
$$

However, the other values of $\rho\left(P K_{m, \omega}\right)$ are not that straightforward to obtain. For any $m \geq 0, P K_{m, \omega}$ is a proper subgraph of $P K_{m+1, \omega}$, so the sequence $\left(\rho\left(P K_{m, \omega}\right)\right)_{m \geq 0}$ is strictly increasing. Further, with $\rho\left(P K_{m, \omega}\right)$ being bounded from above by $\omega$ (recall that the spectral radius is at most the maximum vertex degree, see, e.g., [5, p. 85]), we see that $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \rho\left(P K_{m, \omega}\right)$ exists with a value between $\omega-1$ and $\omega$. Thus, the values $\rho\left(P K_{1, \omega}\right)$ and $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \rho\left(P K_{m, \omega}\right)$ represent the sharp lower and upper bound on $\rho\left(P K_{m, \infty}\right), m \geq 1$. These values turn out to be very close to each other.

Theorem 1.2. For any integers $\omega \geq 3$ and $m \geq 1$,

$$
\omega-1+\frac{1}{\omega^{2}}+\frac{1}{\omega^{3}}<\rho\left(P K_{m, \omega}\right)<\omega-1+\frac{1}{4 \omega}+\frac{1}{\omega^{2}-2 \omega} .
$$

The proofs of these theorems are given in the next two sections.
2. Proof of Theorem 1.1. We will use the following auxiliary results in the proof. The first lemma is by Li and Feng from [9], and is also found as Theorem 6.2.2 in [6].

Lemma 2.1. Let $u$ and $v$ be two adjacent vertices of the connected graph $G$ and for positive integers $k$ and $l$, let $G(k, l)$ denote the graph obtained from $G$ by adding
pendent paths of length $k$ at $u$ and length $l$ at $v$. If $k \geq l \geq 1$, then $\rho(G(k, l))>$ $\rho(G(k+1, l-1))$.

The next lemma is by Zhang, Zhang and Zhang [16], and is also found as Theorem 6.2.3 of [6]. Let $S v w T$ denote the graph obtained from disjoint graphs $S, T$ by adding an edge joining the vertex $v$ of $S$ to the vertex $w$ of $T$. Further, let $S_{v}$ denote the graph obtained from $S$ by adding a pendent edge at vertex $v$.

Lemma 2.2. If $P_{S_{u}}(\lambda)<P_{S_{v}}(\lambda)$ for all $\lambda>\rho\left(S_{u}\right)$, then $\rho(\operatorname{Svw} T)<\rho(S u w T)$ for any vertex $w$ of $T$.

Remark 2.3. Note that the formulation of previous lemma in [6] contains a typo: instead of $x>\mu_{1}\left(H_{v}\right)$ it should contain the condition $x>\mu_{1}\left(H_{u}\right)$.

We may prove the following lemma on the basis of the previous two.
LEMMA 2.4. Let $v w$ be a bridge of a connected graph $G$ and suppose that there is a path of length $k, k \geq 1$, attached at $v$, with $u$ being the other end vertex of this path. Then

$$
\rho(G-v w+u w) \leq \rho(G)
$$

with equality if and only if the vertex $v$ has degree two.
Proof of Lemma 2.4. Let $S$ and $T$ be the subgraphs of $G$ as indicated in Figure 2.1. If $v$ has degree two, then $G-v w+u w \cong G$ and the statement follows.


Fig. 2.1. The structure of $G$.
Suppose now that the degree of $v$ is at least three, i.e., that $S$ is a non-trivial graph. Let $S^{\prime}$ denote the subgraph formed by $S$ and the path from $v$ to $u$. In the terms of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we have that

$$
S_{u}^{\prime}=S(k+1,0), \quad S_{v}^{\prime}=S(k, 1)
$$

From the proof of Lemma 2.1, it is evident that $P_{S_{u}^{\prime}}(\lambda)>P_{S_{v}^{\prime}}(\lambda)$ for all $\lambda>\rho\left(S_{v}^{\prime}\right)$.

Thus, from Lemma 2.2 it follows that

$$
\rho(G-v w+u w)=\rho\left(S^{\prime} u w T\right)<\rho\left(S^{\prime} v w T\right)=\rho(G)
$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Suppose that $n$ and $\omega, n \geq \omega \geq 2$, are given.
If $n=\omega$, then $\mathcal{G}_{n, \omega}$ consists of a single graph $K_{n}$, which is also a (degenerate) kite graph $P K_{0, n}$.

If $\omega=2$, then the path $P_{n}$ has the minimum spectral radius among all connected graphs of order $n$ [5, p. 78], and thus also in $\mathcal{G}_{n, 2}$. Note that the path $P_{n}$ is also a (degenerate) kite graph $P K_{n, 0}=P K_{n-1,1}=P K_{n-2,2}$.

Thus, suppose that $n>\omega \geq 3$. We will transform an arbitrary graph $G \in \mathcal{G}_{n, \omega}$, containing a clique $K$ of size $\omega$, into a kite graph $P K_{n-\omega, \omega}$, in such a way that the spectral radius of transformed graph decreases at each step.
i) It is known that deletion of an edge from a connected graph strictly decreases its spectral radius [5]. In order to keep a graph within $\mathcal{G}_{n, \omega}$, we may delete from $G$ any edge not in $K$ which belongs to a cycle. Let $G_{1}$ be a subgraph of $G$ obtained by deleting such edges in an arbitrary order as long as they exist. $G_{1}$ will then consist of a clique $K$ with a number of rooted trees attached to clique vertices.
ii) At this step, we will "flatten out" the trees attached to clique vertices. Let $T$ be a rooted tree of $G_{1}$, attached to a clique vertex. Let $u$ be the leaf of $T$ farthest from the clique $K$, and let $v$ be the vertex of $T$ of degree at least three, that is closest to $u$. If $T$ is not a path, then there is a neighbor $w$ of $v$ in $T$, which is not on the path from $v$ to $u$. Then in the tree $T-v w+u w$ the distance from the farthest leaf to $K$ increases, while from Lemma 2.4 its spectral radius decreases. Processing further with edge deletions and additions by always choosing farthest leaves in rooted trees, we decrease the spectral radius at each iteration until we reach a graph $G_{2}$ in which every rooted tree, attached to a vertex of $K$, becomes a path.
iii) We may suppose that the graph $G_{2}$ consists of clique $K$ and the paths $P_{k_{1}}, P_{k_{2}}, \ldots, P_{k_{m}}$ attached to $m$ distinct vertices of $K$. With the repeated use of Lemma 2.1 to paths $P_{k_{1}}$ and $P_{k_{i}}, 2 \leq i \leq m$, we may decrease the spectral radius of $G_{2}$ until the attached paths $P_{k_{2}}, \ldots, P_{k_{m}}$ disappear, and we finally arrive to the kite graph $P K_{n-\omega, \omega}$.

Since we have (strictly) decreased the spectral radius at each step, we may conclude that the kite graph $P K_{n-\omega, \omega}$ has smaller spectral radius than any other graph in $\mathcal{G}_{n, \omega}$. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1.
3. Proof of Theorem 1.2. To prove this theorem, we estimate the values of $\rho\left(P K_{1, \omega}\right)$ and $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \rho\left(P K_{m, \omega}\right)$ in the next two subsections.
3.1. Estimating $\rho\left(P K_{1, \omega}\right)$. Let $x$ be a principal eigenvector of $P K_{1, \omega}$, let $u$ be a leaf of $P K_{1, \omega}$ and let $v$ be the neighbor of $u$. All other vertices of $P K_{1, \omega}$ are similar, and since $\rho\left(P K_{1, \omega}\right)$ is a simple eigenvalue, we have that $x$ has the same value, name it $y$, at these vertices. Now the eigenvalue equation at $u, v$ and arbitrary other vertex of $P K_{1, \omega}$ reads (where we write just $\rho$ for $\rho\left(P K_{1, m}\right)$ ):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho x_{u} & =x_{v}, \\
\rho x_{v} & =x_{u}+(\omega-1) y, \\
\rho y & =(\omega-2) y+x_{v} .
\end{aligned}
$$

These equations, taken together, imply that

$$
\rho^{3}-(\omega-2) \rho^{2}-\omega \rho+(\omega-2)=0 .
$$

Introducing the variable change $\rho=\omega-1+\sigma$, the above cubic equation transforms into

$$
\sigma^{3}+(2 \omega-1) \sigma^{2}+\left(\omega^{2}-\omega-1\right) \sigma-1=0
$$

While solving this cubic equation explicitly is possible, the obtained solution is cumbersome and sports at least the square root of a sixth degree polynomial in $\omega$ (which itself is not a square). However, if we denote

$$
f(\sigma)=\sigma^{3}+(2 \omega-1) \sigma^{2}+\left(\omega^{2}-\omega-1\right) \sigma-1
$$

we can see that, for $\omega \geq 3$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(\frac{1}{\omega^{2}}\left(1+\frac{1}{\omega}\right)\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{\omega^{6}}\left(1+\frac{1}{\omega}\right)^{3}+(2 \omega-1) \frac{1}{\omega^{4}}\left(1+\frac{1}{\omega}\right)^{2}+\left(\omega^{2}-\omega-1\right) \frac{1}{\omega^{2}}\left(1+\frac{1}{\omega}\right)-1 \\
= & -\frac{2}{\omega^{2}}+\frac{1}{\omega^{3}}+\Theta\left(\frac{1}{\omega^{4}}\right)<0,
\end{aligned}
$$

while

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(\frac{1}{\omega^{2}}\left(1+\frac{2}{\omega}\right)\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{\omega^{6}}\left(1+\frac{2}{\omega}\right)^{3}+(2 \omega-1) \frac{1}{\omega^{4}}\left(1+\frac{2}{\omega}\right)^{2}+\left(\omega^{2}-\omega-1\right) \frac{1}{\omega^{2}}\left(1+\frac{2}{\omega}\right)-1 \\
= & \frac{1}{\omega}-\frac{3}{\omega^{2}}+\Theta\left(\frac{1}{\omega^{4}}\right)>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, a root of $f(\sigma)$ belongs to the interval $\left(\frac{1}{\omega^{2}}\left(1+\frac{1}{\omega}\right), \frac{1}{\omega^{2}}\left(1+\frac{2}{\omega}\right)\right)$ of length $\frac{1}{\omega^{3}}$, and we conclude that, for $m \geq 1$,

$$
\rho\left(P K_{m, \omega}\right) \geq \rho\left(P K_{1, \omega}\right)>\omega-1+\frac{1}{\omega^{2}}\left(1+\frac{1}{\omega}\right)
$$

is a simple, yet rather good lower bound.
3.2. Estimating $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \rho\left(P K_{m, \omega}\right)$. Let $P K_{\infty, \omega}$ denote the infinite kite graph which consists of a clique $K_{\omega}$, to one vertex of which an infinite path is attached. A textbook introduction to infinite graphs may be found in Chapter 8 of [7], while the more specific results on the spectra of infinite graphs appear in $[10,11]$.

In particular, for an infinite graph $G$ with bounded vertex degrees, let $\rho(G)$ denote the spectral radius of the adjacency matrix of $G$. We say that a sequence of subgraphs $G_{n}$ converges to $G$, if each edge of $G$ is contained in all but finitely many of the $G_{n}$. From Theorem 4.13 of [11] we have that the sequence $\rho\left(G_{n}\right)$ converges to $\rho(G)$ from below. In particular,

$$
\rho\left(P K_{\infty, \omega}\right)=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \rho\left(P K_{m, \omega}\right)
$$

We are set to determine the value of $\rho\left(P K_{\infty, \omega}\right)$ by finding a value $\mu$ and a positive vector $x$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(P K_{\infty, \omega}\right) x=\mu x \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds. In general, we may not say that $\mu$ is an eigenvalue of $P K_{\infty, \omega}$ as it may happen that the vector $x$ does not belong to the space on which $A\left(P K_{\infty, \omega}\right)$ acts as a linear operator. Nevertheless, the equality $\mu=\rho\left(P K_{\infty, \omega}\right)$ is ensured from Theorem 6.2 of [11] (see [13, 14] for further details), which states that, for real $\mu$ and an infinite, connected and locally finite graph $G$, the inequality $A(G) x \leq \mu x$ has a nonnegative solution $x \neq 0$ if and only if $\mu \geq \rho(G)$, and if the equality $A(G) x=\mu x$ holds, then $\mu=\rho(G)$. Moreover, the vector $x$ is unique in such case (up to constant multiples). The rest of this subsection is devoted to finding a pair $(\mu, x)$ which satisfies (3.1).

Let us denote the common component of $x$ at the clique vertices by $x_{-1}$, the component at a clique vertex to which the path is attached by $x_{0}$, and the components at path vertices by $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots$, respectively. The equation (3.1) yields the following:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mu x_{-1} & =(\omega-2) x_{-1}+x_{0}  \tag{3.2}\\
\mu x_{0} & =(\omega-1) x_{-1}+x_{1}  \tag{3.3}\\
\mu x_{i} & =x_{i-1}+x_{i+1}, \quad i \geq 1 . \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

The equation (3.4) represents a familiar linear recurrence equation. For $\mu=2$, the positivity of $x_{i}$ implies that $\omega=2$ and, in such case, the solution is given by

$$
x_{i}=i+2, \quad i \geq-1
$$

For $\mu>2$, (3.4) has the solution in the form

$$
x_{i}=a t_{1}^{i}+b t_{2}^{i}, \quad i \geq 0,
$$

where $a$ and $b$ are constants, and

$$
t_{1}=\frac{\mu-\sqrt{\mu^{2}-4}}{2}, \quad t_{2}=\frac{\mu+\sqrt{\mu^{2}-4}}{2}
$$

are the distinct solutions of the characteristic equation $\mu t=1+t^{2}$.
When $\omega \geq 3$, the components of the positive unit eigenvector of any finite $P K_{m, \omega}$ decrease along the path from the clique towards its leaf. Besides the fact that $\rho\left(P K_{\infty, \omega}\right)=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \rho\left(P K_{m, \omega}\right)$ and as we would also like $x$ to be a limit of these eigenvectors, we may impose additional condition that $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} x_{i}=0$. This translates into $b=0$ and, with appropriate scaling, we may suppose that

$$
x_{0}=1, \quad x_{1}=t_{1} .
$$

The equations $(3.2,3.3)$ then lead to

$$
\frac{1}{\mu-\omega+2}=\frac{\mu-t_{1}}{\omega-1}
$$

After replacing the value of $t_{1}$ and simplifying yields the quadratic equation

$$
\mu^{2}-(\omega-3) \mu-\left(2 \omega-2+\frac{1}{\omega-2}\right)=0
$$

whose positive solution is

$$
\mu=\frac{\omega-3+\sqrt{(\omega+1)^{2}+\frac{4}{\omega-2}}}{2} .
$$

It is straightforward to see that $\mu$ and $x$ satisfy (3.1), and therefore, for every finite $m$, it follows that

$$
\rho\left(P K_{m, \omega}\right)<\rho\left(P K_{\infty, \omega}\right)=\frac{\omega-3+\sqrt{(\omega+1)^{2}+\frac{4}{\omega-2}}}{2} .
$$

Finally, from the simple inequality $\sqrt{1+x} \leq 1+\frac{x}{2}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho\left(P K_{\infty, \omega}\right) & =\frac{\omega}{2}\left(1-\frac{3}{\omega}+\sqrt{1+\frac{2}{\omega}+\frac{1}{\omega^{2}}+\frac{4}{\omega^{2}(\omega-2)}}\right) \\
& \leq \omega-1+\frac{1}{4 \omega}+\frac{1}{\omega^{2}-2 \omega} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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