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#### Abstract

Let $G$ be a graph with adjacency matrix $A(G)$ and let $D(G)$ be the diagonal matrix of the degrees of $G$. The $\alpha$-index of $G$ is the spectral radius $\rho_{\alpha}(G)$ of the matrix $A_{\alpha}(G)=\alpha D(G)+(1-\alpha) A(G)$, where $\alpha \in[0,1]$. Let $T_{n, k}$ be the tree of order $n$ and $k$ pendent vertices obtained from a star $K_{1, k}$ and $k$ pendent paths of almost equal lengths attached to different pendent vertices of $K_{1, k}$. It is shown that if $\alpha \in[0,1)$ and $T$ is a tree of order $n$ with $k$ pendent vertices then $$
\rho_{\alpha}(T) \leq \rho_{\alpha}\left(T_{n, k}\right)
$$ with equality holding if and only if $T=T_{n, k}$. This result generalizes a theorem of Wu, Xiao and Hong [6] in which the result is proved for the adjacency matrix $(\alpha=0)$. Let $q=\left[\frac{n-1}{k}\right]$ and $n-1=k q+r, 0 \leq r \leq k-1$. It is also obtained that the spectrum of $A_{\alpha}\left(T_{n, k}\right)$ is the union of the spectra of two special symmetric tridiagonal matrices of order $q$ and $q+1$ when $r=0$ or the union of the spectra of three special symmetric tridiagonal matrices of order $q, q+1$ and $2 q+2$ when $r \neq 0$. Thus, the $\alpha$-index of $T_{n, k}$ can be computed as the largest eigenvalue of the special symmetric tridiagonal matrix of order $q+1$ if $r=0$ or order $2 q+2$ if $r \neq 0$.
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1. Introduction. Let $G=(V(G), E(G))$ be a simple undirected graph on $n$ vertices with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. Let $D(G)$ be the diagonal matrix of order $n$ whose $(i, i)$-entry is the degree of the $i-t h$ vertex of $G$ and let $A(G)$ be the adjacency matrix of $G$.

As usual, $K_{1, s}$ denotes the star on $s+1$ vertices, $K_{n}$ and $P_{n}$ are the complete graph and the path, both on $n$ vertices, respectively.

In [2], Nikiforov introduces the matrix $A_{\alpha}(G)$,

$$
A_{\alpha}(G)=\alpha D(G)+(1-\alpha) A(G)
$$

with $\alpha \in[0,1]$ together with basic results and several open problems. Observe that $A_{\alpha}(G)$ is a symmetric nonnegative matrix for all $\alpha \in[0,1]$ and that $A_{0}(G)=A(G)$ and $A_{1 / 2}(G)=\frac{1}{2}(D(G)+A(G))=\frac{1}{2} Q(G)$. Since $A_{1}(G)=D(G)$, from now on, we take $\alpha \in[0,1)$.

Let $\rho_{\alpha}(G)$ be the $\alpha$-index of $G$, that is, the spectral radius of $A_{\alpha}(G)$. From the Perron - Frobenius Theory for nonnegative matrices, it follows that for a connected graph $G, \rho_{\alpha}(G)$ (Perron root) is a simple eigenvalue of $A_{\alpha}(G)$ having a positive eigenvector (Perron vector).

Let $n$ and $k$ given positive integers with $2 \leq k \leq n-1$. Let $T_{n, k}$ be the tree of order $n$ and $k$ pendent vertices obtained from a star $K_{1, k}$ and $k$ pendent paths of almost equal lengths attached to different

[^0]pendent vertices of $K_{1, k}$. More precisely, if $q=\left[\frac{n-1}{k}\right]$ and $n-1=k q+r, 0 \leq r \leq k-1$, then $T_{n, k}$ is the tree obtained from the star $K_{1, k}$ together with $k-r$ pendent paths $P_{q}$ and $r$ pendent paths $P_{q+1}$ attached to different pendent vertices of $K_{1, k}$ whenever $r \neq 0$ (see Example 1.1). If $r=0$, then $T_{n, k}$ is the tree obtained from the star $K_{1, k}$ and $k$ pendent paths $P_{q}$ attached to different vertices of $K_{1, k}$ (see Example 1.2). Clearly, $T_{n, k}$ is a tree having exactly $k$ pendents vertices and the number of vertices of $T_{n, k}$ is $(k-r) q+r(q+1)+1=k q+r+1=n$.

Example 1.1. Let $n=20$ and $k=7$. Then $q=2$ and $r=5$. The tree $T_{20,7}$ is displayed below:


In Section 2, we prove that if $\alpha \in[0,1)$ and $T$ is a tree of order $n$ with $k$ pendent vertices, then

$$
\rho_{\alpha}(T) \leq \rho_{\alpha}\left(T_{n, k}\right),
$$

with equality holding if and only if $T=T_{n, k}$. This result generalizes a theorem of Wu, Xiao and Hong [6] in which the result is proved for the adjacency matrix $(\alpha=0)$.

A rooted graph is a graph in which one vertex has been designated as a special vertex called the root. Given a rooted graph the level of a vertex is one more than its distance to the root vertex. A generalized Bethe tree is a rooted tree in which vertices at the same level have the same degree. For instance, if $r=0$, then $T_{n, k}$ is a generalized Bethe tree. In Example 1.2, we illustrate this case.

Example 1.2. Let $n=15$ and $k=7$. Then $q=2$ and $r=0$. The tree $T_{15,7}$ is displayed below:


If $r \neq 0$, then $T_{n, k}$ is a tree defined by the coalescence of two generalized Bethe trees at their roots (see Example 1.1).

Let $\left\{B_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq m\right\}$ be a set of trees such that, for $i=1,2, \ldots, m$. Then,
(1) $B_{i}$ is a generalized Bethe tree of $k_{i}$ levels,
(2) the vertices of $B_{i}$ at the level $j$ have degree $d_{i, k_{i}-j+1}$ for $j=1,2, \ldots, k_{i}$, and
(3) the edges of $B_{i}$ joining the vertices at the level $j$ with the vertices at the level $(j+1)$ have weight $w_{i, k_{i}-j}$ for $j=1,2, \ldots, k_{i}-1$.

Let $v\left\{B_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq m\right\}$ be the tree obtained from the coalescence of the trees $B_{i}$ at their roots in a common vertex $v$.

The Laplacian matrix of $G$ is $L(G)=D(G)-A(G)$. In [5], we give a complete characterization of the eigenvalues of the Laplacian matrix and adjacency matrix of $v\left\{B_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq m\right\}$ including results on their multiplicities. In Section 3, we extend these results to $A_{\alpha}\left(v\left\{B_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq m\right\}\right)$. Finally, in Section 4, we apply the results of Section 3 to deduce that the spectrum of $A_{\alpha}\left(T_{n, k}\right)$ is the union of the spectra of two special symmetric tridiagonal matrices of order $q$ and $q+1$ when $r=0$ or the union of the spectra of three special symmetric tridiagonal matrices of order $q, q+1$ and $2 q+2$ when $r \neq 0$. Thus, the $\alpha$-index of $T_{n, k}$ can be computed as the largest eigenvalue of the special symmetric tridiagonal matrix of order $q+1$ if $r=0$ or order $2 q+2$ if $r \neq 0$.
2. The maximal $\alpha$-index of trees with $k$ pendent vertices. In [6], the authors proved the following:

Theorem 2.1. (Wu, Xiao, and Hong [6]) Among all trees on $n$ vertices and $k$ pendent vertices, the maximal spectral radius of the adjacency matrix is obtained uniquely at $T_{n, k}$.

In this section, we extend Theorem 2.1 to all $\alpha \in[0,1)$. We begin recalling the following lemma that generalizes results known for the adjacency matrix and the signless Laplacian matrix of graphs.

Lemma 2.2. (Nikiforov and Rojo [4]) Let $\alpha \in[0,1)$ and let $G$ be a graph of order $n$. Suppose that $u, v \in V(G)$ and $S \subset V(G)$ satisfy $u, v \notin S$ and for every $w \in S,\{u, w\} \in E(G)$ and $\{v, w\} \notin E(G)$. Let $H$ be the graph obtained by deleting the edges $\{u, w\}$ and adding the edges $\{v, w\}$ for all $w \in S$. If $S$ is nonempty and there is a positive eigenvector $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ to $\rho_{\alpha}(G)$ such that $x_{v} \geq x_{u}$, then

$$
\rho_{\alpha}(H)>\rho_{\alpha}(G) .
$$

For any vertex $u$ of a connected graph $G$, let $G_{p, q}(u)$ be the graph obtained by attaching the paths $P_{p}$ and $P_{q}$ to $u$. This is done by identifying one end vertex of $P_{p}$ and one end vertex of $P_{q}$ with $u$. The following theorem was proposed as a Conjecture 18 in [4].

Theorem 2.3. (Lin, Huang, and Xue [1]) Let $\alpha \in[0,1$ ). If $G$ is a connected graph and $p \geq q+2 \geq 3$, then

$$
\rho_{\alpha}\left(G_{p, q}(u)\right)<\rho_{\alpha}\left(G_{p-1, q+1}(u)\right) .
$$

Given a graph $G$ and a vertex $u \in V(G)$, let $\Gamma_{G}(u)$ be the set of neighbors of $u$.
We are ready to extend Theorem 2.1 to all $\alpha \in[0,1)$.
Theorem 2.4. Let $\alpha \in[0,1)$ and $T$ be a tree of order $n$ and $k$ pendent vertices. Then

$$
\rho_{\alpha}(T) \leq \rho_{\alpha}\left(T_{n, k}\right)
$$

with equality if and only if $T=T_{n, k}$.
Proof. Let $T$ be a tree on $n$ vertices and $k$ pendent vertices. Let $d_{v}$ be the degree of $v \in V(T)$. Let $t$ be the number of vertices of $T$ with a degree greater than or equal to 3 . The following cases can occur:

Case 1: $t=0$. In this case, $T=P_{n}=T_{n, 2}$. Then $\rho_{\alpha}(T)=\rho_{\alpha}\left(T_{n, 2}\right)$.

Case 2: $t=1$. Repeated application of Theorem 2.3 enables to conclude that $\rho_{\alpha}(T) \leq \rho_{\alpha}\left(T_{n, k}\right)$ with equality if only if $T=T_{n, k}$.

Case 3: $t>1$. Let $\mathbf{x}$ be a positive unit eigenvector corresponding to $\rho_{\alpha}(T)$ in which $x_{v}$ is the component of $\mathbf{x}$ corresponding to $v \in V(T)$. Let $u, v \in V(T)$ such that $d_{u} \geq 3$ and $d_{v} \geq 3$. There is no loss of generality in assuming $x_{u} \geq x_{v}$. There is a unique path $P$ connecting $u$ and $v$ and let $z \in P$ be unique neighbour of $v$. Let $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{d_{v}-2} \in \Gamma_{T}(v) \backslash z$. Let $T_{1}$ be the tree obtained from $T$ by deleting the edges $\left\{v, v_{1}\right\}, \ldots,\left\{v, v_{d_{v}-2}\right\}$ and adding the edges $\left\{u, v_{1}\right\}, \ldots,\left\{u, v_{d_{v}-2}\right\}$. Clearly $T_{1}$ is a tree of order $n$ with $k$ pendent vertices having $t-1$ vertices with a degree greater than or equal to 3 . Since $x_{u} \geq x_{v}$, by Lemma 2.2 , it follows that $\rho_{\alpha}(T)<\rho_{\alpha}\left(T_{1}\right)$. If $t-1=1$, we stop and if $t-1>1$, we continue in this fashion to obtain a sequence of trees $T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{t-1}$ of order $n$ with $k$ pendent vertices such that $\rho_{\alpha}(T)<\rho_{\alpha}\left(T_{1}\right)<\rho_{\alpha}\left(T_{2}\right)<\cdots<\rho_{\alpha}\left(T_{t-1}\right)$, in which $T_{t-1}$ has a unique vertex with a degree greater than or equal to 3 . Finally, we apply Case 2 to conclude that $\rho_{\alpha}(T)<\rho_{\alpha}\left(T_{n, k}\right)$.
3. The $A_{\alpha}$-spectrum of the coalescence of generalized Bethe trees at their roots. Let $\sigma(M)$ be the spectrum of the matrix $M$. From now on, let $\beta=1-\alpha$.

The $A_{\alpha}$-spectrum of a generalized Bethe tree was studied in [3] and the results are presented in Theorem 3.2 below.

Let $B_{k}$ be a generalized Bethe tree on $k$ levels. For $j=1, \ldots, k$, let $n_{k-j+1}$ be the number of vertices at level $j$ and let $d_{k-j+1}$ be their degree. In particular, $d_{1}=1$ and $n_{k}=1$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega=\left\{j: 1 \leq j \leq k-1, n_{j}>n_{j+1}\right\} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 3.1. For $j=1,2, \ldots, k-1$, let $T_{j}$ be the $j \times j$ leading principal submatrix of the $k \times k$ symmetric tridiagonal matrix

$$
T=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
\alpha & \beta \sqrt{d_{2}-1} & 0 & & 0 \\
\beta \sqrt{d_{2}-1} & \alpha d_{2} & \ddots & & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \beta \sqrt{d_{k-1}-1} & \\
& & \beta \sqrt{d_{k-1}-1} & \alpha d_{k-1} & \beta \sqrt{d_{k}} \\
0 & & 0 & \beta \sqrt{d_{k}} & \alpha d_{k}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Theorem 3.2. (Nikiforov and Rojo [3, Theorem 8]) Let $B_{k}$ be a generalized Bethe tree, and $\alpha \in[0,1$ ). If the matrices $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{k-1}, T$ are defined as in Definition 3.1, then:
(a)

$$
\sigma\left(A_{\alpha}\left(B_{k}\right)\right)=\left(\cup_{j \in \Omega} \sigma\left(T_{j}\right)\right) \cup \sigma(T)
$$

(b) The multiplicity of each eigenvalue of $T_{j}$ as an eigenvalue of $A_{\alpha}\left(B_{k}\right)$ is $n_{j}-n_{j+1}$ if $j \in \Omega$ and the eigenvalues of $T$ as eigenvalues of $A_{\alpha}\left(B_{k}\right)$ are simple. If some eigenvalues obtained in different matrices are equal, their multiplicities are added together.
(c) The largest eigenvalue of $T$ is the largest eigenvalue of $A_{\alpha}\left(B_{k}\right)$.

We now search for $A_{\alpha}$-spectrum of $v\left\{B_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq m\right\}$. We recall that $\left\{B_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq m\right\}$ is a set of trees such that, for $i=1,2, \ldots, m$,
(1) $B_{i}$ is a generalized Bethe tree of $k_{i}$ levels,
(2) the vertices of $B_{i}$ at the level $j$ have degree $d_{i, k_{i}-j+1}$ for $j=1,2, \ldots, k_{i}$, and
(3) the edges of $B_{i}$ joining the vertices at the level $j$ with the vertices at the level $(j+1)$ have weight $w_{i, k_{i}-j}$ for $j=1,2, \ldots, k_{i}-1$.

We recall the results obtained in [5] on the spectrum of $L\left(v\left\{B_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq m\right\}\right)$. Assume that the common root $v$ is at the level 1 . For $j=1, \ldots, k_{i}$, let $n_{i, k_{i}-j+1}$ be the number of vertices at the level $j$ of $B_{i}$. Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta_{i, 1}=w_{i, 1} \\
\delta_{i, j}=\left(d_{i, j}-1\right) w_{i, j-1}+w_{i, j}
\end{gathered}
$$

for $j=2, \ldots, k_{i}-1$, and

$$
\delta=\sum_{i=1}^{m} d_{i, k_{i}} w_{i, k_{i}-1} .
$$

Definition 3.3. For $i=1, \ldots, m$ and for $j=1, \ldots, k_{i}-1$, let $T_{i, j}$ be the $j \times j$ leading principal submatrix of the $\left(k_{i}-1\right) \times\left(k_{i}-1\right)$ symmetric tridiagonal matrix

$$
T_{i, k_{i}-1}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\delta_{i, 1} & w_{i, 1} \sqrt{d_{i, 2}-1} & & \\
w_{i, 1} \sqrt{d_{i, 2}-1} & \delta_{i, 2} & \ddots & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & w_{i, k_{i}-2} \sqrt{d_{i, k_{i}-1}-1} \\
& & w_{i, k_{i}-2} \sqrt{d_{i, k_{i}-1}-1} & \delta_{i, k_{i}-1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Definition 3.4. Let $r=\sum_{i=1}^{m} k_{i}-m+1$. Let $T$ be the symmetric matrix of order $r \times r$ defined by

$$
T=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
T_{1, k_{1}-1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & w_{1, k_{1}-1} \mathbf{p}_{1} \\
0 & T_{2, k_{2}-1} & \ddots & & w_{2, k_{2}-1} \mathbf{p}_{2} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & \vdots \\
0 & & 0 & T_{m, k_{m}-1} & w_{m, k_{m}-1} \mathbf{p}_{m} \\
w_{1, k_{1} \mathbf{p}_{1}^{T}} & w_{2, k_{2}-1} \mathbf{p}_{2}^{T} & \cdots & w_{m, k_{m}-1} \mathbf{p}_{m}^{T} & \delta
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $T_{1, k_{1}-1}, T_{2, k_{2}-1}, \ldots, T_{m, k_{m}-1}$ are the symmetric tridiagonal matrices defined in Definition 3.3 and

$$
\mathbf{p}_{i}^{T}=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & \sqrt{n_{i, k_{i}-1}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

for $i=1, \ldots, m$.
For $i=1, \ldots, m$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{i}=\left\{j: 1 \leq j \leq k_{i}-1, n_{i, j}>n_{i, j+1}\right\} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.5. (Rojo [5, Theorem 2]) (a) $\sigma\left(L\left(v\left\{B_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq m\right\}\right)\right)=\left(\cup_{i=1}^{m} \cup_{j \in \Omega_{i}} \sigma\left(T_{i, j}\right)\right) \cup \sigma(T)$, where the matrices $T_{i, j}$ and $T$ are as in Definitions 3.3 and 3.4.
(b) The multiplicity of each eigenvalue of the matrix $T_{i, j}$, as an eigenvalue of $L\left(v\left\{B_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq m\right\}\right)$, is at least $\left(n_{i}, j-n_{i, j+1}\right)$ for $j \in \Omega_{i}$, and the eigenvalues of $T$ as eigenvalues of $L\left(v\left\{B_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq m\right\}\right)$ are simple.

Taking into consideration that the diagonal entries $\delta_{i, j}$ and $\delta$ defined above become

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta_{i, 1}=\alpha, \\
\delta_{i, j}=\alpha d_{i, j},
\end{gathered}
$$

for $j=1, \ldots, k_{i}-1$, and

$$
\delta=\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{m} d_{i, k_{i}}
$$

in case of the matrix $A_{\alpha}\left(v\left\{B_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq m\right\}\right)$ and using the fact that $A_{\alpha}(G)$ can be viewed as a matrix on a weighted graph $G$ in which all its edges have a weight $\beta=1-\alpha$, the technique and the same steps used in [5] to obtain Theorem 3.5 can be applied to find the spectrum of $A_{\alpha}\left(v\left\{B_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq m\right\}\right)$ getting that:

Theorem 3.6. (a)

$$
\sigma\left(A_{\alpha}\left(v\left\{B_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq m\right\}\right)\right)=\left(\cup_{i=1}^{m} \cup_{j \in \Omega_{i}} \sigma\left(T_{i, j}(\alpha)\right)\right) \cup \sigma(T(\alpha))
$$

where the matrices $T_{i, j}(\alpha)$ and $T(\alpha)$ are as in Definitions 3.7 and 3.8.
(b) The multiplicity of each eigenvalue of the matrix $T_{i, j}(\alpha)$, as an eigenvalue of $A_{\alpha}\left(v\left\{B_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq m\right\}\right)$, is at least $\left(n_{i}, j-n_{i, j+1}\right)$ for $j \in \Omega_{i}$, and the eigenvalues of $T(\alpha)$ as eigenvalues of $A_{\alpha}\left(v\left\{B_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq m\right\}\right)$ are simple.

Definition 3.7. For $i=1,2, \ldots, m$ and for $j=1,2,3, \ldots, k_{i}-1$, let $T_{i, j}(\alpha)$ be the $j \times j$ leading principal submatrix of the $\left(k_{i}-1\right) \times\left(k_{i}-1\right)$ symmetric tridiagonal matrix

$$
T_{i, k_{i}-1}(\alpha)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\alpha & \beta \sqrt{d_{i, 2}-1} & & \\
\beta \sqrt{d_{i, 2}-1} & \alpha d_{i, 2} & \ddots & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \beta \sqrt{d_{i, k_{i}-1}-1} \\
& & \beta \sqrt{d_{i, k_{i}-1}-1} & \alpha d_{i, k_{i}-1}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Definition 3.8. Let $r=\sum_{i=1}^{m} k_{i}-m+1$. Let $T(\alpha)$ be the symmetric matrix of order $r \times r$ defined by

$$
T(\alpha)=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
T_{1, k_{1}-1}(\alpha) & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \beta \mathbf{p}_{1} \\
0 & T_{2, k_{2}-1}(\alpha) & \ddots & & \beta \mathbf{p}_{2} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & \vdots \\
0 & & 0 & T_{m, k_{m}-1}(\alpha) & \beta \mathbf{p}_{m} \\
\beta \mathbf{p}_{1}^{T} & \beta \mathbf{p}_{2}^{T} & \cdots & \beta \mathbf{p}_{m}^{T} & \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{m} d_{i, k_{i}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $T_{1, k_{1}-1}(\alpha), T_{2, k_{2}-1}(\alpha), \ldots, T_{m, k_{m}-1}(\alpha)$ are the symmetric tridiagonal matrices defined in Definition 3.7 and

$$
\mathbf{p}_{i}^{T}=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & \sqrt{n_{i, k_{i}-1}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

for $i=1, \ldots, m$.
4. The $A_{\alpha}$-spectrum of $T_{n, k}$. We recall that $n-1=k q+r$ where $q=\left[\frac{n-1}{q}\right]$ and $0 \leq r \leq k-1$. As we will see later, the matrix

$$
T(\alpha)=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
\alpha & \beta & 0 & & 0  \tag{4.3}\\
\beta & 2 \alpha & \ddots & & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \beta & \\
& & \beta & 2 \alpha & \beta \sqrt{k} \\
0 & & 0 & \beta \sqrt{k} & k \alpha
\end{array}\right]
$$

of the appropriate order plays a special role in this section.
We recall that if $A$ is an $m \times m$ symmetric tridiagonal matrix with nonzero codiagonal entries then the eigenvalues of any $(m-1) \times(m-1)$ principal submatrix strictly interlace the eigenvalues of $A$. Hence, the eigenvalues of any symmetric tridiagonal matrix with nonzero codiagonal entries are simple.

There are two cases:

### 4.1. Case $r=0$.

Theorem 4.1. Let $n=k q+1$. If the matrix $T(\alpha)$ in (4.3) is of order $q+1$ and $T_{q}(\alpha)$ is its leading principal submatrix of order $q$, then
(a)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma\left(A_{\alpha}\left(T_{n, k}\right)\right)=\sigma\left(T_{q}(\alpha)\right) \cup \sigma(T(\alpha)) ; \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) the multiplicity of each eigenvalue of $T_{q}(\alpha)$ as an eigenvalue of $A_{\alpha}\left(T_{n, k}\right)$ is exactly $k-1$, and the eigenvalues of $T(\alpha)$ as eigenvalues of $A_{\alpha}\left(T_{n, k}\right)$ are simple; and
(c) the largest eigenvalue of $T(\alpha)$ is the $\alpha$-index of $T_{n, k}$.

Proof. (a) Assume $r=0$. Then $n=k q+1$ and $T_{n, k}$ is a generalized Bethe tree of $q+1$ levels in which, from the pendent vertices to the root, the vertex degrees and the number of vertices are

$$
d_{1}=1, \quad d_{2}=\cdots=d_{q}=2, \quad d_{q+1}=k, \quad n_{1}=n_{2}=\cdots=n_{q}=k, \quad n_{q+1}=1 .
$$

Then the set $\Omega$ in (3.1) is $\Omega=\{q\}$ and the matrix $T$ in Definition 3.1 becomes the matrix $T(\alpha)$ in (4.3) of order $(q+1)$. We apply Theorem 3.2, part (a), to obtain that the $A_{\alpha}$-spectrum of $T_{n, q}$ is given by (4.4).
(b) The eigenvalues of $A_{\alpha}\left(T_{n, k}\right)$ are the eigenvalues of $T_{q}(\alpha)$ and $T(\alpha)$; and, the eigenvalues of $T_{q}(\alpha)$ strictly interlace the eigenvalues of $T(\alpha)$. These facts and part (b) of Theorem 3.2 imply that the multiplicity of each eigenvalue of $T_{q}(\alpha)$ as eigenvalue of $A_{\alpha}\left(T_{n, k}\right)$ is exactly $k-1$ and each eigenvalue of $T(\alpha)$ as eigenvalue of $A_{\alpha}\left(T_{n, k}\right)$ is simple.
(c) It is an immediate consequence of the facts mentioned in the proof of part (b).
4.2. Case $r \neq 0$. At this point, we introduce the following additional notations: 0 is the all zeros matrix of the appropriate order, $I_{n}$ is the identity matrix and $R_{n}$ is the reversal identity matrix, both of order $n \times n$. We recall that $R_{n}$ is a permutation matrix where the 1 entries reside on the back diagonal and all other entries are zero. If $A$ is a matrix with $n$ rows then $R_{n} A$ reverses the rows of $A$ and if $A$ is a matrix with $n$ columns then $A R_{n}$ reverses the columns of $A$.

THEOREM 4.2. Let $n=k q+r+1$ with $0<r \leq k-1$. If the matrix $T_{q}(\alpha)$ and $T_{q+1}(\alpha)$ are the leading principal submatrices of order $q$ and $q+1$, respectively, of the matrix $T(\alpha)$ as in (4.3), then
(a)

$$
\sigma\left(A_{\alpha}\left(T_{n, k}\right)\right)=\sigma\left(T_{q}\right)(\alpha) \cup \sigma\left(T_{q+1}(\alpha)\right) \cup \sigma(R(\alpha))
$$

where $R(\alpha)$ is a symmetric tridiagonal matrix of order $2 q+2$ with diagonal entries

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha, \overbrace{2 \alpha, \ldots, 2 \alpha}^{q-1}, k \alpha, \overbrace{2 \alpha, \ldots, 2 \alpha}^{q}, \alpha \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and codiagonal entries

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overbrace{\beta, \ldots, \beta}^{q-1}, \beta \sqrt{k-r}, \beta \sqrt{r}, \overbrace{\beta, \ldots, \beta}^{q} . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) The multiplicity of each eigenvalue of $T_{q}(\alpha)$ and $T_{q+1}(\alpha)$ as an eigenvalue of $A_{\alpha}\left(T_{n, k}\right)$ is $k-r-1$ and $r-1$, respectively, and the eigenvalues of $R(\alpha)$ as eigenvalues of $A_{\alpha}\left(T_{n, k}\right)$ are simple.
(c) The largest eigenvalue of $R(\alpha)$ is the $\alpha$-index of $T_{n, k}$.

Proof. (a) Let now $n=k q+r+1$, with $r \neq 0$. In this case, $T_{n, k}$ is the tree obtained by the coalescence of $m=2$ generalized Bethe trees $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ at their roots in a common vertex $v, T_{n, k}=v\left\{B_{1}, B_{2}\right\}$, in which the number of levels of $B_{1}$ is $q+1$ and the number of levels of $B_{2}$ is $q+2$. Clearly the degree of $v$ is equal to $k$. From the pendent vertices to the root, the vertex degrees and the number of vertices are

$$
d_{1,1}=1, \quad d_{1,2}=\cdots=d_{1, q}=2, \quad n_{1,1}=n_{1,2}=\cdots=n_{1, q}=k-r, \quad n_{1, q+1}=1
$$

for the tree $B_{1}$, and

$$
d_{2,1}=1, \quad d_{2,2}=\cdots=d_{2, q+1}=2, \quad n_{2,1}=n_{2,2}=\cdots=n_{2, q+1}=r, \quad n_{2, q+2}=1
$$

for the tree $B_{2}$.
The sets $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ in (3.2) are $\Omega_{1}=\{q\}$ and $\Omega_{2}=\{q+1\}$. Then, from Theorem 3.6, part (a), we obtain

$$
\sigma\left(A_{\alpha}\left(T_{n, k}\right)\right)=\sigma\left(T_{q}(\alpha)\right) \cup \sigma\left(T_{q+1}(\alpha)\right) \cup \sigma(S(\alpha))
$$

where

$$
S(\alpha)=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
T_{q}(\alpha) & 0 & \beta \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{1}} \\
0 & T_{q+1}(\alpha) & \beta \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{2}} \\
\beta \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{1}}{ }^{T} & \beta \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{2}}{ }^{T} & k \alpha
\end{array}\right]
$$

with $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{1}}{ }^{T}=[0, \ldots, 0, \sqrt{k-r}]$ and $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{2}}{ }^{T}=[0, \ldots, 0, \sqrt{r}]$. Let $P$ be the permutation matrix

$$
P=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I_{q} & 0 \\
0^{T} & R_{q+2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Let $R(\alpha)=P S(\alpha) P$. Since $P^{2}=I_{2 q+2}$, it follows that $S(\alpha)$ and $R(\alpha)$ are similar matrices. We have

$$
P S(\alpha)=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
T_{q}(\alpha) & 0 & \beta \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{1}} \\
\beta \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{1}}^{T} & \beta \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{2}}{ }^{T} & k \alpha \\
0 & R_{q+1} T_{q+1}(\alpha) & \beta R_{q+1} \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{2}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Hence,

$$
R(\alpha)=P S(\alpha) P=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
T_{q}(\alpha) & \beta \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{1}} & 0 \\
\beta \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{1}}{ }^{T} & k \alpha & \beta \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{2}}{ }^{T} R_{q+1} \\
0 & \beta R_{q+1} \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{2}} & R_{q+1} T_{q+1}(\alpha) R_{q+1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

is a symmetric tridiagonal matrix in which its diagonal entries and codiagonal entries are as in (4.5) and (4.6), respectively.
(b) Since $\Omega_{1}=\{q\}, n_{1, q}=k-r, n_{1, q+1}=1$ and $\Omega_{2}=\{q+1\}, n_{1, q+1}=r, n_{1, q+2}=1$, the results follow from Theorem 3.6, part (b).
(c) It is an immediate consequence of the interlacing property of the eigenvalues of Hermitian matrices.
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