



A NOTE ON PARALLEL DISTINGUISHABILITY OF TWO QUANTUM OPERATIONS*

CHI-KWONG LI[†], YUE LIU[‡], CHAO MA[§], AND DIANE CHRISTINE PELEJO[¶]

Abstract. In this work, the authors consider a homogeneous system of linear equations of the form $A_\alpha^{\otimes N} \mathbf{x} = 0$ arising from the distinguishability of two quantum operations by N uses in parallel, where the coefficient matrix A_α depends on a real parameter α . It was conjectured by Duan et al. that the system has a non-trivial nonnegative solution if and only if α lies in a certain interval R_N depending on N . The authors affirm the necessity part of the conjecture and establish the sufficiency of the conjecture for $N \leq 10$ by presenting explicit non-trivial nonnegative solutions for the linear system.

Key words. Quantum channels, Parallel distinguishability.

AMS subject classifications. 46N60, 15A69.

1. Introduction. Let $M_{m,n}$ (respectively, M_n) be the set of $m \times n$ (respectively, $n \times n$) complex matrices. Denote by H_n the set of $n \times n$ Hermitian matrices and by D_n the set of $n \times n$ density matrices, which are positive semidefinite matrices with trace one.

In the mathematical framework of quantum mechanics, density matrices are used to describe the state of a quantum system. Quantum operations [5, 6] are trace-preserving, completely-positive linear maps from M_n to M_m . It is known [2, 4] that for a quantum operation $\mathcal{E} : M_n \rightarrow M_m$, there exists a set of matrices $\{E_1, \dots, E_{n_0}\} \subset M_{m,n}$, called a set of Choi-Kraus operators of \mathcal{E} , such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n_0} E_j^* E_j = I_n \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E}(X) = \sum_{j=1}^{n_0} E_j X E_j^* \quad \text{for any } X \in M_n.$$

For example, the identity map on M_ℓ , denoted by \mathcal{I}_ℓ , has $\{I_\ell\}$ as Choi-Kraus operator.

Two quantum operations $\mathcal{E} : M_n \rightarrow M_m$ and $\mathcal{F} : M_n \rightarrow M_m$, with Choi-Kraus operators given by $\{E_j\}_{j=1}^{n_0}$ and $\{F_k\}_{k=1}^{n_1}$ are *distinguishable by N uses in parallel* if for some integers ℓ, r , there exists a nonzero vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^{\ell \cdot r \cdot n^N}$ such that

$$Y_1 = (\mathcal{I}_\ell^{\otimes r} \otimes \mathcal{E}^{\otimes N})(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^*) = \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_N \in \{1, \dots, n_0\}} (I_\ell^{\otimes r} \otimes E_{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes E_{j_N}) \mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^* (I_\ell^{\otimes r} \otimes E_{j_1}^* \otimes \dots \otimes E_{j_N}^*)$$

*Received by the editors on September 2, 2019. Accepted for publication on February 28, 2020. Handling Editor: Shmuel Friedland. Corresponding Author: Chao Ma.

[†]Department of Mathematics, College of William and Mary, Williamsburg, Virginia, 23187, USA (ckli@math.wm.edu). Li is an affiliate member of the Institute for Quantum Computing, University of Waterloo; he is also an honorary professor of Shanghai University. His research was supported by USA NSF grant DMS 1331021, Simons Foundation grant 351047, and NNSF of China grants 11571220 and 11971294.

[‡]College of Mathematics and Computer Science, Fuzhou University, Fuzhou, 350108, China (liuyue09@gmail.com). The research of Liu was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China grants 11571075 and 11871015.

[§]College of Arts and Sciences, Shanghai Maritime University, Shanghai 201306, China (machao0923@163.com). The research of Ma was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China grants 11601322 and 61573240.

[¶]Institute of Mathematics, College of Science, University of the Philippines Diliman, Quezon City 1101, Philippines (dcpelejo@math.upd.edu.ph). Pelejo was supported by PhD Incentive Award no. 191902 from the University of the Philippines Diliman Office of the Vice Chancellor for Research and Development.

and

$$Y_2 = (\mathcal{I}_\ell^{\otimes r} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\otimes N})(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^*) = \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_N \in \{1, \dots, n_1\}} (I_\ell^{\otimes r} \otimes F_{k_1} \otimes \dots \otimes F_{k_N}) \mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^* (I_\ell^{\otimes r} \otimes F_{k_1}^* \otimes \dots \otimes F_{k_N}^*)$$

are orthogonal, that is, $\text{Tr}(Y_1^* Y_2) = 0$. One may see [3] and its references for the background of the concept. In particular, the following results were obtained in [3, Theorems 1 and 2].

PROPOSITION 1.1. *Let \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} be two quantum operations with Choi-Kraus operators $\{E_j\}_{j=1}^{n_0}$ and $\{F_k\}_{k=1}^{n_1}$, respectively. Then \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} can be perfectly distinguished by N uses in parallel if and only if there exists a density matrix $\rho \in (S_{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}}^{\otimes N})^\perp$, where*

$$S_{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}} = \text{Span} \{E_j^* F_k \mid 1 \leq j \leq n_0, 1 \leq k \leq n_1\} \quad \text{and} \quad S_{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}}^{\otimes N} = \text{Span} \{R^{\otimes N} : R \in S_{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}}\}.$$

PROPOSITION 1.2. *Any non-empty subset $T \subseteq M_n$ can be realized as a spanning set of $S_{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}}$ of some pair of quantum operations \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F} .*

Here we give a short proof of Proposition 1.2:

Proof. Suppose $\text{Span} T$ has a basis $\{A_1, \dots, A_m\} \subseteq M_n$. Consider the block diagonal matrix $\mathbf{A} = A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_m$. If \mathbf{A} has rank \tilde{k} , then $\mathbf{A} = [B_1 \dots B_m]^* [C_1 \dots C_m]$, where $B_1, \dots, B_m, C_1, \dots, C_m$ are $k \times n$ matrices with $k = \max\{\tilde{k}, n\}$. Let $M > 0$ be such that $I_n - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^m B_j^* B_j = B_{m+1}^* B_{m+1}$ and $I_n - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^m C_j^* C_j = C_{m+1}^* C_{m+1}$ for some $k \times n$ matrices B_{m+1}, C_{m+1} . Let $E_1, \dots, E_{m+1}, F_1, \dots, F_{m+1} \in M_{3k, n}$ be such that

$$E_j^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} [B_j^* | 0_{n, 2k}], \quad F_j^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} [C_j^* | 0_{n, 2k}], \quad j = 1, \dots, m,$$

$$E_{m+1}^* = [0_{n, k} | B_{m+1}^* | 0_{n, k}], \quad F_{m+1}^* = [0_{n, 2k} | C_{m+1}^*].$$

Then $\sum_{j=1}^{m+1} E_j^* E_j = \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} F_j^* F_j = I_n$, and

$$[E_1 \dots E_{m+1}]^* [F_1 \dots F_{m+1}] = \frac{1}{M} (A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_m \oplus 0_n).$$

If the quantum channels from M_n to M_{3k} have the sets of Choi-Kraus operators $\{E_1, \dots, E_{m+1}\}$ and $\{F_1, \dots, F_{m+1}\}$, then $\text{Span} \{E_i^* F_j : 1 \leq i, j \leq m+1\} = \text{Span} \{A_1, \dots, A_m\}$. \square

In [3], the authors considered the quantum channels \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} with $S_{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}}$ equal to the span of the set

$$T_\alpha = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{i\alpha} \end{bmatrix} \right\}, \quad \alpha \in [0, 2\pi).$$

It is easy to see that the following conditions for a density operator $\rho = (\rho_{ij}) \in M_{3N}$ are equivalent.

- (a) The density operator $\rho \in (\text{Span}(T_\alpha)^{\otimes N})^\perp$.
- (b) The diagonal density operator $\hat{\rho} = \text{diag}(\rho_{11}, \dots, \rho_{3N, 3N}) \in (\text{Span}(T_\alpha)^{\otimes N})^\perp$.
- (c) The vector $\mathbf{x} = (\rho_{11}, \dots, \rho_{3N, 3N})^t \in \mathbb{C}^{3^N}$ satisfies the homogeneous equation

$$(1.1) \quad A_\alpha^{\otimes N} \mathbf{x} = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad A_\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & e^{i\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & e^{i\alpha} \end{bmatrix}.$$

By the above fact, one can focus on finding a non-trivial nonnegative vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^{3^N}$ satisfying (1.1). Furthermore, the following remarks and conjecture were made in [3].

REMARK 1.3. If $\alpha \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2})$, the space $\text{Span}(T_\alpha)$ contains a positive operator. In this case $(\text{Span}(T_\alpha)^{\otimes N})^\perp$ does not contain a density matrix for any positive integer N . This makes the corresponding pair of quantum operations \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F} , satisfying $S_{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}} = \text{Span}(T)$, indistinguishable. By taking the complex conjugate of equation (1.1), we see that there is a non-trivial nonnegative solution to $A_\alpha^N \mathbf{x} = 0$ if and only if there is a non-trivial nonnegative solution to $A_{-\alpha}^N \mathbf{x} = A_{2\pi-\alpha}^N \mathbf{x} = 0$. Hence, we only need to focus on the case when $\alpha \in [\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi]$.

CONJECTURE 1.4. Let $\alpha \in [\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi]$. The equation (1.1) has a non-trivial nonnegative solution if and only if $\alpha \in [\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2N}, \pi]$.

In [3], the authors gave explicit solutions of the equation (1.1) for $N \leq 4$. Furthermore, in Section IV of the paper, it was shown that one may reduce the complexity of the equation (1.1) by finding solution with some symmetries imposed on its entries, and reduce the equation to another equation $C_{\alpha, N} \mathbf{y} = 0$, where $C_{\alpha, N}$ is an $(N+1) \times \frac{(N+1)(N+2)}{2}$ matrix with full column rank. In Section 2, we will set up the system $C_{\alpha, N} \mathbf{y} = 0$ and obtain another symmetry for the solution. In Section 3, we prove the necessity part of Conjecture 1.4, that is, if $\alpha \in [\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi]$ and equation (1.1) has a non-trivial nonnegative solution, then $\alpha \in [\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2N}, \pi]$. In Section 4, we present explicit non-trivial nonnegative solutions (1.1) for $\alpha \in [\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2N}, \pi]$ and $N \leq 10$. In Section 5, we provide some additional remarks that may help in studying the sufficiency part of the conjecture.

2. A reduction of the linear system. First, we label the entries of a vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^{3^N}$ using ternary numbers. That is, we use the ternary number $(j_0, \dots, j_{N-1}) \in \{0, 1, 2\}^N$, for the j -th entry of \mathbf{x} when

$$j = 1 + \sum_{p=0}^{N-1} j_p 3^{N-1-p}.$$

For example, we will label the entries of $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^{3^2}$ with 00, 01, 02, 10, 11, 12, 20, 21, 22. In the same manner, we label the columns of $A_\alpha^{\otimes N}$ using ternary numbers. Meanwhile, we label the rows of $A_\alpha^{\otimes N}$ using binary numbers.

In [3, Section IV], it was shown that one may reduce the complexity of the equation (1.1) by finding solution $\mathbf{x} = [x_J]_{J \in \{0, 1, 2\}^N} \in \mathbb{C}^{3^N}$ with entries labeled by $J \in \{0, 1, 2\}^N$ such that $x_J = x_{\hat{J}}$ whenever $J = P\hat{J}$ for a permutation matrix $P \in M_N$, i.e., the ternary sequences J and \hat{J} have the same numbers of 0, 1, 2 terms. We summarize the result in the following.

PROPOSITION 2.1. If there is a non-trivial nonnegative solution \mathbf{x} satisfying equation (1.1), then there is a non-trivial nonnegative solution $\hat{\mathbf{x}} = [\hat{x}_J]_{J \in \{0, 1, 2\}^N}$ such that

$$\hat{x}_{j_0, \dots, j_{N-1}} = \hat{x}_{k_0, \dots, k_{N-1}}$$

whenever there exists a permutation $\sigma \in S_N$ such that

$$(j_{\sigma(0)}, \dots, j_{\sigma(N-1)}) = (k_0, \dots, k_{N-1}).$$

For a triple (N_0, N_1, N_2) of nonnegative integers with $N_0 + N_1 + N_2 = N$, define the set

$$(2.2) \quad [N_0, N_1, N_2] = \{(j_0, j_1, \dots, j_{N-1}) \in \{0, 1, 2\}^N : N_\ell = \#\{p : j_p = \ell\} \text{ for all } \ell \in \{0, 1, 2\}\},$$

of all ternary labels of length N that contains N_0 digits equal to 0, N_1 digits equal to 1 and N_2 digits equal to 2. For example, when $N = 2$,

$$[1, 1, 0] = \{01, 10\}, \quad [0, 1, 1] = \{12, 21\}, \quad [2, 0, 0] = \{00\}.$$

Proposition 2.1 states that if there is a non-trivial nonnegative solution to $A_\alpha^N \mathbf{x} = 0$, then there is a non-trivial nonnegative solution $\hat{\mathbf{x}} = [a_J]_{J \in \{0,1,2\}^N}$ such that $a_J = a_K$ whenever $J, K \in [N_0, N_1, N_2]$. Using this symmetry, $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ has at most p_N distinct entries, where p_N is the number of nonnegative integer triples (N_0, N_1, N_2) satisfying $N_0 + N_1 + N_2 = N$. The total number of such triples equals the sum of solutions of $N_0 + N_1 = k$ for $k = 0, \dots, N$, and hence,

$$(2.3) \quad p_N = 1 + \dots + (N + 1) = \frac{(N + 1)(N + 2)}{2}.$$

For example, when $N = 2$, we see from equation (2.3), that \hat{x} has at most $p_2 = 6$ distinct entries. In fact, we may assume that the solution has the form:

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}^T = [x_{00} \ x_{01} \ x_{02} \ x_{10} \ x_{11} \ x_{12} \ x_{20} \ x_{21} \ x_{22}] = [a \ b \ c \ b \ d \ e \ c \ e \ f].$$

In the following, it is convenient to replace A_α by the matrix

$$(2.4) \quad A_\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -e^{2i\alpha} \\ 0 & 1 & e^{i\alpha} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -e^{i\alpha} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & e^{i\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & e^{i\alpha} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -z^2 \\ 0 & 1 & z \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{with } z = e^{i\alpha}.$$

Now, let us define a $3^N \times p_N$ matrix Q_N by labeling its rows by ternary numbers in the usual order and labeling its first $N + 1$ columns by $[N, 0, 0], [N - 1, 0, 1], \dots, [1, 0, N - 1], [0, 0, N]$, then its next N columns by $[N - 1, 1, 0], [N - 2, 1, 1], \dots, [0, 1, N - 1]$ and so on; then setting the (i, j) -th entry of Q_N equal to 1 precisely when the ternary label of the i -th row is an element of the j -th column label as defined in equation (2.2). We can then define the following $2^N \times p_N$ matrix

$$(2.5) \quad B_{\alpha, N} = A_\alpha^{\otimes N} Q_N.$$

Notice that for a non-trivial nonnegative solution $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ satisfying the symmetry described in Proposition 2.1, we have

$$A_\alpha^{\otimes N} \hat{\mathbf{x}} = A_\alpha^{\otimes N} Q_N \mathbf{y} = B_{\alpha, N} \mathbf{y}$$

for some nonzero nonnegative vector $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{C}^{p_N}$. Observe $B_{\alpha, N}$ for $N = 2, 3$ given below,

$$B_{\alpha, 2} = \begin{matrix} & [200] & [101] & [002] & [110] & [011] & [020] \\ \begin{matrix} [00] \\ [01] \\ [10] \\ [11] \end{matrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2z^2 & z^4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z & -z^3 & 1 & -z^2 & 0 \\ 0 & z & -z^3 & 1 & -z^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z^2 & 0 & 2z & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \end{matrix}$$

$$B_{\alpha, 3} = \begin{matrix} & [300] & [201] & [102] & [003] & [210] & [111] & [012] & [120] & [021] & [030] \\ \begin{matrix} [000] \\ [001] \\ [010] \\ [011] \\ [100] \\ [101] \\ [110] \\ [111] \end{matrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -3z^2 & 3z^4 & -z^6 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z & -2z^3 & z^5 & 1 & -2z^2 & z^4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z & -2z^3 & z^5 & 1 & -2z^2 & z^4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z^2 & -z^4 & 0 & 2z & -2z^3 & 1 & -z^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z & -2z^3 & z^5 & 1 & -2z^2 & z^4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z^2 & -z^4 & 0 & 2z & -2z^3 & 1 & -z^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z^2 & -z^4 & 0 & 2z & -2z^3 & 1 & -z^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & z^3 & 0 & 0 & 3z^2 & 0 & 3z & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{matrix}$$

PROPOSITION 2.2. Let $B_{\alpha,N}$ be defined as in equation (2.5). Then:

- (a) $\text{rank}(B_{\alpha,N}) = N + 1$.
- (b) The first $N + 1$ columns of $B_{\alpha,N}$ are linearly independent.
- (c) If the digits of the binary labels of rows J and K have the same number of zeros (equivalently, the same number of ones), then the J -th and K -th rows of $B_{\alpha,N}$ are identical.
- (d) Let $J = 00 \cdots \underbrace{11 \cdots 1}_j$. Then

$$(2.6) \quad (B_{\alpha,N})_{J;[N_0,N_1,N_2]} = \binom{N-j}{N_0} \cdot (-z^2)^{N-j-N_0} \cdot \binom{j}{N_1} z^{j-N_1},$$

where we agree that $\binom{n}{k} = 0$ whenever $k > n$.

Proof. Let A_α be defined as in equation (2.4). Denote its entries by $a_{j,\ell}$ where $j \in \{0, 1\}$ and $\ell \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. One can check that if $J = (j_1, \dots, j_N) \in \{0, 1\}^N$ and $L = (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_N) \in \{0, 1, 2\}^N$, then the (J, L) -th entry of $A_\alpha^{\otimes N}$ is $\prod_{s=1}^N a_{j_s, \ell_s}$.

We first prove (c). Since J and K have the same number of zeros, there exists $\sigma \in S_N$ such that $J = \sigma(K)$.

Let N_0, N_1, N_2 be nonnegative integers with $N_0 + N_1 + N_2 = N$, $\tau \in S_N$, write

$$\tau([N_0, N_1, N_2]) := \{\tau(L) | L \in [N_0, N_1, N_2]\}.$$

It is easy to verify that $\tau([N_0, N_1, N_2]) = [N_0, N_1, N_2]$ for any τ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (B_{\alpha,N})_{J;[N_0,N_1,N_2]} &= \sum_{L=\ell_1\ell_2\cdots\ell_N \in [N_0,N_1,N_2]} (A_\alpha^{\otimes N})_{J,L} \\ &= \sum_{L=\ell_1\ell_2\cdots\ell_N \in [N_0,N_1,N_2]} \prod_{s=1}^N (A_\alpha)_{j_s, \ell_s} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma(L) \in [N_0,N_1,N_2]} \prod_{s=1}^N (A_\alpha)_{j_{\sigma(s)}, \ell_{\sigma(s)}} \\ &= \sum_{L \in [N_0,N_1,N_2]} \prod_{s=1}^N (A_\alpha)_{k_s, \ell_s} \\ &= (B_{\alpha,N})_{K;[N_0,N_1,N_2]}, \end{aligned}$$

where $J = j_1 j_2 \cdots j_N$ and $K = k_1 k_2 \cdots k_N$. Thus, the J -th and K -th rows of $B_{\alpha,N}$ are identical.

Note that

$$(2.7) \quad (B_{\alpha,N})_{J;[N_0,N_1,N_2]} = \sum_{L=\ell_1\ell_2\cdots\ell_N \in [N_0,N_1,N_2]} \prod_{s=1}^{N-j} a_{0, \ell_s} \prod_{t=N-j+1}^N a_{1, \ell_t}.$$

Let $L \in [N_0, N_1, N_2]$ corresponding to a nonzero term in the formula (2.7). Since $a_{10} = 0$, then $\{s | \ell_s = 0\} \subseteq [N - j]$. Since $\#\{s | \ell_s = 0\} = N_0$, there are $\binom{N-j}{N_0}$ different choices for the positions of 0s in L . Now suppose that the positions of 0s have been chosen, then for $s \in [N - j] \setminus \{s | \ell_s = 0\}$, ℓ_s can't be 1 since $a_{01} = 0$. Thus, there are $N - j - N_0$ terms of $-z^2$ in the first product.

3. Necessity of Conjecture 1.4. To prove the necessity of Conjecture 1.4, we demonstrate another symmetry one may impose on the solution of \mathbf{x} of the equation (1.1).

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Suppose $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{3^N}$ satisfies equation (1.1), and $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ is obtained from \mathbf{x} by exchanging the entries $\mathbf{x}_j = \mathbf{x}_{3^N-j}$ whenever $1 \leq j \leq (3^N - 1)/2$. Then $A^{\otimes N} \hat{\mathbf{x}} = 0$.*

Note that if the entries of \mathbf{x} and $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ are labeled by $x_{j_1 \dots j_N}$ and $\hat{x}_{j_1 \dots j_N}$ using ternary sequences $j_1 \dots j_N \in \{0, 1, 2\}^N$, then $x_{j_1 \dots j_N} = \hat{x}_{(2-j_1) \dots (2-j_N)}$.

Proof. Let $\tilde{A}_\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & e^{i\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\alpha} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $K = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Then for A_α defined in (1.1),

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\alpha} \end{bmatrix} A_\alpha = \tilde{A}_\alpha = J \tilde{A}_\alpha K.$$

Thus, $\mathbf{x} \in \text{Null}(A_\alpha^{\otimes N})$ if and only if $\mathbf{x} \in \text{Null}(\tilde{A}_\alpha^{\otimes N})$. Additionally, if \mathbf{x} is real,

$$\mathbf{x} \in \text{Null}(\tilde{A}_\alpha^{\otimes N}) \iff \tilde{A}_\alpha^{\otimes N} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0} \iff \overline{\tilde{A}_\alpha^{\otimes N}} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0} \iff K^{\otimes N} \mathbf{x} \in \text{Null}(\tilde{A}_\alpha^{\otimes N}).$$

So, $\hat{x}_{j_1 \dots j_N} = \hat{x}_{2-j_1 \dots 2-j_N}$. Thus, we can assume that $x_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n} = x_{(2-i_1)(2-i_2) \dots (2-i_n)}$. □

By the above proposition and the discussion in Section 2, we see that the system $A_\alpha^{\otimes N} \mathbf{x} = 0$ has a non-trivial nonnegative solution if and only if the system $C_{\alpha, N} \mathbf{y} = 0$ has a non-trivial nonnegative solution \mathbf{y} . We have the following.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let $\alpha \in [\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi]$. If the equation $C_{\alpha, N} \mathbf{y} = 0$ has a non-trivial nonnegative solution \mathbf{y} , then $\alpha \in [\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2N}, \pi]$.*

Proof. We consider the reduced equation $C_{\alpha, N} \mathbf{y} = 0$ with $z = e^{i\alpha}$ as shown in Section 2. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y} &= (y_{[N00]}, y_{[(N-1)01]}, \dots, y_{[00N]}, y_{[(N-1)10]}, \dots, y_{[01(N-1)]}, \dots, \dots, y_{[0N0]})^t \\ &= (y_{0,0}, y_{0,1}, \dots, y_{0,N}, y_{1,0}, \dots, y_{1,N-1}, \dots, y_{N,0})^t \end{aligned}$$

be a nonnegative solution of $C_{\alpha, N} \mathbf{y} = 0$. We will show that if $\alpha \in [\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2N})$, then \mathbf{y} is a zero vector, which is a contradiction.

Case 1. N is even. Since $y_{j,k} = y_{j,N-j-k}$, we may rewrite the first equation of the linear system as

$$(3.8) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{N/2-1} (-1)^k \binom{N}{k} (z^{2k} + z^{2N-2k}) y_{0,k} + (-1)^{N/2} \binom{N}{N/2} z^N y_{0,N/2} = 0.$$

Divided by z^N , (3.8) reduces to

$$(3.9) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{N/2-1} (-1)^k \binom{N}{k} 2 \cos((N-2k)\alpha) y_{0,k} + (-1)^{N/2} \binom{N}{N/2} y_{0,N/2} = 0.$$

Let $\theta = \alpha - \frac{\pi}{2}$. Since we assume that $\alpha \in [\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2N})$, then $\theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2N})$ so that $\cos(m\theta)$ are all positive for $1 \leq m \leq N$.

Now replace α in (3.9) with θ , we have

$$(-1)^{N/2} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{N/2-1} \binom{N}{k} 2 \cos((N-2k)\theta) y_{0,k} + \binom{N}{N/2} y_{0,N/2} \right) = 0.$$

Since all the coefficients of $y_{0,k}$ are nonnegative, $y_{0,k} = 0$ for all $k = 0, 1, \dots, N$.

Case 2. N is odd. Since $y_{j,k} = y_{j,N-j-k}$, we may rewrite the first equation of the linear system as

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(N-1)/2} (-1)^k \binom{N}{k} (z^{2k} - z^{2N-2k}) y_{0,k} = 0.$$

Dividing the equation by iz^N , and replacing α with $\theta = \alpha - \frac{\pi}{2}$, we get

$$(-1)^{\frac{N+1}{2}} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{(N-1)/2} \binom{N}{k} 2 \cos((N-2k)\theta) y_{0,k} \right) = 0,$$

by the same reason as the even case, $y_{0,k}$ needs to be 0 for all $k = 0, 1, \dots, N$.

For $y_{1,0}, y_{1,1}, \dots, y_{1,N-1}$, since it is already proved that when $\alpha \in [\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2N}]$, $y_{0,0} = y_{0,1} = \dots = y_{0,N} = 0$, the second equation of $C_{\alpha,N} \mathbf{y} = 0$ becomes the same as the first equation of $C_{\alpha,N-1} \mathbf{y} = 0$. By induction on N , since $[\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2N}] \subseteq [\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2(N-1)}]$, we have $y_{1,0} = y_{1,1} = \dots = y_{1,N-1} = 0$. Furthermore, by induction on j , we have $y_{j,0} = \dots = y_{j,N-j} = 0$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, N$, which means $\mathbf{y} = 0$, completing the proof. \square

COROLLARY 3.3. *Let $\alpha \in [\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi]$. If the equation $A_{\alpha}^{\otimes N} \mathbf{x} = 0$ has a non-trivial nonnegative solution \mathbf{x} , then $\alpha \in [\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2N}, \pi]$.*

4. Explicit solution of the system $C_{\alpha,N} \mathbf{y} = 0$ when $N \leq 10$. Note that if $\alpha \in [\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2N}, \pi] \subseteq [\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2(N+1)}, \pi]$ and $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^{3^N}$ satisfy $A_{\alpha}^{\otimes N} \mathbf{x} = 0$, then for any nonnegative vector $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{C}^3$, we have $A_{\alpha}^{\otimes(N+1)}(\mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y}) = 0$. Thus, for $N \leq 10$, it is enough to find a non-trivial nonnegative solution to $C_{\alpha,N} \mathbf{y} = 0$ when $\alpha \in [\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2N}, \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2(N-1)})$. In the next lemma, we determine the exact location of $e^{ik\alpha}$ in the Argand plane. Let Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, Q_4 denote the four quadrants of the complex plane.

LEMMA 4.1. *For $N \geq 2$, let*

$$\theta \in \left[\frac{\pi}{2N}, \frac{\pi}{2(N-1)} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha = \theta + \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Then $N\alpha \in Q_{N+2 \pmod{4}}$, and for $k < N$ we have $k\alpha \in Q_{k+1 \pmod{4}}$.

Proof. Note that $[a, b] \subseteq Q_r$ if and only if there exists ℓ such that $r \equiv \ell + 1 \pmod{4}$ and $\frac{\ell}{2}\pi \leq a \leq b \leq \frac{\ell+1}{2}\pi$. Since $\alpha \in \left[\pi \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2N} \right), \pi \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2(N-1)} \right) \right)$, then $N\alpha \in \left[\pi \left(\frac{N+1}{2} \right), \pi \left(\frac{N+1}{2} + \frac{1}{2(N-1)} \right) \right)$. Note that $\frac{1}{2(N-1)} \leq \frac{1}{2}$, and hence, if $\ell = N + 1$, then $N\alpha \in Q_r$ where $r \equiv \ell + 1 \equiv N + 2 \pmod{4}$. On the other hand, if $0 \leq k < N$, then $\frac{k}{2(N-1)} \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Note that $k\alpha \in \left[\pi \left(\frac{k}{2} + \frac{k}{2N} \right), \pi \left(\frac{k}{2} + \frac{k}{2(N-1)} \right) \right)$. Thus, if $\ell = k$ then $k\alpha \in Q_r$ where $r \equiv \ell + 1 \equiv k + 1 \pmod{4}$. \square

We now present some explicit non-trivial nonnegative solutions to $C_{\alpha,N} \mathbf{y} = 0$. One can use the preceding lemma to verify that the given \mathbf{y} is nonzero and nonnegative.

1. For $N = 1$, we have $\alpha = \pi$ and a non-trivial nonnegative solution given by

$$\mathbf{y}^T = \begin{bmatrix} [100] & [001] & [010] \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

2. For $N = 2$, we have $\alpha \in [\frac{3\pi}{4}, \pi)$ and a non-trivial nonnegative solution given by

$$\mathbf{y}^T = \begin{bmatrix} [200] & [101] & [002] & [110] & [011] & [020] \\ 1 & \cos 2\alpha & 1 & -\cos \alpha & -\cos \alpha & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

3. For $N = 3$, we have $\alpha \in [\frac{2\pi}{3}, \frac{3\pi}{4})$ and a non-trivial nonnegative solution given by

$$\mathbf{y}^T = \begin{bmatrix} [300] & [201] & [102] & [003] & [210] & [111] & [012] & [120] & [021] & [030] \\ 3 \sin \alpha & \sin 3\alpha & \sin 3\alpha & 3 \sin \alpha & -\sin 2\alpha & -\sin 2\alpha & -\sin 2\alpha & \sin \alpha & \sin \alpha & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

4. For $N = 4$, we have $\alpha \in [\frac{5\pi}{8}, \frac{2\pi}{3})$ and a non-trivial nonnegative solution given by

$$\mathbf{y}^T = [\mathbf{a}_0 \ \mathbf{a}_1 \ \mathbf{a}_2 \ \mathbf{a}_3 \ \mathbf{a}_4], \text{ where}$$

$$\mathbf{a}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} [400] & [301] & [202] & [103] & [004] \\ 6 & 0 & -2 \cos 4\alpha & 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{a}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} [310] & [211] & [112] & [013] \\ -3 \cos \alpha & \cos 3\alpha & \cos 3\alpha & -3 \cos \alpha \end{bmatrix},$$

$$[\mathbf{a}_2 \ \mathbf{a}_3 \ \mathbf{a}_4] = \begin{bmatrix} [220] & [121] & [022] & [130] & [031] & [040] \\ 2 & 0 & 2 & -3 \cos \alpha & -3 \cos \alpha & 6 \end{bmatrix}.$$

5. For $N = 5$, we have $\alpha \in [\frac{3\pi}{5}, \frac{5\pi}{8})$ and a non-trivial nonnegative solution given by

$$\mathbf{y}^T = [\mathbf{a}_0 \ \mathbf{a}_1 \ \mathbf{a}_2 \ \mathbf{a}_3 \ \mathbf{a}_4 \ \mathbf{a}_5], \text{ where}$$

$$\mathbf{a}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} [500] & [401] & [302] & [203] & [104] & [005] \\ 20 \sin \alpha & 0 & -2 \sin 5\alpha & -2 \sin 5\alpha & 0 & 20 \sin \alpha \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{a}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} [410] & [311] & [212] & [113] & [014] \\ -4 \sin 2\alpha & \sin 4\alpha & 2 \sin 4\alpha & \sin 4\alpha & -4 \sin 2\alpha \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{a}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} [320] & [221] & [122] & [023] \\ 3 \sin \alpha & -\sin 3\alpha & -\sin 3\alpha & 3 \sin \alpha \end{bmatrix},$$

$$[\mathbf{a}_3 \ \mathbf{a}_4 \ \mathbf{a}_5] = \begin{bmatrix} [230] & [131] & [032] & [140] & [041] & [050] \\ -\sin 2\alpha & 0 & -\sin 2\alpha & 2 \sin \alpha & 2 \sin \alpha & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

6. For $N = 6$, we have $\alpha \in [\frac{7\pi}{12}, \frac{3\pi}{5})$ and a non-trivial nonnegative solution given by

$$\mathbf{y}^T = [\mathbf{a}_0 \ \mathbf{a}_1 \ \mathbf{a}_2 \ \mathbf{a}_3 \ \mathbf{a}_4 \ \mathbf{a}_5 \ \mathbf{a}_6], \text{ where}$$

$$\mathbf{a}_0 = [20 \ 0 \ 0 \ 2 \cos 6\alpha \ 0 \ 0 \ 20],$$

$$\mathbf{a}_1 = [-10 \cos \alpha \ 0 \ -\cos 5\alpha \ -\cos 5\alpha \ 0 \ -10 \cos \alpha],$$

$$[\mathbf{a}_2 \ \mathbf{a}_3] = [3 \ 0 \ \cos 4\alpha \ 0 \ 3 \ \cos 3\alpha \ 0 \ 0 \ \cos 3\alpha],$$

$$[\mathbf{a}_4 \ \mathbf{a}_5 \ \mathbf{a}_6] = [-2 \cos 2\alpha \ 0 \ -2 \cos 2\alpha \ 0 \ 0 \ 5].$$

7. For $N = 7$, we have $\alpha \in \left[\frac{4\pi}{7}, \frac{7\pi}{12}\right)$ and a non-trivial nonnegative solution given by

$$\mathbf{y}^T = [\mathbf{a}_0 \quad \mathbf{a}_1 \quad \mathbf{a}_2 \quad \mathbf{a}_3 \quad \mathbf{a}_4 \quad \mathbf{a}_5 \quad \mathbf{a}_6 \quad \mathbf{a}_7], \text{ where}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}_0 &= [140 \sin \alpha \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 4 \sin 7\alpha \quad 4 \sin 7\alpha \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 140 \sin \alpha], \\ \mathbf{a}_1 &= [-30 \sin 2\alpha \quad 0 \quad -2 \sin 6\alpha \quad -4 \sin 6\alpha \quad -2 \sin 6\alpha \quad 0 \quad -30 \sin 2\alpha], \\ \mathbf{a}_2 &= [20 \sin \alpha \quad 0 \quad 2 \sin 5\alpha \quad 2 \sin 5\alpha \quad 0 \quad 20 \sin \alpha], \\ \mathbf{a}_3 &= [2 \sin 4\alpha - 4 \sin 2\alpha \quad \sin 4\alpha \quad 0 \quad \sin 4\alpha \quad 2 \sin 4\alpha - 4 \sin 2\alpha], \\ \mathbf{a}_4 &= [-4 \sin 3\alpha + 6 \sin \alpha \quad -2 \sin 3\alpha \quad -2 \sin 3\alpha \quad -4 \sin 3\alpha + 6 \sin \alpha], \\ [\mathbf{a}_5 \quad \mathbf{a}_6 \quad \mathbf{a}_7] &= [0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 10 \sin \alpha \quad 10 \sin \alpha \quad 0]. \end{aligned}$$

8. For $N = 8$, we have $\alpha \in \left[\frac{9\pi}{16}, \frac{4\pi}{7}\right)$ and a non-trivial nonnegative solution given by

$$\mathbf{y}^T = [\mathbf{a}_0 \quad \mathbf{a}_1 \quad \mathbf{a}_2 \quad \mathbf{a}_3 \quad \mathbf{a}_4 \quad \mathbf{a}_5 \quad \mathbf{a}_6 \quad \mathbf{a}_7 \quad \mathbf{a}_8], \text{ where}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}_0 &= [140 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad -4 \cos 8\alpha \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 140], \\ \mathbf{a}_1 &= [-70 \cos \alpha \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 2 \cos 7\alpha \quad 2 \cos 7\alpha \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad -70 \cos \alpha], \\ \mathbf{a}_2 &= [20 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad -2 \cos 6\alpha \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 20], \\ \mathbf{a}_3 &= [5 \cos 3\alpha \quad -\cos 5\alpha \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad -\cos 5\alpha \quad 5 \cos 3\alpha], \\ \mathbf{a}_4 &= [-8 \cos 2\alpha \quad 2 \cos 4\alpha \quad 0 \quad 2 \cos 4\alpha \quad -8 \cos 2\alpha], \\ [\mathbf{a}_5 \quad \mathbf{a}_6 \quad \mathbf{a}_7 \quad \mathbf{a}_8] &= [0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 10 \quad 0 \quad 10 \quad -35 \cos \alpha \quad -35 \cos \alpha \quad 140]. \end{aligned}$$

9. For $N = 9$, we have $\alpha \in \left[\frac{5\pi}{9}, \frac{9\pi}{16}\right)$ and a non-trivial nonnegative solution given by

$$\mathbf{y}^T = [\mathbf{a}_0 \quad \mathbf{a}_1 \quad \mathbf{a}_2 \quad \mathbf{a}_3 \quad \mathbf{a}_4 \quad \mathbf{a}_5 \quad \mathbf{a}_6 \quad \mathbf{a}_7 \quad \mathbf{a}_8 \quad \mathbf{a}_9], \text{ where}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}_0 &= [504 \sin \alpha \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad -4 \sin 9\alpha \quad -4 \sin 9\alpha \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 504 \sin \alpha], \\ \mathbf{a}_1 &= [-112 \sin 2\alpha \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 2 \sin 8\alpha \quad 4 \sin 8\alpha \quad 2 \sin 8\alpha \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad -112 \sin 2\alpha], \\ \mathbf{a}_2 &= [70 \sin \alpha \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad -2 \sin 7\alpha \quad -2 \sin 7\alpha \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 70 \sin \alpha], \\ \mathbf{a}_3 &= [6 \sin 4\alpha - 15 \sin 2\alpha \quad -\sin 6\alpha \quad -\sin 6\alpha \quad 0 \quad -\sin 6\alpha \quad -\sin 6\alpha \quad 6 \sin 4\alpha - 15 \sin 2\alpha], \\ \mathbf{a}_4 &= [-10 \sin 3\alpha + 20 \sin \alpha \quad 2 \sin 5\alpha \quad 2 \sin 5\alpha \quad 2 \sin 5\alpha \quad 2 \sin 5\alpha \quad -10 \sin 3\alpha + 20 \sin \alpha], \\ \mathbf{a}_5 &= [4 \sin 4\alpha \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 4 \sin 4\alpha], \\ \mathbf{a}_6 &= [15 \sin \alpha - 12 \sin 3\alpha \quad -5 \sin 3\alpha \quad -5 \sin 3\alpha \quad 15 \sin \alpha - 12 \sin 3\alpha], \\ [\mathbf{a}_7 \quad \mathbf{a}_8 \quad \mathbf{a}_9] &= [0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 56 \sin \alpha \quad 56 \sin \alpha \quad 0]. \end{aligned}$$

10. For $N = 10$, we have $\alpha \in [\frac{11\pi}{20}, \frac{5\pi}{9})$ and a non-trivial nonnegative solution is given by

$$\mathbf{y}^T = [\mathbf{a}_0 \quad \mathbf{a}_1 \quad \mathbf{a}_2 \quad \mathbf{a}_3 \quad \mathbf{a}_4 \quad \mathbf{a}_5 \quad \mathbf{a}_6 \quad \mathbf{a}_7 \quad \mathbf{a}_8 \quad \mathbf{a}_9 \quad \mathbf{a}_{10}], \text{ where}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}_0 &= [504 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 4 \cos 10\alpha \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 504], \\ \mathbf{a}_1 &= [-252 \cos \alpha \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad -2 \cos 9\alpha \quad -2 \cos 9\alpha \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad -252 \cos \alpha], \\ \mathbf{a}_2 &= [70 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 2 \cos 8\alpha \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 70], \\ \mathbf{a}_3 &= [21 \cos 3\alpha \quad 0 \quad \cos 7\alpha \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad \cos 7\alpha \quad 0 \quad 21 \cos 3\alpha], \\ \mathbf{a}_4 &= [-30 \cos 2\alpha \quad 0 \quad -2 \cos 6\alpha \quad 0 \quad -2 \cos 6\alpha \quad 0 \quad -30 \cos 2\alpha], \\ \mathbf{a}_5 &= [-4 \cos 5\alpha \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad -4 \cos 5\alpha], \\ \mathbf{a}_6 &= [12 \cos 4\alpha + 15 \quad 0 \quad 5 \cos 4\alpha \quad 0 \quad 12 \cos 4\alpha + 15], \\ [\mathbf{a}_7 \quad \mathbf{a}_8 \quad \mathbf{a}_9 \quad \mathbf{a}_{10}] &= [0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad -56 \cos 2\alpha \quad 0 \quad -56 \cos 2\alpha \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 504]. \end{aligned}$$

5. Final remark. It would be nice to affirm the sufficiency of the Conjecture 1.4 for $N > 10$. Ideally, one can describe a non-trivial nonnegative solution of the linear system for every positive integer N . One may also consider finding an existence proof. In this connection, we have the following proposition. We will continue to use the notation $C_{\alpha,N}$ and consider the reduced system $C_{\alpha,N}\mathbf{y} = 0$.

PROPOSITION 5.1. *Suppose $\alpha \in [\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2N}, \pi]$. The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (a) *The system $C_{\alpha,N}\mathbf{y} = 0$ has no non-trivial nonnegative solution.*
- (b) *There is a complex vector $\mathbf{u} = (\xi_0, \dots, \xi_N)$ with all entries having positive real parts such that all the entries of $\mathbf{u}C_{\alpha,N}$ has positive real parts.*

Proof. We convert the system $C_{\alpha,N}\mathbf{y} = 0$ to a real linear system

$$(5.10) \quad \tilde{C}_{\alpha,N}\mathbf{y} = 0, \quad \text{where } \tilde{C}_{\alpha,N} = \begin{bmatrix} \Re(C_{\alpha,N}) \\ \Im(C_{\alpha,N}) \end{bmatrix}.$$

By Farkas lemma, for example see [1, Section 5.8], the system (5.10) has no non-trivial nonnegative solution if and only if there is a real vector $\mathbf{v} = (a_0, \dots, a_N, b_0, \dots, b_N)$ such that $\mathbf{v}\tilde{C}_{\alpha,N}$ is a positive vector, i.e., all entries are positive. Note that a_0, a_1, \dots, a_N appear in $\mathbf{v}\tilde{C}_{\alpha,N}$ as the j th entries for $j = 1, 1 + (N + 1), 1 + (N + 1) + N, 1 + (N + 1) + N + (N - 1), \dots, (N + 1)(N + 2)/2$. So, $a_0, \dots, a_N > 0$ if the said vector \mathbf{v} exists. Set $\xi_j = a_j - ib_j$ for $j = 0, \dots, N$. Then the system (5.10) has no non-trivial nonnegative solution if and only if condition (b) holds. \square

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank Runyao Duan, Cheng Guo, Yinan Li, and Weiyan Yu for some helpful correspondence and discussion. The authors would also like to express gratitude to the ELA referee who gave useful comments to improve this paper.



REFERENCES

- [1] S. Boyd and L. Vandenberghe. *Convex Optimization*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [2] M.D. Choi. Completely positive linear maps on complex matrices. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 10(3):285–290, 1975.
- [3] R. Duan, C. Guo, C.K. Li, and Y. Li. Parallel distinguishability of quantum operations. 2016 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT), 2259–2263, 2016.
- [4] K. Kraus. *States, Effects, and Operations: Fundamental Notions of Quantum Theory*. Lectures in Mathematical Physics at the University of Texas at Austin, Vol. 190, Springer, Berlin, 1983.
- [5] M.A. Nielsen and I.L. Chuang. *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*. Cambridge University Press, New York, 2000.
- [6] J. Watrous. Distinguishing quantum operations having few Kraus operators. *Quant. Inf. Comput.*, 8(8):819–833, 2008.