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#### Abstract
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1. Introduction. Throughout this paper, $\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ denotes the set of all $m \times n$ complex matrices; the symbols $A^{*}, r(A)$ and $\mathscr{R}(A)$ stand for the conjugate transpose, the rank and the range (column space) of a matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, respectively. The Moore-Penrose inverse of $A$, denoted by $A^{\dagger}$, is defined to be the unique solution $X$ to the four matrix equations
(i) $A X A=A$,
(ii) $X A X=X$,
(iii) $(A X)^{*}=A X, \quad$ (iv) $(X A)^{*}=X A$.

Further, let $E_{A}$ and $F_{A}$ stand for the two orthogonal projectors $E_{A}=I-A A^{\dagger}$ and $F_{A}=I-A^{\dagger} A$. A matrix $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ is called an $\{i, \ldots, j\}$-inverse of $A$, denoted by $A^{(i, \ldots, j)}$, if it satisfies the $i$ th, $\ldots, j$ th equations of the four matrix equations above. The set of all $\{i, \ldots, j\}$-inverses of $A$ is denoted by $\left\{A^{(i, \ldots, j)}\right\}$. In particular, a $\{1\}$ inverse of $A$ is called $g$-inverse of $A,\{1,2\}$-inverse of $A$ is called reflexive $g$-inverse of $A,\{1,3\}$-inverse of $A$ is called least-squares $g$-inverse of $A$, and $\{1,4\}$-inverse of $A$ is called minimum-norm $g$-inverse of $A$.

Let $A, B$ and $C$ be three matrices such that the product $A B C$ exists. If each of the triple matrices is nonsingular, then the product $A B C$ is nonsingular too, and the inverse of $A B C$ satisfies the reverse-order law $(A B C)^{-1}=C^{-1} B^{-1} A^{-1}$. This law, however, cannot trivially be extended to generalized inverses of $A B C$ when the product is a singular matrix. In other words, the reverse-order law

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A B C)^{(i, \ldots, j)}=C^{(i, \ldots, j)} B^{(i, \ldots, j)} A^{(i, \ldots, j)} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

does not automatically hold for $\{i, \ldots, j\}$-inverses of matrices. One of the fundamental research problems in the theory of generalized inverses of matrices is to give necessary

[^0]and sufficient conditions for various reverse-order laws for $\{i, \ldots, j\}$-inverses of matrix products to hold. For the Moore-Penrose inverse of $A B C$, the reverse-order law $(A B C)^{\dagger}=C^{\dagger} B^{\dagger} A^{\dagger}$ was studied by some authors; see, e.g., $[4,6,7]$.

In addition to the standard reverse-order law $(A B C)^{-1}=C^{-1} B^{-1} A^{-1}$, the inverse of $A B C$ can also be written as the mixed-type reverse-order law $(A B C)^{-1}=$ $(B C)^{-1} B(A B)^{-1}$. Correspondingly, the mixed-type reverse-order law for $\{i, \ldots, j\}$ inverses of a general triple matrix product $A B C$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A B C)^{(i, \ldots, j)}=(B C)^{(i, \ldots, j)} B(A B)^{(i, \ldots, j)} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The special case $(A B C)^{\dagger}=(B C)^{\dagger} B(A B)^{\dagger}$ of (1.2) was investigated in [3, 6, 7]. Another motivation for considering (1.2) comes from the following expression for the sum of two matrices

$$
A+B=[I, I]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A & 0  \tag{1.3}\\
0 & B
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
I \\
I
\end{array}\right] \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} P N Q
$$

In this case, applying (1.2) to $P N Q$ gives the following equality for $\{i, \ldots, j\}$-inverses of $A+B$ :

$$
(A+B)^{(i, \ldots, j)}=(N Q)^{(i, \ldots, j)} N(P N)^{(i, \ldots, j)}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
A  \tag{1.4}\\
B
\end{array}\right]^{(i, \ldots, j)}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A & 0 \\
0 & B
\end{array}\right][A, B]^{(i, \ldots, j)} .
$$

This equality establishes an essential relationship between $\{i, \ldots, j\}$-inverses of $A+B$ and $\{i, \ldots, j\}$-inverses of two block matrices $[A, B]$ and $\left[\begin{array}{c}A \\ B\end{array}\right]$.

Because $\{i, \ldots, j\}$-inverses of a matrix are not necessarily unique, there are, in fact, four relationships between both sides of (1.2):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{(A B C)^{(i, \ldots, j)}\right\} \cap\left\{(B C)^{(i, \ldots, j)} B(A B)^{(i, \ldots, j)}\right\} \neq \emptyset, \\
& \left\{(A B C)^{(i, \ldots, j)}\right\} \subseteq\left\{(B C)^{(i, \ldots, j)} B(A B)^{(i, \ldots, j)}\right\}, \\
& \left\{(A B C)^{(i, \ldots, j)}\right\} \supseteq\left\{(B C)^{(i, \ldots, j)} B(A B)^{(i, \ldots, j)}\right\}, \\
& \left\{(A B C)^{(i, \ldots, j)}\right\}=\left\{(B C)^{(i, \ldots, j)} B(A B)^{(i, \ldots, j)}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is a huge task to reveal the relationships for all $\{i, \ldots, j\}$-inverses of matrices. In this paper, we consider the following several special cases of (1.2):

$$
\begin{align*}
(A B C)^{(1)} & =(B C)^{(1)} B(A B)^{(1)}  \tag{1.5}\\
(A B C)^{(1)} & =(B C)^{(1, i)} B(A B)^{(1, i)}, \quad i=3,4  \tag{1.6}\\
(A B C)^{(1)} & =(B C)^{\dagger} B(A B)^{\dagger},  \tag{1.7}\\
(A B C)^{(1, i)} & =(B C)^{\dagger} B(A B)^{\dagger}, \quad i=3,4  \tag{1.8}\\
(A B C)^{(1, i)} & =(B C)^{(1, i)} B(A B)^{(1, i)}, \quad i=3,4,  \tag{1.9}\\
(A B C)^{\dagger} & =(B C)^{(1,4)} B(A B)^{\dagger},  \tag{1.10}\\
(A B C)^{\dagger} & =(B C)^{\dagger} B(A B)^{(1,3)}  \tag{1.11}\\
(A B C)^{\dagger} & =(B C)^{(1,2,4)} B(A B)^{(1,2,3)} . \tag{1.12}
\end{align*}
$$

We use ranks of matrices to derive a variety of necessary and sufficient conditions for the reverse-order laws to hold.

Recall that the rank of a matrix is defined to the dimension of the row (column) space of the matrix. Also recall that $A=0$ if and only if $r(A)=0$. From this simple fact, we see that two matrices $A$ and $B$ of the same size are equal if and only if $r(A-B)=0$; two sets $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ consisting of matrices of the same size have a common matrix if and only if

$$
\min _{A \in S_{1}, B \in S_{2}} r(A-B)=0
$$

the set inclusion $S_{1} \subseteq S_{2}$ holds if and only if

$$
\max _{A \in S_{1}} \min _{B \in S_{2}} r(A-B)=0
$$

If some formulas for the rank of $A-B$ can be derived, they can be used to characterize the equality $A=B$, as well as relationships between the two matrix sets. This method has widely been applied to characterize various reverse-order laws for $\{i, \ldots, j\}$-inverses of matrix products, see, e.g., $[6,7,10,11,12]$.

In order to use the rank method to characterize (1.5)-(1.12), we need the following formulas for ranks of matrices.

Lemma 1.1. [5] Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}, B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times k}, C \in \mathbb{C}^{l \times n}$ and $D \in \mathbb{C}^{l \times k}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
r[A, B] & =r(A)+r\left(B-A A^{(1)} B\right)=r(B)+r\left(A-B B^{(1)} A\right),  \tag{1.13}\\
r\left[\begin{array}{c}
A \\
C
\end{array}\right] & =r(A)+r\left(C-C A^{(1)} A\right)=r(C)+r\left(A-A C^{(1)} C\right),  \tag{1.14}\\
r\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A & B \\
C & 0
\end{array}\right] & =r(B)+r(C)+r\left[\left(I_{m}-B B^{(1)}\right) A\left(I_{n}-C^{(1)} C\right)\right], \tag{1.15}
\end{align*}
$$

where the ranks are invariant with respect to the choices of $A^{(1)}, B^{(1)}$ and $C^{(1)}$. If $\mathscr{R}(B) \subseteq \mathscr{R}(A)$ and $\mathscr{R}\left(C^{*}\right) \subseteq \mathscr{R}\left(A^{*}\right)$, then

$$
r\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A & B  \tag{1.16}\\
C & D
\end{array}\right]=r(A)+r\left(D-C A^{\dagger} B\right)
$$

The following lemma provides a group of formulas for the minimal and maximal ranks of the Schur complement $D-C A^{(i, \ldots, j)} B$ with respect to $\{i, \ldots, j\}$-inverses of A.

Lemma 1.2. $[8,9]$ Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}, B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times k}, C \in \mathbb{C}^{l \times n}$ and $D \in \mathbb{C}^{l \times k}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\min _{A^{(1)}} r\left(D-C A^{(1)} B\right)= & r(A)+r[C, D]+r\left[\begin{array}{l}
B \\
D
\end{array}\right]+r\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A & B \\
C & D
\end{array}\right]  \tag{1.17}\\
& -r\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
A & 0 & B \\
0 & C & D
\end{array}\right]-r\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A & 0 \\
0 & B \\
C & D
\end{array}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (1.18) } \max _{A^{(1)}} r\left(D-C A^{(1)} B\right)=\min \left\{r[C, D], \quad r\left[\begin{array}{l}
B \\
D
\end{array}\right], r\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A & B \\
C & D
\end{array}\right]-r(A)\right\},  \tag{1.18}\\
& \text { (1.19) } \min _{A^{(1,3)}} r\left(D-C A^{(1,3)} B\right)=r\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A^{*} A & A^{*} B \\
C & D
\end{array}\right]+r\left[\begin{array}{l}
B \\
D
\end{array}\right]-r\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A & 0 \\
0 & B \\
C & D
\end{array}\right],  \tag{1.19}\\
& \text { (1.20) } \max _{A^{(1,3)}} r\left(D-C A^{(1,3)} B\right)=\min \left\{r\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A^{*} A & A^{*} B \\
C & D
\end{array}\right]-r(A), \quad r\left[\begin{array}{l}
B \\
D
\end{array}\right]\right\},
\end{align*}
$$

(1.21) $\min _{A^{(1,4)}} r\left(D-C A^{(1,4)} B\right)=r[C, D]+r\left[\begin{array}{cc}A A^{*} & B \\ C A^{*} & D\end{array}\right]-r\left[\begin{array}{ccc}A & 0 & B \\ 0 & C & D\end{array}\right]$,
(1.22) $\max _{A^{(1,4)}} r\left(D-C A^{(1,4)} B\right)=\min \left\{r[C, D], r\left[\begin{array}{ll}A A^{*} & B \\ C A^{*} & D\end{array}\right]-r(A)\right\}$,

$$
r\left(D-C A^{\dagger} B\right)=r\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A^{*} A A^{*} & A^{*} B  \tag{1.23}\\
C A^{*} & D
\end{array}\right]-r(A)
$$

In particular, if $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}, B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times k}, C \in \mathbb{C}^{l \times m}$ and $D \in \mathbb{C}^{l \times k}$, then

$$
r\left(D-C A A^{\dagger} B\right)=r\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A^{*} A & A^{*} B  \tag{1.24}\\
C A & D
\end{array}\right]-r(A)
$$

The following results are derived from (1.19) and (1.21).
Lemma 1.3. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ and $G \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$. Then:
(a) $G \in\left\{A^{(1,3)}\right\}$ if and only if $A^{*} A G=A^{*}$.
(b) $G \in\left\{A^{(1,4)}\right\}$ if and only if $G A A^{*}=A^{*}$.

Lemma 1.4. [13] Let $P, Q \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$, and suppose $P^{2}=P$ and $Q^{2}=Q$. Then

$$
r(P-Q)=r\left[\begin{array}{c}
P  \tag{1.25}\\
Q
\end{array}\right]+r[P, Q]-r(P)-r(Q)
$$

Lemma 1.5. [1] Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ and $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times p}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
r\left(A B-A B B^{\dagger} A^{\dagger} A B\right)=r\left[A^{*}, B\right]+r(A B)-r(A)-r(B) \tag{1.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $B^{\dagger} A^{\dagger} \in\left\{(A B)^{(1)}\right\}$ if and only if $r\left[A^{*}, B\right]=r(A)+r(B)-r(A B)$.
Lemma 1.6. [8] Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}, B_{i} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times k_{i}}$ and $C_{i} \in \mathbb{C}^{l_{i} \times n}$ be given, $i=1,2$, and let $X_{i} \in \mathbb{C}^{k_{i} \times l_{i}}$ be variable matrices, $i=1,2$. Then
(1.27) $\min _{X_{1}, X_{2}} r\left(A-B_{1} X_{1} C_{1}-B_{2} X_{2} C_{2}\right)=r\left[\begin{array}{c}A \\ C_{1} \\ C_{2}\end{array}\right]+r\left[A, B_{1}, B_{2}\right]+\max \left\{s_{1}, \quad s_{2}\right\}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{1}=r\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A & B_{1} \\
C_{2} & 0
\end{array}\right]-r\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
A & B_{1} & B_{2} \\
C_{2} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]-r\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A & B_{1} \\
C_{1} & 0 \\
C_{2} & 0
\end{array}\right], \\
& s_{2}=r\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A & B_{2} \\
C_{1} & 0
\end{array}\right]-r\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
A & B_{1} & B_{2} \\
C_{1} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]-r\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A & B_{2} \\
C_{1} & 0 \\
C_{2} & 0
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 1.7. [7] Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}, B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times k}$ and $C \in \mathbb{C}^{l \times n}$. Then the product $B^{\dagger} A C^{\dagger}$ can be written as

$$
B^{\dagger} A C^{\dagger}=-\left[0, B^{*}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
B^{*} A C^{*} & B^{*} B B^{*}  \tag{1.28}\\
C^{*} C C^{*} & 0
\end{array}\right]^{\dagger}\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
C^{*}
\end{array}\right] \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}-P J^{\dagger} Q
$$

where the block matrices $P, J$ and $Q$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(J)=r(B)+r(C), \quad \mathscr{R}(Q) \subseteq \mathscr{R}(J) \quad \text { and } \quad \mathscr{R}\left(P^{*}\right) \subseteq \mathscr{R}\left(J^{*}\right) \tag{1.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following simple results are widely used in the context to simplify various operations on ranks and ranges of matrices:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathscr{R}(A)=\mathscr{R}\left(A A^{*}\right)=\mathscr{R}\left(A A^{\dagger}\right), \quad \mathscr{R}\left(A^{*}\right)=\mathscr{R}\left(A^{*} A\right)=\mathscr{R}\left(A^{\dagger} A\right),  \tag{1.30}\\
\mathscr{R}\left(A B B^{\dagger}\right)=\mathscr{R}\left(A B B^{*}\right)=\mathscr{R}(A B), \quad \mathscr{R}\left(A C^{\dagger} C\right)=\mathscr{R}\left(A C^{*} C\right)=\mathscr{R}\left(A C^{*}\right), \\
r\left(A B B^{\dagger}\right)=r\left(A B B^{*}\right)=r(A B), \quad r\left(A C^{\dagger} C\right)=r\left(A C^{*} C\right)=r\left(A C^{*}\right), \\
\mathscr{R}(A) \subseteq \mathscr{R}(B) \Leftrightarrow r[A, B]=r(B) \Leftrightarrow B B^{\dagger} A=A, \\
\mathscr{R}(A) \subseteq \mathscr{R}(B) \text { and } r(A)=r(B) \Rightarrow \mathscr{R}(A)=\mathscr{R}(B), \\
\mathscr{R}(A) \subseteq \mathscr{R}(B) \Rightarrow \mathscr{R}(P A) \subseteq \mathscr{R}(P B), \\
\mathscr{R}\left(A_{1}\right)=\mathscr{R}\left(A_{2}\right) \text { and } \mathscr{R}\left(B_{1}\right)=\mathscr{R}\left(B_{2}\right) \Rightarrow r\left[A_{1}, B_{1}\right]=r\left[A_{2}, B_{2}\right] .
\end{gather*}
$$

2. The reverse-order law $(A B C)^{(1)}=(B C)^{(1)} B(A B)^{(1)}$. In this section, we investigate the reverse-order law in (1.5).

Theorem 2.1. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times p}, C \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times q}$, and let $M=A B C$. Then:
(a) For any $(A B)^{(1)}$, there exists a $(B C)^{(1)}$ so that $(B C)^{(1)} B(A B)^{(1)} \in\left\{M^{(1)}\right\}$.
(b) For any $(B C)^{(1)}$, there exists a $(A B)^{(1)}$ so that $(B C)^{(1)} B(A B)^{(1)} \in\left\{M^{(1)}\right\}$.
(c) The set inclusion $\left\{(B C)^{(1)} B(A B)^{(1)}\right\} \subseteq\left\{M^{(1)}\right\}$ holds if and only if $M=0$ or $r(M)=r(A B)+r(B C)-r(B)$.
Proof. It can be seen from the definition of $\{1\}$-inverse that a matrix $X$ is a $\{1\}$-inverse of $A$ if and only if $r(A-A X A)=0$. Also recall that elementary matrix operations do not change the rank of the matrix. Applying (1.17) to the difference $M-$ $M(B C)^{(1)} B(A B)^{(1)} M$ and then simplifying by elementary block matrix operations, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min _{(A B)^{(1)}} r\left[M-M(B C)^{(1)} B(A B)^{(1)} M\right] \\
& =r(A B)-r[A B, M]-r\left[\begin{array}{c}
A B \\
M(B C)^{(1)} B
\end{array}\right]+r\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A B & M \\
M(B C)^{(1)} B & M
\end{array}\right] \\
& =r(A B)-r[A B, 0]-r\left[\begin{array}{c}
A B \\
M(B C)^{(1)} B
\end{array}\right]+r\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A B & 0 \\
M(B C)^{(1)} B & 0
\end{array}\right]=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This rank formula implies that for any $(B C)^{(1)}$, there exists a $(A B)^{(1)}$ such that $M(B C)^{(1)} B(A B)^{(1)} M=M$, so that the result in (b) is true. Similarly, we can show that

$$
\min _{(B C)^{(1)}} r\left[M-M(B C)^{(1)} B(A B)^{(1)} M\right]=0
$$

holds for any $(A B)^{(1)}$, so that (a) follows.
Also from the definition of $\{1\}$-inverse, the set inclusion $\left\{(B C)^{(1)} B(A B)^{(1)}\right\} \subseteq$ $\left\{M^{(1)}\right\}$ holds if and only if

$$
\max _{(A B)^{(1)},(B C)^{(1)}} r\left[M-M(B C)^{(1)} B(A B)^{(1)} M\right]=0
$$

Applying (1.18) to the difference $M-M(B C)^{(1)} B(A B)^{(1)} M$ and simplifying by elementary block matrix operations, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \max _{(A B)^{(1)}} r\left[M-M(B C)^{(1)} B(A B)^{(1)} M\right]  \tag{2.1}\\
& =\min \left\{r(M), r\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A B & M \\
M(B C)^{(1)} B & M
\end{array}\right]-r(A B)\right\} \\
& =\min \left\{r(M), r\left[\begin{array}{c}
A B \\
M(B C)^{(1)} B
\end{array}\right]-r(A B)\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Further, applying (1.18) to the column block matrix in (2.1) and simplifying by elementary block matrix operations and $r(M) \leq r(B C)$ give

$$
\begin{align*}
& \max _{(B C)^{(1)}} r\left[\begin{array}{c}
A B \\
M(B C)^{(1)} B
\end{array}\right]  \tag{2.2}\\
& =\max _{(B C)^{(1)}} r\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}
A B \\
0
\end{array}\right]-\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
-M
\end{array}\right](B C)^{(1)} B\right) \\
& =\min \left\{r\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A B & 0 \\
0 & -M
\end{array}\right], \quad r\left[\begin{array}{c}
A B \\
0 \\
B
\end{array}\right], \quad r\left[\begin{array}{cc}
B C & B \\
0 & A B \\
-M & 0
\end{array}\right]-r(B C)\right\} \\
& =\min \{r(A B)+r(M), r(B), r(B)+r(M)-r(B C)\} \\
& =\min \{r(A B)+r(M), \quad r(B)+r(M)-r(B C)\}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (2.1) and (2.2) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \max _{(A B)^{(1)},(B C)^{(1)}} r\left[M-M(B C)^{(1)} B(A B)^{(1)} M\right]  \tag{2.3}\\
& =\min \left\{r(M), \quad \max _{(B C)^{(1)}} r\left[\begin{array}{c}
A B \\
M(B C)^{(1)} B
\end{array}\right]-r(A B)\right\} \\
& =\min \{r(M), \quad r(M)-r(A B)-r(B C)+r(B)\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Let the right-hand side of (2.3) be zero. Then we obtain the result in (c).
3. Relationships between $(B C)^{\dagger} B(A B)^{\dagger}$ and $\{i, \ldots, j\}$-inverses of $A B C$. In this section, we investigate the three reverse-order laws in (1.7) and (1.8).

Theorem 3.1. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times p}, C \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times q}$, and let $M=A B C$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(a) $(B C)^{\dagger} B(A B)^{\dagger}$ is a $\{1\}$-inverse of $M$.
(b) $r\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}(B C)^{*} \\ A\end{array}\right] B\left[(A B)^{*}, C\right]\right)=r(A B)+r(B C)-r(M)$.

Proof. Applying (1.28) and (1.29) to the product $(B C)^{\dagger} B(A B)^{\dagger}$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
(B C)^{\dagger} B(A B)^{\dagger} & =-\left[0,(B C)^{*}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
(B C)^{*} B(A B)^{*} & (B C)^{*} B C(B C)^{*} \\
(A B)^{*} A B(A B)^{*} & 0
\end{array}\right]^{\dagger}\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
(A B)^{*}
\end{array}\right] \\
& \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}-P J^{\dagger} Q
\end{aligned}
$$

with $r(J)=r(A B)+r(B C), \mathscr{R}(Q) \subseteq \mathscr{R}(J)$ and $\mathscr{R}\left(P^{*}\right) \subseteq \mathscr{R}\left(J^{*}\right)$. In this case, applying (1.16) to $M-M(B C)^{\dagger} B(A B)^{\dagger} M=M+M P J^{\dagger} Q M$ and simplifying by elementary block matrix operations yield

$$
\begin{align*}
& r\left[M-M(B C)^{\dagger} B(A B)^{\dagger} M\right]  \tag{3.1}\\
& =r\left(M+M P J^{\dagger} Q M\right) \\
& =r\left[\begin{array}{cc}
J & Q M \\
M P & -M
\end{array}\right]-r(J) \\
& =r\left[\begin{array}{cc}
J+Q M P & 0 \\
0 & -M
\end{array}\right]-r(J) \\
& =r(J+Q M P)+r(M)-r(A B)-r(B C) \\
& =r\left[\begin{array}{cc}
(B C)^{*} B(A B)^{*} & (B C)^{*}(B C)(B C)^{*} \\
(A B)^{*}(A B)(A B)^{*} & (A B)^{*} M(B C)^{*}
\end{array}\right]+r(M)-r(A B)-r(B C) \\
& =r\left[\begin{array}{cc}
(B C)^{*} B(A B)^{*} & (B C)^{*}(B C) \\
(A B)(A B)^{*} & M
\end{array}\right]+r(M)-r(A B)-r(B C) \quad(\text { by }(1.32)) \\
& =r\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}
(B C)^{*} \\
A
\end{array}\right] B\left[(A B)^{*}, C\right]\right)+r(M)-r(A B)-r(B C) .
\end{align*}
$$

Let the right-hand side of (3.1) be zero. Then we obtain the equivalence of (a) and (b). $\square$

Theorem 3.2. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times p}, C \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times q}$, and let $M=A B C$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(a) $(B C)^{\dagger} B(A B)^{\dagger}$ is a $\{1,3\}$-inverse of $M$.
(b) $r\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}(B C)^{*} \\ M^{*} A\end{array}\right] B\left[(A B)^{*}, C\right]\right)=r(B C)$.

Proof. From Lemma 1.3(a), $(B C)^{\dagger} B(A B)^{\dagger}$ is a $\{1,3\}$-inverse of $M$ if and only if $M^{*} M(B C)^{\dagger} B(A B)^{\dagger}=M^{*}$. Also note that

$$
\left[M^{*}-M^{*} M(B C)^{\dagger} B(A B)^{\dagger}\right](A B)(A B)^{*}=M^{*}(A B)(A B)^{*}-M^{*} M(B C)^{\dagger} B(A B)^{*}
$$

and
$\left[M^{*}(A B)(A B)^{*}-M^{*} M(B C)^{\dagger} B(A B)^{*}\right]\left[(A B)^{*}\right]^{\dagger}(A B)^{\dagger}=M^{*}-M^{*} M(B C)^{\dagger} B(A B)^{\dagger}$.
Hence we find by (1.24) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& r\left[M^{*}-M^{*} M(B C)^{\dagger} B(A B)^{\dagger}\right]=r\left[M^{*}(A B)(A B)^{*}-M^{*} M(B C)^{\dagger} B(A B)^{*}\right]  \tag{3.2}\\
& =r\left[\begin{array}{cc}
(B C)^{*} B C & (B C)^{*} B(A B)^{*} \\
M^{*} M & M^{*}(A B)(A B)^{*}
\end{array}\right]-r(B C) \\
& =r\left[\begin{array}{cc}
(B C)^{*} B(A B)^{*} & (B C)^{*} B C \\
M^{*}(A B)(A B)^{*} & M^{*} M
\end{array}\right]-r(B C) \\
& =r\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}
(B C)^{*} \\
M^{*} A
\end{array}\right] B\left[(A B)^{*}, C\right]\right)-r(B C) \text {. }
\end{align*}
$$

Let the right-hand side of (3.2) be zero. Then we obtain the equivalence of (a) and (b).

By a similar approach, we can also show that

$$
r\left[M^{*}-(B C)^{\dagger} B(A B)^{\dagger} M M^{*}\right]=r\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}
A \\
(B C)^{*}
\end{array}\right] B\left[(A B)^{*}, C M^{*}\right]\right)-r(A B)
$$

Also note from Lemma $1.3(\mathrm{~b})$ that $(B C)^{\dagger} B(A B)^{\dagger}$ is a $\{1,4\}$-inverse of $M$ if and only if $(B C)^{\dagger} B(A B)^{\dagger} M M^{*}=M^{*}$. Let the right-hand side of (3.3) be zero, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 3.3. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times p}, C \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times q}$, and let $M=A B C$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(a) $(B C)^{\dagger} B(A B)^{\dagger}$ is a $\{1,4\}$-inverse of $M$.
(b) $r\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}A \\ (B C)^{*}\end{array}\right] B\left[(A B)^{*}, C M^{*}\right]\right)=r(A B)$.

The rank formula associated with the reverse-order law $(A B C)^{\dagger}=(B C)^{\dagger} B(A B)^{\dagger}$ is

$$
r\left[(A B C)^{\dagger}-(B C)^{\dagger} B(A B)^{\dagger}\right]=r\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}
(B C)^{*} \\
(A B C)^{*} A
\end{array}\right] B\left[(A B)^{*}, C(A B C)^{*}\right]\right)-r(A B C)
$$

see Tian $[6,7]$. Hence,

$$
(A B C)^{\dagger}=(B C)^{\dagger} B(A B)^{\dagger} \Leftrightarrow r\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}
(B C)^{*}  \tag{3.4}\\
(A B C)^{*} A
\end{array}\right] B\left[(A B)^{*}, C(A B C)^{*}\right]\right)=r(A B C)
$$

4. Relationships between $(B C)^{(1, i)} B(A B)^{(1, i)}$ for $i=3,4$ and $\{i, \ldots, j\}$ inverses of $A B C$. In this section, we investigate the reverse-order laws in (1.6) and (1.9).

Theorem 4.1. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times p}, C \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times q}$, and let $M=A B C$. Then:
(a) There exist $(A B)^{(1,3)}$ and $(B C)^{(1,3)}$ such that $(B C)^{(1,3)} B(A B)^{(1,3)}$ is a $\{1,3\}$ inverse of $M$ if and only if

$$
r\left[(A B)^{*} M, B^{*} B C\right]=r\left[(A B)^{*}, B^{*} B C\right]+r(M)-r(A B)
$$

(b) The set inclusion $\left\{(B C)^{(1,3)} B(A B)^{(1,3)}\right\} \subseteq\left\{M^{(1,3)}\right\}$ holds if and only if $\mathscr{R}\left[(A B)^{*} M\right] \subseteq \mathscr{R}\left(B^{*} B C\right)$.
Proof. From Lemma $1.3(\mathrm{a}),(B C)^{(1,3)} B(A B)^{(1,3)}$ is a $\{1,3\}$-inverse of $M$ if and only if $M^{*} M(B C)^{(1,3)} B(A B)^{(1,3)}=M^{*}$. Also note $B C(B C)^{(1,3)}=B C(B C)^{\dagger}$. Applying (1.19) to $M^{*}-M^{*} M(B C)^{(1,3)} B(A B)^{(1,3)}$ gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \min _{(A B)^{(1,3)},(B C)^{(1,3)}} r\left[M^{*}-M^{*} M(B C)^{(1,3)} B(A B)^{(1,3)}\right]  \tag{4.1}\\
& =\min _{(A B)^{(1,3)}} r\left[M^{*}-M^{*} M(B C)^{\dagger} B(A B)^{(1,3)}\right] \\
& =r\left[\begin{array}{cc}
(A B)^{*} A B & (A B)^{*} \\
M^{*} M(B C)^{\dagger} B & M^{*}
\end{array}\right]-r\left[\begin{array}{c}
A B \\
M^{*} M(B C)^{\dagger} B
\end{array}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Simplifying the two block matrices by elementary block matrix operations, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& r\left[\begin{array}{cc}
(A B)^{*} A B & (A B)^{*} \\
M^{*} M(B C)^{\dagger} B & M^{*}
\end{array}\right]  \tag{4.2}\\
& =r\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & (A B)^{*} \\
M^{*} M(B C)^{\dagger} B-M^{*} A B & 0
\end{array}\right] \\
& =r\left[M^{*} M(B C)^{\dagger} B-M^{*} A B\right]+r(A B) \\
& =r\left[\begin{array}{cc}
(B C)^{*} B C & (B C)^{*} B \\
M^{*} M & M^{*} A B
\end{array}\right]-r(B C)+r(A B) \quad(\text { by }(1.24)) \\
& =r\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & (B C)^{*} B \\
0 & M^{*} A B
\end{array}\right]-r(B C)+r(A B) \\
& =r\left[(A B)^{*} M, B^{*} B C\right]-r(B C)+r(A B),
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
r\left[\begin{array}{c}
A B \\
M^{*} M(B C)^{\dagger} B
\end{array}\right] & =r\left[\begin{array}{c}
M(B C)^{\dagger} B \\
A B
\end{array}\right]  \tag{4.3}\\
& =r\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
A B
\end{array}\right]-\left[\begin{array}{c}
-A \\
0
\end{array}\right](B C)(B C)^{\dagger} B\right) \\
& =r\left[\begin{array}{cc}
(B C)^{*} B C & (B C)^{*} B \\
-A B C & 0 \\
0 & A B
\end{array}\right]-r(B C) \quad(\text { by } \quad(1.24)) \\
& =r\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & (B C)^{*} B \\
A B C & 0 \\
0 & A B
\end{array}\right]-r(B C) \\
& =r\left[(A B)^{*}, B^{*} B C\right]+r(M)-r(B C)
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (4.2) and (4.3) into (4.1) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \min _{(A B)^{(1,3)},(B C)^{(1,3)}} r\left[M^{*}-M^{*} M(B C)^{(1,3)} B(A B)^{(1,3)}\right]  \tag{4.4}\\
& \quad=r\left[(A B)^{*} M, B^{*} B C\right]-r\left[(A B)^{*}, B^{*} B C\right]-r(M)+r(A B)
\end{align*}
$$

The result in (a) is a direct consequence of (4.4).
Also from (1.20),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \max _{(A B)^{(1,3)},(B C)^{(1,3)}} r\left[M^{*}-M^{*} M(B C)^{(1,3)} B(A B)^{(1,3)}\right]  \tag{4.5}\\
& =\max _{(A B)^{(1,3)}} r\left[M^{*}-M^{*} M(B C)^{\dagger} B(A B)^{(1,3)}\right] \\
& =\min \left\{r\left[\begin{array}{cc}
(A B)^{*} A B & (A B)^{*} \\
M^{*} M(B C)^{\dagger} B & M^{*}
\end{array}\right]-r(A B), \quad n\right\} \\
& =\min \left\{r\left[(A B)^{*} M, B^{*} B C\right]-r(B C), \quad n\right\} \quad(\text { by }(4.2)) \\
& =r\left[(A B)^{*} M, B^{*} B C\right]-r(B C) \\
& =r\left[(A B)^{*} M, B^{*} B C\right]-r\left(B^{*} B C\right) \quad(\text { by }(1.32)) .
\end{align*}
$$

Let the right-hand side of (4.5) be zero, we see that $\left\{(B C)^{(1,3)} B(A B)^{(1,3)}\right\} \subseteq\left\{M^{(1,3)}\right\}$ holds if and only if $r\left[(A B)^{*} M, B^{*} B C\right]=r\left(B^{*} B C\right)$, which is also equivalent to $\mathscr{R}\left[(A B)^{*} M\right] \subseteq \mathscr{R}\left(B^{*} B C\right)$ by (1.33), as required for (b).

Theorem 4.2. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times p}, C \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times q}$, and let $M=A B C$. Then:
(a) There exist $(A B)^{(1,4)}$ and $(B C)^{(1,4)}$ such that $(B C)^{(1,4)} B(A B)^{(1,4)}$ is a $\{1,4\}$ inverse of $M$ if and only if $r\left[\begin{array}{c}M(B C)^{*} \\ A B B^{*}\end{array}\right]=r\left[\begin{array}{c}(B C)^{*} \\ A B B^{*}\end{array}\right]+r(M)-r(B C)$.
(b) The set inclusion $\left\{(B C)^{(1,4)} B(A B)^{(1,4)}\right\} \subseteq\left\{M^{(1,4)}\right\}$ holds if and only if $\mathscr{R}\left(B C M^{*}\right) \subseteq \mathscr{R}\left(B B^{*} A^{*}\right)$.
Proof. It is easy to show by (1.21) and (1.22) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\min _{(A B)^{(1,4)},(B C)^{(1,4)}} r\left[M^{*}-(B C)^{(1,4)} B(A B)^{(1,4)} M M^{*}\right]= & r\left[\begin{array}{c}
M(B C)^{*} \\
A B B^{*}
\end{array}\right]-r\left[\begin{array}{c}
(B C)^{*} \\
A B B^{*}
\end{array}\right] \\
& -r(M)+r(B C), \\
\max _{(A B)^{(1,4)},(B C)^{(1,4)}} r\left[M^{*}-(B C)^{(1,4)} B(A B)^{(1,4)} M M^{*}\right]= & r\left[\begin{array}{c}
M(B C)^{*} \\
A B B^{*}
\end{array}\right]-r(A B) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The details are omitted. Let the right-hand sides of these two rank equalities be zero, we obtain the results in (a) and (b). $\square$

Theorem 4.3. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times p}, C \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times q}$, and let $M=A B C$. Then:
(a) There always exist $(A B)^{(1,3)}$ and $(B C)^{(1,3)}$ such that $(B C)^{(1,3)} B(A B)^{(1,3)}$ is a $\{1\}$-inverse of $M$.
(b) There always exist $(A B)^{(1,4)}$ and $(B C)^{(1,4)}$ such that $(B C)^{(1,4)} B(A B)^{(1,4)}$ is a $\{1\}$-inverse of $M$.
(c) The set inclusion $\left\{(B C)^{(1,3)} B(A B)^{(1,3)}\right\} \subseteq\left\{M^{(1)}\right\}$ holds if and only if

$$
r\left[\begin{array}{c}
A B \\
(B C)^{*} B
\end{array}\right]=r(A B)+(B C)-r(M)
$$

(d) The set inclusion $\left\{(B C)^{(1,4)} B(A B)^{(1,4)}\right\} \subseteq\left\{M^{(1)}\right\}$ holds if and only if

$$
r\left[B(A B)^{*}, B C\right]=r(A B)+(B C)-r(M)
$$

Proof. From the definition of $\{1\}$-inverse, $(B C)^{(1,3)} B(A B)^{(1,3)}$ is a $\{1\}$-inverse of $M$ if and only if $M(B C)^{(1,3)} B(A B)^{(1,3)} M=M$. Applying (1.19) and $B C(B C)^{(1,3)}=$ $B C(B C)^{\dagger}$ to $M-M(B C)^{(1,3)} B(A B)^{(1,3)} M$ and simplifying by elementary block matrix operations, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \min _{(A B)^{(1,3)},(B C)^{(1,3)}} r\left[M-M(B C)^{(1,3)} B(A B)^{(1,3)} M\right]  \tag{4.6}\\
& =\min _{(A B)^{(1,3)}} r\left[M-M(B C)^{\dagger} B(A B)^{(1,3)} M\right] \\
& =r\left[\begin{array}{cc}
(A B)^{*} A B & (A B)^{*} M \\
M(B C)^{\dagger} B & M
\end{array}\right]+r\left[\begin{array}{c}
M \\
M
\end{array}\right]-r\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A B & 0 \\
0 & M \\
M(B C)^{\dagger} B & M
\end{array}\right] \\
& =r\left[\begin{array}{cc}
(A B)^{*} A B & (A B)^{*} M \\
M(B C)^{\dagger} B & M
\end{array}\right]-r\left[\begin{array}{c}
A B \\
M(B C)^{\dagger} B
\end{array}\right] \\
& =r\left[\begin{array}{cc}
(A B)^{*} A B & 0 \\
M(B C)^{\dagger} B & 0
\end{array}\right]-r\left[\begin{array}{c}
A B \\
M(B C)^{\dagger} B
\end{array}\right]=0 \quad(\text { by }(1.32)) .
\end{align*}
$$

Result (a) follows from (4.6). Also by (1.20), BC(BC) ${ }^{(1,3)}=B C(B C)^{\dagger}$ and elementary block matrix operations,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad \max _{(A B)^{(1,3)},(B C)^{(1,3)}} r\left[M-M(B C)^{(1,3)} B(A B)^{(1,3)} M\right]  \tag{4.7}\\
& =\max _{(A B)^{(1,3)}} r\left[M-M(B C)^{\dagger} B(A B)^{(1,3)} M\right] \\
& =\min \left\{r\left[\begin{array}{cc}
(A B)^{*} A B & (A B)^{*} M \\
M(B C)^{\dagger} B & M
\end{array}\right]-r(A), \quad r\left[\begin{array}{l}
M \\
M
\end{array}\right]\right\} \\
& =\min \left\{r\left[\begin{array}{c}
A B \\
M(B C)^{\dagger} B
\end{array}\right]-r(A B), r(M)\right\} \\
& =r\left[\begin{array}{c}
A B \\
(B C)^{*} B
\end{array}\right]+r(M)-r(A B)-r(B C)
\end{align*}
$$

Result (c) follows from (4.7). Similarly, we can show that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \min _{(A B)^{(1,4)},(B C)^{(1,4)}} r\left[M-M(B C)^{(1,4)} B(A B)^{(1,4)} M\right]=0  \tag{4.8}\\
& \max _{(A B)^{(1,4)},(B C)^{(1,4)}} r\left[M-M(B C)^{(1,4)} B(A B)^{(1,4)} M\right]= r\left[\begin{array}{c}
A B B^{*} \\
(B C)^{*}
\end{array}\right]+r(M)  \tag{4.9}\\
&-r(A B)-r(B C)
\end{align*}
$$

Results (b) and (d) are direct consequences of (4.8) and (4.9).
Rewriting $A B C$ as $A B C=\left(A B B^{\dagger}\right)(B C)$ and applying (1.26) to it, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& r\left[A B C-A B C(B C)^{\dagger}\left(A B B^{\dagger}\right)^{\dagger} A B C\right]  \tag{4.10}\\
& =r\left[\left(A B B^{\dagger}\right)^{*}, B C\right]+r(A B C)-r\left(A B B^{\dagger}\right)-r(B C) \\
& =r\left[B B^{\dagger} A^{*}, B C\right]+r(A B C)-r(A B)-r(B C)
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =r\left[B^{*} A^{*}, B^{*} B C\right]+r(A B C)-r(A B)-r(B C) \quad(\text { by }(1.32)) \\
& =r\left[\begin{array}{c}
A B \\
(B C)^{*} B
\end{array}\right]+r(A B C)-r(A B)-r(B C)
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& r\left[A B C-A B C\left(B^{\dagger} B C\right)^{\dagger}(A B)^{\dagger} A B C\right]  \tag{4.11}\\
& \quad=r\left[B(A B)^{*}, B C\right]+r(A B C)-r(A B)-r(B C)
\end{align*}
$$

Comparing (4.10) and (4.11) with Theorem 4.3(c) and (d), we obtain the following two equivalences

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{(B C)^{(1,3)} B(A B)^{(1,3)}\right\} \subseteq\left\{(A B C)^{(1)}\right\} \Leftrightarrow(B C)^{\dagger}\left(A B B^{\dagger}\right)^{\dagger} \in\left\{(A B C)^{(1)}\right\} \\
& \left\{(B C)^{(1,4)} B(A B)^{(1,4)}\right\} \subseteq\left\{(A B C)^{(1)}\right\} \Leftrightarrow\left(B^{\dagger} B C\right)^{\dagger}(A B)^{\dagger} \in\left\{(A B C)^{(1)}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

5. The reverse-order laws $(A B C)^{\dagger}=(B C)^{\dagger} B(A B)^{(1,3)}$ and $(A B C)^{\dagger}=$ $(B C)^{(1,4)} B(A B)^{\dagger}$. In this section, we investigate the two reverse-order laws in (1.10) and (1.11).

Theorem 5.1. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times p}, C \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times q}$, and let $M=A B C$. Then: (a) There exists a $(A B)^{(1,3)}$ such that $M^{\dagger}=(B C)^{\dagger} B(A B)^{(1,3)}$ if and only if

$$
r\left[\begin{array}{c}
M^{*} A B \\
(B C)^{*} B
\end{array}\right]=r\left[\begin{array}{c}
A B \\
(B C)^{*} B
\end{array}\right]+r(M)-r(A B)
$$

(b) There exists a $(B C)^{(1,4)}$ such that $M^{\dagger}=(B C)^{(1,4)} B(A B)^{\dagger}$ if and only if

$$
r\left[B C M^{*}, B(A B)^{*}\right]=r\left[B C, B(A B)^{*}\right]+r(M)-r(B C)
$$

Proof. Applying (1.19) to $M^{\dagger}-(B C)^{\dagger} B(A B)^{(1,3)}$ and simplifying by elementary block matrix operations, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \min _{(A B)^{(1,3)}} r\left[M^{\dagger}-(B C)^{\dagger} B(A B)^{(1,3)}\right]  \tag{5.1}\\
& =r\left[\begin{array}{cc}
(A B)^{*} A B & (A B)^{*} \\
(B C)^{\dagger} B & M^{\dagger}
\end{array}\right]-r\left[\begin{array}{c}
A B \\
(B C)^{\dagger} B
\end{array}\right] \\
& =r\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & (A B)^{*} \\
(B C)^{\dagger} B-M^{\dagger} A B & 0
\end{array}\right]-r\left[\begin{array}{c}
A B \\
(B C)^{*} B
\end{array}\right] \\
& =r\left[(B C)^{\dagger} B-M^{\dagger} A B\right]+r(A B)-r\left[(A B)^{*}, B^{*} B C\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $(B C)^{\dagger} B C\left[(B C)^{\dagger} B-M^{\dagger} A B\right]=(B C)^{\dagger} B-M^{\dagger} A B$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
r\left[(B C)^{\dagger} B-M^{\dagger} A B\right]=r\left[C(B C)^{\dagger} B-C M^{\dagger} A B\right] \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to verify that $\left[C(B C)^{\dagger} B\right]^{2}=C(B C)^{\dagger} B$ and $\left(C M^{\dagger} A B\right)^{2}=C M^{\dagger} A B$, and from (1.31) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathscr{R}\left[C(B C)^{\dagger} B\right] & =\mathscr{R}\left[C(B C)^{*}\right], \quad \mathscr{R}\left(C M^{\dagger} A B\right)  \tag{5.3}\\
\mathscr{R}\left\{\left[C(B C)^{\dagger} B\right]^{*}\right\} & =\mathscr{R}\left(C M^{*}\right),  \tag{5.4}\\
\left.B^{*} B C\right), \quad \mathscr{R}\left[\left(C M^{\dagger} A B\right)^{*}\right] & =\mathscr{R}\left[(A B)^{*} M\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

In this case, applying (1.25) to the right-hand side of (5.2) and simplifying by (5.3), (5.4) and (1.36) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& r\left[C(B C)^{\dagger} B-C M^{\dagger} A B\right]  \tag{5.5}\\
& =r\left[\begin{array}{c}
C(B C)^{\dagger} B \\
C M^{\dagger} A B
\end{array}\right]+r\left[C(B C)^{\dagger} B, C M^{\dagger} A B\right]-r\left[C(B C)^{\dagger} B\right]-r\left(C M^{\dagger} A B\right) \\
& =r\left[\begin{array}{c}
(B C)^{*} B \\
M^{*} A B
\end{array}\right]+r\left[C(B C)^{*}, C M^{*}\right]-r(B C)-r(M) \\
& =r\left[\begin{array}{c}
M^{*} A B \\
(B C)^{*} B
\end{array}\right]-r(M) .
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (5.5) into (5.2), and then (5.2) into (5.1) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\min _{(A B)(1,3)} r\left[M^{\dagger}-(B C)^{\dagger} B(A B)^{(1,3)}\right]= & r\left[\begin{array}{c}
M^{*} A B \\
(B C)^{*} B
\end{array}\right]-r\left[\begin{array}{c}
A B \\
(B C)^{*} B
\end{array}\right]  \tag{5.6}\\
& -r(M)+r(A B) .
\end{align*}
$$

Let the right-hand side of (5.6) be zero, we obtain the result in (a). Similarly, we can show by (1.21) that
(5.7) $\min _{(B C)^{(1,4)}} r\left[M^{\dagger}-(B C)^{(1,4)} B(A B)^{\dagger}\right]=r\left[B C M^{*}, B(A B)^{*}\right]-r\left[B C, B(A B)^{*}\right]$
$-r(M)+r(B C)$.
Result (b) is a direct consequence of (5.7).
6. The reverse-order law $(A B C)^{\dagger}=(B C)^{(1,2,4)} B(A B)^{(1,2,3)}$. For the product $A B$, Wibker, Howe and Gilbert [14] showed that there exist $A^{(1,2,3)}$ and $B^{(1,2,4)}$ such that the Moore-Penrose inverse of $A B$ can be expressed as $(A B)^{\dagger}=B^{(1,2,4)} A^{(1,2,3)}$. In this section, we extend this result to the Moore-Penrose inverse of $A B C$.

Theorem 6.1. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times p}$ and $C \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times q}$. Then there exist $(A B)^{(1,2,3)}$ and $(B C)^{(1,2,4)}$ such that $(A B C)^{\dagger}=(B C)^{(1,2,4)} B(A B)^{(1,2,3)}$ holds.

Proof. It is well known that the general expressions of $A^{(1,2,3)}$ and $A^{(1,2,4)}$ can be written as $A^{(1,2,3)}=A^{\dagger}+F_{A} V A A^{\dagger}$ and $A^{(1,2,4)}=A^{\dagger}+A^{\dagger} A W E_{A}$, where $V$ and $W$ are two arbitrary matrices; see, e.g., [2]. Hence, the general expressions of $(A B)^{(1,2,3)}$ and $(B C)^{(1,2,4)}$ can be written as

$$
(A B)^{(1,2,3)}=(A B)^{\dagger}+F_{A B} V A B(A B)^{\dagger}, \quad(B C)^{(1,2,4)}=(B C)^{\dagger}+(B C)^{\dagger} B C W E_{B C}
$$

where $V$ and $W$ are two arbitrary matrices. By elementary block matrix operations, we first obtain
$r\left[M^{\dagger}-(B C)^{(1,2,4)} B(A B)^{(1,2,3)}\right]$
$=r\left\{M^{\dagger}-\left[(B C)^{\dagger}+(B C)^{\dagger} B C W E_{B C}\right] B\left[(A B)^{\dagger}+F_{A B} V A B(A B)^{\dagger}\right]\right\}$
$=r\left[\begin{array}{cc}M^{\dagger} & {\left[(B C)^{\dagger}+(B C)^{\dagger} B C W E_{B C}\right] B} \\ B\left[(A B)^{\dagger}+F_{A B} V A B(A B)^{\dagger}\right] & B\end{array}\right]-r(B)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & r\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
M^{\dagger} & (B C)^{\dagger} B \\
B(A B)^{\dagger} & B
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
B F_{A B}
\end{array}\right] V\left[A B(A B)^{\dagger}, 0\right]+\left[\begin{array}{c}
(B C)^{\dagger} B C \\
0
\end{array}\right] W\left[0, E_{B C} B\right]\right) \\
& -r(B) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Further by (1.27),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \min _{V, W} r\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
M^{\dagger} & (B C)^{\dagger} B \\
B(A B)^{\dagger} & B
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
B F_{A B}
\end{array}\right] V\left[A B(A B)^{\dagger}, 0\right]+\left[\begin{array}{cc}
(B C)^{\dagger} B C \\
0
\end{array}\right] W\left[0, E_{B C} B\right]\right)  \tag{6.2}\\
& =r\left[\begin{array}{cc}
M^{\dagger} & (B C)^{\dagger} B \\
B(A B)^{\dagger} & B \\
A B(A B)^{\dagger} & 0 \\
0 & E_{B C} B
\end{array}\right]+r\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
M^{\dagger} & (B C)^{\dagger} B & 0 & (B C)^{\dagger} B C \\
B(A B)^{\dagger} & B & B F_{A B} & 0
\end{array}\right] \\
& \quad+\max \left\{s_{1},\right. \\
& \left.s_{2}\right\},
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{1}= & {\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
M^{\dagger} & (B C)^{\dagger} B & 0 \\
B(A B)^{\dagger} & B & B F_{A B} \\
0 & E_{B C} B & 0
\end{array}\right]-r\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
M^{\dagger} & (B C)^{\dagger} B & 0 \\
B(A B)^{\dagger} & B & B F_{A B} \\
0 & E_{B C} B & 0
\end{array}\right] } \\
& -r\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
M^{\dagger} & (B C)^{\dagger} B & 0 \\
B(A B)^{\dagger} & B & 0 \\
0 & E_{B C} B & 0 \\
A F_{A B} \\
A B(A B)^{\dagger} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], \\
s_{2}= & r\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
M^{\dagger} & (B C)^{\dagger} B & (B C)^{\dagger} B C \\
B(A B)^{\dagger} & B & 0 \\
A B(A B)^{\dagger} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \\
& -r\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
M^{\dagger} & (B C)^{\dagger} B & (B C)^{\dagger} B C \\
B(A B)^{\dagger} & B & 0 \\
A B(A B)^{\dagger} & 0 & 0 \\
M^{\dagger} & (B C)^{\dagger} B & (B C)^{\dagger} B C \\
B(A B)^{\dagger} & B & 0
\end{array}\right] \\
& -r\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
A B(A B)^{\dagger} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & E_{B C} B & 0
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Simplifying the block matrices in (6.2) by (1.13), (1.14), (1.15) and elementary block matrix operations, and substituting (6.2) into (6.1) yield

$$
\begin{align*}
& \min _{V, W} r\left\{M^{\dagger}-\left[(B C)^{\dagger}+(B C)^{\dagger} B C W E_{B C}\right] B\left[(A B)^{\dagger}+F_{A B} V A B(A B)^{\dagger}\right]\right\}  \tag{6.3}\\
& =\max \{0, r(A B)+r(B C)-r(B)-r(A B C)\} .
\end{align*}
$$

The manipulations are omitted. Also by the Frobenius rank inequality $r(A B C) \geq$ $r(A B)+r(B C)-r(B)$, the right-hand side of (6.3) becomes zero. Hence the result of the theorem is true. $\square$
7. $\{i, \ldots, j\}$-inverses of sums of matrices. Applying the results in the previous sections to (1.3) and (1.4) may produce a variety of results on $\{i, \ldots, j\}$-inverses of $A+B$, some of which are given in the following three theorems.

Theorem 7.1. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$. Then:
(a) The following statements are equivalent:
(i) $\left[\begin{array}{l}A \\ B\end{array}\right]^{\dagger}\left[\begin{array}{cc}A & 0 \\ 0 & B\end{array}\right][A, B]^{\dagger}$ is a $\{1\}$-inverse of $A+B$.
(ii) $r\left[\begin{array}{cc}A+B & A A^{*}+B B^{*} \\ A^{*} A+B^{*} B & A^{*} A A^{*}+B^{*} B B^{*}\end{array}\right]=r\left[\begin{array}{l}A \\ B\end{array}\right]+r[A, B]-r(A+B)$.
(b) The following statements are equivalent:
(i) $\left[\begin{array}{l}A \\ B\end{array}\right]^{\dagger}\left[\begin{array}{cc}A & 0 \\ 0 & B\end{array}\right][A, B]^{\dagger}$ is a $\{1,3\}$-inverse of $A+B$.
(ii) $r\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}A & B \\ I_{n} & I_{n}\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}A^{*} & 0 \\ 0 & B^{*}\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}A & B \\ B & A\end{array}\right]\right)=r\left[\begin{array}{l}A \\ B\end{array}\right]$.
(c) The following statements are equivalent:
(i) $\left[\begin{array}{c}A \\ B\end{array}\right]^{\dagger}\left[\begin{array}{cc}A & 0 \\ 0 & B\end{array}\right][A, B]^{\dagger}$ is a $\{1,4\}$-inverse of $A+B$.
(ii) $r\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}A & B \\ B & A\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}A^{*} & 0 \\ 0 & B^{*}\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}A & I_{m} \\ B & I_{m}\end{array}\right]\right)=r[A, B]$.
(d) The following statements are equivalent:
(i) $(A+B)^{\dagger}=\left[\begin{array}{l}A \\ B\end{array}\right]^{\dagger}\left[\begin{array}{cc}A & 0 \\ 0 & B\end{array}\right][A, B]^{\dagger}$.
(ii) $r\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}A & B \\ B & A\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}A^{*} & 0 \\ 0 & B^{*}\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}A & B \\ B & A\end{array}\right]\right)=r(A+B)$.

Proof. It follows from Theorems 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3, and (3.4).
Theorem 7.2. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$. Then:
(a) There exist $[A, B]^{(1,3)}$ and $\left[\begin{array}{l}A \\ B\end{array}\right]^{(1,3)}$ such that

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
A \\
B
\end{array}\right]^{(1,3)}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A & 0 \\
0 & B
\end{array}\right][A, B]^{(1,3)} \in\left\{(A+B)^{(1,3)}\right\}
$$

if and only if $r\left[\begin{array}{cc}B^{*} A & A^{*} B \\ A^{*} A & B^{*} B\end{array}\right]=r\left[\begin{array}{cc}A & B \\ A^{*} A & B^{*} B\end{array}\right]+r(A+B)-r[A, B]$.
(b) The set inclusion $\left\{\left[\begin{array}{l}A \\ B\end{array}\right]^{(1,3)}\left[\begin{array}{cc}A & 0 \\ 0 & B\end{array}\right][A, B]^{(1,3)}\right\} \subseteq\left\{(A+B)^{(1,3)}\right\}$ holds if and only if $\mathscr{R}\left[\begin{array}{l}A^{*} B \\ B^{*} A\end{array}\right] \subseteq \mathscr{R}\left[\begin{array}{l}A^{*} A \\ B^{*} B\end{array}\right]$.
(c) There exist $[A, B]^{(1,4)}$ and $\left[\begin{array}{l}A \\ B\end{array}\right]^{(1,4)}$ such that

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
A \\
B
\end{array}\right]^{(1,4)}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A & 0 \\
0 & B
\end{array}\right][A, B]^{(1,4)} \in\left\{(A+B)^{(1,4)}\right\}
$$

if and only if $r\left[\begin{array}{ll}A B^{*} & A A^{*} \\ B A^{*} & B B^{*}\end{array}\right]=r\left[\begin{array}{ll}A & A A^{*} \\ B & B B^{*}\end{array}\right]+r(A+B)-r\left[\begin{array}{l}A \\ B\end{array}\right]$.
(d) The set inclusion $\left\{\left[\begin{array}{l}A \\ B\end{array}\right]^{(1,4)}\left[\begin{array}{cc}A & 0 \\ 0 & B\end{array}\right][A, B]^{(1,4)}\right\} \subseteq\left\{(A+B)^{(1,4)}\right\}$ holds if and only if $\mathscr{R}\left[\begin{array}{l}A B^{*} \\ B A^{*}\end{array}\right] \subseteq \mathscr{R}\left[\begin{array}{l}A A^{*} \\ B B^{*}\end{array}\right]$.
Proof. It follows from Theorems 4.1 and 4.2.
Theorem 7.3. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$. Then there exist $[A, B]^{(1,2,3)}$ and $\left[\begin{array}{l}A \\ B\end{array}\right]^{(1,2,4)}$ such that

$$
(A+B)^{\dagger}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
A \\
B
\end{array}\right]^{(1,2,4)}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A & 0 \\
0 & B
\end{array}\right][A, B]^{(1,2,3)}
$$

Proof. It follows from Theorem 6.1. Z
The results in Theorems 7.1, 7.2 and 7.3 can be extended to the sum of $k$ matrices. In fact, the sum $A_{1}+\cdots+A_{k}$ of matrices $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ can be rewritten as the product

$$
A_{1}+\cdots+A_{k}=[I, \ldots, I]\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
A_{1} & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \ldots & A_{k}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
I \\
\vdots \\
I
\end{array}\right] \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} P N Q
$$

Hence, a group of results on $\{i, \ldots, j\}$-inverses of $P N Q=A_{1}+\cdots+A_{k}$ can trivially be derived from the theorems in the previous sections.
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