

## SCHATTEN NORMS OF TOEPLITZ MATRICES WITH FISHER-HARTWIG SINGULARITIES\*

ALBRECHT BÖTTCHER<sup>†</sup>

**Abstract.** The asymptotics of the Schatten norms of finite Toeplitz matrices generated by functions with a Fisher-Hartwig singularity are described as the matrix dimension n goes to infinity. The message of the paper is to reveal some kind of a kink: the pth Schatten norm increases as n to the power 1/p before the singularity reaches a critical point and as n to an exponent depending on the singularity beyond the critical point.

 ${\bf Key}$  words. To eplitz matrix, Schatten norm, Fisher-Hartwig singularity, Avram-Parter theorem, Szegő theorem.

AMS subject classifications. 47B35, 15A60.

**1. Introduction.** Let a be a function in  $L^1(-\pi,\pi)$  and let  $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$  be the sequence of the Fourier coefficients of a,

$$a_n = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} a(x) \, e^{-inx} \frac{dx}{2\pi}.$$

We denote by  $T_n(a)$  the  $n \times n$  Toeplitz matrix  $(a_{j-k})_{j,k=1}^n$ . For  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , the Schatten norm  $||T_n(a)||_p$  is defined by

$$||T_n(a)||_p := \begin{cases} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n s_j^p(T_n(a))\right)^{1/p} & \text{for } 1 \le p < \infty, \\ s_n(T_n(a)) & \text{for } p = \infty, \end{cases}$$

where  $s_1(T_n(a)) \leq \ldots \leq s_n(T_n(a))$  are the singular values of  $T_n(a)$ . This paper addresses the behavior of the Schatten norms  $||T_n(a)||_p$  as  $n \to \infty$  in the case where *a* is a function with a singularity of the Fisher-Hartwig type. An archetypal example of such a function is

$$\omega_{\alpha}^{+}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for} \quad x \in (-\pi, 0), \\ 1/x^{\alpha} & \text{for} \quad x \in (0, \pi), \end{cases}$$

where  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . One can show that there exist constants  $C_1(\alpha), C_{\infty}(\alpha) \in (0, \infty)$  depending only on  $\alpha$  such that

$$||T_n(\omega_\alpha^+)||_1 \sim C_1(\alpha) n, \quad ||T_n(\omega_\alpha^+)||_\infty \sim C_\infty(\alpha) n^\alpha.$$

Here and in what follows  $x_n \sim y_n$  means that  $x_n/y_n \to 1$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Thus, the exponent of n in the asymptotics of the trace norm  $||T_n(\omega_{\alpha}^+)||_1$  is independent of  $\alpha$ , while

<sup>\*</sup>Received by the editors 29 June 2006. Accepted for publication 13 September 2006. Handling Editor: Shmuel Friedland.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>dagger}$ Fakultät für Mathematik, Technische Universität Chemnitz, D<br/> - 09107 Chemnitz, Germany (aboettch@mathematik.tu-chemnitz.de).



## A. Böttcher

this exponent depends heavily on  $\alpha$  for the spectral norm  $||T_n(\omega_{\alpha}^+)||_{\infty}$ . Computing Frobenius norms we get

$$\|T_n(\omega_{\alpha}^+)\|_2 \sim \begin{cases} C_2(\alpha) n^{1/2} & \text{for } \alpha < 1/2, \\ C_2(\alpha) (n \log n)^{1/2} & \text{for } \alpha = 1/2, \\ C_2(\alpha) n^{\alpha} & \text{for } \alpha > 1/2 \end{cases}$$

with constants  $C_2(\alpha) \in (0, \infty)$ . This time we observe a kind of a kink at  $\alpha = 1/2$ : for  $\alpha < 1/2$  the exponent of n is independent of  $\alpha$  and for  $\alpha > 1/2$  the asymptotics of  $||T_n(\omega_{\alpha}^+)||_2$  is governed by  $\alpha$ .

Theorems of the Szegö-Avram-Parter type state that

(1.1) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} F(s_j(T_n(a))) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} F(|a(x)|) \frac{dx}{2\pi}$$

for appropriate functions  $F : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ . The functions F are usually referred to as test functions. The Avram-Parter theorem says that (1.1) is true for every  $F \in C[0, \infty)$  if a belongs to  $L^{\infty}(-\pi, \pi)$  (see [1], [4]; a full proof is also in [2]). Under the sole assumption that a be in  $L^1(-\pi, \pi)$ , Tyrtyshnikov and Zamarashkin [8], [9] proved (1.1) for all bounded and uniformly continuous functions F. A textbook proof of the Tyrtyshnikov-Zamarashkin theorem is in Tilli's paper [7]. The quotient  $\|T_n(a)\|_p^p/n$  is just the left-hand side of (1.1) for  $F(s) = s^p$ . This function is neither bounded nor uniformly continuous, but Serra Capizzano [5] showed that nevertheless (1.1) is valid in this case, that is, after abbreviating  $L^p(-\pi, \pi)$  to  $L^p$  and letting

$$||a||_p := \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |a(x)|^p \frac{dx}{2\pi}\right)^{1/p},$$

we have

(1.2) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\|T_n(a)\|_p}{n^{1/p}} = \begin{cases} \|a\|_p & \text{if } a \in L^p, \\ \infty & \text{if } a \notin L^p. \end{cases}$$

Since  $\omega_{\alpha}^+$  is in  $L^p$  if and only if  $p < 1/\alpha$ , we deduce that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\|T_n(\omega_{\alpha}^+)\|_p}{n^{1/p}} = \begin{cases} \|\omega_{\alpha}^+\|_p & \text{if } p < 1/\alpha, \\ \infty & \text{if } p \ge 1/\alpha. \end{cases}$$

This is the explanation of the kink.

Formula (1.2) does not describe the order of the growth of  $||T_n(a)||_p$  for  $a \in L^1 \setminus L^p$ . We here tackle this question for a special but sufficiently interesting class of functions  $a \in L^1$ . For  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , define  $\omega_{\alpha}^+(x)$  as above and put  $\omega_{\alpha}^-(x) = \omega_{\alpha}^+(-x)$ . We consider functions of the form

(1.3) 
$$a(x) = \omega_{\beta}^{-}(x) b(x) + \omega_{\gamma}^{+}(x) c(x)$$



where  $0<\beta<1,\,0<\gamma<1,\,b\in L^\infty,\,c\in L^\infty.$  For example, our analysis includes the function

$$a(x) = \frac{1}{|x|^{\alpha}} = \omega_{\alpha}^{-}(x) + \omega_{\alpha}^{+}(x)$$

the function

$$a(x) = |e^{ix} - 1|^{-\alpha} = \left(2\left|\sin\frac{x}{2}\right|\right)^{-\alpha} = \left[\omega_{\alpha}^{-}(x) + \omega_{\alpha}^{+}(x)\right] \frac{|x|^{\alpha}}{(2|\sin(x/2)|)^{\alpha}}$$

and also such functions as

(1.4) 
$$a(x) = \begin{cases} |e^{ix} - 1|^{-\beta} \exp(i\delta(x - \pi)) & \text{for } x < 0, \\ |e^{ix} - 1|^{-\gamma} \exp(i\eta(\pi - x)) & \text{for } x > 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in (0, 1)$  and  $\delta, \eta \in \mathbb{C}$ . The class (1.3) includes in particular all functions with a single Fisher-Hartwig singularity, that is, all functions of the form (1.4) with  $\beta = \gamma$  (see, e.g., [2]). The following result provides us with upper bounds.

THEOREM 1.1. Let a be of the form (1.3) with  $0 < \beta < 1$ ,  $0 < \gamma < 1$ ,  $b \in L^{\infty}$ ,  $c \in L^{\infty}$  and put  $\alpha = \max(\beta, \gamma)$ . If  $1/\alpha \leq p \leq \infty$ , then there exists a constant  $C_p(a) \in (0, \infty)$  such that

$$||T_n(a)||_p \leq \begin{cases} C_p(a) n^{\alpha} (\log n)^{1+\alpha} & \text{if } p = 1/\alpha, \\ C_p(a) n^{\alpha} \log n & \text{if } 1/\alpha$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ .

To get lower bounds, we need some technical assumptions. Here is our result.

THEOREM 1.2. Let a be of the form (1.3) with  $0 < \beta < 1$ ,  $0 < \gamma < 1$ ,  $b \in L^{\infty}$ ,  $c \in L^{\infty}$ . Suppose b and c are one-sided Lipschitz continuous at 0, that is, the one-sided limits b(0-0) and c(0+0) exist and

$$\begin{aligned} |b(x) - b(0 - 0)| &= O(|x|) & as \quad x \to 0 - 0, \\ |c(x) - c(0 + 0)| &= O(|x|) & as \quad x \to 0 + 0. \end{aligned}$$

Put  $\alpha = \max(\beta, \gamma)$  and assume

$$\begin{split} b(0-0) &\neq 0 \quad if \quad \alpha = \beta > \gamma, \\ c(0+0) &\neq 0 \quad if \quad \alpha = \gamma > \beta, \\ |b(0-0)| + |c(0+0)| > 0 \quad if \quad \alpha = \beta = \gamma. \end{split}$$

If  $1/\alpha , then there exists a constant <math>K(a) \in (0, \infty)$  depending only on a such that

$$K(a) n^{\alpha} \leq ||T_n(a)||_p$$
 for all  $n \geq 1$ .



## A. Böttcher

If  $p = 1/\alpha$  and b and c are in  $C^1[-\pi,\pi]$ , then there is a constant  $K(a) \in (0,\infty)$  depending only on a such that

$$K(a) n^{\alpha} \leq ||T_n(a)||_p$$
 for all  $n \geq 1$ .

We will prove these two theorems in Sections 2 and 3. The idea of the proof is very simple. The lower bounds follow from the inequality  $||T_n(a)||_p \ge ||T_n(a)||_{\infty}$  and the result of [3] for the norm  $||\cdot||_{\infty}$ . To obtain the upper bounds we take into account that  $T_n(a) = T_n(s_n a)$ , where  $s_n a$  is the (n-1)st partial sum of the Fourier series of a, we use the inequality

(1.5) 
$$||T_n(a)||_p \le n^{1/p} ||a||_p$$

which was shown by Serra Capizzano and Tilli [6] to be true for all  $a \in L^p$ ,  $1 \le p < \infty$ ,  $n \ge 1$  to get  $||T_n(a)||_p \le n^{1/p} ||s_n a||_p$ , and we finally employ the representation of  $s_n a$  via the Dirichlet kernel to estimate  $||s_n a||_p$ .

We conjecture that for  $1/\alpha \leq p < \infty$  the stronger estimates

$$K_p(a) n^{\alpha} (\log n)^{\alpha} \le ||T_n(a)||_p \le C_p(a) n^{\alpha} (\log n)^{\alpha} \quad \text{if} \quad p = 1/\alpha,$$
  
$$K_p(a) n^{\alpha} \le ||T_n(a)||_p \le C_p(a) n^{\alpha} \qquad \text{if} \quad p > 1/\alpha$$

hold and that one can remove the hypothesis that b and c be in  $C^1[-\pi, \pi]$  in the case  $p = 1/\alpha$ , but this is still unresolved.

Clearly, combining the inequality

$$||T_n(f)||_p - ||T_n(g)||_p \le ||T_n(f+g)||_p \le ||T_n(f)||_p + ||T_n(g)||_p$$

with Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 we obtain estimates for  $||T_n(a)||_p$  if a is of the more general form

$$a(x) = \sum_{r=1}^{R} \left[ \omega_{\beta_r}(x-x_r)b_r(x-x_r) + \omega_{\gamma_r}(x-x_r)c_r(x-x_r) \right]$$

where  $\beta_r, \gamma_r, b_r, c_r$  are as above.

**2. The pure singularity.** Let  $a = \omega_{\alpha}^{-}b + \omega_{\alpha}^{+}c$  with  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and with constants  $b, c \in \mathbb{C}$ . We exclude the uninteresting case b = c = 0. Put

$$U(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty \frac{\cos y}{y^\alpha} \frac{dy}{2\pi} = \frac{1}{4\Gamma(\alpha)\cos(\pi\alpha/2)},$$
$$V(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin y}{y^\alpha} \frac{dy}{2\pi} = \frac{1}{4\Gamma(\alpha)\sin(\pi\alpha/2)}.$$

For  $n \geq 1$ , the Fourier coefficients of  $\omega_{\alpha}^+$  are

$$(\omega_{\alpha}^{+})_{n} = \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{e^{-inx}}{x^{\alpha}} \frac{dx}{2\pi} = n^{\alpha-1} \int_{0}^{n\pi} \frac{e^{-iy}}{y^{\alpha}} \frac{dy}{2\pi} = n^{\alpha-1} \left( U(\alpha) - iV(\alpha) + o(1) \right),$$



and analogously,

$$(\omega_{\alpha}^{+})_{-n} = n^{\alpha-1} (U(\alpha) + iV(\alpha) + o(1)), \quad (\omega_{\alpha}^{-})_{\pm n} = (\omega_{\alpha}^{+})_{\mp n}.$$

Thus,

$$(\omega_{\alpha}^{-}b + \omega_{\alpha}^{+}c)_{\pm n} = Q_{\pm} n^{\alpha - 1} (1 + o(1)) \quad \text{with} \quad Q_{\pm} = (b + c)U(\alpha) \pm i(b - c)V(\alpha).$$

Let  $K_{\alpha,b,c}$  be the integral operator on  $L^2(0,1)$  given by

(2.1) 
$$(K_{\alpha,b,c}f)(x) = \int_0^1 k(x,y) f(y) \, dy, \quad x \in (0,1)$$

with

(2.2) 
$$k(x,y) = \begin{cases} Q_+(x-y)^{\alpha-1} & \text{for } x > y, \\ Q_-(y-x)^{\alpha-1} & \text{for } x < y. \end{cases}$$

This operator is bounded and we denote its norm by  $||K_{\alpha,b,c}||$ . It is clear that  $||K_{\alpha,b,c}|| > 0$  unless b = c = 0.

THEOREM 2.1. We have

$$||T_n(\omega_\alpha^- b + \omega_\alpha^+ c)||_\infty \sim ||K_{\alpha,b,c}|| n^\alpha.$$

*Proof.* This follows from Theorem 2.4 of [3].  $\Box$ 

COROLLARY 2.2. Let  $\beta, \gamma \in (0, 1)$  and suppose  $\beta \neq \gamma$ . Then

$$\|T_n(\omega_{\beta}^-b + \omega_{\gamma}^+c)\|_{\infty} \sim \begin{cases} \|K_{\beta,b,0}\| n^{\beta} & \text{if } \beta > \gamma \text{ and } b \neq 0, \\ \|K_{\gamma,0,c}\| n^{\beta} & \text{if } \gamma > \beta \text{ and } c \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Straightforward from Theorem 2.1.  $\Box$ 

Theorem 2.3. If  $1 \le p < \infty$  and  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , then

$$||T_n(\omega_{\alpha}^+)||_p = \begin{cases} O(n^{1/p} \log n) & for \quad p < 1/\alpha, \\ O(n^{\alpha} (\log n)^{1+\alpha}) & for \quad p = 1/\alpha, \\ O(n^{\alpha} \log n) & for \quad p > 1/\alpha. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Let  $s_n \omega_{\alpha}^+$  be the (n-1)st partial sum of the Fourier series of  $\omega_{\alpha}^+$ ,

$$(s_n\omega_\alpha^+)(x) = \sum_{k=-(n-1)}^{n-1} (\omega_\alpha^+)_k e^{ikx}.$$

Obviously,  $T_n(\omega_{\alpha}^+) = T_n(s_n\omega_{\alpha}^+)$ . From (1.5) we therefore deduce that

$$||T_n(\omega_{\alpha}^+)||_p \le n^{1/p} ||s_n\omega_{\alpha}^+||_p.$$



A. Böttcher

Put N = n - 1/2. Then in terms of the Dirichlet kernel,

$$(s_n\omega_{\alpha}^+)(x) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \omega_{\alpha}^+(t) \, \frac{\sin N(x-t)}{\sin((x-t)/2)} \, \frac{dt}{2\pi} = \int_0^{\pi} \frac{1}{t^{\alpha}} \, \frac{\sin N(x-t)}{\sin((x-t)/2)} \, \frac{dt}{2\pi}.$$

Consequently,

$$|(s_n\omega_{\alpha}^+)(x)| \le C_1 \int_0^{\pi} \frac{1}{t^{\alpha}} \frac{|\sin N(x-t)|}{|x-t|} dt.$$

Here and in what follows  $C_j$  denotes a constant in  $(0,\infty)$  that is independent of N. Substituting Nx = y and  $Nt = \tau$  we get

(2.3) 
$$|(s_n \omega_{\alpha}^+)(y/N)| \le C_1 N^{\alpha} \int_0^{N\pi} \frac{|\sin(y-\tau)|}{\tau^{\alpha} |y-\tau|} d\tau.$$

If  $-2 \le y < 0$ , the integral in (2.3) is

(2.4) 
$$\int_{0}^{N\pi} \frac{|\sin(\tau+|y|)|}{\tau+|y|} \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{\alpha}} = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{|\sin(\tau+|y|)|}{\tau+|y|} \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{\alpha}} + \int_{1}^{N\pi} \frac{|\sin(\tau+|y|)|}{\tau+|y|} \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{\alpha}} \\ \leq \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{\alpha}} + \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{\alpha+1}} = C_{2},$$

and for y < -2 the same integral is

$$(2.5) \quad \int_{0}^{N\pi} \frac{|\sin(\tau+|y|)|}{\tau+|y|} \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{\alpha}} = \int_{0}^{|y|} \frac{|\sin(\tau+|y|)|}{\tau+|y|} \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{\alpha}} + \int_{|y|}^{N\pi} \frac{|\sin(\tau+|y|)|}{\tau+|y|} \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{\alpha}} \\ \leq \int_{0}^{|y|} \frac{d\tau}{|y|\tau^{\alpha}} + \int_{|y|}^{\infty} \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{\alpha+1}} = \frac{1}{(1-\alpha)|y|^{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{\alpha|y|^{\alpha}} = \frac{C_{3}}{|y|^{\alpha}}.$$

So let y > 0. We split the integral in (2.3) into  $\int_0^y$  and  $\int_y^{N\pi}$ . The substitution  $\tau = y\xi$  yields

$$(2.6) \int_0^y \frac{|\sin(y-\tau)|}{\tau^{\alpha} |y-\tau|} d\tau = \frac{1}{y^{\alpha}} \int_0^1 \frac{|\sin y(1-\xi)|}{1-\xi} \frac{d\xi}{\xi^{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{y^{\alpha}} \int_0^1 \frac{|\sin y\xi|}{\xi} \frac{d\xi}{(1-\xi)^{\alpha}} d\xi$$

If  $y \leq 2$ , then

(2.7) 
$$\int_0^1 \frac{|\sin y\xi|}{\xi} \frac{d\xi}{(1-\xi)^{\alpha}} \le \int_0^1 2 \frac{d\xi}{(1-\xi)^{\alpha}} = C_4,$$

and if y > 2, we have

$$\int_{1/2}^{1} \frac{|\sin y\xi|}{\xi} \frac{d\xi}{(1-\xi)^{\alpha}} \le \int_{1/2}^{1} \frac{d\xi}{\xi(1-\xi)^{\alpha}} = C_5,$$
  
$$\int_{0}^{1/y} \frac{|\sin y\xi|}{\xi} \frac{d\xi}{(1-\xi)^{\alpha}} \le 2^{\alpha} \int_{0}^{1/y} \frac{|\sin y\xi|}{\xi} d\xi \le 2^{\alpha} y \int_{0}^{1/y} d\xi = 2^{\alpha} = C_6,$$
  
$$\int_{1/y}^{1/2} \frac{|\sin y\xi|}{\xi} \frac{d\xi}{(1-\xi)^{\alpha}} \le 2^{\alpha} \int_{1/y}^{1/2} \frac{d\xi}{\xi} = 2^{\alpha} (\log y - \log 2) \le C_7 \log y.$$



Inserting this into (2.6) we obtain

(2.8) 
$$\int_0^y \frac{|\sin(y-\tau)|}{\tau^\alpha |y-\tau|} d\tau \le C_8 \frac{\log y}{y^\alpha}$$

for y > 2. To estimate the remaining integral we substitute  $\tau - y = y\xi$  and get

$$(2.9) \quad \int_{y}^{N\pi} \frac{|\sin(y-\tau)|}{\tau^{\alpha} |y-\tau|} \, d\tau = \int_{0}^{(N\pi-y)/y} \frac{|\sin y\xi|}{y\xi(1+y)^{\alpha}} \, \frac{d\xi}{\xi^{\alpha}} \le \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{|\sin y\xi|}{y\xi(1+y)^{\alpha}} \, \frac{d\xi}{\xi^{\alpha}} \\ \le \frac{1}{(1+y)^{\alpha}} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d\xi}{\xi} + \frac{1}{y(1+y)^{\alpha}} \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{d\xi}{\xi^{\alpha+1}} \le \frac{C_{9}}{y^{\alpha}}.$$

In summary, (2.3) combined with (2.4), (2.7) on the one hand and (2.5), (2.8), (2.9) on the other gives

$$(s_n \omega_{\alpha}^+)(y/N)| \le \begin{cases} C_{10} N^{\alpha} & \text{for } |y| \le 2, \\ C_{11} N^{\alpha} (\log |y|)/|y|^{\alpha} & \text{for } 2 < |y| < N\pi. \end{cases}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|s_n\omega_{\alpha}^{+}\|_{p}^{p} &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |(s_n\omega_{\alpha}^{+})(x)|^{p} \frac{dx}{2\pi} = \frac{1}{N} \int_{-N\pi}^{N\pi} |(s_n\omega_{\alpha}^{+})(y/N)|^{p} \frac{dy}{2\pi} \\ &\leq N^{\alpha p-1} \left( 2 C_{10}^{p} \int_{0}^{2} \frac{dy}{2\pi} + 2 C_{11}^{p} \int_{2}^{N\pi} \frac{(\log y)^{p}}{y^{\alpha p}} \frac{dy}{2\pi} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\int_{2}^{N\pi} \frac{(\log y)^p}{y^{\alpha p}} \, dy = \begin{cases} O(N^{1-\alpha p} (\log N)^p) & \text{for } \alpha p < 1, \\ O((\log N)^{1+p}) & \text{for } \alpha p = 1, \\ O((\log N)^p) & \text{for } \alpha p > 1, \end{cases}$$

we arrive at the desired estimates for  $||s_n \omega_{\alpha}^+||_p$ .  $\Box$ 

COROLLARY 2.4. If  $1 \le p < \infty$  and  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_n(\omega_{\alpha}^+)\|_p &\sim \|\omega_{\alpha}^+\|_p n^{1/p} \quad for \quad p < 1/\alpha, \\ K(\alpha) n^{\alpha} &\leq \|T_n(\omega_{\alpha}^+)\|_p \leq C_p(\alpha) n^{\alpha} (\log n)^{1+\alpha} \quad for \quad p = 1/\alpha, \\ K(\alpha) n^{\alpha} &\leq \|T_n(\omega_{\alpha}^+)\|_p \leq C_p(\alpha, p) n^{\alpha} (\log n) \quad for \quad p > 1/\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The result for  $p < 1/\alpha$  follows from (1.2). In the case  $p \ge 1/\alpha$ , the upper bounds are a consequence of Theorem 2.3, while the lower bounds result from the inequality  $||T_n(\omega_{\alpha}^+)||_p \ge ||T_n(\omega_{\alpha}^+)||_{\infty}$  and Theorem 2.1.  $\Box$ 

Note that (1.2) implies that the  $O(n^{1/p} \log n)$  for  $p < 1/\alpha$  in Theorem 2.3 may actually be replaced by  $O(n^{1/p})$ ; we used this in the proof of Corollary 2.4. If  $\alpha > 1/2$ and  $p \ge 2$ , we have

$$||T_n(\omega_{\alpha}^+)||_p \le ||T_n(\omega_{\alpha}^+)||_2 = O(n^{\alpha}),$$



258

A. Böttcher

which provides a better estimate than Theorem 1.1 or Corollary 2.4. Finally, since  $\omega_{\alpha}^+ \in L^{1/(\alpha+\varepsilon)}$  for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we obtain from (1.2) that if  $p > 1/\alpha$ , then

$$||T_n(\omega_{\alpha}^+)||_p \le ||T_n(\omega_{\alpha}^+)||_{1/(\alpha+\varepsilon)} \le C_p(a,\varepsilon) n^{\alpha+\varepsilon}$$

for all sufficiently large n. This is weaker than Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 2.4 but can be derived without the Dirichlet kernel estimates of the proof of Theorem 2.3.

**3.** Proof of the main result. We are now in a position to prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

Serra Capizzano and Tilli [6] proved that if  $f \in L^{\infty}$  and  $g \in L^1$ , then

(3.1)  $||T_n(fg)||_p \le ||f||_{\infty} ||T_n(|g|)||_p.$ 

Consequently,

$$\|T_n(\omega_{\beta}^{-}b + \omega_{\gamma}^{+}c)\|_p \le \|b\|_{\infty} \|T_n(\omega_{\beta}^{-})\|_p + \|c\|_{\infty} \|T_n(\omega_{\gamma}^{+})\|_p,$$

and Corollary 2.2 and Theorem 2.3 therefore yield Theorem 1.1.

We turn to the proof of Theorem 1.2. So let  $1/\alpha \leq p \leq \infty$ . We have

$$a = \omega_{\beta}^{-} b(0-0) + \omega_{\gamma}^{+} c(0+0) + \omega_{\beta}^{-} (b-b(0-0)) + \omega_{\gamma}^{+} (c-c(0+0)).$$

Since

$$\omega_{\gamma}^{+}(x)(c(x) - c(0+0)) = \frac{1}{x^{\gamma}}O(x) = O(x^{1-\gamma}),$$

the function  $\omega_{\gamma}^+(c-c(0+0))$  is in  $L^{\infty}$  and hence, by the Avram-Parter theorem (or by (3.1) combined with (1.5)),

$$||T_n(\omega_{\gamma}^+ (c - c(0+0)))||_p = O(n^{1/p}).$$

Analogously,  $||T_n(\omega_\beta^-(b-b(0-0)))||_p = O(n^{1/p})$ , which implies

$$||T_n(a)||_p = ||T_n(\omega_\beta^- b(0-0) + \omega_\gamma^+ c(0+0))||_p + O(n^{1/p})$$

Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.2 in conjunction with the inequality  $||T_n||_p \ge ||T_n||_{\infty}$ now yield the assertion for  $1/\alpha .$ 

We are left with the case  $p = 1/\alpha$ . If the two functions  $\omega_{\beta}^{-}(b - b(0 - 0))$  and  $\omega_{\gamma}^{+}(c - c(0 + 0))$  are in  $C^{1}[-\pi, \pi]$ , then their Fourier coefficients are O(1/n) because

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x)e^{-inx} dx = \frac{f(x)e^{-inx}}{-in} \Big|_{-\pi}^{\pi} + \frac{1}{in} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f'(x)e^{-inx} dx.$$

Consequently, from the computation at the beginning of Section 3 we obtain

$$a_{\pm n} = b(0-0) (\omega_{\beta})_n + c(0+0) (\omega_{\gamma})_n + O(1/n)$$
  
=  $Q_{\pm} n^{\alpha-1} (1+o(1)) + O(1/n)$   
=  $Q_{\pm} n^{\alpha-1} (1+o(1)) + o(n^{\alpha-1})$ 



with

$$Q_{\pm} = \begin{cases} (b(0-0) + c(0+0))U(\alpha) \pm i(b(0-0) - c(0+0))V(\alpha) & \text{for} \quad \beta = \gamma = \alpha, \\ b(0-0)U(\alpha) \pm ib(0-0)V(\alpha) & \text{for} \quad \beta = \alpha > \gamma, \\ c(0+0)U(\alpha) \mp ic(0+0)V(\alpha) & \text{for} \quad \gamma = \alpha > \beta. \end{cases}$$

In either case  $Q_{\pm} \neq 0$ . Thus, if we define  $K_{\alpha,b,c}$  by (2.1), (2.2), then  $||K_{\alpha,b,c}|| > 0$ . Since  $a_{\pm n} = Q_{\pm} n^{\alpha-1}(1+o(1))$ , Theorem 2.4 of [3] yields  $||T_n(a)||_{\infty} \sim ||K_{\alpha,b,c}|| n^{\alpha}$ . This gives  $||T_n(a)||_p \geq ||T_n(a)||_{\infty} \geq K(a) n^{\alpha}$  with some constant K(a) > 0 and completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

## REFERENCES

- F. Avram. On bilinear forms in Gaussian random variables and Toeplitz matrices. Probab. Theory Related Fields, 79:37–45, 1988.
- [2] A. Böttcher and B. Silbermann. Introduction to Large Truncated Toeplitz Matrices. Universitext, Springer, New York, 1999.
- [3] A. Böttcher and J. Virtanen. Norms of Toeplitz matrices with Fisher-Hartwig symbols. Preprint, arXiv: math.FA/0606016, 2006.
- [4] S. V. Parter. On the distribution of the singular values of Toeplitz matrices. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 80:115–130, 1986.
- [5] S. Serra Capizzano. Test functions, growth conditions and Toeplitz matrices. In: Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Functional Analysis and Approximation Theory, Vol. II (Potenza, 2000), Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) Suppl., 68:791–795, 2002.
- [6] S. Serra Capizzano and P. Tilli. On unitarily invariant norms of matrix-valued linear positive operators. J. Inequal. Appl., 7:309–330, 2002.
- [7] P. Tilli. A note on the spectral distribution of Toeplitz matrices. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 45:147–159, 1998.
- [8] E. E. Tyrtyshnikov. A unifying approach to some old and new theorems on distribution and clustering. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 232:1–43, 1996.
- [9] N. L. Zamarashkin and E. E. Tyrtyshnikov. Distribution of the eigenvalues and singular numbers of Toeplitz matrices under weakened requirements on the generating function. Sb. Math., 188:1191–1201, 1997.