α -ADJACENCY: A GENERALIZATION OF ADJACENCY MATRICES*

M. HUDELSON^{\dagger}, J. MCDONALD^{\dagger}, and E. WENDLER^{\dagger}

Abstract. B. Shader and W. So introduced the idea of the skew adjacency matrix. Their idea was to give an orientation δ to a simple undirected graph G from which a skew adjacency matrix $S(G^{\delta})$ is created. The α -adjacency matrix extends this idea to an arbitrary field \mathbb{F} . To study the underlying undirected graph, the average α -characteristic polynomial can be created by averaging the characteristic polynomials over all the possible orientations. In particular, a Harary-Sachs theorem for the average α -characteristic polynomial is derived and used to determine a few features of the graph from the average α -characteristic polynomial.

Key words. Graph spectra, Adjacency matrices.

AMS subject classifications. 05C31, 05C50.

1. Introduction. Let G be a simple graph with a vertex set $V = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. The (standard) adjacency matrix A is defined by $a_{ij} = 1$ if i is adjacent to j, (i.e., if ij is an edge) and $a_{ij} = 0$ if i is not adjacent to j. The (standard) spectrum of a graph is the spectrum of its adjacency matrix. While the adjacency matrix does depend on the labeling of the vertices, the spectrum does not.

As the spectrum of a graph is uniquely determined by the characteristic polynomial of its adjacency matrix, we will often only focus on the characteristic polynomial. A useful result in calculating the characteristic polynomial is the Harary-Sachs Theorem.

We let \mathcal{U}_k denote the collection of edges and cycles no two on which share a vertex that cover exactly k vertices. We will say \overrightarrow{U} is a *routing* of $U \in \mathcal{U}_k$ and denote this $\overrightarrow{U} \sim U$ if \overrightarrow{U} can be obtained by directing the cycles in U.

THEOREM 1.1 ([2], Theorem 1.3). The characteristic polynomial for (the standard adjacency matrix) of a undirected graph G is given by

(1.1)
$$p(x) = x^n + a_1 x^{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-1} x + a_n$$

in which the coefficients are

(1.2)
$$a_k = \sum_{\overrightarrow{U} \in \overrightarrow{\mathcal{U}}_k} (-1)^{\# \overrightarrow{U}} = \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}_k} (-1)^{\# U} 2^{c(U)},$$

where #U denotes the number of connected components in U and c(U) denotes the number of cycles (not including edges) in U.

This can be generalized to a weighted directed graph, in which the ij entry in the adjacency matrix is the weight of the arc from i to j or 0 if there is no arc. The Harary-Sachs theorem can be extended in this case.

^{*}Received by the editors on June 19, 2018. Accepted for publication on July 18, 2019. Handling Editor: Bryan L. Shader. Corresponding Author: Judi McDonald.

[†]Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Washington State University, Pullman, WA, 99164, USA (mhudelson@wsu.edu, jmcdonald1@wsu.edu, enzo.wendler@wsu.edu).

366

THEOREM 1.2 ([2], Theorem 1.3 with equation (1.35)). The characteristic polynomial of a weighted digraph D(A) is given by

(1.3)
$$p(x) = x^n + a_1 x^{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-1} x + a_n$$

in which the coefficients are given by

(1.4)
$$a_k = \sum_{\overrightarrow{U} \in \overrightarrow{\mathcal{U}}_k} (-1)^{\# \overrightarrow{U}} \Pi_{\overrightarrow{U}}(A)$$

where $\overrightarrow{U_k}$ is the collection of all sets of vertex disjoint dicycles on exactly k vertices, $\#(\overrightarrow{U})$ is the number of cycles in \overrightarrow{U} and $\prod_{\overrightarrow{U}}(A)$ is the product of the weights of the edges in U.

2. α -Characteristic polynomials and spectra. The notion of skew spectra was introduced by B. Shader and W. So in [5] and was used to distinguish co-spectral graphs in [1]. The idea is to introduce an orientation $\delta : E \to \{-1, 1\}$ to a simple undirected graph G = (V, E). We replace each edge with two directed arcs, one with weight 1 and the other with weight -1, and we denote the new digraph by $G^{\delta} = (V, E^{\delta})$. The skew adjacency matrix $S(G^{\delta})$ is a $\{-1, 0, 1\}$ matrix which is the weighted adjacency matrix of G^{δ} .

The weight $\Pi_{\overrightarrow{U}}$ of a routing \overrightarrow{U} in a skew symmetric graph could be either ± 1 depending on the orientation δ . Thus, for a given graph G, there may be multiple skew spectra depending on the orientation. In [1] it is shown that the skew adjacency matrices S of a graph G are all cospectral if and only if G contains no even cycles.

Similar to skew adjacency, we will use an orientation $\delta : E \to \{-1, 1\}$ to define the α -adjacency matrix $H_{\alpha}(G^{\delta}) = [h_{ij}]$. Let \mathbb{F} represent an arbitrary field, let α be an indeterminate or a non-zero field element, and define the α -adjacency matrix by

$$h_{ij} = \begin{cases} \alpha^{\delta(ij)} & \text{if } (ij) \in E, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We will often use a directed graph to denote the orientation of an oriented graph by only showing the edges ij such that $\delta(ij) = 1$. Figure 1 shows the construction of an α -oriented graph from a simple graph G. Figure 2 shows the simplified drawing of the oriented graph and the α -adjacency matrix of the graph in Figure 1.



FIGURE 1. Example of an α -orientation of G.

α -Adjacency: A Generalization of Adjacency Matrices



FIGURE 2. Simplified drawing of the orientation in Figure 1 and the α - δ -adjacency matrix.

Often it is convenient to use the field of complex numbers and let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that $|\alpha| = 1$. Notice that $H_1(G^{\delta}) = A(G)$ and so when $\alpha = 1$ we recover the standard adjacency matrix. Note that this is independent of the choice of the orientation δ . We can also recover the skew-adjacency matrix S^{δ} by setting $\alpha = i$ and scaling the resulting matrix by -i, that is, $-iH_i(G^{\delta}) = S(G^{\delta})$. Also notice that if $|\alpha| = 1$, then $\alpha^{-1} = \bar{\alpha}$, and thus, these α -adjacency matrices are Hermitian matrices.

For a routing, \overrightarrow{U} , let $\delta(\overrightarrow{U}) = \sum_{ij\in \overrightarrow{U}} \delta(ij)$. Then we have that

(2.5)
$$\Pi_{\overrightarrow{U}}(H^{\delta}_{\alpha}) = \Pi_{(ij)\in\overrightarrow{U}}\alpha^{\delta(ij)} = \alpha^{\delta(\overrightarrow{U})}.$$

This is different than is typically used for skew spectra where a product is used instead of a sum.

Given a cycle C in G, there are two routings of C, \overrightarrow{C} and \overleftarrow{C} . Note that $\delta(\overrightarrow{C}) = -\delta(\overleftarrow{C})$. We will use the notation $\delta(C) = |\delta(\overrightarrow{C})|$.

Our first result is to extend the Harary-Sachs theorem for α -adjacency matrices.

THEOREM 2.1. Given a graph G and an orientation δ on G, the characteristic polynomial of $H^{\delta}_{\alpha}(G)$ is given by

(2.6)
$$p_H(x) = x^n + h_1 x^{n-1} + \dots + h_{n-1} x + h_n$$

in which the coefficients are given by

(2.7)
$$h_k = \sum_{\overrightarrow{U} \in \overrightarrow{U}_k} (-1)^{\# \overrightarrow{U}} \left(\frac{\alpha^{\delta(\overrightarrow{U})} + \alpha^{-\delta(\overrightarrow{U})}}{2} \right)$$

(2.8)
$$= \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}_k} (-1)^{\#U} \prod_{\substack{C \in U \\ |C| \ge 3}} (\alpha^{\delta(C)} + \alpha^{-\delta(C)})$$

where #U is the number of components in U.

Proof. From (1.4) and (2.5) we obtain

$$h_k = \sum_{\overrightarrow{U} \in \overrightarrow{U}_k} (-1)^{\# \overrightarrow{U}} \alpha^{\delta(\overrightarrow{U})}.$$

Further notice that $\overrightarrow{U} \in \overrightarrow{\mathcal{U}}_k$ if and only if $\overleftarrow{U} \in \overrightarrow{\mathcal{U}}_k$, where \overleftarrow{U} is obtained by reversing the directions of all the cycles in \overrightarrow{U} . Also note that $\#\overrightarrow{U} = \#\overleftarrow{U}$. Thus,

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\overrightarrow{U}\in\overrightarrow{\mathcal{U}}_{k}}(-1)^{\#\overrightarrow{U}}\alpha^{\delta(\overrightarrow{U})} &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\overrightarrow{U}\in\overrightarrow{\mathcal{U}}_{k}}\left((-1)^{\#\overrightarrow{U}}\alpha^{\delta(\overrightarrow{U})} + (-1)^{\#\overleftarrow{U}}\alpha^{\delta(\overleftarrow{U})}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\overrightarrow{U}\in\overrightarrow{\mathcal{U}}_{k}}(-1)^{\#\overrightarrow{U}}\left(\alpha^{\delta(\overrightarrow{U})} + \alpha^{\delta(\overleftarrow{U})}\right) \\ &= \sum_{\overrightarrow{U}\in\overrightarrow{\mathcal{U}}_{k}}(-1)^{\#\overrightarrow{U}}\left(\frac{\alpha^{\delta(\overrightarrow{U})} + \alpha^{-\delta(\overrightarrow{U})}}{2}\right). \end{split}$$

This shows (2.7). Next, we note that to sum over all $\overrightarrow{U} \in \overrightarrow{\mathcal{U}}_k$ we can first fix a $U \in \mathcal{U}_k$ and sum over all routings of U and then sum over all $U \in \mathcal{U}_k$. Thus,

(2.9)
$$h_k = \sum_{\overrightarrow{U} \in \overrightarrow{\mathcal{U}}_k} (-1)^{\# \overrightarrow{U}} \alpha^{\delta(\overrightarrow{U})}$$

(2.10)
$$= \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}_k} (-1)^{\#U} \sum_{\overrightarrow{U} \sim U} \alpha^{\delta(\overrightarrow{U})}.$$

Focusing on a fixed $U \in \mathcal{U}_k$, let $U = C_1 \cup C_2 \cdots \cup C_p$, where C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_p are the connected components of U. Note $\overrightarrow{U} \sim U$ if and only if $\overrightarrow{U} = \overrightarrow{C_1} \cup \overrightarrow{C_2} \cdots \cup \overrightarrow{C_p}$, where $\overrightarrow{C_i} \sim C_i$. Further note that $\delta(\overrightarrow{U}) = \sum_{i=1}^p \delta(\overrightarrow{C_i})$.

Thus,

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\overrightarrow{U} \sim U} \alpha^{\delta(\overrightarrow{U})} &= \sum_{\overrightarrow{C_1} \sim C_1} \sum_{\overrightarrow{C_2} \sim C_2} \cdots \sum_{\overrightarrow{C_p} \sim C_p} \alpha^{\sum\limits_{i=1}^p \delta(\overrightarrow{C_i})} \\ &= \sum_{\overrightarrow{C_1} \sim C_1} \sum_{\overrightarrow{C_2} \sim C_2} \cdots \sum_{\overrightarrow{C_p} \sim C_p} \prod_{i=1}^p \alpha^{\delta(\overrightarrow{C_i})} \\ &= \sum_{\overrightarrow{C_1} \sim C_1} \alpha^{\delta(\overrightarrow{C_1})} \sum_{\overrightarrow{C_2} \sim C_2} \alpha^{\delta(\overrightarrow{C_2})} \cdots \sum_{\overrightarrow{C_p} \sim C_p} \alpha^{\delta(\overrightarrow{C_p})} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^p \sum_{\overrightarrow{C_i} \sim C_i} \alpha^{\delta(\overrightarrow{C_i})}. \end{split}$$

If C_i is an edge, then there is only one $\overrightarrow{C_i} \sim C_i$ and $\delta(C_i) = 0$, hence $\sum_{\overrightarrow{C_i} \sim C_i} \alpha^{\delta(\overrightarrow{C_i})} = 1$.

If C_i is a cycle of length 3 or more, then there exist two routings of C_i . We will denote these routings as \overrightarrow{C}_i and \overleftarrow{C}_i . Since $\delta(\overrightarrow{C}_i) = -\delta(\overrightarrow{C}_i)$, we get that $\sum_{\overrightarrow{C}_i \sim C_i} \alpha^{\delta(\overrightarrow{C}_i)} = \alpha^{\delta(\overrightarrow{C}_i)} + \alpha^{\delta(\overrightarrow{C}_i)} = \alpha^{\delta(C_i)} + \alpha^{-\delta(C_i)}$. It follows



α -Adjacency: A Generalization of Adjacency Matrices

that

$$\sum_{\overrightarrow{U}\sim U} \alpha^{\delta(\overrightarrow{U})} = \prod_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{\overrightarrow{C_i}\sim C_i} \alpha^{\delta(\overrightarrow{C_i})}$$
$$= \prod_{\substack{i=1\\|C_i|\geq 3}}^{p} (\alpha^{\delta(C_i)} + \alpha^{-\delta(C_i)})$$
$$= \prod_{\substack{C\in U\\|C|>3}} (\alpha^{\delta(C)} + \alpha^{-\delta(C)}).$$

Substituting this into (2.10), we have the desired result.

REMARK 2.2. In the special case with $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ and $|\alpha| = 1$, we have that $\alpha^{\delta(C)} + \alpha^{-\delta(C)} = 2\operatorname{Re}(\alpha^{\delta(C)})$.

LEMMA 2.3. The α -spectrum of a tree is independent of both α and of the orientation δ .

Proof. Let G be a tree. Note that each $U \in \mathcal{U}_k$ must be a matching. That is, U only contains edges, and thus, there are no cycles $C \in U$. Hence,

$$h_k = \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}_k} (-1)^{\#U},$$

which is independent of both δ and α .

PROPOSITION 2.4. Let \mathbb{F} be a field of characteristic 0 and G be a graph with at least one odd cycle. For any orientation δ of G, the α - δ -characteristic polynomial depends on α .

Proof. Let s be the length of the shortest odd cycle in G. Since the only routes in \mathcal{U}_s must be cycles of length s, the coefficient h_s in the α -characteristic polynomial is given by

$$h_s = \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}_s} -(\alpha^{\delta(U)} + \alpha^{-\delta(U)}).$$

Further, $\delta(U)$ must be an odd number. Thus, if $\alpha = 1$, we have $h_s = \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}_s} -2 < 0$, and if $\alpha = -1$, then $h_s = \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}_s} 2 > 0$. Thus, h_s depends on α for any orientation δ .

EXAMPLE 2.5. Notice that over characteristic p, if there are exactly p cycles all of the same length then there may be an orientation δ such that the α - δ -characteristic polynomial does not depend on α . A concrete example of this is given in Figure 3.

Given a graph G and an orientation δ , we say a route $U \in \mathcal{U}_k$ is *contributing* if there exists a routing $\overrightarrow{U} \sim U$ such that $\delta(\overrightarrow{U}) = k$.

LEMMA 2.6. If $U \in \mathcal{U}_k$ is contributing, then U does not have a component consisting of a single edge.

Proof. Suppose $U \in \mathcal{U}_k$ contains a component consisting of a single edge e and $\overrightarrow{U} \sim U$. Further let $\overrightarrow{U} = e \cup \overrightarrow{U}_0$. Then $\delta(\overrightarrow{U}) = \delta(e) + \delta(\overrightarrow{U}_0) = \delta(\overrightarrow{U}_0) \le k - 2$.

THEOREM 2.7. Given a graph G, there exists an orientation δ such that $\alpha^k + \alpha^{-k}$ appears in the coefficient h_k in the α -characteristic polynomial if and only if there exists a route $U \in \mathcal{U}_k$ such that U does not contain a component consisting of a single edge.

369



FIGURE 3. The characteristic polynomial in \mathbb{F}_3 is $x^7 + 2x$.

Proof. Suppose that $\alpha^k + \alpha^{-k}$ appears in the coefficient h_k in the α -characteristic polynomial. Then there must be a contributing route $U \in \mathcal{U}_k$, hence U must not contain a component consisting of a single edge by Lemma 2.6.

To show that if there exists a route $U \in \mathcal{U}_k$ such that U contains no edges then there exists an orientation δ such that $\alpha^k + \alpha^{-k}$ appears in h_k , we will construct an orientation δ such that there is only one contributing cycle in \mathcal{U}_k . Choose $U \in \mathcal{U}_k$ that contains no edges and label the cycles C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_p . Let $\overrightarrow{U} = \overrightarrow{C_1} \cup \overrightarrow{C_2} \cup \cdots \cup \overrightarrow{C_p}$ be a routing of U. Then label the vertices in $\overrightarrow{C_1}$ as $1, 2, \ldots, |C_1|$, the vertices in $\overrightarrow{C_2}$ as $|C_1| + 1, |C_1| + 2, \ldots, |C_1| + |C_2|$, and so on until we label the vertices in $\overrightarrow{C_p}$ as $\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} |C_i| + 1, \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} |C_i| + 2, \ldots, \sum_{i=1}^{p} |C_i|$. Let δ be defined by

$$\delta(ij) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } i > j \text{ except if } j = \sum_{k=1}^{s} |C_k| + 1 \text{ and } i = \sum_{k=1}^{s+1} |C_k| \text{ for some } s \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}, \\ -1 & \text{if } i = \sum_{k=1}^{s} |C_k| + 1 \text{ and } j = \sum_{k=1}^{s+1} |C_k| \text{ for some } s \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $\delta(\overrightarrow{U}) = k$ and $\delta(\overleftarrow{U}) = -k$ but for any other routings $\overrightarrow{U_1} \in \mathcal{U}_k$, $|\delta(\overrightarrow{U_1})| < k$, and thus, cancellation does not occur.

LEMMA 2.8. Let \mathbb{F} be a field and α be an indeterminate. If the α -spectrum of a graph G is independent of α for all orientations δ , then G is acyclic.

Proof. Let s be the length of the shortest cycle. Then U_s contains a cycle, and hence, by Theorem 2.7, there exists an orientation such that $\alpha^s + \alpha^{-s}$ appears in h_s .

THEOREM 2.9. Let \mathbb{F} be a field and α be an indeterminate. Given a graph G, the following statements are equivalent:

(a) G is acyclic;

(b) G has only one α -spectrum, which is independent of both α and the orientation δ .

Proof. If G contains no cycles, then each connected component is a tree, and thus, by Lemma 2.3, the α -spectrum of G is independent of α . The converse is Lemma 2.8.

EXAMPLE 2.10. If we require α to be a non-zero element in \mathbb{F} then Theorem 2.9 no longer holds. For example, consider the finite field \mathbb{Z}_3 and let G be a 4 cycle. In general, for a 4 cycle, there are 3 orientations that give rise to different α - δ -characteristic polynomials. However, if we require α to be a non-zero element in \mathbb{Z}_3 , then α must be 1 or 2. In either of these cases, $\alpha^2 = 1$, and hence, $\alpha^4 = 1$. Thus, all three characteristic polynomials reduce to $P(x) = x^4 - 4x^2$.

α -Adjacency: A Generalization of Adjacency Matrices



FIGURE 4. Characteristic polynomials for a 4 cycle.

PROPOSITION 2.11. Given a graph G, there is an orientation δ such that the α - δ -spectrum is independent of α if and only if G does not contain any odd cycles.

Proof. If G contains an odd cycle, then by Lemma 2.4, the α -spectrum of G depends on α for all orientations δ .

If G does not contain any odd cycles, then G is bipartite. Let U_1, U_2 be the parts of G. Let $\delta(ij) = 1$ if $i \in U_1, j \in U_2$. Note for any dicycle \overrightarrow{C} in \overrightarrow{G} , there must be the same number of edges from U_1 to U_2 as from U_2 to U_1 , and hence, $\delta(C) = 0$. Thus, by equation (2.8), the coefficients in the characteristic polynomial are independent of α , and hence, the α -spectrum is independent of α .

REMARK 2.12. There are α -cospectral graphs. In fact, A. Schwenk shows that almost all trees have a cospectral mate which is also a tree (under the standard adjacency matrix) [4]. Further, by Theorem 2.9, trees that are cospectral under the standard adjacency matrix are also α -cospectral.



FIGURE 5. An example of cospectral trees.

Similar to [4], we can extend the cospectral tree in Figure 5 by attaching any graph G to vertex 12 to produce a pair of α -cospectral graphs.



372

M. Hudelson, J. McDonald, and E. Wendler

3. The average α -characteristic polynomial. In this section, we will consider averaging the α - δ -characteristic polynomials over all the possible orientations of a graph. It is often convenient to use $\hat{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \alpha^{-1})$. Again if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ and $|\alpha| = 1$, then $\hat{\alpha} = \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)$. We will begin by looking at the average α -characteristic for a cycle.

THEOREM 3.1. The average α -characteristic polynomial for a cycle of length n is given by

$$C_n^{\alpha}(x) = C_n(x) + 2 - 2\hat{\alpha}^n,$$

where $C_n(x)$ is the standard characteristic polynomial for a cycle of length n and $\hat{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \alpha^{-1})$.

Proof. Using Theorem 2.1, the only term that does not come from a collection of disjoint edges is the contribution from the entire cycle. The contribution in the α -characteristic polynomial is the same as in the standard characteristic polynomial for edges. Thus, the only difference between the average α -characteristic polynomial and the standard characteristic polynomial comes from the contribution of the entire cycle. That is

$$C_n^{\alpha}(x) = C_n(x) + d,$$

where d is the difference between the contribution of the entire cycle in the standard characteristic polynomial and in the α -characteristic polynomial.

In the standard characteristic polynomial, the entire cycle contributes a -2 to the characteristic polynomial.

For the contribution in the α -characteristic polynomial, let us fix a forward direction (e.g. clockwise). Notice that for an orientation, it only matters the number of edges oriented in the forward direction. If the cycle has r edges oriented in the forward direction, then the contribution for that orientation will be $-\alpha^{2r-n} - \alpha^{-(2r-n)}$. For a given r there are $\binom{n}{r}$ orientations with r edges oriented in the forward direction. Thus, the average contribution from the entire cycle will be

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} (\alpha^{2r-n} + \alpha^{-(2r-n)}) &= -\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} \alpha^{2r-n} - \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} \alpha^{-(2r-n)} \\ &= -\frac{2}{2^n} \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} \alpha^{2r-n} \\ &= -\frac{2\alpha^{-n}}{2^n} \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} (\alpha^2)^r \\ &= -\frac{2\alpha^{-n}}{2^n} (1+\alpha^2)^n \\ &= -2(\frac{\alpha^{-1}+\alpha}{2})^n \\ &= -2\hat{\alpha}^n. \end{aligned}$$

The second line follows from changing the dummy variable r to n - r in the second sum and using the fact that $\binom{n}{n-r} = \binom{n}{r}$. The fourth line follows from the binomial formula. All other lines are basic algebraic manipulation.

Using this we can now get a Harary-Sachs result for the average α -characteristic polynomial.



α -Adjacency: A Generalization of Adjacency Matrices

THEOREM 3.2. Let $\hat{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \alpha^{-1})$ and G be a graph. The average α -characteristic polynomial of G is given by

(3.11)
$$P(x) = x^n + h_1^{avg} x^{n-1} + \dots + h_{n-1}^{avg} x + h_n^{avg}$$

in which the coefficients are given by

(3.12)
$$h_k^{avg} = \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}_k} (-1)^{\#(U)} \prod_{\substack{C_i \in U \\ |C_i| \ge 3}} 2\hat{\alpha}^{|C_i|},$$

where #(U) is the number of components in U.

Proof. Averaging (2.8) over all orientations, we have that

$$h_k^{\text{avg}} = \frac{1}{2^{|E|}} \sum_{\delta} \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}_k} (-1)^{\#U} \prod_{\substack{C \in U \\ |C| \ge 3}} (\alpha^{\delta(C)} + \alpha^{-\delta(C)}),$$

where |E| is the number of edges in G. As these sums are finite we can switch the order, thus

(3.13)
$$h_k^{\text{avg}} = \frac{1}{2^{|E|}} \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}_k} (-1)^{\#U} \sum_{\delta} \prod_{\substack{C \in U \\ |C| \ge 3}} (\alpha^{\delta(C)} + \alpha^{-\delta(C)}).$$

For a fixed $U \in \mathcal{U}_k$, the orientation of edges not in U does not affect the inner sum. To simplify further, we fix a $U = \{C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_p, e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_s\}$, where each C_i is a cycle (of length at least 3) and each e_i is a pairing of two vertices. Such a U contains k - s edges, thus there are |E| - k + s edges which do not contribute. So for such a U,

$$\sum_{\delta} \prod_{\substack{C \in U \\ |C| > 3}} (\alpha^{\delta(C)} + \alpha^{-\delta(C)}) = 2^{|E| - k + s} \sum_{\delta|_U} \prod_{i=1}^p (\alpha^{\delta|_U(C_i)} + \alpha^{-\delta|_U(C_i)}),$$

where $\delta|_U$ represents δ restricted to U. Then we can break up the sum over all orientations of U into sums over its components. Thus,

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\delta|_{U}} \prod_{i=1}^{r} \left(\alpha^{\delta|_{U}(C_{i})} + \alpha^{-\delta|_{U}(C_{i})} \right) &= \sum_{\delta|_{e_{1}}} \sum_{\delta|_{e_{2}}} \cdots \sum_{\delta|_{e_{s}}} \sum_{\delta|_{C_{1}}} \sum_{\delta|_{C_{2}}} \cdots \sum_{\delta|_{C_{p}}} \prod_{C_{i} \in U} \left(\alpha^{\delta|_{U}(C_{i})} + \alpha^{-\delta|_{U}(C_{i})} \right) \\ &= 2^{s} \prod_{C_{i} \in U} \sum_{\delta|_{C_{i}}} \left(\alpha^{\delta|_{C_{i}}(C_{i})} + \alpha^{-\delta|_{C_{i}}(C_{i})} \right) \\ &= 2^{k-s} \prod_{C_{i} \in U} \sum_{\delta|_{C_{i}}} \frac{1}{2^{|C_{i}|}} \left(\alpha^{\delta|_{C_{i}}(C_{i})} + \alpha^{-\delta|_{C_{i}}(C_{i})} \right). \end{split}$$

The innermost sum is the exact contribution of the cycle c_i which is $2\hat{\alpha}^{|C_i|}$. Thus,

$$\sum_{\delta} \prod_{\substack{C \in U \\ |C| \ge 3}} (\alpha^{\delta(C)} + \alpha^{-\delta(C)}) = 2^{|E|} \prod_{C_i \in U} 2\hat{\alpha}^{|C_i|}.$$

Substituting into equation (3.13), we have

$$h_k^{\text{avg}} = \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}_k} (-1)^{\#U} \prod_{\substack{C \in U \\ |C| \ge 3}} 2\hat{\alpha}^{|C|}.$$

Theorem 3.2 can be used to establish the following corollaries.

COROLLARY 3.3. The average α -characteristic polynomial uniquely determines the number of cycles of length 3,4 and 5.

Proof. By Theorem 3.2, the coefficients of the average α -characteristic polynomials are polynomials in $\hat{\alpha}$. Let

(3.14)
$$h_k^{\text{avg}} = \sum_{i=0}^k h_i^{(k)} \hat{\alpha}^i.$$

The only cycles that contribute to $h_3^{(3)}$ are cycles of length 3, each which contributes a factor of -2, thus the number of cycles of length 3 in G is given by $-\frac{1}{2}h_3^{(3)}$. Similarly, the number of cycles of length 4 is $-\frac{1}{2}h_4^{(4)}$ and the number of cycles of length 5 is $-\frac{1}{2}h_5^{(5)}$.

EXAMPLE 3.4. Notice that the coefficient $h_k^{(k)}$ in equation (3.14) does not determine the number of cycles of length $k \ge 6$. For example, let $G = C_3 \cup C_3 \cup C_6 \cup C_6$ be the collection of two disjoint 3 cycles with two disjoint 6 cycles. Notice that each of the the 6 cycles contribute a -2 to $h_6^{(6)}$ and the collection of the two 3 cycles contribute a +4. Thus, $h_6^{(6)} = 0$ even though G contains two 6 cycles.

While there is no easy way to determine the number of cycles of length greater than 5, it is easy to determine the parity of that number.

COROLLARY 3.5. Let c_k be the number of cycles of length $k \ge 3$ in a graph G and the coefficients in the average α -characteristic polynomial be given by $h_k^{avg} = \sum_{i=0}^k h_i^{(k)} \hat{\alpha}^i$. Then

$$c_k \equiv \frac{h_k^{(k)}}{2} \mod 2.$$

Proof. Notice that for $U \in \mathcal{U}_k$ to contribute to $h_k^{(k)}$, there are not any pairings of vertices in U. Further if U contains s cycles, the contribution of U to $h_k^{(k)}$ is $(-2)^s$. Hence, $\frac{h_k^{(k)}}{2} \mod 2$ will only have contributions from cycles of length exactly k.

COROLLARY 3.6. The average α -characteristic polynomial uniquely determines the number of matchings on k vertices.

Proof. Again, let

$$h_k^{\text{avg}} = \sum_{i=0}^k h_i^{(k)} \hat{\alpha}^i.$$

The matching polynomial

$$M_G(x) = \sum_{k \ge 0} (-1)^k m_k x^{n-2k}$$

is given by

$$M_G(x) = \sum_{k \ge 0} h_0^{(k)} x^{n-k},$$

where m_k is the number of matchings on k vertices. This can be obtained by setting $\hat{\alpha} = 0$ in the average α characteristic polynomial.

375

α -Adjacency: A Generalization of Adjacency Matrices

I L AS

REFERENCES

- M. Cavers, S.M. Cioaba, S. Fallat, D.A. Gregory, W.H. Haemers, S.J. Kirkland, J.J. McDonald, and M. Tsatsomeros. Skew-adjacency matrices of graphs. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 436:4512–4529, 2012.
- [2] D. Cvetkovic, M. Doob, and H. Sachs. Spectra of Graphs. Academic Press, New York, 1980.
- [3] R.A. Horn and C.R. Johnson. Matrix Analysis, second edition. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1985.
- [4] A.J. Schwenk. Almost all tree are cospectral. New Directions in Graph Theory, Academic Press, New York, 275–307, 1973.
- [5] B. Shader and W. So. Skew spectra of oriented graphs. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 16(1):Article Number N32, 2009.