SPECTRAL VERSUS CLASSICAL NEVANLINNA-PICK INTERPOLATION IN DIMENSION TWO*

HARI BERCOVICI†

Abstract. A genericity condition is removed from a result of Agler and Young which reduces the spectral Nevanlinna-Pick problem in two dimensions to a family of classical Nevanlinna-Pick problems. Unlike the original approach, the argument presented here does not involve state-space methods.

Key words. Interpolation, Spectral radius, Analytic function, Similarity.

AMS subject classifications. 47A57, 15A18, 30E05, 47A48.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries. Consider points $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$ in the unit disk $\mathbb D$ of the complex plane, and matrices $W_1, W_2, \ldots, W_n \in M_N(\mathbb C)$, where $M_N(\mathbb C)$ denotes the C^* algebra of $N \times N$ complex matrices. The matricial Nevanlinna-Pick problem asks for equivalent conditions to the existence of an analytic function $F: \mathbb D \to M_N(\mathbb C)$ which interpolates the data, i.e. $F(\lambda_j) = W_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, with $\|F(\lambda)\| \leq 1$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb D$. An elegant answer to this problem was given by G. Pick (for the case N=1; the extension to N>1 was noted later — we refer to [4] for an account of classical interpolation theory from a modern viewpoint). Pick's condition is simply that the block matrix $[(I-W_i^*W_j)/(1-\overline{\lambda_i}\lambda_j)]_{i,j=1}^n$ be nonnegative semidefinite:

$$\left[\frac{I - W_i^* W_j}{1 - \overline{\lambda_i} \lambda_j}\right]_{i,j=1}^n \ge 0.$$

The spectral version of the Nevanlinna-Pick problem asks for equivalent conditions to the existence of a bounded analytic function $F:\mathbb{D}\to M_N(\mathbb{C})$ which interpolates the data, with spectral radius of $F(\lambda)$ bounded by one, i.e. $|F(\lambda)|_{\rm sp}\leq 1$ for $\lambda\in\mathbb{D}$. A result analogous to Pick's theorem was proved in [3], and it involves the positivity of a matrix constructed from data W_j' similar to W_j , i.e. $W_j'=X_jW_jX_j^{-1}$ for invertible operators $X_j\in M_N(\mathbb{C})$. In other words, this solution requires a search involving N^2n parameters. The case N=2 of the spectral Nevanlinna-Pick problem has been studied quite thoroughly by J. Agler and N. J. Young; see for instance [1], [2], and the references quoted therein. They related this problem with questions of complex analysis in two variables, dilation theory, and with state-space methods in control theory. In particular, [2] contains a result which reduces the search required for a solution from 4n to 2n parameters. Their result requires a genericity condition: none of the W_j can be a scalar multiple of the identity matrix.

The purpose of this note is to remove the genericity condition in the main result of [2], and to provide a simplified proof. An important part of the proof we present

^{*}Received by the editors on 20 March 2002. Accepted for publication on 9 January 2003. Handling Editor: Peter Lancaster.

[†]Department of Mathematics, Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana 47405, USA (bercovic@indiana.edu). Supported in part by a grant from the National Science Foundation.

61

is already contained in [2], and it is based on an idea due to Petrović. This idea was also introduced in relation with the spectral Nevanlinna-Pick problem (cf. [6]). The result is as follows. We will denote by tr and det the usual trace and determinant functions defined on $M_N(\mathbb{C})$.

THEOREM 1.1. Given a natural number n, points $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{D}$, and matrices $W_1, W_2, \ldots, W_n \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$, the following conditions are equivalent.

- 1. There exists an analytic function $F: \mathbb{D} \to M_2(\mathbb{C})$ such that $F(\lambda_j) = W_j$, j = 1, 2, ..., n, and $|F(\lambda)|_{sp} \leq 1$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$.
- 2. There exists a bounded analytic function satisfying the conditions in (1).
- 3. There exist numbers $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n, c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $b_j = c_j = 0$ when W_j is a scalar multiple of the identity and, upon setting $a_j = \operatorname{tr}(W_j)/2$ and $W'_j = \begin{bmatrix} a_j & b_j \\ c_j & a_j \end{bmatrix}$, we have $\det(W'_j) = \det(W_j)$, and

$$\left[\frac{I - W_i^{\prime *} W_j^{\prime}}{1 - \overline{\lambda_i} \lambda_j}\right]_{i, j=1}^n \ge 0.$$

The reader will notice that, as stated, this theorem does not extend the main result of [2]. Namely, that result reformulates the problem in terms of matrices with zero trace. The relationship becomes clear if we note that the matrix inequality in (3) is equivalent to

$$\left[\frac{I - W_i^{"*}W_j^{"}}{1 - \overline{\lambda_i}\lambda_j}\right]_{i, j=1}^n \ge 0,$$

 $\text{where } W_j'' = \begin{bmatrix} a_j & b_j \\ -c_j & -a_j \end{bmatrix} \text{; in fact } W_j'' = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} W_j' \text{ so that } W_i''^*W_j'' = W_i'^*W_j'.$

Let us note at this point that the matrices W'_j in part (3) of the above statement are not necessarily similar to W_j . Indeed, let $W, W' \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$ be such that $\operatorname{tr}(W) = \operatorname{tr}(W')$, $\operatorname{det}(W) = \operatorname{det}(W')$, and W' is of the form $W' = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & a \end{bmatrix}$. Denote by μ_1, μ_2 the eigenvalues of W, which are also the eigenvalues of W'. If $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2$ then clearly W and W' are similar. However, if $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ then

$$0 = (\mu_1 - \mu_2)^2 = (\mu_1 + \mu_2)^2 - 4\mu_1\mu_2 = (\operatorname{tr}(W))^2 - 4\det(W) = 4bc.$$

Thus either b or c must be zero. If both are zero then W' is a constant multiple of the identity matrix, while if only one of them is zero, W' is a single Jordan cell.

2. Classical Vs. Spectral Interpolation. We start with a simple case of spectral interpolation which can be treated in arbitrary dimension N.

PROPOSITION 2.1. Fix a natural number n, points $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{D}$, and matrices $W_1, W_2, \ldots, W_n \in M_N(\mathbb{C})$ such that each W_j has a unique eigenvalue ω_j . The following are equivalent.

1. There exists an analytic function $F: \mathbb{D} \to M_N(\mathbb{C})$ such that $F(\lambda_j) = W_j$, j = 1, 2, ..., n, and $|F(\lambda)|_{sp} \leq 1$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$.

62 H. Bercovici

2. There exists a bounded analytic function satisfying the conditions in (1).

3.
$$\left[\frac{1-\overline{\omega_i}\omega_j}{1-\overline{\lambda_i}\lambda_j}\right]_{i,j=1}^n \ge 0.$$

Proof. As seen in [3] (see (8) in that paper), in the spectral Nevanlinna-Pick problem we can always replace the matrices W_i by similar matrices. We may, and shall, assume that each W_j is upper triangular, with diagonal entries ω_j . Assume that (3) is satisfied. By the classical Pick theorem, there exists an analytic function $u: \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $u(\lambda_j) = \omega_j, \ j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \text{ and } |u(\lambda)| \leq 1 \text{ for } \lambda \in \mathbb{D}$. For $1 \leq k < \ell \leq N$ consider a polynomial $p_{k\ell}$ such that $p_{k\ell}(\lambda_j)$ is the (k,ℓ) entry of W_j ; these polynomials can be constructed by Lagrange interpolation. Define now an upper triangular matrix $F(\lambda)$ with diagonal entries $u(\lambda)$, and entries $p_{k\ell}(\lambda)$ above the diagonal. Clearly F satisfies the conditions in (2) since $f(\lambda)$ is the unique eigenvalue of $F(\lambda)$. This proves the implication (3) \Rightarrow (2). The implication (2) \Rightarrow (1) is obvious, so it remains to prove that $(1)\Rightarrow(3)$. Indeed, let F satisfy condition (1), and set $f(\lambda) = \operatorname{tr}(F(\lambda))/N$. We have then $|f(\lambda)| \leq 1$ $(f(\lambda))$ is the average of the eigenvalues of $F(\lambda)$, and $f(\lambda_i) = \omega_i$. Thus (3) follows from Pick's theorem.

Observe that Theorem 1.1 follows from Proposition 2.1 in case the W_i have a single eigenvalue. Indeed, in this case one can choose $b_j = c_j = 0$ for all j in condition (3) of Theorem 1.1. When at least one of the W_i has distinct eigenvalues, we have a more precise result.

THEOREM 2.2. Fix a natural number n, points $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{D}$, and matrices $W_1, W_2, \ldots, W_n \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$ such that at least one of the W_j has distinct eigenvalues. The following are equivalent.

- 1. There exists an analytic function $F: \mathbb{D} \to M_N(\mathbb{C})$ such that $F(\lambda_j) = W_j$, j = 1, 2, ..., n, and $|F(\lambda)|_{sp} \leq 1$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$.
- 2. There exists a bounded analytic function satisfying the conditions in (1).
- 3. There exists an analytic function $G: \mathbb{D} \to M_2(\mathbb{C})$ such that $G(\lambda_i)$ is similar to W_j , j = 1, 2, ..., n, and $||G(\lambda)|| \le 1$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$.
- 4. There exists an analytic function G satisfying the conditions in (3) such that $G(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} a(\lambda) & b(\lambda) \\ c(\lambda) & a(\lambda) \end{bmatrix} \text{ for } \lambda \in \mathbb{D}.$ 5. There exist matrices W'_j similar to W_j , j = 1, 2, ..., n, such that

$$\left[\frac{I - W_i'^* W_j'}{1 - \overline{\lambda_i} \lambda_j}\right]_{i,j=1}^n \ge 0.$$

- 6. There exist complex numbers $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n, c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n \in \mathbb{C}$ with the following properties:
 - (a) $b_j c_j = \frac{1}{4} \text{tr}(W_j)^2 \det(W_j);$
 - (b) if W_i is a scalar multiple of the identity, then $b_i = c_i = 0$;
 - (c) if $\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{tr}(W_j)^2 \det(W_j) = 0$ but W_j is not a scalar multiple of the identity, then $b_j = 0 \neq c_j$; and
 - (d) we have

$$\left[\frac{I - W_i^{\prime *} W_j^{\prime}}{1 - \overline{\lambda_i} \lambda_j}\right]_{i,j=1}^n \ge 0,$$

Spectral Nevanlinna-Pick Interpolation

where
$$W'_j = \begin{bmatrix} a_j & b_j \\ c_j & a_j \end{bmatrix}$$
, with $a_j = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(W_j)$.

Proof. As noted earlier, a matrix W_j' satisfying the conditions in (6) is similar to W_j . Thus (4) \Rightarrow (6) by Pick's theorem. Clearly (6) \Rightarrow (5), and (5) \Rightarrow (3) by Pick's theorem. The implications (3) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (1) are immediate, so it remains to prove that (1) \Rightarrow (4). Let us assume therefore that F satisfies condition (1). The functions $a(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(F(\lambda))$ and $d(\lambda) = \det(F(\lambda))$ are bounded by one in \mathbb{D} . We will find now analytic functions $b(\lambda)$ and $c(\lambda)$ so that $G(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} a(\lambda) & b(\lambda) \\ c(\lambda) & a(\lambda) \end{bmatrix}$ is similar to $F(\lambda)$ and $\|G(\lambda)\| \leq 1$ for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$. The similarity of $G(\lambda)$ to $F(\lambda)$ amounts to the following three conditions:

- (i) $b(\lambda)c(\lambda) = a(\lambda)^2 d(\lambda)$;
- (ii) if $F(\lambda)$ is a scalar multiple of the identity then $b(\lambda) = c(\lambda) = 0$; and
- (iii) if $a(\lambda)^2 d(\lambda) = 0$ but $F(\lambda)$ is not a scalar multiple of the identity, then $b(\lambda) = 0 \neq c(\lambda)$.

For condition (ii) to be realizable, we must show that

$$a(\lambda)^2 - d(\lambda) = \frac{1}{4} [\operatorname{tr}(F(\lambda))]^2 - \det(F(\lambda))$$

has a double zero at λ_0 if $F(\lambda_0)$ is a scalar multiple of the identity. Indeed, if $F(\lambda) = \omega I + (\lambda - \lambda_0) F_1(\lambda)$, we have

$$\frac{1}{4}[\operatorname{tr}(F(\lambda))]^2 - \det(F(\lambda)) = (\lambda - \lambda_0)^2 \left[\frac{1}{4}[\operatorname{tr}(F_1(\lambda))]^2 - \det(F_1(\lambda)) \right],$$

as desired. Observe also that $a(\lambda)^2 - d(\lambda)$ is not identically zero because at least one of the W_j has distinct eigenvalues. By classical factorization results (cf. Chapter 5 of [5]), there exist a Blaschke product B, and an analytic function G such that $a^2 - d = Be^G$. Functions b and c can now be defined by $b = B_1e^{G/2}$, $c = B_2e^{G/2}$, where B_1, B_2 are Blaschke products and $B_1B_2 = B$. Conditions (i), (ii), and (iii) are realized by judicious choice of B_1 and B_2 , and in addition we have

$$|b(\zeta)|^2 = |c(\zeta)|^2 = |a(\zeta)|^2 - d(\zeta)|$$

for almost every ζ with $|\zeta|=1$. It remains to prove that $||G(\lambda)|| \leq 1$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$, and for that it suffices to show that $||G(\zeta)|| \leq 1$ for almost every ζ , $|\zeta|=1$. We know that $|G(\lambda)|_{\mathrm{sp}} \leq 1$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$, and continuity of the spectral radius on $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ shows that $|G(\zeta)|_{\mathrm{sp}} \leq 1$ almost everywhere. The proof is concluded by the observation that $G(\zeta)$ is a normal operator for almost every ζ , hence its norm equals the spectral radius. In fact, every matrix of the form $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & a \end{bmatrix}$ is normal when |b| = |c| since it can be written as aI + bU, where $U = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ c/b & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is a unitary operator (set c/b = 1 if b = c = 0). \square

The above proof may fail if each W_j has a single eigenvalue, and in fact the result is not true in that case. An example is obtained for n = 2, $\lambda_1 = 0$, $\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2}$,

63



64 H. Bercovici

 $W_1=0$, and $W_2=\begin{bmatrix}1/2&1/2\\0&1/2\end{bmatrix}$. The function $F(\lambda)=\begin{bmatrix}\lambda&\lambda\\0&\lambda\end{bmatrix}$ satisfies condition (1) in the theorem. We claim that no function G satisfies (3). Assume indeed that G(0)=0, G(1/2) is similar to W_2 , and $\|G(\lambda)\|\leq 1$ for $\lambda\in\mathbb{D}$. We can then write $G(\lambda)=\lambda G_1(\lambda)$, and a comparison of boundary values will show that G_1 also has norm bounded by one. Now, $\det(G_1(1/2))=1$, and we deduce easily that $G_1(1/2)$ is in fact a unitary operator. In particular, G(1/2) must be a normal operator, and hence not similar to W_2 , a contradiction.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Agler and N.J. Young. The two-point spectral Nevanlinna-Pick problem. *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, 37:375–385, 2000.
- [2] J. Agler and N.J. Young. The two-by-two spectral Nevanlinna-Pick problem. Preprint, 2001.
- [3] H. Bercovici, C. Foias, and A. Tannenbaum. A spectral commutant lifting theorem. Transactions of the Amer. Math. Soc., 325:7141-763, 1991.
- [4] C. Foias and A. Frazho. The commutant lifting approach to interpolation problems. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1990.
- [5] K. Hoffman. Banach spaces of analytic functions. Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1962.
- [6] S. Petrović. An extremal problem in interpolation theory. Houston J. Math., 26:165-181, 2000.