THE ALGEBRAIC CONNECTIVITY OF GRAPHS WITH GIVEN STABILITY NUMBER*

SHUNZHE ZHANG[†], QIN ZHAO[‡], AND HUIQING LIU[§]

Abstract. In this paper, the authors investigate the algebraic connectivity of connected graphs, and determine the graph which has the minimum algebraic connectivity among all connected graphs of order n with given stability number $\alpha \ge \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$, or covering number, respectively.

Key words. Graph, Laplacian matrix, Algebraic connectivity, Stability number.

AMS subject classifications. 05C50, 15A18.

1. Introduction. Let G = (V(G), E(G)) be a simple undirected graph with n vertices. Two distinct adjacent vertices are *neighbors*, the set of neighbors of a vertex v in G is denoted by $N_G(v)$. The *degree* of a vertex $v \in V(G)$, denoted by $d_G(v)$ or simply d(v), is the number of edges of G incident with v. A *pendant vertex* is a vertex of degree 1. A *pendant neighbor* is the vertex adjacent to a pendant vertex, let PV(G) and PN(G) be the vertex set of all pendant vertices and all pendant neighbors of G, respectively. A *pendant star* of a tree T is a maximal subtree of T induced on pendant vertices together with the pendant neighbor to which they are attached. The *distance* between any two vertices is the number of edges in a shortest path joining them, the *diameter* of a graph G is the greatest distance between any two vertices of G. Let P_n and $K_{1,n-1}$ denote the path and the star of order n, respectively.

A stable set of a graph G is a set of vertices no two of which are adjacent. A stable set is maximum if the graph contains no larger stable set, the cardinality of a maximum stable set in a graph G is called the stability number of G and is denoted by $\alpha(G)$. A covering of a graph is a set of vertices which meet all edges of the graph, the minimum cardinality of a graph G is called the covering number of G, denoted by $\beta(G)$. For a graph G of order n, $\alpha(G) + \beta(G) = n$.

Let G and H be two disjoint graphs. The *disjoint union* of G and H, denoted by $G \cup H$, is the graph with vertex set $V(G) \cup V(H)$ and edge set $E(G) \cup E(H)$. The *coalescence* of G and H, denoted by $G(u) \diamond H(v)$ or $G(w) \diamond H(w)$, is obtained from G and H by identifying one vertex $u \in V(G)$ with one vertex $v \in V(H)$ and forming a new vertex w.

For a graph G with vertex set $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$, let $A(G) = (a_{ij})$ be the adjacency matrix of G, where $a_{ij} = 1$ if v_i is adjacent to v_j , and $a_{ij} = 0$, otherwise. And $D(G) = \text{diag}(d(v_1), d(v_2), \ldots, d(v_n))$ be the diagonal matrix of vertex degrees. The matrix L(G) = D(G) - A(G) is called the Laplacian matrix of

^{*}Received by the editors on September 18, 2014. Accepted for publication on May 22, 2016. Handling Editor: Ravi Bapat. [†]Faculty of Mathematics & Statistics, Hubei University, Wuhan 430062, China (happy2008zsz@163.com). Supported by NNSFC (no. 11271149).

[‡]Faculty of Mathematics & Statistics, Hubei University, Wuhan 430062, China (qin_zhao72@aliyun.com). Supported by NNSFC (no. 11571096).

[§]Faculty of Mathematics & Statistics, Hubei Key Laboratory of Applied Mathematics, Hubei University, Wuhan 430062, China (hql_2008@163.com). Supported by NNSFC (no. 11571096 and no. 61373019).

The Algebraic Connectivity of Graphs With Given Stability Number

G. It is known that L(G) is real and positive semidefinite and its eigenvalues can be arranged as:

$$\lambda_1(G) \ge \lambda_2(G) \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_n(G) = 0.$$

The smallest eigenvalue of L(G) is zero with the vector of all ones as its eigenvector, it has multiplicity one if and only if G is connected. The second smallest eigenvalue of L(G) is positive if and only if G is connected, it is also denoted by $\mu(G)$, and is called the *algebraic connectivity* of G. The eigenvectors corresponding to $\mu(G)$ are usually called the *Fiedler vectors* of G (see [4]). A graph is called the *minimizing graph* in a class of graphs if its algebraic connectivity attains the minimum among all graphs in the class.

For a graph G, let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $V(G) = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$. Then \mathbf{x} can be considered as a function defined on G, each vertex v_i mapped to $x_i = x(v_i)$. If \mathbf{x} is an eigenvector of L(G), then x(v)is the entry of \mathbf{x} corresponding to $v, v \in V(G)$. Then

$$\mathbf{x}^{T} L(G) \mathbf{x} = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} [x(u) - x(v)]^{2}.$$
(1.1)

In addition, for an arbitrary unit vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ orthogonal to $\mathbf{1}, \mu(G) \leq \mathbf{x}^T L(G) \mathbf{x}$ with equality if and only if \mathbf{x} is a Fiedler vector of G.

There are many results on the algebraic connectivity, see [1]-[6], [9], [10], [12] and [13]. Fallat and Kirkland [6] have determined the unique (up to isomorphism) trees that maximize and minimize the algebraic connectivity over all trees of order n with specified diameter. Fallat, Kirkland and Pati [7] discussed the graph that minimizes the algebraic connectivity over all connected graphs of order n with fixed girth. In [10], Kirkland presented a bound on the algebraic connectivity of a graph in terms of the number of cut points. In [11], Lal, Patra and Sahoo have given some results about the algebraic connectivity with fixed number of pendant vertices. Fan and Tan [8] obtained some lower bounds for the algebraic connectivity in terms of matching number. Xu, Fan and Tan [15] determined the lower bounds for the algebraic connectivity in terms of matching number or edge covering number. In this paper, we characterize the unique graph whose algebraic connectivity is minimum among all connected graphs of order n with given stability number or covering number, respectively.

2. Lemmas. In this section, we give some lemmas used in the proof of our results.

LEMMA 2.1. [5] Let T be a tree with a Fiedler vector \mathbf{x} . Then exactly one of the two cases occurs:

Case A. All values of x are nonzero. Then T contains exactly one edge pq such that x(p) > 0 and x(q) < 0. The values in vertices along any path in T which starts in p and does not contain q strictly increase, the values in vertices along any path starting in q and not containing p strictly decrease.

Case B. The set $N_0 = \{v : x(v) = 0\}$ is non-empty. Then the graph induced by N_0 is connected and there is exactly one vertex $z \in N_0$ having at least one neighbor not belonging to N_0 . The values along any path in T starting in z are strictly increasing, or strictly decreasing, or zero.

If Case B in Lemma 2.1 occurs, then the vertex z is called the *characteristic vertex*, and T is called a *Type I* tree; otherwise, T is called a *Type II* tree in which case the edge pq is called the *characteristic edge*. The characteristic vertex or characteristic edge of a tree is independent of the choice of Fiedler vectors; see [12].

LEMMA 2.2. [8] Let G_1 be a connected graph containing at least two vertices v_1, v_2 , and let G_2 be a nontrivial connected graph containing a vertex u. Let $G = G_1(v_2) \diamond G_2(u)$ and $G^* = G_1(v_1) \diamond G_2(u)$. If there

185

I L
AS

186

S.Z. Zhang, Q. Zhao, and H.Q. Liu

exists a Fiedler vector \mathbf{x} of G such that $x(v_1) \ge x(v_2) \ge 0$ and all vertices in G_2 are nonnegatively valuated by x, then $\mu(G^*) \le \mu(G)$, with equality if and only if $x(v_1) = x(v_2) = 0$, $\sum_{w \in N_{G_2}(u)} x(w) = 0$, and \mathbf{x} is also a Fiedler vector of G^* .

Denote by T(k, l, d) (see Fig. 1) a tree of order *n* obtained from a path P_d by attaching two stars $K_{1,k}$ and $K_{1,l}$ at its two end vertices of P_d , respectively, where k + l + d = n. In particular, if d = 1, then $T(k, l, d) \cong K_{1,k+l}$; if k = 1 and l = 1, then $T(k, l, d) \cong P_n$. Denote $T_d := T(\lceil \frac{n-d}{2} \rceil, \lfloor \frac{n-d}{2} \rfloor, d)$.



Fig. 1: T(k, l, d).

LEMMA 2.3. [6] Among all trees of order n and diameter d + 1, the tree T_d is the unique graph with minimum algebraic connectivity.

LEMMA 2.4. If $k, l \geq 2$, then

(1)
$$0 \le \alpha(T(k,l,d)) - \alpha(T(k-1,l,d+1)) \le 1;$$

(2)
$$\mu(T(k,l,d)) > \mu(T(k-1,l,d+1))$$
 (see [8]).

Proof. Note that $\alpha(T(k,l,d)) = k + l + \lfloor \frac{d-1}{2} \rfloor = n - \lceil \frac{d+1}{2} \rceil$, $\alpha(T(k-1,l,d+1)) = n - \lceil \frac{d+2}{2} \rceil$, then $\alpha(T(k,l,d)) = \alpha(T(k-1,l,d+1))$ when d is even; $\alpha(T(k,l,d)) = \alpha(T(k-1,l,d+1)) + 1$ when d is odd. So, we have

$$0 \leq \alpha(T(k,l,d)) - \alpha(T(k-1,l,d+1)) \leq 1. \quad \Box$$

LEMMA 2.5. If $d_1 > d_2 \ge 2$, then

$$\alpha(T_{d_1}) \le \alpha(T_{d_2}) \text{ and } \mu(T_{d_1}) < \mu(T_{d_2}).$$

Proof. Since $\alpha(T_{d_1}) = n - \lceil \frac{d_1+1}{2} \rceil$, $\alpha(T_{d_2}) = n - \lceil \frac{d_2+1}{2} \rceil$, we have $\alpha(T_{d_1}) = \alpha(T_{d_2})$ if $d_1 = d_2 + 1$ and d_2 is even; $\alpha(T_{d_1}) < \alpha(T_{d_2})$ otherwise.

Assume $d_1 = d_2 + k$ $(k \ge 1)$. In the following, we will show that $\mu(T_{d_1}) < \mu(T_{d_2})$ by induction on k. If k = 1, then by Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, we have

$$\mu(T_{d_2}) > \mu\left(T\left(\left\lceil \frac{n-d_2}{2} \right\rceil - 1, \left\lfloor \frac{n-d_2}{2} \right\rfloor, d_2 + 1\right)\right) \ge \mu(T_{d_2+1}) = \mu(T_{d_1}).$$

So, we suppose that $k \ge 2$ and $\mu(T_{d_2+k-1}) < \mu(T_{d_2})$. Then, by Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, we have

$$\mu(T_{d_1}) = \mu(T_{d_2+k}) \\ \leq \mu \left(T \left(\left\lceil \frac{n - d_2 - k + 1}{2} \right\rceil - 1, \left\lfloor \frac{n - d_2 - k + 1}{2} \right\rfloor, d_2 + k \right) \right) \\ < \mu(T_{d_2+k-1}) \\ < \mu(T_{d_2}).$$

Therefore, the proof of the lemma is complete.



The Algebraic Connectivity of Graphs With Given Stability Number

LEMMA 2.6. If $\alpha(T_d) = \alpha \ge 2$, then $2n-2\alpha-2 \le d \le 2n-2\alpha-1$ and, furthermore, $\mu(T_d) \ge \mu(T_{2n-2\alpha-1})$ with equality if and only if $d = 2n - 2\alpha - 1$.

Proof. First we note that $\alpha(T_d) = n - \lceil \frac{d+1}{2} \rceil = \alpha \ge 2$. Then we have $\alpha \le n - \frac{d+1}{2} \le \alpha + \frac{1}{2}$, that is, $2n - 2\alpha - 2 \le d \le 2n - 2\alpha - 1$.

If $d = 2n - 2\alpha - 2$, then by Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4,

$$\mu(T_d) > \mu(T(\lceil \frac{n-d}{2} \rceil - 1, \lfloor \frac{n-d}{2} \rfloor, d+1)) \ge \mu(T_{d+1}) = \mu(T_{2n-2\alpha-1}),$$

and thus, $\mu(T_d) \ge \mu(T_{2n-2\alpha-1})$ with equality if and only if $d = 2n - 2\alpha - 1$.

LEMMA 2.7. Let G be a connected graph of order n with given stability number $\alpha \geq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$. Then G contains a spanning tree with stability number α .

Proof. If $n \leq 3$, then the result holds clearly. So we suppose $n \geq 4$ and $\alpha \geq 2$. Let $S = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{\alpha}\}$ be a maximum stable set of G with $|S| = \alpha$, and let $U = V(G) \setminus S$.

Let H be the bipartite spanning subgraph of G with the bipartition $\{S, U\}$. Then $\alpha(H) = \alpha$ as $\alpha \geq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$.

If H is connected, we can get a spanning tree T by the following algorithm:

1: set T := H, i = 1

2: while $|N_T(v_{i+1}) \cap (\cup_{k=1}^i N_T(v_k))| \ge 2$ do, let $u \in N_T(v_{i+1}) \cap (\cup_{k=1}^i N_T(v_k))$

3: delete the edges between v_{i+1} and $(N_T(v_{i+1}) \cap (\cup_{k=1}^i N_T(v_k))) \setminus \{u\}$

- 4: replace T by $T E_i$, i by i + 1 (E_i denote the set of edges deleted)
- 5: end while
- 6: return T.

Then T is a spanning tree of G with stability number $\alpha(T) = \alpha(G)$.

If H is not connected, let H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_k $(k \ge 2)$ be the components of H with bipartitions (S_1, U_1) , $(S_2, U_2), \ldots, (S_k, U_k)$. Similar to the above discussion, each H_i contains a spanning tree T_i such that $\alpha(T_i) = \alpha(H_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$. Since G is connected, there exists a spanning tree T of G obtained from $T_1 \cup T_2 \cup \cdots \cup T_k$ by adding k - 1 edges between U_i and U_j $(i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ and $i \ne j$, and $\alpha(T) = \alpha$.

LEMMA 2.8. [14] Let G be a graph with $PV(G) \neq \emptyset$. Then there must exists a maximum stable set S of G such that $PV(T) \subseteq S$ and $PN(G) \cap S = \emptyset$.

3. Main results. In this section, we will characterize the graphs which have the minimum algebraic connectivity among all connected graphs with given stability number or covering number, respectively. Let $\mathscr{T}_{n,\alpha}$ be the set of trees of order n with given stability number α , and let

 $\mathscr{T}_{n,\alpha}^* = \{T : T \text{ is a tree of order } n \text{ with } \alpha(T) \ge \alpha\}.$

THEOREM 3.1. For a tree $T \in \mathscr{T}_{n,\alpha}^*$, where $\alpha \geq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$, we have

$$\mu(T) \ge \mu(T_{d^*})$$

with equality if and only if $T \cong T_{d^*}$, where $d^* = 2n - 2\alpha - 1$.

187



188

S.Z. Zhang, Q. Zhao, and H.Q. Liu

Proof. Choose $T \in \mathscr{T}^*_{n,\alpha}$ such that $\mu(T)$ is as small as possible, where $\alpha \geq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$. First we note that if $\alpha(T) = n - 1$, then $T \cong K_{1,n-1}$; and if $\alpha(T) = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$, then $T \cong P_n$. Thus, in either case, the result holds. So, in the following, we assume $T \not\cong K_{1,n-1}$ and $T \not\cong P_n$. Let S be a maximum stable set of T with $PV(T) \subseteq S$, then by Lemma 2.8, $PN(T) \cap S = \emptyset$. We will show the following two claims.

Claim 1. T has exactly two pendant stars.

Proof of Claim 1. Suppose that T has more than two pendant stars. Let S_1, S_2 be two pendant stars of T attached at u_1, u_2 , respectively. Denote $T_i = T - N(u_i) \cap PV(T)$, i = 1, 2. Then $T = T_i(u_i) \diamond S_i(u_i)$. Let **x** be a Fiedler vector of T. We consider two cases.

Case 1. T is of Type I.

In this case, $N_0 = \{v \in V(T) : x(v) = 0\} \neq \emptyset$. By Lemma 2.1, Case B, we can let v_0 be the characteristic vertex, and then the values of other vertices along any path in T starting in v_0 are strictly increasing or strictly decreasing or zero.

If $|N_0| = 1$, then $x(u_i) \neq 0$, i = 1, 2. Without loss of generality, we assume that $|x(u_1)| \ge |x(u_2)| > 0$. If $x(u_1) \ge x(u_2) > 0$, then we set

$$T' = T_2(u_1) \diamond S_2(u_2).$$

By Lemma 2.2, we have $\mu(T') < \mu(T)$. Note that S is also a stable set of T' as $u_1 \notin S$, then $\alpha(T') \ge \alpha(T) \ge \alpha$, and thus, $T' \in \mathscr{T}^*_{n,\alpha}$, which is a contradiction with the choice of T. If $x(u_1) \le x(u_2) < 0$, let **y** be a vector defined as

$$y(v_0) = x(v_0) = 0$$
 and $y(v) = -x(v)$ for $v \in V(T) \setminus \{v_0\}$.

It is easy to check that **y** is also a Fiedler vector of T with $y(u_1) \ge y(u_2) > 0$. By an argument similar to the above, we can derive a contradiction.

If $|N_0| \ge 2$, then by Lemma 2.1, v_0 has at least one neighbor not belong to N_0 , say $v_1 \in N(v_0) \setminus N_0$. Then we can choose S_1 such that v_1 belongs to the unique (v_0, u_1) -path in T. By Lemma 2.1, $x(u_1) \ne 0$. If $x(u_2) \ne 0$, then by an argument similar to the above, we have a contradiction. If $x(u_2) = 0$, then $|x(u_1)| > x(u_2)$, and then we can have a contradiction similarly.

Case 2. T is of Type II.

In this case, by Lemma 2.1, Case A, there exists $uv \in E(T)$ such that x(u) > 0 and x(v) < 0, the values of vertices along any path in T which starts in u (or resp., v) and does not contain v (or resp., u) strictly increasing (or resp. decreasing).

Choose S_1 (or resp., S_2) such that u_1 (or resp., u_2) belongs to some path in T starting in u (or resp., v) and not containing v (or resp., u). Then

$$x(u_1) > 0 > x(u_2).$$

Similar to the proof of Case 1, we can get a contradiction.

By Claim 1, we have $T \cong T(k, l, d)$ for some k, l, d. Without loss of generality, we assume that $k \ge l$. Recall that $T \not\cong P_n$. Thus, $k \ge 2$.

Claim 2. $\alpha(T) = \alpha$.

The Algebraic Connectivity of Graphs With Given Stability Number

Proof of Claim 2. Suppose that $\alpha(T) > \alpha$. Then by Lemma 2.4, we have $\alpha(T(k-1, l, d+1)) \ge \alpha(T) - 1 \ge \alpha$ and $\mu(T) > \mu(T(k-1, l, d+1))$. Note that $T(k-1, l, d+1) \in \mathscr{T}^*_{n,\alpha}$, and hence which is a contradiction with the choice of T.

By Claims 1 and 2, we get $T \cong T_d$ and $\alpha(T_d) = \alpha(T) = \alpha$. By Lemma 2.6, the result holds immediately.

COROLLARY 3.2. Among all trees of order n with stability number $\alpha \geq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$, T_{d^*} is the unique graph with minimum algebraic connectivity, where $d^* = 2n - 2\alpha - 1$.

Proof. Note that $\alpha(T_{d^*}) = \alpha$ and $\mathscr{T}_{n,\alpha} \subseteq \mathscr{T}^*_{n,\alpha}$. By Theorem 3.1, we get the result immediately.

THEOREM 3.3. Among all connected graphs of order n with stability number $\alpha \geq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$, $T_{2n-2\alpha-1}$ is the unique minimizing graph.

Proof. If $\alpha = n - 1$, then the result holds as $T_1 \cong K_{1,n-1}$ and $K_{1,n-1}$ is the unique graph with stability number n - 1. So we suppose $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil \leq \alpha < n - 1$. Let G be a minimizing graph. By Lemma 2.7, G contains a spanning tree T with stability number at least α . By Theorem 3.1, $\mu(G) \geq \mu(T) \geq \mu(T_{2n-2\alpha-1})$. Since G is minimizing, we have $\mu(G) = \mu(T) = \mu(T_{2n-2\alpha-1})$. Furthermore, $T \cong T_{2n-2\alpha-1}$ by Theorem 3.1. Note that $\alpha(T_{2n-2\alpha-1}) = \alpha = \alpha(G)$.

In the following, we will show that $E(G) \setminus E(T_{2n-2\alpha-1}) = \emptyset$, i.e., $G \cong T_{2n-2\alpha-1}$. Suppose $E(G) \setminus E(T_{2n-2\alpha-1}) \neq \emptyset$. Let **x** be a unit Fiedler vector of G, then

$$\mu(G) = \mathbf{x}^T L(G) \mathbf{x} = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} [x(u) - x(v)]^2$$

=
$$\sum_{uv \in E(G) \setminus E(T_{2n-2\alpha-1})} [x(u) - x(v)]^2 + \sum_{uv \in E(T_{2n-2\alpha-1})} [x(u) - x(v)]^2$$

\ge
$$\sum_{uv \in E(T_{2n-2\alpha-1})} [x(u) - x(v)]^2 \ge \mu(T_{2n-2\alpha-1}).$$

Note that $\mu(G) = \mu(T_{2n-2\alpha-1})$, and hence, **x** is also a Fiedler vector of $T_{2n-2\alpha-1}$ and x(u) = x(v) for each $uv \in E(G) \setminus E(T_{2n-2\alpha-1})$. By Lemma 2.1, $E(G) \setminus E(T_{2n-2\alpha-1})$ consists of edges joining the pendant vertices of the same pendant star, and thus, $\alpha(T_{2n-2\alpha-1} + uv) < \alpha(T_{2n-2\alpha-1})$ for each $uv \in E(G) \setminus E(T_{2n-2\alpha-1})$. Hence, $\alpha(G) < \alpha(T_{2n-2\alpha-1})$, a contradiction. Therefore, we have $E(G) \setminus E(T_{2n-2\alpha-1}) = \emptyset$, $G \cong T_{2n-2\alpha-1}$.

THEOREM 3.4. Among all connected graphs of order n with covering number $2 \leq \beta \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, $T_{2\beta-1}$ is the unique minimizing graph.

Proof. By Theorem 3.2, the result holds from the fact that for any graph G, $\alpha(G) + \beta(G) = n$.

Acknowledgment. Many thanks to the anonymous referee for his/her many helpful comments and suggestions, which have considerably improved the presentation of the paper.

189

Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra, ISSN 1081-3810 A publication of the International Linear Algebra Society Volume 32, pp. 184-190, June 2017.

S.Z. Zhang, Q. Zhao, and H.Q. Liu

. **5**] 190

REFERENCES

- [1] N.M.M. de Abreu. Old and new results on algebraic connectivity of graphs. Linear Algebra Appl, 423:53–73, 2007.
- R.B. Bapat, S. Pati, and R. Merris. Algebraic connectivity and the characteristic set of a graph. *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, 45:247–273, 1998.
- [3] T. Biyikoğlu and J. Leydold. Graphs of given order and size and minimum algebraic connectivity. Linear Algebra Appl., 436:2067–2077, 2012.
- [4] M. Fiedler. Algebraic connectivity of graphs. Czechoslovak Math. J., 23:298–305, 1973.
- [5] M. Fiedler. A property of eigenvectors of nonnegative symmetric matrices and its application to graph theory. Czechoslovak Math. J., 25:619–633, 1975.
- [6] S. Fallat and S. Kirkland. Extremizing algebraic connectivity subject to graph theoretic constraints. Electron. J. Linear Algebra, 3:48–74, 1998.
- S. Fallat, S. Kirkland, and S. Pati. Minimizing algebraic connectivity over connected graphs with fixed girth. Discrete Math. 254:115–142, 2002.
- [8] Y.Z. Fan and Y.Y. Tan. A lower bound for the algebraic connectivity of a graph in terms of the domination number. Preprint, arXiv:1310.8533v1, 2013.
- [9] J.M. Guo. The algebraic connectivity of graphs under perturbation. Linear Algebra Appl., 433:1148–1153, 2010.
- [10] S. Kirkland. A bound on the algebraic connectivity of a graph in terms of the number of cut points. Linear Multilinear Algebra, 47:93–103, 2000.
- [11] A.K. Lal, K.L. Patra, and B.K. Sahoo. Algebraic connectivity of connected graphs with fixed number of pendant vertices. Graphs and Combinatorics, 27:215–229, 2011.
- [12] R. Merris. Characteristic vertices of trees. Linear Multilinear Algebra, 22:115–131, 1987.
- [13] J.Y. Shao, J.M. Guo, and H.Y. Shan. The ordering of trees and connected graphs by algebraic connectivity. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 428:1421–1438, 2008.
- [14] Q. Wen, Q. Zhao, and H.Q. Liu. The least signless Laplacian eigenvalue of non-bipartite graphs with given stability number. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 476:148–158, 2015.
- [15] J. Xu, Y.Z. Fan, and Y.Y. Tan. Lower bounds for algebraic connectivity of graphs in terms of matching number or edge covering number. Preprint, arXiv:1401.2227v1, 2014.