Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra ISSN 1081-3810
A publication of the International Linear Algebra Society
Volume 31, pp. 476-484, July 2016

ELA
http:/repository.uwyo.edu/ela

A NEW ERROR BOUND FOR LINEAR COMPLEMENTARITY PROBLEMS FOR B-MATRICES*

CHAOQIAN LI†, MENGTING GAN†, AND SHAORONG YANG†

Abstract. A new error bound for the linear complementarity problem is given when the involved matrix is a B-matrix. It is shown that this bound improves the corresponding result in [M. García-Esnaola and J.M. Peña. Error bounds for linear complementarity problems for B-matrices. *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 22:1071–1075, 2009.] in some cases, and that it is sharper than that in [C.Q. Li and Y.T. Li. Note on error bounds for linear complementarity problems for B-matrices. *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 57:108–113, 2016.].

Key words. Error bound, Linear complementarity problem, B-Matrix.

AMS subject classifications. 90C33, 65G50, 65F35.

1. Introduction. A linear complementarity problem LCP(M, q) tries to find a vector $x \in R^n$ such that

$$x \geq 0, \;\; Mx + q \geq 0, \;\; (Mx + q)^T x = 0, \tag{1.1}$$

where $M = [m_{ij}] \in R^{n\times n}$ and $q \in R^n$. The $LCP(M, q)$ has various applications in the Nash equilibrium point of a bimatrix game, the contact problem and the free boundary problem for journal bearing; for details, see [4, 5, 17].

It is well-known that the LCP(M, q) has a unique solution for any $q \in R^n$ if and only if M is a P-matrix [5]. Here, a matrix $M \in R^{n\times n}$ is called a P-matrix if all its principal minors are positive [6]. In [3], Chen and Xiang gave the following error bound of the LCP(M, q) when M is a P-matrix:

$$||x - x^*||_\infty \leq \max_{d\in[0,1]^n} ||(I - D + DM)^{-1}||_\infty ||r(x)||_\infty,$$

where x^* is the solution of the LCP(M, q), $r(x) = \min\{x, Mx + q\}$, $D = diag(d_i)$ with $0 \leq d_i \leq 1$, and the min operator $r(x)$ denotes the componentwise minimum of two vectors. If M satisfies certain structure, then some bounds of $\max_{d\in[0,1]^n} ||(I - D + DM)^{-1}||_\infty$ can be derived; for details, see [2, 7, 8, 10, 14] and references therein.

*Received by the editors on March 14, 2016. Accepted for publication on July 2, 2016. Handling Editor: Michael Tsatsomeros.

†School of Mathematics and Statistics, Yunnan University, Kunming, Yunnan, 650091, P.R. China (lichaoqian@ynu.edu.cn).

Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra ISSN 1081-3810
A publication of the International Linear Algebra Society
Volume 31, pp. 476-484, July 2016

ELA
http:/repository.uwyo.edu/ela

When M is a B-matrix introduced by Peña in [6] as a subclass of P-matrices, García-Esnaola and Peña in [10] presented the following upper bound which is only related with the entries of M. Here a real matrix $M = [m_{ij}] \in R^{n\times n}$ is called a B-matrix [6] if for each $i \in N = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$,

$$\sum_{k\in N} m_{ik} > 0, \text{ and } \frac{1}{n}\left(\sum_{k\in N} m_{ik}\right) > m_{ij} \text{ for any } j \in N \text{ and } j \neq i. \tag{1.2}$$

THEOREM 1.1. [10, Theorem 2.2] *Let $M = [m_{ij}] \in R^{n\times n}$ be a B-matrix with the form*

$$M = B^+ + C, \tag{1.3}$$

where

$$B^+ = [b_{ij}] = \begin{bmatrix} m_{11} - r_1^+ & \cdots & m_{1n} - r_1^+ \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ m_{n1} - r_n^+ & \cdots & m_{nn} - r_n^+ \end{bmatrix}, \tag{1.4}$$

and $r_i^+ = \max\{0, m_{ij} | j \neq i\}$. Then

$$\max_{d\in[0,1]^n} ||(I - D + DM)^{-1}||_\infty \leq \frac{n-1}{\min\{\beta, 1\}}, \tag{1.5}$$

where $\beta = \min_{i\in N}\{\beta_i\}$ and $\beta_i = b_{ii} - \sum_{j\neq i} |b_{ij}|$.

As shown in [15], if the diagonal dominance of B^+ is weak, i.e.,

$$\beta = \min_{i\in N}\{\beta_i\} = \min_{i\in N}\left\{b_{ii} - \sum_{j\neq i} |b_{ij}|\right\}$$

is small, then the bound (1.5) may be very large when M is a B-matrix, which leads to that the estimate in (1.5) is always inaccurate, for details, see [15, 16]. To improve the bound (1.5), Li and Li [15] gave the following bound for $\max_{d\in[0,1]^n} ||(I - D + DM)^{-1}||_\infty$ when M is a B-matrix.

THEOREM 1.2. [15, Theorem 4] *Let $M = [m_{ij}] \in R^{n\times n}$ be a B-matrix with the form $M = B^+ + C$, where $B^+ = [b_{ij}]$ is the matrix of (1.4). Then*

$$\max_{d\in[0,1]^n} ||(I - D + DM)^{-1}||_\infty \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{n-1}{\min\left\{\bar{\beta}_i, 1\right\}} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1}\left(1 + \frac{1}{\bar{\beta}_j} \sum_{k=j+1}^{n} |b_{jk}|\right), \tag{1.6}$$

Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra ISSN 1081-3810
A publication of the International Linear Algebra Society
Volume 31, pp. 476-484, July 2016

ELA
http:/repository.uwyo.edu/ela

where $\bar{\beta}_i = b_{ii} - \sum\limits_{j=i+1}^{n} |b_{ij}| l_i(B^+)$, $l_k(B^+) = \max\limits_{k \le i \le n} \left\{ \frac{1}{|b_{ii}|} \sum\limits_{\substack{j=k,\\ j\neq i}}^{n} |b_{ij}| \right\}$ *and*

$$\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\bar{\beta}_j} \sum_{k=j+1}^{n} |b_{jk}| \right) = 1 \ \ \textit{if } i = 1.$$

Very recently, when M is a weakly chained diagonally dominant B-matrix, Li and Li [16] gave a bound for $\max\limits_{d \in [0,1]^n} ||(I - D + DM)^{-1}||_\infty$. This bound holds true for the case that M is a B-matrix because a B-matrix is a weakly chained diagonally dominant B-matrix [16].

THEOREM 1.3. [16, Corollary 1] *Let* $M = [m_{ij}] \in R^{n \times n}$ *be a* B*-matrix with the form* $M = B^+ + C$*, where* $B^+ = [b_{ij}]$ *is the matrix of (1.4). Then*

$$\max_{d \in [0,1]^n} ||(I - D + DM)^{-1}||_\infty \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{n-1}{\min\{\tilde{\beta}_i, 1\}} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{b_{jj}}{\tilde{\beta}_j} \right),$$

where $\tilde{\beta}_i = b_{ii} - \sum\limits_{j=i+1}^{n} |b_{ij}| > 0$ *and* $\prod\limits_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{b_{jj}}{\tilde{\beta}_j} = 1$ *if* $i = 1$.

In this paper, we also focus on the error bound for the LCP(M, q), and gave a new bound for $\max\limits_{d \in [0,1]^n} ||(I - D + DM)^{-1}||_\infty$ when M is a B-matrix. It is shown that this bound is more effective to estimate $\max\limits_{d \in [0,1]^n} ||(I - D + DM)^{-1}||_\infty$ than that in Theorem 1.1, and sharper than those in Theorems 1.2 and 1.3.

2. Main results. We first recall some definitions. A matrix $A = [a_{ij}] \in C^{n \times n}$ is called a strictly diagonally dominant (SDD) matrix if for each $i \in N$, $|a_{ii}| > \sum\limits_{\substack{j=1,\\ j\neq i}}^{n} |a_{ij}|$. It is well-known that an SDD matrix is nonsingular [1]. A matrix $A = [a_{ij}]$ is called a Z-matrix if $a_{ij} \le 0$ for any $i \ne j$, and a nonsingular M-matrix if A is a Z-matrix with A^{-1} being nonnegative [1]. Next, several lemmas which will be used later are given.

LEMMA 2.1. [18, Theorem 3.2] *Let* $A = [a_{ij}] \in R^{n \times n}$ *be an* SDD M*-matrix. Then*

$$||A^{-1}||_\infty \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{1}{a_{ii}(1 - u_i(A) l_i(A))} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{1}{1 - u_j(A) l_j(A)} \right),$$

Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra ISSN 1081-3810
A publication of the International Linear Algebra Society
Volume 31, pp. 476-484, July 2016

ELA
http:/repository.uwyo.edu/ela

where $u_i(A) = \frac{1}{|a_{ii}|}\sum_{j=i+1}^{n}|a_{ij}|$, $l_k(A) = \max_{k\le i\le n}\left\{\frac{1}{|a_{ii}|}\sum_{\substack{j=k,\\ j\neq i}}^{n}|a_{ij}|\right\}$ *and*

$$\prod_{j=1}^{i-1}\frac{1}{1-u_j(A)l_j(A)} = 1 \ \text{ if } i=1.$$

LEMMA 2.2. [15, Lemma 3] *Let $\gamma > 0$ and $\eta \geq 0$. Then for any $x \in [0,1]$,*

$$\frac{1}{1-x+\gamma x} \leq \frac{1}{\min\{\gamma,1\}} \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$\frac{\eta x}{1-x+\gamma x} \leq \frac{\eta}{\gamma}. \tag{2.2}$$

LEMMA 2.3. [16, Lemma 5] *Let $A = [a_{ij}] \in R^{n\times n}$ with*

$$a_{ii} > \sum_{j=i+1}^{n}|a_{ij}| \ \text{ for each } i \in N.$$

Then for any $x_i \in [0,1]$, $i \in N$,

$$\frac{1-x_i+a_{ii}x_i}{1-x_i+a_{ii}x_i-\sum_{j=i+1}^{n}|a_{ij}|x_i} \leq \frac{a_{ii}}{a_{ii}-\sum_{j=i+1}^{n}|a_{ij}|}.$$

THEOREM 2.4. *Let $M = [m_{ij}] \in R^{n\times n}$ be a B-matrix with the form $M = B^+ + C$, where $B^+ = [b_{ij}]$ is the matrix of (1.4). Then*

$$\max_{d\in[0,1]^n} ||(I-D+DM)^{-1}||_\infty \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{n-1}{\min\left\{\bar{\beta}_i,1\right\}}\prod_{j=1}^{i-1}\frac{b_{jj}}{\bar{\beta}_j}, \tag{2.3}$$

where $\bar{\beta}_i$ is defined in Theorem 1.2 and $\prod_{j=1}^{i-1}\frac{b_{jj}}{\bar{\beta}_j} = 1$ if $i = 1$.

Proof. Let $M_D = I - D + DM$. Then

$$M_D = I - D + DM = I - D + D(B^+ + C) = B_D^+ + C_D,$$

where $B_D^+ = I - D + DB^+ = [b_{ij}]$. Similarly to the proof of Theorem 2.2 in [10], we can obtain that B_D^+ is an SDD M-matrix with positive diagonal elements and $C_D = DC$, and that

$$||M_D^{-1}||_\infty \leq ||(I+(B_D^+)^{-1}C_D)^{-1}||_\infty ||(B_D^+)^{-1}||_\infty \leq (n-1)||(B_D^+)^{-1}||_\infty. \tag{2.4}$$

Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra ISSN 1081-3810
A publication of the International Linear Algebra Society
Volume 31, pp. 476-484, July 2016

ELA
http:/repository.uwyo.edu/ela

By Lemma 2.1,

$$||(B_D^+)^{-1}||_\infty \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{1}{(1-d_i+b_{ii}d_i)\left(1-u_i(B_D^+)l_i(B_D^+)\right)} \times \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{1}{1-u_j(B_D^+)l_j(B_D^+)} \right), \tag{2.5}$$

where

$$u_i(B_D^+) = \frac{\sum\limits_{j=i+1}^{n} |b_{ij}|d_i}{1-d_i+b_{ii}d_i}, \text{ and } l_k(B_D^+) = \max_{k\leq i\leq n} \left\{ \frac{\sum\limits_{\substack{j=k,\\ j\neq i}}^{n} |b_{ij}|d_i}{1-d_i+b_{ii}d_i} \right\}.$$

By Lemma 2.2, we can easily get that for each $k \in N$,

$$l_k(B_D^+) \leq \max_{k\leq i\leq n} \left\{ \frac{1}{b_{ii}} \sum_{\substack{j=k,\\ j\neq i}}^{n} |b_{ij}| \right\} = l_k(B^+) < 1, \tag{2.6}$$

and that for each $i \in N$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(1-d_i+b_{ii}d_i)\left(1-u_i(B_D^+)l_i(B_D^+)\right)} &= \frac{1}{1-d_i+b_{ii}d_i - \sum\limits_{j=i+1}^{n} |b_{ij}|d_i l_i(B_D^+)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\min\left\{ b_{ii} - \sum\limits_{j=i+1}^{n} |b_{ij}| l_i(B^+), 1 \right\}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\min\left\{\bar{\beta}_i, 1\right\}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Furthermore, by Lemma 2.3,

$$\frac{1}{1-u_i(B_D^+)l_i(B_D^+)} = \frac{1-d_i+b_{ii}d_i}{1-d_i+b_{ii}d_i - \sum\limits_{j=i+1}^{n} |b_{ij}|d_i l_i(B_D^+)} \leq \frac{b_{ii}}{\bar{\beta}_i}. \tag{2.8}$$

By (2.5), (2.6), (2.7) and (2.8), we have

$$||(B_D^+)^{-1}||_\infty \leq \frac{1}{\min\left\{\bar{\beta}_1, 1\right\}} + \sum_{i=2}^{n} \frac{1}{\min\left\{\bar{\beta}_i, 1\right\}} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{b_{jj}}{\bar{\beta}_j}. \tag{2.9}$$

The conclusion follows from (2.4) and (2.9). ☐

Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra ISSN 1081-3810
A publication of the International Linear Algebra Society
Volume 31, pp. 476-484, July 2016

ELA
http:/repository.uwyo.edu/ela

The comparisons of the bounds in Theorems 1.2, 1.3 and 2.4 are established as follows.

THEOREM 2.5. *Let $M = [m_{ij}] \in R^{n\times n}$ be a B-matrix with the form $M = B^+ + C$, where $B^+ = [b_{ij}]$ is the matrix of (1.4). Let $\bar{\beta}_i$ and $\tilde{\beta}_i$ be defined in Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 respectively. Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{n-1}{\min\left\{\bar{\beta}_i, 1\right\}} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{b_{jj}}{\bar{\beta}_j} \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{n-1}{\min\left\{\bar{\beta}_i, 1\right\}} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\bar{\beta}_j} \sum_{k=j+1}^{n} |b_{jk}|\right)$$
$$\le \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{n-1}{\min\{\tilde{\beta}_i, 1\}} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{b_{jj}}{\tilde{\beta}_j}\right).$$

Proof. Note that

$$\tilde{\beta}_i = b_{ii} - \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} |b_{ij}|, \quad \bar{\beta}_i = b_{ii} - \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} |b_{ij}| l_i(B^+)$$

and $l_k(B^+) = \max\limits_{k\le i\le n} \left\{ \frac{1}{|b_{ii}|} \sum\limits_{\substack{j=k,\\ j\ne i}}^{n} |b_{ij}| \right\} < 1$. Hence, for each $i \in N$, $\tilde{\beta}_i \le \bar{\beta}_i$ and

$$\frac{1}{\min\{\tilde{\beta}_i, 1\}} \ge \frac{1}{\min\{\bar{\beta}_i, 1\}}. \tag{2.10}$$

Meantime, for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$,

$$1 + \frac{1}{\bar{\beta}_j} \sum_{k=j+1}^{n} |b_{jk}| \le 1 + \frac{1}{\tilde{\beta}_j} \sum_{k=j+1}^{n} |b_{jk}| = \frac{1}{\tilde{\beta}_j} \left(\tilde{\beta}_j + \sum_{k=j+1}^{n} |b_{jk}|\right) = \frac{b_{jj}}{\tilde{\beta}_j}. \tag{2.11}$$

By (2.10) and (2.11), we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{n-1}{\min\left\{\bar{\beta}_i, 1\right\}} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\bar{\beta}_j} \sum_{k=j+1}^{n} |b_{jk}|\right) \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{n-1}{\min\{\tilde{\beta}_i, 1\}} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{b_{jj}}{\tilde{\beta}_j}\right). \tag{2.12}$$

Moreover, for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$,

$$\frac{b_{jj}}{\bar{\beta}_j} = \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{b_{jj} - \sum\limits_{k=j+1}^{n} |b_{jk}| l_j(B^+) + \sum\limits_{k=j+1}^{n} |b_{jk}| l_j(B^+)}{\bar{\beta}_j}$$
$$= \frac{\bar{\beta}_j + \sum\limits_{k=j+1}^{n} |b_{jk}| l_j(B^+)}{\bar{\beta}_j}$$

Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra ISSN 1081-3810
A publication of the International Linear Algebra Society
Volume 31, pp. 476-484, July 2016

ELA
http:/repository.uwyo.edu/ela

$$= 1 + \frac{\sum\limits_{k=j+1}^{n} |b_{jk}| l_j(B^+)}{\bar{\beta}_j}$$
$$\leq 1 + \frac{\sum\limits_{k=j+1}^{n} |b_{jk}|}{\bar{\beta}_j},$$

this implies

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{n-1}{\min\left\{\bar{\beta}_i, 1\right\}} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{b_{jj}}{\bar{\beta}_j} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{n-1}{\min\left\{\bar{\beta}_i, 1\right\}} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\bar{\beta}_j} \sum_{k=j+1}^{n} |b_{jk}|\right). \tag{2.13}$$

The conclusion follows from (2.12)and (2.13). □

Example 2.6. Consider the family of B-matrices in [15]:

$$M_k = \begin{bmatrix} 1.5 & 0.5 & 0.4 & 0.5 \\ -0.1 & 1.7 & 0.7 & 0.6 \\ 0.8 & -0.1\frac{k}{k+1} & 1.8 & 0.7 \\ 0 & 0.7 & 0.8 & 1.8 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $k \geq 1$. Then $M_k = B_k^+ + C_k$, where

$$B_k^+ = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -0.1 & 0 \\ -0.8 & 1 & 0 & -0.1 \\ 0 & -0.1\frac{k}{k+1} - 0.8 & 1 & -0.1 \\ -0.8 & -0.1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

By Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 2.2 in [10]), we have

$$\max_{d \in [0,1]^4} ||(I - D + DM_k)^{-1}||_\infty \leq \frac{4-1}{\min\{\beta, 1\}} = 30(k+1).$$

It is obvious that

$$30(k+1) \to +\infty \quad \text{when} \quad k \to +\infty.$$

By Theorem 1.3, we have

$$\max_{d \in [0,1]^4} ||(I - D + DM_k)^{-1}||_\infty \leq \sum_{i=1}^{4} \left(\frac{3}{\min\{\tilde{\beta}_i, 1\}} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{b_{jj}}{\tilde{\beta}_j} \right) \approx 15.2675.$$

By Theorem 1.2, we have

$$\max_{d \in [0,1]^4} ||(I - D + DM_k)^{-1}||_\infty \leq \frac{2.97(90k+91)(190k+192) + 6.24(100k+101)^2}{0.99\,(90k+91)^2},$$

Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra ISSN 1081-3810
A publication of the International Linear Algebra Society
Volume 31, pp. 476-484, July 2016

ELA
http:/repository.uwyo.edu/ela

and

$$\frac{2.97(90k+91)(190k+192)+6.24(100k+101)^2}{0.99\,(90k+91)^2} < 15.2675, \quad \text{for any } k \geq 1.$$

By Theorem 2.4, we have

$$\max_{d\in[0,1]^4} ||(I-D+DM_k)^{-1}||_\infty \leq \frac{2.97(90k+91)(190k+191)+5.97(100k+100)^2}{0.99\,(90k+91)^2},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{2.97(90k+91)(190k+191)+5.97(100k+100)^2}{0.99\,(90k+91)^2}\\ &< \frac{2.97(90k+91)(190k+192)+6.24(100k+101)^2}{0.99\,(90k+91)^2}.\end{aligned}$$

In particular, when $k=1$,

$$\frac{2.97(90k+91)(190k+191)+5.97(100k+100)^2}{0.99\,(90k+91)^2} \approx 13.6777,$$

and

$$\frac{2.97(90k+91)(190k+192)+6.24(100k+101)^2}{0.99\,(90k+91)^2} \approx 14.1044.$$

When $k=2$,

$$\frac{2.97(90k+91)(190k+191)+5.97(100k+100)^2}{0.99\,(90k+91)^2} \approx 13.7110,$$

and

$$\frac{2.97(90k+91)(190k+192)+6.24(100k+101)^2}{0.99\,(90k+91)^2} \approx 14.1079.$$

In these two cases, the bounds in (1.5) are equal to 60 ($k=1$) and 90 ($k=2$), respectively. This example shows that the bound in Theorem 2.4 is sharper than those in Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3.

3. Conclusions. In this paper, we give a new bound for $\max_{d\in[0,1]^n} ||(I-D+DM)^{-1}||_\infty$ when M is a B-matrix, and show that it improves the bound of Theorem 2.2 of [10] in some cases, and that it is always sharper than those of Theorem 4 of [15] and of Corollary 1 of [16].

Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra ISSN 1081-3810
A publication of the International Linear Algebra Society
Volume 31, pp. 476-484, July 2016

Acknowledgment. The authors are grateful to the referees for their useful and constructive suggestions. This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundations of China (11361074) and Natural Science Foundations of Zhejiang Province (LY14A010007).

REFERENCES

[1] A. Berman and R.J. Plemmons. *Nonnegative Matrix in the Mathematical Sciences.* SIAM Publisher, Philadelphia, 1994.

[2] T.T. Chen, W. Li, X. Wu, and S. Vong. Error bounds for linear complementarity problems of MB-matrices. *Numer. Algorithms*, 70(2):341–356, 2015.

[3] X.J. Chen and S.H. Xiang. Computation of error bounds for P-matrix linear complementarity problem. *Math. Program.*, 106:513–525, 2006.

[4] X.J. Chen and S.H. Xiang. Perturbation bounds of P-matrix linear complementarity problems. *SIAM J. Optim.*, 18:1250–1265, 2007.

[5] R.W. Cottle, J.S. Pang, and R.E. Stone. *The Linear Complementarity Problem.* Academic Press, San Diego, 1992.

[6] J.M. Peña. A class of P-matrices with applications to the localization of the eigenvalues of a real matrix. *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.*, 22:1027–1037, 2001.

[7] P.F. Dai. Error bounds for linear complementarity problems of DB-matrices. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 434:830–840, 2011.

[8] P.F. Dai, Y.T. Li, and C.J. Lu. Error bounds for linear complementarity problems for SB-matrices. *Numer. Algorithms*, 61:121–139, 2012.

[9] P.F. Dai, C.J. Lu, and Y.T. Li. New error bounds for the linear complementarity problem with an SB-matrix. *Numer. Algorithms*, 64(4):741–757, 2013.

[10] M. García-Esnaola and J.M. Peña. Error bounds for linear complementarity problems for B-matrices. *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 22:1071–1075, 2009.

[11] M. García-Esnaola and J.M. Peña. Error bounds for the linear complementarity problem with a Σ-SDD matrix. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 438(3):1339–1346, 2013.

[12] M. García-Esnaola and J.M. Peña. Error bounds for linear complementarity problems involving B^S-matrices. *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 25:1379–1383, 2012.

[13] M. García-Esnaola and J.M. Peña. Error bounds for linear complementarity problems of Nekrasov matrices. *Numer. Algorithms*, 67:655–667, 2014.

[14] M. García-Esnaola and J.M. Peña. B-Nekrasov matrices and error bounds for linear complementarity problems. *Numer. Algorithms*, 72(2):435–445, 2016.

[15] C.Q. Li and Y.T. Li. Note on error bounds for linear complementarity problems for B-matrices. *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 57:108–113, 2016.

[16] C.Q. Li and Y.T. Li. Weakly chained diagonally dominant B-matrices and error bounds for linear complementarity problems. *Numer. Algor.,* DOI: 10.1007/s11075-016-0125-8.

[17] K.G. Murty. *Linear Complementarity, Linear and Nonlinear Programming.* Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1988.

[18] P. Wang. An upper bound for $||A^{-1}||_\infty$ of strictly diagonally dominant M-matrices. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 431:511–517, 2009.