

# THE DISTANCE SPECTRAL RADIUS OF GRAPHS WITH GIVEN NUMBER OF ODD VERTICES\*

HONGYING LIN<sup> $\dagger$ </sup> AND BO ZHOU<sup> $\dagger$ </sup>

**Abstract.** The graphs with smallest, respectively largest, distance spectral radius among the connected graphs, respectively trees with a given number of odd vertices, are determined. Also, the graphs with the largest distance spectral radius among the trees with a given number of vertices of degree 3, respectively given number of vertices of degree at least 3, are determined. Finally, the graphs with the second and third largest distance spectral radius among the trees with all odd vertices are determined.

**Key words.** Distance spectral radius, Distance matrix, Distance Perron vector, Odd vertex, Maximum degree, Graph, Tree.

AMS subject classifications. 05C50, 15A18.

1. Introduction. Throughout this paper, we consider simple graphs. Let G be a connected graph with vertex set V(G) and edge set E(G). The distance between vertices  $u, v \in V(G)$ , denoted by  $d_G(u, v)$ , is the length of a shortest path between them. The distance matrix of G, denoted by D(G), is the matrix  $D(G) = (d_G(u, v))_{u,v \in V(G)}$ . Since D(G) is real and symmetric, its eigenvalues are real. The distance spectral radius of G, denoted by  $\rho(G)$ , is the largest eigenvalue of D(G). Since D(G) is irreducible, we have by the Perron-Frobenius theorem that  $\rho(G)$  is simple, and there is a unique positive unit eigenvector x(G) of D(G) corresponding to  $\rho(G)$ , which is called the distance Perron vector of G.

The study of eigenvalues of the distance matrix of a connected graph dates back to the classical work of Graham and Pollack [5], Graham and Lovász [4], and Edelberg et al. [2]. For more details on spectra of distance matrices and especially on distance spectral radius, one may refer to the recent survey of Aouchiche and Hansen [1].

A vertex is an *odd vertex* (respectively, *even vertex*) if its degree is odd (respectively, even). It is well known that the number of odd vertices in a graph is always even. A vertex in a tree with degree at least 3 is known as a *branch vertex*. The

<sup>\*</sup>Received by the editors on January 19, 2015. Accepted for publication on April 7, 2016. Handling Editor: Bryan L. Shader.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>School of Mathematical sciences, South China Normal University, Guangzhou 510631, P.R. China (lhongying0908@126.com, zhoubo@scnu.edu.cn). Supported by the Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education of China (no. 20124407110002) and the Scientific Research Fund of the Science and Technology Program of Guangzhou, China (no. 201510010265).



287

#### The Distance Spectral Radius of Graphs

number of branch vertices may be used to analyze graph structures, see, e.g. [3, 7]. In this paper, we determine the graphs with smallest, respectively largest, distance spectral radius among the connected graphs, respectively trees with a given number of odd vertices. Also, we determine the graphs with the largest distance spectral radius among the trees with a given number of vertices of degree 3, respectively given number of vertices of degree at least 3. Finally, we determine the graphs with the second and third largest distance spectral radius among the trees with all odd vertices.

**2. Preliminaries.** Let G be a connected graph with  $V(G) = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ . A column vector  $x = (x_{v_1}, \ldots, x_{v_n})^\top \in \mathbb{R}^n$  (whether it is the distance Perron vector of G or not) can be considered as a function defined on V(G) which maps vertex  $v_i$  to  $x_{v_i}$ , i.e.,  $x(v_i) = x_{v_i}$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ . Then

$$x^{\top} D(G) x = \sum_{\{u,v\} \subseteq V(G)} 2d_G(u,v) x_u x_v,$$

and  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of D(G) with corresponding eigenvector x if and only if  $x \neq 0$ and for each  $u \in V(G)$ ,

(2.1) 
$$\lambda x_u = \sum_{v \in V(G)} d_G(u, v) x_v$$

We call (2.1) the  $(\lambda, x)$ -eigenequation for G at u. For a unit column vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with at least one nonnegative entry, by Rayleigh's principle, we have

$$\rho(G) \ge x^\top D(G)x$$

with equality if and only if x is the distance Perron vector of G.

For a connected graph G with  $v \in V(G)$ , let  $\delta_G(v)$  be the degree of v in G, and let  $N_G(v)$  be the set of neighbors of v in G.

Let  $P_n$ ,  $C_n$ ,  $S_n$  and  $K_n$  be respectively the path, the cycle, the star and the complete graph on n vertices.

A caterpillar is a tree such that the deletion of all pendant vertices yields a path. Obviously,  $S_n$  and  $P_n$  are caterpillars.

Let G be a connected graph. For  $V_1 \subset V(G)$ ,  $G - V_1$  denotes the graph obtained from G by deleting all vertices of  $V_1$  (and the incident edges). If  $V_1 = \{u\}$ , then we write G - u for  $G - \{u\}$ . For  $E_1 \subseteq E(G)$ ,  $G - E_1$  denotes the graph obtained from G by deleting all edges of  $E_1$ . If  $E_1 = \{uv\}$ , then we write G - uv for  $G - \{uv\}$ . If E'is a subset of edges of the complement of G, then G + E' denotes the graph obtained from G by inserting all edges of E'. If  $E' = \{uv\}$ , then we write G + uv for  $G + \{uv\}$ .

288



H. Lin and B. Zhou

For a subgraph H of a connected graph G, let  $\sigma_G(H)$  be the sum of the entries of the distance Perron vector of G corresponding to the vertices in V(H).

LEMMA 2.1. [9] Let G be a connected graph with  $u, v \in V(G)$ . If  $uv \notin E(G)$ , then  $\rho(G) > \rho(G + uv)$ .

LEMMA 2.2. [10] Let G be a connected graph on n vertices with  $u, v \in V(G)$ , and let u' and v' be pendant neighbors of u and v, respectively. Let x = x(G). Then  $x_{u'} - x_{v'} = \frac{\rho(G)}{\rho(G)+2}(x_u - x_v).$ 

LEMMA 2.3. [11, 12] Let G be a connected graph and u a cut vertex of G. Suppose that G-u consists of vertex disjoint subgraphs  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$  and  $G_3$ . Let  $G'_3$  be the subgraph of G induced by  $V(G_3) \cup \{u\}$ . For  $v \in V(G_2)$ , let

$$G' = G - \left\{ uw : w \in N_{G'_3}(u) \right\} + \left\{ vw : w \in N_{G'_3}(u) \right\}.$$

If  $\sigma_G(G_1) \ge \sigma_G(G_2)$ , then  $\rho(G') > \rho(G)$ .

LEMMA 2.4. [10] Let G be a connected graph and uv a non-pendant cut edge of G. Let G' be the graph obtained from G by contracting uv to a vertex u and attaching a pendant vertex v to u. Then  $\rho(G') < \rho(G)$ .

3. Distance spectral radius of graphs with given number of odd vertices. For integers n and k with  $0 \le k \le \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ , let  $\mathbb{G}(n,k)$  be the set of connected graphs with n vertices and 2k odd vertices, and let  $K_n(k)$  be the graph obtained from  $K_n$  by deleting k pairwise disjoint edges. In particular,  $K_n(0) = K_n$ .

THEOREM 3.1. Let  $G \in \mathbb{G}(n,k)$ , where  $n \geq 3$  and  $0 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ .

(i) If n is odd, then  $\rho(G) \ge \rho(K_n(k))$  with equality if and only if  $G \cong K_n(k)$ .

(ii) If n is even, then  $\rho(G) \ge \rho\left(K_n\left(\frac{n}{2}-k\right)\right)$  with equality if and only if  $G \cong K_n\left(\frac{n}{2}-k\right)$ .

*Proof.* Let G be the graph in  $\mathbb{G}(n,k)$  with minimum distance spectral radius.

If n is odd and k = 0, or n is even and  $k = \frac{n}{2}$ , then by Lemma 2.1,  $G \cong K_n(0)$ . If n is even and k = 0, then since G is a spanning subgraph of  $K_n\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)$ , we have by Lemma 2.1 that  $G \cong K_n\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)$ .

Suppose  $1 \le k < \frac{n}{2}$ . For  $z \in V(G)$ , let  $N_z = V(G) \setminus (N_G(z) \cup \{z\})$ . Obviously,  $|N_z| = n - 1 - \delta_G(z)$ .

Let  $V_1$  (respectively,  $V_2$ ) be the set of odd (respectively, even) vertices of G. Suppose that there are vertices  $u \in V_1$  and  $v \in V_2$  such that  $uv \notin E(G)$ . Let G' = G + uv. Note that  $\delta_{G'}(u) = \delta_G(u) + 1$  is even and  $\delta_{G'}(v) = \delta_G(v) + 1$  is odd. We have  $G' \in \mathbb{G}(n, k)$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $\rho(G') < \rho(G)$ , a contradiction. Thus, each



#### The Distance Spectral Radius of Graphs

vertex of  $V_1$  is adjacent to each vertex of  $V_2$ .

Case 1. n is odd.

Suppose that there is a vertex  $u \in V_2$  with  $\delta_G(u) < n-1$ . Then  $\delta_G(u) \le n-3$ . Let  $t = \frac{n-1-\delta_G(u)}{2}$ . Then t is a positive integer, and  $|N_u| = n-1-\delta_G(u) = 2t$ . Let  $N_u = \{u_1, \ldots, u_{2t}\}$ . Suppose that  $u_1$  is not adjacent to  $u_{2t}$ . Let  $G' = G + \{uu_1, uu_{2t}, u_1u_{2t}\}$ . Obviously,  $G' \in \mathbb{G}(n, k)$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $\rho(G') < \rho(G)$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $u_1u_{2t} \in E(G)$ .

Let  $G'' = G - u_1 u_{2t} + \{uu_1, uu_{2t}\}$ . Obviously,  $G'' \in \mathbb{G}(n, k)$ . Let  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  be the subgraphs of G'' induced by  $V_1$  and  $V_2 \setminus (N_u \cup \{u\})$ , respectively. Let x' = x(G''). From (2.1) for G'' at u and  $u_1$ , we have

$$\rho(G'')x'_{u} = \sigma_{G''}(H_1) + \sigma_{G''}(H_2) + x'_{u_1} + 2x'_{u_2} + \dots + 2x'_{u_{2t-1}} + x'_{u_{2t}},$$
  
$$\rho(G'')x'_{u_1} \le \sigma_{G''}(H_1) + 2\sigma_{G''}(H_2) + 2x'_{u_2} + \dots + 2x'_{u_{2t-1}} + 2x'_{u_{2t}} + x'_{u}.$$

Thus,

$$\left(\rho(G'')+1\right)\left(2x'_{u}-x'_{u_{1}}\right) \geq \sigma_{G''}(H_{1})+x'_{u_{1}}+2x'_{u_{2}}+\dots+2x'_{u_{2t-1}}+x'_{u}>0,$$

which implies that  $2x'_u - x'_{u_1} > 0$ . Similarly,  $2x'_u - x'_{u_{2t}} > 0$ .

As we pass from G to G'', the distance between  $u_1$  and  $u_{2t}$  is increased by 1, the distance between u and  $u_1$  is decreased by 1, the distance between u and  $u_{2t}$  is decreased by 1, and the distance between any other vertex pair remains unchanged. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}(\rho(G) - \rho(G'')) &\geq \frac{1}{2}x^{\top}(D(G) - D(G''))x \\ &= x'_u \left(x'_{u_1} + x'_{u_{2t}}\right) - x'_{u_1}x'_{u_{2t}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\left(2x'_u - x'_{u_{2t}}\right)x'_{u_1} + \left(2x'_u - x'_{u_1}\right)x'_{u_{2t}}\right) \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

This leads to the contradiction that  $\rho(G) > \rho(G'')$ . Thus, the degree of each vertex in  $V_2$  is n-1.

Suppose that there is a vertex  $u \in V_1$  with  $\delta_G(u) < n-2$ . Then  $\delta_G(u) \le n-4$ . Let  $t = \frac{n-2-\delta_G(u)}{2}$ . Then t is a positive integer, and  $|N_u| = 2t + 1$ . Let  $N_u = \{u_1, \ldots, u_{2t+1}\}$ . Arguing as above we see  $u_1u_{2t+1} \in E(G)$ . Let  $G'' = G - u_1u_{2t+1} + \{uu_1, uu_{2t+1}\}$ . Obviously,  $G'' \in \mathbb{G}(n, k)$ . As above, we have  $\rho(G) > \rho(G'')$ , a contradiction. Thus, the degree of each vertex in  $V_1$  is n-2.

Since each even degree is n-1 and each odd degree is n-2, we have  $G \cong K_n(k)$ .



H. Lin and B. Zhou

Case 2. n is even.

290

Similarly to the proof in Case 1, we have that each odd degree is n-1 and each even degree is n-2. Thus,  $G \cong K_n \left(\frac{n}{2} - k\right)$ .  $\square$ 

LEMMA 3.2. Let T be a tree with  $u \in V(T)$ , and let  $N_T(u) = \{u_1, \ldots, u_k\}$ , where  $k \geq 3$ . Let  $T_i$  be the component of T - u containing  $u_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Let  $T' = T - \{uu_i : 2 \leq i \leq t\} + \{wu_i : 2 \leq i \leq t\}$ , where  $2 \leq t \leq k - 1$  and  $w \in V(T_k)$ . If  $\sigma_T(T_1) \geq \sigma_T(T_k)$ , then  $\rho(T') > \rho(T)$ .

*Proof.* Let x = x(T). As we pass from T to T', the distance between a vertex of  $V(T_2) \cup \cdots \cup V(T_t)$  and a vertex of  $V(T_1) \cup \{u\}$  is increased by  $d_T(u, w)$ , the distance between a vertex of  $V(T_2) \cup \cdots \cup V(T_t)$  and a vertex of  $V(T_k)$  is decreased by at most  $d_T(u, w)$ , and the distance between any other vertex pair is increased or remains unchanged. Thus,

$$\frac{1}{2}(\rho(T') - \rho(T)) \ge \frac{1}{2}x^{\top}(D(T') - D(T))x$$
$$\ge d_T(u, w) \sum_{i=2}^t \sigma_T(T_i) \left(\sigma_T(T_1) - \sigma_T(T_k) + x_u\right)$$
$$> 0.$$

Therefore,  $\rho(T') > \rho(T)$ .

Let  $G_1(s,t)$  be the graph shown in Fig. 1, where  $G_1$  is a nontrivial connected graph, and  $s, t \ge 1$ .



Fig. 1. Graph  $G_1(s, t)$ .

LEMMA 3.3. Let  $G_1$  be a nontrivial connected graph. For  $s \ge t \ge 2$ , we have

$$\rho(G_1(s+1,t-1)) > \rho(G_1(s,t)).$$

*Proof.* Let  $G = G_1(s, t)$ . Let  $G_2$  and  $G_3$  be the components of  $G - u_{s+1}$  containing  $u_1$  and  $u_{s+t+1}$ , respectively. Let

$$G' = G - \{u_{s+2}v_{s+2}, u_{s+1}v_{s+1}\} + \{u_{s+2}v_{s+1}, u_{s+1}v_{s+2}\}.$$



The Distance Spectral Radius of Graphs

Obviously,  $G_1(s+1,t-1) \cong G'$ . Let x = x(G).

Claim 1.  $\sigma_G(G_1) - x_{v_{s+2}} > 0.$ 

Choose  $z \in V(G_1)$  such that  $d_G(z, v_{s+1}) = \max_{v \in V(G_1)} d_G(v, v_{s+1})$ . Let  $d = d_G(z, v_{s+1})$ . Since  $|V(G_1)| \ge 2$ ,  $d \ge 1$ , and  $z \ne v_{s+1}$ . From (2.1) for G at  $v_{s+1}$ , z and  $v_{s+2}$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \rho(G)x_{v_{s+1}} &= dx_z + 3x_{v_{s+2}} + \sum_{w \in V(G_1) \setminus \{z, v_{s+1}\}} d_G(v_{s+1}, w) x_w \\ &+ \sum_{w \in V(G) \setminus (V(G_1) \cup \{v_{s+2}\})} d_G(v_{s+1}, w) x_w, \\ \rho(G)x_z &= dx_{v_{s+1}} + (d+3)x_{v_{s+2}} + \sum_{w \in V(G_1) \setminus \{z, v_{s+1}\}} d_G(z, w) x_w \\ &+ \sum_{w \in V(G) \setminus (V(G_1) \cup \{v_{s+2}\})} d_G(z, w) x_w, \\ \rho(G)x_{v_{s+2}} &= 3x_{v_{s+1}} + (d+3)x_z + \sum_{w \in V(G_1) \setminus \{z, v_{s+1}\}} (d_G(v_{s+1}, w) + 3)x_w \\ &+ \sum_{w \in V(G) \setminus (V(G_1) \cup \{v_{s+2}\})} d_G(v_{s+2}, w) x_w. \end{split}$$

Note that for  $w \in V(G) \setminus (V(G_1) \cup \{v_{s+2}\}), d_G(v_{s+1}, w) + d_G(z, w) - d_G(v_{s+2}, w) \ge 0.$ Thus,

$$\rho(G) \left( x_{v_{s+1}} + x_z - x_{v_{s+2}} \right) \ge (d-3)x_{v_{s+1}} - 3x_z + (d+6)x_{v_{s+2}} + \sum_{w \in V(G_1) \setminus \{z, v_{s+1}\}} (d_G(z, w) - 3)x_w,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho(G)+3)\left(\sigma_G(G_1)-x_{v_{s+2}}\right) &\geq \rho(G)\left(x_{v_{s+1}}+x_z-x_{v_{s+2}}\right)+3\left(\sigma_G(G_1)-x_{v_{s+2}}\right) \\ &\geq (d-3)x_{v_{s+1}}-3x_z+(d+6)x_{v_{s+2}} \\ &+\sum_{w\in V(G_1)\backslash\{z,v_{s+1}\}} (d_G(z,w)-3)x_w \\ &+3\left(x_{v_{s+1}}+x_z+\sum_{w\in V(G_1)\backslash\{z,v_{s+1}\}} x_w-x_{v_{s+2}}\right) \\ &= dx_{v_{s+1}}+(d+3)x_{v_{s+2}}\end{aligned}$$



292

H. Lin and B. Zhou

$$+\sum_{w\in V(G_1)\setminus\{z,v_{s+1}\}}d_G(z,w)x_u$$
  
> 0.

Therefore, Claim 1 follows.

Claim 2.  $\sigma_G(G_2) \ge \sigma_G(G_3)$ .

Let  $y_k = x_{u_k} + x_{v_k}$  for  $2 \le k \le s + t$ ,  $y_1 = x_{u_1}$ , and  $y_{s+t+1} = x_{u_{s+t+1}}$ . Suppose

(3.1) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{s} y_i < \sum_{i=1}^{t} y_{s+1+i}$$

From (2.1) for G at  $u_k$  with  $1 \le k \le s + t + 1$ , we have

(3.2) 
$$\rho(G)\left(x_{u_{s+2}} - x_{u_s}\right) = 2\left(\sum_{j=1}^s y_j - \sum_{j=1}^t y_{s+1+j}\right)$$

and

(3.3)  

$$\rho(G) \left( x_{u_{s+1+i}} - x_{u_{s+1-i}} \right) - \rho(G) \left( x_{u_{s+i}} - x_{u_{s+2-i}} \right)$$

$$= 2 \left( \sum_{j=i}^{s} y_{s+1-j} - \sum_{j=i}^{t} y_{s+1+j} \right)$$

$$= 2 \left( \sum_{j=1}^{s} y_j - \sum_{j=1}^{t} y_{s+1+j} \right) - 2 \left( \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} y_{s+1-j} - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} y_{s+1+j} \right)$$

for  $2 \le i \le t-1$ . We now prove that  $x_{u_{s+1+i}} - x_{u_{s+1-i}} < 0$  for  $1 \le i \le t$  by induction on *i*. If i = 1, then from (3.1) and (3.2), we have  $x_{u_{s+2}} - x_{u_s} < 0$ , and by Lemma 2.2,  $y_{s+2} = x_{u_{s+2}} + x_{v_{s+2}} < x_{u_s} + x_{v_s} = y_s$ . Suppose  $2 \le i \le t-1$  and  $x_{u_{s+1+j}} - x_{u_{s+1-j}} < 0$ for  $1 \le j \le i-1$ . In particular,  $x_{u_{s+i}} - x_{u_{s+2-i}} < 0$ . By Lemma 2.2,  $y_{s+1+j} = x_{u_{s+1+j}} + x_{v_{s+1+j}} < x_{u_{s+1-j}} + x_{v_{s+1-j}} = y_{s+1-j}$ . Thus,  $\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} y_{s+1+j} - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} y_{s+1-j} < 0$ . Now from (3.1) and (3.3), we have  $x_{u_{s+1+i}} - x_{u_{s+1-i}} < x_{u_{s+i}} - x_{u_{s+2-i}} < 0$  for  $2 \le i \le t$ . It follows that  $x_{u_{s+1-i}} - x_{u_{s+1+i}} > 0$  for  $1 \le i \le t$ . Thus,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{s} y_i - \sum_{i=1}^{t} y_{s+1+i} \ge \sum_{i=1}^{t} y_i - \sum_{i=1}^{t} y_{s+1+i} > 0,$$

which leads to the contradiction that  $\sum_{i=1}^{s} y_i \geq \sum_{i=1}^{t} y_{s+1+i}$ . Hence,  $\sum_{i=1}^{s} y_i - \sum_{i=1}^{t} y_{s+1+i} \geq 0$ , from which Claim 2 follows.

As we pass from G to G', the distance between a vertex of  $V(G_1)$  and a vertex of  $V(G_2) \cup \{u_{s+1}\}$  is increased by 1, the distance between a vertex of  $V(G_1)$  and a



293

### The Distance Spectral Radius of Graphs

vertex of  $V(G_3) \setminus \{v_{s+2}\}$  is decreased by 1, the distance between  $v_{s+2}$  and a vertex of  $V(G_3) \setminus \{v_{s+2}\}$  is increased by 1, the distance between  $v_{s+2}$  and a vertex of  $V(G_2) \cup \{u_{s+1}\}$  is decreased by 1, and the distance between any other vertex pair remains unchanged. Thus,

$$\frac{1}{2}(\rho(G') - \rho(G)) \ge \frac{1}{2}x^{\top}(D(G') - D(G))x 
= \sigma_G(G_1)\left(\sigma_G(G_2) + x_{u_{s+1}}\right) - \sigma_G(G_1)\left(\sigma_G(G_3) - x_{v_{s+2}}\right) 
+ x_{v_{s+2}}\left(\sigma_G(G_3) - x_{v_{s+2}}\right) - x_{v_{s+2}}\left(\sigma_G(G_2) + x_{u_{s+1}}\right) 
= \left(\sigma_G(G_2) - \sigma_G(G_3) + x_{v_{s+2}} + x_{u_{s+1}}\right)\left(\sigma_G(G_1) - x_{v_{s+2}}\right),$$

which, together with Claims 1, 2, implies that  $\rho(G') > \rho(G)$ .

For  $b \ge a \ge 0$  with  $2(a+b) \le n$ , let C(n, a, b) be the tree obtained from the path  $P_{n-a-b}$  with consecutive vertices  $u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{n-a-b-1}$  by attaching a pendant vertex  $v_i$  to vertex  $u_i$  for  $i \in \{1, \ldots, a\} \cup \{n-a-2b-1, \ldots, n-a-b-2\}$ . In particular, C(n, 0, 0) is just the path  $P_n$ .

LEMMA 3.4. [10] Let T be a tree with  $n \ge 4$  vertices. If  $T \not\cong P_n$  and C(n, 0, 1), then  $\rho(T) < \rho(C(n, 0, 1)) < \rho(P_n)$ .

LEMMA 3.5. If  $a \ge 0$ ,  $b \ge a + 2$ , and 2(a + b) + 2 < n, then

$$\rho(C(n, a+1, b-1)) > \rho(C(n, a, b)).$$

*Proof.* Let T = C(n, a, b),  $p = \lfloor \frac{n-a-b-2}{2} \rfloor$ , and  $q = \lceil \frac{n-a-b-2}{2} \rceil$ . Let x = x(T). For  $0 \le i \le n-a-b-1$ , let  $s_i = x_{u_i}$  if  $u_i$  has no pendant vertex, and  $s_i = x_{u_i} + x_{v_i}$  otherwise.

Claim 1.  $x_{u_{i+1}} - x_{u_{n-a-b-2-i}} > x_{u_i} - x_{u_{n-a-b-1-i}} > 0$  for  $0 \le i \le a$ .

First we prove that  $x_{u_p} > x_{u_{q+1}}$ . Suppose  $\sum_{i=0}^p s_i \ge \sum_{i=q+1}^{n-a-b-1} s_i$ . We prove that  $x_{u_{p-i}} \le x_{u_{q+1+i}}$  for  $0 \le i \le p$  by induction on *i*. For i = 0, we have

(3.4) 
$$\rho(T)\left(x_{u_p} - x_{u_{q+1}}\right) = (q+1-p)\left(\sum_{i=q+1}^{n-a-b-1} s_i - \sum_{i=0}^p s_i\right),$$

and thus,  $x_{u_p} \leq x_{u_{q+1}}$ . Suppose  $i \geq 1$  and  $x_{u_{p-j}} \leq x_{u_{q+1+j}}$  for  $0 \leq j \leq i-1$ . If  $\delta_T(u_{p-j}) = 2$ , then  $s_{p-j} = x_{u_{p-j}} \leq x_{u_{q+1+j}} \leq s_{q+1+j}$ . If  $\delta_T(u_{p-j}) = 3$ , then by Lemma 2.2,  $x_{v_{p-j}} \leq x_{v_{q+1+j}}$ , and thus,  $s_{p-j} = x_{u_{p-j}} + x_{v_{p-j}} \leq x_{u_{q+1+j}} + x_{v_{q+1+j}} = s_{q+1+j}$ . In either case,  $s_{p-j} \leq s_{q+1+j}$ . Hence,

$$\rho(T) \left( x_{u_{p-i}} - x_{u_{q+1+i}} \right) - \rho(T) \left( x_{u_{p+1-i}} - x_{u_{q+i}} \right)$$



H. Lin and B. Zhou

$$= 2 \left( \sum_{j=q+1+i}^{n-a-b-1} s_j - \sum_{j=0}^{p-i} s_j \right)$$
$$= 2 \left( \sum_{j=q+1}^{n-a-b-1} s_j - \sum_{j=0}^{p} s_j \right) - 2 \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} (s_{q+1+j} - s_{p-j})$$
$$\leq 0,$$

and thus,  $x_{u_{p-i}} - x_{u_{q+1+i}} \leq x_{u_{p+1-i}} - x_{u_{q+i}}$ . It follows that  $x_{u_{p-i}} \leq x_{u_{q+1+i}}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq p$ , and thus,  $s_{p-i} \leq s_{q+1+i}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq p$ . Since  $b \geq a+2$ , there exists an i with  $0 \leq i \leq p$  such that  $\delta_T(u_{p-i}) = 2$  and  $\delta_T(u_{q+1+i}) = 3$ , and thus,  $s_{p-i} = x_{u_{p-i}} \leq x_{u_{q+1+i}} < s_{q+1+i}$ . This leads to the contradiction that  $\sum_{i=0}^p s_i < \sum_{i=q+1}^{n-a-b-1} s_i$ . Hence,  $\sum_{i=0}^p s_i < \sum_{i=q+1}^{n-a-b-1} s_i$ , and by (3.4), we have  $x_{u_p} > x_{u_{q+1}}$ .

Suppose  $x_{u_0} \leq x_{u_{n-a-b-1}}$ . We prove that  $x_{u_i} \leq x_{u_{n-a-b-1-i}}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq p$  by induction on *i*. Suppose  $i \geq 1$  and  $x_{u_j} \leq x_{u_{n-a-b-1-j}}$  for  $0 \leq j \leq i-1$ . As above, we have by Lemma 2.2 that  $s_j \leq s_{n-a-b-1-j}$ . It follows that

$$\rho(T) \left( x_{u_i} - x_{u_{n-a-b-1-i}} \right) - \rho(T) \left( x_{u_{i-1}} - x_{u_{n-a-b-i}} \right)$$
$$= 2 \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \left( s_j - s_{n-a-b-1-j} \right)$$
$$\leq 0.$$

Hence,  $x_{u_i} - x_{u_{n-a-b-1-i}} \leq x_{u_{i-1}} - x_{u_{n-a-b-i}} \leq 0$ . Thus,  $x_{u_i} \leq x_{u_{n-a-b-1-i}}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq p$ . In particular,  $x_{u_p} \leq x_{u_{q+1}}$ , which is a contradiction. It follows that  $x_{u_0} > x_{u_{n-a-b-1}}$ , and as above, we have by induction that  $x_{u_{i+1}} - x_{u_{n-a-b-2-i}} > x_{u_i} - x_{u_{n-a-b-1-i}}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq a$ .

Claim 2.  $x_{v_{n-a-2b-1}} < x_{u_{n-a-2b}} + x_{v_{n-a-2b}}$ . Let  $V' = V(T) \setminus \{v_{n-a-2b-1}, u_{n-a-2b}, v_{n-a-2b}\}$ . Since for  $u \in V'$ ,  $d_T(u_{n-a-2b}, u) + d_T(v_{n-a-2b}, u) - d_T(v_{n-a-2b-1}, u) \ge 0$ , we have from (2.1) for T at  $u_{n-a-2b}, v_{n-a-2b}$  and  $v_{n-a-2b-1}$  that

$$\rho(T) \left( x_{u_{n-a-2b}} + x_{v_{n-a-2b}} - x_{v_{n-a-2b-1}} \right) = -x_{u_{n-a-2b}} - 2x_{v_{n-a-2b}} + 5x_{v_{n-a-2b-1}} \\
+ \sum_{u \in V'} \left( d_T(u_{n-a-2b}, u) + d_T(v_{n-a-2b}, u) - d_T(v_{n-a-2b-1}, u) \right) x_u \\
\geq -x_{u_{n-a-2b}} - 2x_{v_{n-a-2b}} + 5x_{v_{n-a-2b-1}}.$$



#### The Distance Spectral Radius of Graphs

Thus,

$$(\rho(T)+2)\left(x_{u_{n-a-2b}}+x_{v_{n-a-2b}}-x_{v_{n-a-2b-1}}\right) \ge x_{u_{n-a-2b}}+3x_{v_{n-a-2b-1}}>0,$$

from which Claim 2 follows.

 $Claim \ 3. \ x_{u_{a+1+i}} - x_{u_{n-a-2b-1-i}} > x_{u_{a+2+i}} - x_{u_{n-a-2b-2-i}} > 0 \ \text{for} \ 0 \le i \le \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor - b - a - 1.$ 

It is sufficient to prove that  $x_{u_i} - x_{u_{n-2b-i}} > x_{u_{i+1}} - x_{u_{n-2b-1-i}} > 0$  for  $a + 1 \le i \le \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor - b$ . Let  $t = \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$  and  $t_1 = \lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil$ . By the proof of Claim 1,  $\sum_{j=0}^{t-b} s_j < \sum_{j=q+1}^{n-a-b-1} s_j$ . Since  $t-b \le q-1$ , we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{t-b} s_j < \sum_{j=q+1}^{n-a-b-1} s_j \le \sum_{j=t-b+2}^{n-a-b-1} s_j \le \sum_{j=n-b-t}^{n-a-b-1} s_j.$$

We prove that  $x_{u_i} > x_{u_{n-2b-i}}$  for  $a+1 \le i \le t-b$  by induction on i. For i = t-b, we have

$$\rho(T)\left(x_{u_{t-b}} - x_{u_{n-b-t}}\right) = (t_1 + 1 - t)\left(\sum_{j=n-b-t}^{n-a-b-1} s_j - \sum_{j=0}^{t-b} s_j\right) > 0.$$

Hence,  $x_{u_{t-b}} > x_{u_{n-b-t}}$ . Suppose  $a+1 \leq i \leq t-b-1$  and  $x_{u_j} > x_{u_{n-2b-j}}$  for  $i+1 \leq j \leq t-b$ . Then

$$\rho(T) \left( x_{u_i} - x_{u_{n-2b-i}} \right) - \rho(T) \left( x_{u_{i+1}} - x_{u_{n-2b-1-i}} \right)$$
$$= 2 \left( \sum_{j=n-2b-i}^{n-a-b-1} s_j - \sum_{j=0}^{i} s_j \right)$$
$$= 2 \left( \sum_{j=n-b-t}^{n-a-b-1} s_j - \sum_{j=0}^{t-b} s_j \right) - 2 \sum_{j=i+1}^{t-b} \left( s_{n-2b-j} - s_j \right)$$

> 0,

and thus,  $x_{u_i} - x_{u_{n-2b-i}} > x_{u_{i+1}} - x_{u_{n-2b-1-i}} > 0$ . This proves Claim 3.

Claim 4.  $x_{u_{n-a-2b-1}} < x_{u_{n-2a-b-2}}$ .

By Claim 1,  $x_{u_i} > x_{u_{n-a-b-1-i}}$  for  $0 \le i \le a$ . As above, we have by Lemma 2.2 that  $s_i > s_{n-a-b-1-i}$ . Thus,  $\sum_{i=0}^{a} s_i > \sum_{i=0}^{a} s_{n-a-b-1-i} = \sum_{i=n-2a-b-1}^{n-a-b-1} s_i$ . Let  $m = \lfloor \frac{b-a}{2} \rfloor$  and  $m_1 = \lceil \frac{b-a}{2} \rceil$ .

Suppose  $\sum_{i=0}^{n-a-2b-2+m} s_i \leq \sum_{i=n-2a-b-1-m}^{n-a-b-1} s_i$ . We prove that  $x_{u_{n-a-2b-2+i}} \geq x_{u_{n-2a-b-1-i}}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$  by induction on *i*. For i = m, we have

$$\rho(T) \left( x_{u_{n-a-2b-2+m}} - x_{u_{n-2a-b-1-m}} \right)$$



$$= (m_1 + 1 - m) \left( \sum_{i=n-2a-b-1-m}^{n-a-b-1} s_i - \sum_{i=0}^{n-a-2b-2+m} s_i \right)$$
  
 
$$\ge 0.$$

Hence,  $x_{u_{n-a-2b-2+m}} \ge x_{u_{n-2a-b-1-m}}$ . Suppose  $1 \le i \le m-1$  and  $x_{u_{n-a-2b-2+j}} \ge x_{u_{n-2a-b-1-j}}$  for  $i+1 \le j \le m$ . By Lemma 2.2,  $s_{n-a-2b-2+j} \ge s_{n-2a-b-1-j}$  for  $i+1 \le j \le m$ . Hence,

$$\rho(T) \left( x_{u_{n-a-2b-2+i}} - x_{u_{n-2a-b-1-i}} \right) - \rho(T) \left( x_{u_{n-a-2b-1+i}} - x_{u_{n-2a-b-2-i}} \right)$$

$$= 2 \left( \sum_{j=n-2a-b-1-i}^{n-a-b-1} s_j - \sum_{j=0}^{n-a-2b-2+i} s_j \right)$$

$$= 2 \left( \sum_{j=n-2a-b-1-m}^{n-a-b-1} s_j - \sum_{j=0}^{n-a-2b-2+m} s_j \right) - 2 \sum_{j=i+1}^{m} \left( s_{n-2a-b-1-j} - s_{n-a-2b-2+j} \right)$$

$$\geq 0,$$

and thus,  $x_{u_{n-a-2b-2+i}} - x_{u_{n-2a-b-1-i}} \ge x_{u_{n-a-2b-1+i}} - x_{u_{n-2a-b-2-i}} \ge 0$ . It follows that for  $1 \le i \le m$ ,  $x_{u_{n-a-2b-2+i}} \ge x_{u_{n-2a-b-1-i}}$ . As above,  $s_{n-a-2b-2+i} \ge s_{n-2a-b-1-i}$ . Thus,  $\sum_{i=1}^{m} s_{n-a-2b-2+i} \ge \sum_{i=1}^{m} s_{n-2a-b-1-i} = \sum_{i=n-2a-b-1-m}^{n-2a-b-2} s_i$ , and

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-a-2b-2+m} s_i \ge \sum_{i=0}^{a} s_i + \sum_{i=1}^{m} s_{n-a-2b-2+i}$$
$$> \sum_{i=n-2a-b-1}^{n-a-b-1} s_i + \sum_{i=n-2a-b-1-m}^{n-2a-b-2} s_i$$
$$= \sum_{i=n-2a-b-1-m}^{n-a-b-1} s_i,$$

a contradiction. Thus,  $\sum_{i=0}^{n-a-2b-2+m} s_i > \sum_{i=n-2a-b-1-m}^{n-a-b-1} s_i$ . This proves Claim 4.

Let T' = C(n, a + 1, b - 1). It is easily seen that

(3.5) 
$$\frac{1}{2}(\rho(T') - \rho(T)) \ge \frac{1}{2}x^{\top}(D(T') - D(T))x = x_{v_{n-a-2b-1}}W,$$

where



The Distance Spectral Radius of Graphs

$$W = r \sum_{i=0}^{a} (s_{n-a-b-1-i} - s_i) + r \sum_{i=1}^{b-a-1} s_{n-a-2b-1+i} + \sum_{i=0}^{\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil - 1} (r - 2i) (x_{u_{n-a-2b-1-i}} - x_{u_{a+1+i}}),$$

and r = n - 2a - 2b - 2.

From Claim 1,  $s_0 - s_{n-a-b-1} = x_{u_0} - x_{u_{n-a-b-1}} < x_{u_{a+1}} - x_{u_{n-2a-b-2}}$ . By Lemma 2.2 and Claim 1,  $s_i - s_{n-a-b-1-i} \leq \left(1 + \frac{\rho(T)}{\rho(T)+2}\right) \left(x_{u_{a+1}} - x_{u_{n-2a-b-2}}\right) < 2\left(x_{u_{a+1}} - x_{u_{n-2a-b-2}}\right)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq a$ . Let

$$F = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } b = a + 2, \\ r \sum_{i=2}^{b-a-1} s_{n-a-2b+1+i} & \text{if } b > a + 2. \end{cases}$$

By Claims 2, 3 and 4,

$$\begin{split} \rho(T) \left( x_{u_{a+1}} - x_{u_{n-a-2b-1}} \right) &= W + rx_{v_{n-a-2b-1}} \\ &< W + r \left( x_{u_{n-a-2b}} + x_{v_{n-a-2b}} \right) \\ &= 2W + \sum_{i=0}^{\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil - 1} (r - 2i) \left( x_{u_{a+1+i}} - x_{u_{n-a-2b-1-i}} \right) \\ &+ r \sum_{i=0}^{a} (s_i - s_{n-a-b-1-i}) - F \\ &< 2W + \sum_{i=0}^{\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil - 1} (r - 2i) \left( x_{u_{a+1}} - x_{u_{n-a-2b-1}} \right) \\ &+ r(2a+1) \left( x_{u_{a+1}} - x_{u_{n-2a-b-2}} \right) \\ &< 2W \\ &+ \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil - 1} (r - 2i) + (2a+1)r \right) \left( x_{u_{a+1}} - x_{u_{n-a-2b-1}} \right). \end{split}$$

Hence,

(3.6) 
$$2W > \left(\rho(T) - \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil - 1} (r - 2i) + (2a + 1)r\right)\right) \left(x_{u_{a+1}} - x_{u_{n-a-2b-1}}\right).$$

Claim 5. The minimum row sum of D(T) is larger than  $\sum_{i=0}^{\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil - 1} (r-2i) + (2a+1)r$ .



H. Lin and B. Zhou

For  $0 \leq j \leq a$ ,

$$\sum_{u \in V(T)} d_T(u_j, u) > \sum_{i=a+1}^{n-a-2b-2} d_T(u_a, u_i) + d_T(u_a, u_{n-a-b-1}) + \sum_{i=n-2a-b-1}^{n-a-b-2} (d_T(u_a, u_i) + d_T(u_a, v_i))$$
$$> \sum_{i=1}^{n-2a-2b-2} i + r + \sum_{i=n-2a-b-1}^{n-a-b-2} 2r$$
$$> \sum_{i=0}^{\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil - 1} (r - 2i) + (2a + 1)r.$$

If  $a \ge 1$ , then for  $1 \le j \le a$ ,

$$\sum_{u \in V(T)} d_T(v_j, u) > \sum_{u \in V(T)} d_T(u_j, u) > \sum_{i=0}^{\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil - 1} (r - 2i) + (2a + 1)r.$$

For  $a + 1 \le j \le n - a - 2b - 2$ ,

$$\sum_{u \in V(T)} d_T(u_j, u) > \sum_{i=a+1}^{n-a-2b-2} d_T(u_j, u_i) + d_T(u_j, u_0) + \sum_{i=1}^{a} (d_T(u_j, u_i) + d_T(u_j, v_i) + d_T(u_j, u_{n-a-b-1-i}) + d_T(u_j, v_{n-a-b-1-i})) + d_T(u_j, u_{n-a-b-1}) > \sum_{i=a+1}^{n-a-2b-2} d_T \left( u_{a+\lceil \frac{r+1}{2} \rceil}, u_i \right) + (2a+1)r = \sum_{i=0}^{\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil - 1} (r-2i) + (2a+1)r.$$

For  $n - a - 2b - 1 \le j \le n - a - b - 1$ ,

$$\sum_{u \in V(T)} d_T(u_j, u) > \sum_{i=a+1}^{n-a-2b-2} d_T(u_{n-a-2b-1}, u_i) + d_T(u_{n-a-2b-1}, u_0) + \sum_{i=1}^{a} (d_T(u_{n-a-2b-1}, u_i) + d_T(u_{n-a-2b-1}, v_i))$$



The Distance Spectral Radius of Graphs

$$> \sum_{i=1}^{n-2a-2b-2} i + (2a+1)r$$
$$> \sum_{i=0}^{\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil - 1} (r-2i) + (2a+1)r.$$

For  $n - a - 2b - 1 \le j \le n - a - b - 2$ ,

$$\sum_{u \in V(T)} d_T(v_j, u) > \sum_{u \in V(T)} d_T(u_j, u) > \sum_{i=0}^{\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil - 1} (r - 2i) + (2a + 1)r.$$

Thus, Claim 5 follows.

Since  $\rho(T)$  is bounded below by the minimum row sum of D(T) [6, p. 24], we have by Claim 5 that  $\rho(T) > \sum_{i=0}^{\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil - 1} (r - 2i) + (2a + 1)r$ . Now by (3.6) and Claim 3, W > 0, and thus by (3.5),  $\rho(T') - \rho(T) > 0$ .

Let  $\Delta(G)$  be the maximum degree of a graph G.

LEMMA 3.6. Let T be a caterpillar with n vertices and k pendant vertices, where  $k \geq 3$ . If  $\Delta(T) = 3$ , then  $\rho(T) \leq \rho\left(C\left(n, \lfloor \frac{k-2}{2} \rfloor, \lceil \frac{k-2}{2} \rceil\right)\right)$  with equality if and only if  $T \cong C\left(n, \lfloor \frac{k-2}{2} \rfloor, \lceil \frac{k-2}{2} \rceil\right)$ .

*Proof.* If n is even and  $k = \frac{n}{2} + 1$ , then the result is trivial. If k = 3, then the result follows from Lemma 3.4.

Suppose  $4 \le k \le \frac{n}{2}$ . Let T be a caterpillar with maximum distance spectral radius satisfying the hypothesis in the lemma.

Let U be the set of vertices of degree 2 in T. Then k + 2|U| + 3(n - k - |U|) = 2(n - 1), and thus, |U| = n - 2k + 2 > 0, i.e.,  $U \neq \emptyset$ .

Obviously, the diameter of T is n - (k-2) - 1 = n - k + 1. Let  $u_0 u_1 \dots u_{n-k+1}$  be a diametrical path of T. Assume without loss of generality that  $\delta_T(u_1) \leq \delta_T(u_{n-k})$ . Then  $2 \leq \delta_T(u_1) \leq \delta_T(u_{n-k}) \leq 3$ .

Suppose  $\delta_T(u_{n-k}) = 2$ . Then  $\delta_T(u_1) = 2$  and there is  $u_j$  with  $2 \leq j \leq n - k - 1$  such that  $\delta_T(u_j) = 3$ . Let  $v_j$  be the pendant neighbor of  $u_j$ . Let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  be the nontrivial components of  $T - u_j$  containing  $u_0$  and  $u_{n-k+1}$ , respectively. Assume without loss of generality that  $\sigma_T(T_1) \geq \sigma_T(T_2)$ . Let  $T' = T - u_j v_j + u_{n-k}v_j$ . Obviously, T' is a caterpillar with n vertices and k pendant vertices, and  $\Delta(T') = 3$ . By Lemma 2.3,  $\rho(T) < \rho(T')$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $\delta_T(u_{n-k}) = 3$ . Suppose  $\delta_T(u_1) = 2$ . If T - U has exactly one nontrivial component, then  $T \cong C(n, 0, k-2)$ , and by Lemma 3.5,  $\rho(T) = \rho(C(n, 0, k-2)) < \rho\left(C\left(n, \lfloor \frac{k-2}{2} \rfloor, \lceil \frac{k-2}{2} \rceil\right)\right)$ , a contradiction. If T-U has at least two nontrivial components, then there are vertices



#### H. Lin and B. Zhou

 $u_i$  and  $u_j$  with  $2 \leq i < j \leq n-k-1$  such that  $\delta_T(u_i) = 3$  and  $\delta_T(u_j) = 2$ . Let  $v_i$  be the pendant neighbor of  $u_i$ . Let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  be the components of  $T - u_i$  containing  $u_0$ and  $u_{n-k+1}$ , respectively. Assume without loss of generality that  $\sigma_T(T_1) \geq \sigma_T(T_2)$ . Let  $T'' = T - u_i v_i + u_j v_i$ . Obviously, T'' is a caterpillar with n vertices and kpendant vertices, and  $\Delta(T'') = 3$ . By Lemma 2.3,  $\rho(T) < \rho(T'')$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $\delta_T(u_1) = 3$ , and T - U has at least two nontrivial components.

Suppose that T - U has at least three nontrivial components. There are three vertices  $u_i, u_j, u_l$  with  $2 \leq i < j < l \leq n - k - 1$  in T such that  $\delta_T(u_j) = 3$  and  $\{u_i, u_l\} \subseteq U$ . Let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  be the nontrivial components of  $T - u_j$  containing  $u_i$  and  $u_l$ , respectively. Let  $v_j$  be the pendant neighbor of  $u_j$ . Assume without loss of generality that  $\sigma_T(T_1) \geq \sigma_T(T_2)$ . Let  $T' = T - u_j v_j + u_l v_j$ . By Lemma 2.3,  $\rho(T) < \rho(T')$ , a contradiction. Thus, T - U contains exactly two nontrivial components, implying that  $T \cong C(n, a, b)$ , where a + b = k - 2, and  $a, b \geq 1$ . By Lemma 3.5,  $T \cong C\left(n, \lfloor \frac{k-2}{2} \rfloor, \lceil \frac{k-2}{2} \rceil\right)$ .

For integers n and k with  $1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ , let  $\mathbb{T}(n,k)$  be the set of trees with n vertices and 2k odd vertices.

THEOREM 3.7. Let  $T \in \mathbb{T}(n,k)$ , where  $1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ . Then

$$\rho(T) \le \rho\left(C\left(n, \left\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \right\rfloor, \left\lceil \frac{k-1}{2} \right\rceil\right)\right)$$

with equality if and only if  $T \cong C\left(n, \lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor, \lceil \frac{k-1}{2} \rceil\right)$ .

*Proof.* If k = 1, 2, then the result follows from Lemma 3.4.

Suppose  $k \geq 3$ . Let T be a tree in  $\mathbb{T}(n, k)$  with maximum distance spectral radius.

Suppose that the maximum odd degree is larger than 3. Then  $\delta_T(u) = 2t + 1$  for some  $u \in V(T)$  and  $t \geq 2$ . Let  $N_T(u) = \{u_1, \ldots, u_{2t+1}\}$ . Let  $T_i$  be the component of T - u containing  $u_i$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq 2t + 1$ . Assume without loss of generality that  $\sigma_T(T_1) \geq \sigma_T(T_{2t+1})$ . Let w be a pendant vertex of T in  $V(T_{2t+1})$ . Let T' = $T - \{uu_i : 3 \leq i \leq 2t\} + \{wu_i : 3 \leq i \leq 2t\}$ . Note that the degrees of u and w remain odd in T'. Then  $T' \in \mathbb{T}(n, k)$ . By Lemma 3.2,  $\rho(T') > \rho(T)$ , a contradiction. Thus, the maximum odd degree is 3.

If n is even, and  $k = \frac{n}{2}$ , then by Lemma 3.3,  $T \cong C\left(n, \lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor, \lceil \frac{k-1}{2} \rceil\right)$ .

Suppose  $k < \frac{n}{2}$ . Let U be the set of even vertices of T. Then  $|U| \ge 1$ . Suppose that the maximum even degree is larger than 2. Then  $\delta_T(u) = 2t$  for some  $u \in V(T)$ and  $t \ge 2$ . Let  $N_T(u) = \{u_1, \ldots, u_{2t}\}$ . Let  $T_i$  be the component of T - u containing  $u_i$ , where  $1 \le i \le 2t$ . Assume without loss of generality that  $\sigma_T(T_1) \ge \sigma_T(T_{2t})$ . Let w be a pendant vertex of T in  $V(T_{2t})$ . Let  $T' = T - uu_2 + wu_2$ . Note that the degree of u is odd and the degree of w is even in T'. Then  $T' \in \mathbb{T}(n, k)$ . By Lemma 2.3,



#### The Distance Spectral Radius of Graphs

 $\rho(T') > \rho(T)$ , a contradiction. Thus, the maximum even degree is 2, and each vertex in U is of degree 2 in T.

Suppose that T is not a caterpillar. Since  $\Delta(T) = 3$ , there is a vertex u of degree 3 in the graph obtained from T by deleting all pendant vertices. Let  $N_T(u) = \{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$ . Obviously,  $\delta_T(u_i) \ge 2$  for i = 1, 2, 3. Let  $T_i$  be the component of T - u containing  $u_i$ , where  $1 \le i \le 3$ .

Claim.  $U \subseteq V(T_i)$  for some i with i = 1, 2, 3.

Otherwise, there are two vertices of degree 2 in T, one in  $V(T_i)$  and the other in  $V(T_j)$ , where  $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$ . Assume without loss of generality that  $\delta_T(v_1) =$  $\delta_T(v_3) = 2$  with  $v_1 \in V(T_1)$  and  $v_3 \in V(T_3)$ , and that  $\sigma_T(T_1) \geq \sigma_T(T_3)$ . Let  $T' = T - uu_2 + v_3 u_2$ . Obviously,  $\delta_{T'}(u)$  is even and  $\delta_{T'}(v_3)$  is odd. Thus,  $T' \in \mathbb{T}(n,k)$ . By Lemma 2.3,  $\rho(T') > \rho(T)$ , a contradiction. This proves the Claim.

Since  $\Delta(T) = 3$ , we have by the Claim that  $T \cong G_1(s,t)$  for some s and t with  $s \ge t \ge 2$ . Obviously,  $G_1(s+1,t-1) \in \mathbb{T}(n,k)$ . By Lemma 3.3,  $\rho(G_1(s+1,t-1)) > \rho(T)$ , a contradiction. Thus, T is a caterpillar with  $\Delta(T) = 3$ . By Lemma 3.6, we have  $T \cong C(n, \lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor, \lceil \frac{k-1}{2} \rceil)$ .

4. Distance spectral radius of trees with given number of vertices of degree 3 or of degree at least 3. Let T be a tree with n vertices, in which k vertices of degree at least 3. Let r be the number of pendant vertices in T. Then  $r + 2(n - r - k) + 3k \le 2(n - 1)$ , i.e.,  $r \ge k + 2$ . This implies that  $2k + 2 \le k + r \le n$ , and thus,  $k \le \frac{n}{2} - 1$ . As an application of Theorem 3.7, we have

THEOREM 4.1. Let T be a tree on n vertices with k vertices of degree 3, where  $n \ge 2$ , and  $0 \le k \le \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1$ . Then  $\rho(T) \le \rho\left(C\left(n, \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor, \lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil\right)\right)$  with equality if and only if  $T \cong C\left(n, \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor, \lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil\right)$ .

*Proof.* If k = 0, 1, then the result follows from Lemma 3.4.

Suppose  $k \ge 2$ . Let T be a tree with maximum distance spectral radius on n vertices with k vertices of degree 3. Let  $\Delta = \Delta(T)$ .

Case 1.  $\Delta \geq 5$ . Let  $u \in V(T)$  and  $N_T(u) = \{u_1, \ldots, u_\Delta\}$ . Let  $T_i$  be the component of T-u containing  $u_i$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq \Delta$ . Assume without loss of generality that  $\sigma_T(T_1) \geq \sigma_T(T_\Delta)$ . Let w be a pendant vertex of T in  $V(T_\Delta)$ . Let  $T' = T - \{uu_i : 2 \leq i \leq \Delta - 1\} + \{wu_i : 2 \leq i \leq \Delta - 1\}$ . Note that the number of vertices of degree 3 in T' remains k. By Lemma 3.2,  $\rho(T') > \rho(T)$ , a contradiction.

Case 2.  $\Delta = 4$ . Let  $u \in V(T)$  and  $N_T(u) = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4\}$ . Let  $T_i$  be the component of T-u containing  $u_i$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ . Assume without loss of generality that  $\sigma_T(T_1) \geq \sigma_T(T_4)$ . Let v be a pendant vertex of T in  $V(T_4)$ , and  $T' = T - uu_2 + 1$ 

302



# H. Lin and B. Zhou

 $vu_2$ . Let  $T'_4$  be the component of T' - u containing  $u_4$ . Note that  $\delta_{T'}(u) = 3$  and u is a cut vertex. Assume without loss of generality that  $\sigma_{T'}(T_1) \ge \sigma_{T'}(T'_4)$ . Let w be a pendant vertex of T' in  $V(T'_4)$  and  $T'' = T' - uu_3 + wu_3$ . Note that the number of vertices of degree 3 in T'' remains k. By Lemma 2.3,  $\rho(T'') > \rho(T') > \rho(T)$ , a contradiction.

Now we have proven that  $\Delta = 3$ . Let r be the number of pendant vertices in T. Since r + 2(n - k - r) + 3k = 2(n - 1), we have r = k + 2, and thus,  $T \in \mathbb{T}(n, k + 1)$ . By Theorem 3.7, we have  $T \cong C(n, \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor, \lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil)$ .  $\square$ 

THEOREM 4.2. Let T be a tree with n vertices and k vertices of degree at least 3, where  $0 \le k \le \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1$ . Then  $\rho(T) \le \rho\left(C\left(n, \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor, \lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil\right)\right)$  with equality if and only if  $T \cong C\left(n, \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor, \lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil\right)$ .

*Proof.* If k = 0, then the result follows from Lemma 3.4.

Suppose  $k \ge 1$ . Let T be a tree with maximum distance spectral radius among trees with n vertices and k vertices of degree at least 3. Let  $\Delta = \Delta(T)$ .

Suppose  $\Delta \geq 4$ . Let  $u \in V(T)$  and  $N_T(u) = \{u_1, \ldots, u_\Delta\}$ . Let  $T_i$  be the component of T-u containing  $u_i$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq \Delta$ . Assume without loss of generality that  $\sigma_T(T_1) \geq \sigma_T(T_\Delta)$ . Let w be a pendant vertex of T in  $V(T_\Delta)$ . Let  $T' = T - uu_2 + wu_2$ . Obviously, T' is a tree with n vertices and k vertices of degree at least 3. By Lemma 3.2,  $\rho(T') > \rho(T)$ , a contradiction. Hence,  $\Delta \leq 3$ , implying that T is a tree with k vertices of degree 3. By Theorem 4.1,  $T \cong C(n, \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor, \lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil)$ .  $\square$ 

5. Distance spectral radius of trees with all odd vertices. For  $n \ge 2$ , let  $\mathbb{T}(2n)$  be the set of all trees with 2n vertices, which are all odd. Let  $E_{2n} = C(2n, 0, n-1)$ .

Let T be a tree with n vertices. Then  $\rho(T) \ge \rho(S_n)$  with equality if and only if  $T \cong S_n$ , see [9]. By this result and Theorem 3.7, we have

THEOREM 5.1. Let  $T \in \mathbb{T}(2n)$ . Then  $\rho(S_{2n}) \leq \rho(T) \leq \rho(E_{2n})$  with left equality if and only if  $T \cong S_{2n}$  and right equality if and only if  $T \cong E_{2n}$ .

For integers n and a with  $1 \le a \le \lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor$ , let  $D_{n,a}$  be the double star obtained by adding an edge between the center u of  $S_{a+1}$  and the center v of  $S_{n-a-1}$ .

LEMMA 5.2. [8] For  $a \ge 2$ ,  $\rho(D_{n,a}) > \rho(D_{n,a-1})$ .

THEOREM 5.3. Let  $T \in \mathbb{T}(2n)$  and  $T \ncong S_{2n}$ , where  $n \ge 3$ . Then  $\rho(T) \ge \rho(D_{2n,2})$  with equality if and only if  $T \cong D_{2n,2}$ .

*Proof.* Let T be the tree with minimum distance spectral radius in  $\mathbb{T}(2n) \setminus \{S_{2n}\}$ . Let t be the diameter of T. Obviously,  $t \geq 3$ . Let  $u_1 \dots u_{t+1}$  be a diametrical path of



# The Distance Spectral Radius of Graphs

T. Suppose  $t \ge 4$ . Let  $T' = T - \{vu_4 : v \in N_T(u_4) \setminus \{u_3\}\} + \{vu_3 : v \in N_T(u_4) \setminus \{u_3\}\}$ . Obviously,  $T' \in \mathbb{T}(2n) \setminus \{S_{2n}\}$ . By Lemma 2.4,  $\rho(T) > \rho(T')$ , a contradiction. Thus, t = 3, i.e., T is a double star. By Lemma 5.2,  $T \cong D_{2n,2}$ .  $\square$ 

For  $n \geq 3$  and  $2 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor$ , let B(2n, i) be the caterpillar obtained from the path  $P_n$  with consecutive vertices  $u_1, \ldots, u_n$  by attaching a pendant vertex  $v_j$  to  $u_j$  for  $2 \leq j \leq n-1$  with  $j \neq i$  and attaching three pendant vertices  $w_1, w_2, w_3$  to  $u_i$ .

For  $n \geq 5$  and  $3 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor$ , let F(2n, i) be the caterpillar obtained from the path  $P_n$  with consecutive vertices  $u_1, \ldots, u_n$  by attaching a pendant vertex  $v_j$  to  $u_j$  for  $2 \leq j \leq n-1$  with  $j \neq i$  and adding an edge between  $u_i$  and the center of  $S_3$ .

LEMMA 5.4. For  $n \ge 5$ , and  $2 \le i \le \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor$ ,  $\rho(F(2n, i+1)) > \rho(B(2n, i))$ .

*Proof.* Let G = B(2n, i) and  $G' = B(2n, i) - \{u_i w_2, u_i w_3\} + \{v_{i+1} w_2, v_{i+1} w_3\}$ . Obviously,  $G' \cong F(2n, i+1)$ . Let x = x(G). As we pass from G to G', the distance between a vertex of  $\{w_2, w_3\}$  and a vertex of  $\{u_1, \ldots, u_i, v_2, \ldots, v_{i-1}, w_1\}$  is increased by 2, the distance between a vertex of  $\{w_2, w_3\}$  and  $v_{i+1}$  is decreased by 2, and the distance between any other vertex pair remains unchanged. Hence,

$$\frac{1}{2}(\rho(G') - \rho(G)) \ge \frac{1}{2}x^{\top}(D(G') - D(G))x$$
$$= 2(x_{w_2} + x_{w_3})(x_{u_i} + x_{w_1} - x_{v_{i+1}}).$$

From (2.1) for G at  $u_i$ ,  $w_1$  and  $v_{i+1}$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \rho(G)x_{u_i} &= x_{w_1} + x_{w_2} + x_{w_3} + 2x_{v_{i+1}} + \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} d_G(u_i, u_k)x_{u_k} \\ &+ \sum_{k=2}^{i-1} d_G(u_i, v_k)x_{v_k} + \sum_{k=i+1}^n (d_G(u_{i+1}, u_k) + 1)x_{u_k} \\ &+ \sum_{k=i+2}^{n-1} (d_G(u_{i+1}, v_k) + 1)x_{v_k}, \\ \rho(G)x_{w_1} &= x_{u_i} + 2x_{w_2} + 2x_{w_3} + 3x_{v_{i+1}} + \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} (d_G(u_i, u_k) + 1)x_{u_k} \\ &+ \sum_{k=2}^{i-1} (d_G(u_i, v_k) + 1)x_{v_k} + \sum_{k=i+1}^n (d_G(u_{i+1}, u_k) + 2)x_{u_k} \\ &+ \sum_{k=i+2}^{n-1} (d_G(u_{i+1}, v_k) + 2)x_{v_k}, \\ \rho(G)x_{v_{i+1}} &= 2x_{u_i} + 3x_{w_1} + 3x_{w_2} + 3x_{w_3} + \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} (d_G(u_i, u_k) + 2)x_{u_k} \end{split}$$



H. Lin and B. Zhou

$$+\sum_{k=2}^{i-1} (d_G(u_i, v_k) + 2) x_{v_k} + \sum_{k=i+1}^n (d_G(u_{i+1}, u_k) + 1) x_{u_k} + \sum_{k=i+2}^{n-1} (d_G(u_{i+1}, v_k) + 1) x_{v_k},$$

and thus,

$$(\rho(G)+2)\left(x_{u_{i}}+x_{w_{1}}-x_{v_{i+1}}\right) = x_{u_{i}}+3x_{v_{i+1}}+\sum_{k=1}^{i-1}(d_{G}(u_{i},u_{k})-1)x_{u_{k}}$$
$$+\sum_{k=i+1}^{i-1}(d_{G}(u_{i},v_{k})-1)x_{v_{k}}$$
$$+\sum_{k=i+1}^{n}(d_{G}(u_{i+1},u_{k})+2)x_{u_{k}}$$
$$+\sum_{k=i+2}^{n-1}(d_{G}(u_{i+1},v_{k})+2)x_{v_{k}}$$
$$> 0.$$

implying that  $x_{u_i} + x_{w_1} - x_{v_{i+1}} > 0$ . Therefore,  $\rho(G') > \rho(G)$ , i.e.,  $\rho(F(2n, i+1)) > \rho(B(2n, i))$ .

THEOREM 5.5. Let  $T \in \mathbb{T}(2n)$  and  $T \ncong E_{2n}$ , where  $n \ge 3$ .

(i) For n = 3, 4,  $\rho(T) \le \rho(B(2n, 2))$  with equality if and only if  $T \cong B(2n, 2)$ ;

(ii) For  $n \ge 5$ ,  $\rho(T) \le \rho(F(2n,3))$  with equality if and only if  $T \cong F(2n,3)$ .

*Proof.* For n = 3, 4, we have  $\mathbb{T}(2n) = \{E_{2n}, B(2n, 2)\}$ , and thus, the result follows from Theorem 5.1.

Suppose  $n \geq 5$ . Let T be a tree with maximum distance spectral radius in  $\mathbb{T}(2n) \setminus \{E_{2n}\}$ . Let  $\Delta = \Delta(T)$ .

Suppose  $\Delta \geq 7$ . Let  $u \in V(T)$  and  $N_T(u) = \{u_1, \ldots, u_\Delta\}$ . Let  $T_i$  be the component of T-u containing  $u_i$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq \Delta$ . Assume without loss of generality that  $\sigma_T(T_1) \geq \sigma_T(T_\Delta)$ . Let w be a pendant vertex of T in  $V(T_\Delta)$ . Let  $T' = T - \{uu_2, uu_3\} + \{wu_2, wu_3\}$ . Then  $T' \in \mathbb{T}(2n)$  and  $T' \ncong E_{2n}$ . By Lemma 3.2,  $\rho(T') > \rho(T)$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $\Delta = 3$  or 5.

Suppose  $\Delta = 5$ . By similar argument as above, there is exactly one vertex of degree 5. Let  $u_1 \cdots u_{t+1}$  be a longest path passing the vertex of degree 5, say  $u_i$ , where  $2 \leq i \leq t$ . Let  $v_1, v_2, v_3$  be the other three neighbors of  $u_i$  outside the above path. We claim that  $v_1, v_2, v_3$  are pendant vertices. Otherwise, suppose that  $v_1$  is not



# The Distance Spectral Radius of Graphs

a pendant vertex. Let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  be the components of  $T-u_i$  containing  $u_{i-1}$  and  $u_{i+1}$ , respectively. Assume without loss of generality that  $\sigma_T(T_1) \geq \sigma_T(T_2)$ . Let  $T' = T - \{u_i v_2, u_i v_3\} + \{u_{t+1} v_2, u_{t+1} v_3\}$ . Obviously,  $T' \in \mathbb{T}(2n)$  and  $T' \ncong E_{2n}$ . By Lemma 3.2,  $\rho(T') > \rho(T)$ , a contradiction. Since each vertex different from  $u_i$  is of degree 1 or 3 in T, we have by Lemma 3.3 that  $T \cong B(2n, i)$  with  $2 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor$ . Suppose  $3 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor$ . Let  $T' = B(2n, i) - \{u_i v_2, u_i v_3\} + \{u_2 v_2, u_2 v_3\}$  if  $\sigma_T(T_1) \leq \sigma_T(T_2)$ , and  $T' = B(2n, i) - \{u_i v_2, u_i v_3\} + \{u_{n-1} v_2, u_{n-1} v_3\}$  if  $\sigma_T(T_1) > \sigma_T(T_2)$ . It is easily seen that  $T' \cong B(2n, 2)$ . By Lemma 3.2,  $\rho(T) = \rho(B(2n, i)) < \rho(T') = \rho(B(2n, 2))$ , a contradiction. Then i = 2, and thus,  $T \cong B(2n, 2)$ .

Suppose  $\Delta = 3$ . By Lemma 3.3,  $T \cong F(2n, i)$  with  $3 \le i \le \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor$ . By Lemma 3.3,  $\rho(F(2n, 3)) > \rho(F(2n, 4)) > \cdots > \rho(F(2n, \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor))$ . Thus,  $T \cong F(2n, 3)$ .

By Lemma 5.4,  $\rho(B(2n,2)) < \rho(F(2n,3))$ . Thus,  $T \cong F(2n,3)$ .

**Acknowledgment.** The authors thank the referee for careful review and helpful comments.

# REFERENCES

- M. Aouchiche and P. Hansen. Distance spectra of graphs: A survey. Linear Algebra Appl., 458:301–386, 2014.
- [2] M. Edelberg, M.R. Garey, and R.L. Graham. On the distance matrix of a tree. Discrete Math., 14:23–39, 1976.
- [3] L. Gargano, M. Hammar, P. Hell, L. Stacho, and U. Vaccaro. Spanning spiders and light-splitting switches. *Discrete Math.*, 285:83–95, 2004.
- [4] R.L. Graham and L. Lovász. Distance matrix polynomials of trees. Adv. Math., 29:60-88, 1978.
- [5] R.L. Graham and H.O. Pollack. On the addressing problem for loop switching. *Bell System Tech. J.*, 50:2495–2519, 1971.
- [6] H. Minc. Nonnegative Matrices. John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1988.
- [7] H. Matsuda, K. Ozeki, and T. Yamashita. Spanning trees with a bounded number of branch vertices in a claw-free graph. *Graphs Combin.*, 30:429–437, 2014.
- [8] M. Nath and S. Paul. On the distance spectral radius of trees. Linear Multilinear Algebra, 61:847–855, 2013.
- [9] S.N. Ruzieh and D.L. Powers. The distance spectrum of the path  $P_n$  and the first distance eigenvector of connected graphs. *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, 28:75–81, 1990.
- [10] Y. Wang and B. Zhou. On distance spectral radius of graphs. Linear Algebra Appl., 438:3490– 3503, 2013.
- [11] R. Xing, B. Zhou, and F. Dong. The effect of a graft transformation on distance spectral radius. Linear Algebra Appl., 457:261–275, 2014.
- [12] G. Yu, H. Jia, H. Zhang, and J. Shu. Some graft transformations and its applications on the distance spectral radius of a graph. Appl. Math. Lett., 25:315–319, 2012.