

A NOTE ON THE CONVEXITY OF THE INDEFINITE JOINT NUMERICAL RANGE*

HIROSHI NAKAZATO[†], NATÁLIA BEBIANO[‡], AND JOÃO DA PROVIDÊNCIA[§]

Abstract. This note investigates the convexity of the indefinite joint numerical range of a tuple of Hermitian matrices in the setting of Krein spaces. Its main result is a necessary and sufficient condition for convexity of this set. A new notion of “quasi-convexity” is introduced as a refinement of pseudo-convexity.

Key words. Krein space, Joint numerical range, Convexity.

AMS subject classifications. 46C20, 47A12.

1. Introduction. The concept of numerical range of linear operators on a Hilbert space was introduced by Toeplitz ([16]) and has been generalized in several directions. The theory of numerical ranges of operators on a Krein space has also been considered by some authors (e.g., see [2, 3, 5, 6, 12, 14] and the references therein).

An n -dimensional Krein space is a complex vector space $\mathcal{K}$ with an indefinite inner product $[\cdot, \cdot]$. In this space, we can choose a basis $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_r, \xi_{r+1}, \dots, \xi_n\}$ satisfying

$$[\xi_i, \xi_j] = 0$$

for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, $[\xi_i, \xi_i] > 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $[\xi_i, \xi_i] < 0$ for $r+1 \leq i \leq n$. If $r = n$, then the space $\mathcal{K}$ is a Hilbert space. If $r = 0$, then the metric $[\cdot, \cdot]$ introduces a Hilbert space structure in $\mathcal{K}$. So we assume that $0 < r < n$. We identify the space $\mathcal{K}$ with the vector space $\mathbb{C}^n$ via the isomorphism $x_1\xi_1 + \dots + x_n\xi_n \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T$. Assuming that $\langle \xi_i, \xi_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$, the standard inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is given by

$$\langle (u_1, \dots, u_n)^T, (v_1, \dots, v_n)^T \rangle = u_1\overline{v_1} + \dots + u_n\overline{v_n}.$$

We set the real invertible matrix $J = \text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ with signature $(r, n-r)$. Then

*Received by the editors on January 8, 2014. Accepted for publication on October 4, 2014.
 Handling Editor: Panayiotis Psarrakos.

[†]Hirosaki University, Department of Mathematical Sciences, 036-8561, Japan
 (nakahr@cc.hirosaki-u.ac.jp).

[‡]University of Coimbra, Mathematics Department, P3001-454 Coimbra, Portugal
 (bebian@mat.uc.pt).

[§]University of Coimbra, Physics Department, P3004-516 Coimbra, Portugal
 (providencia@teor.fis.uc.pt).

the metric $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is associated with the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ by

$$[\xi, \eta] = \langle J\xi, \eta \rangle$$

for ξ, η in $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{C}^n$.

Let $\mathcal{H}_n$ denote the real vector space of $n \times n$ Hermitian matrices. Suppose $JH = (JH_1, \dots, JH_k) \in \mathcal{H}_n^k$. The J -joint numerical range $W_J(H)$ of $(H_1, \dots, H_k)$, was introduced in [12] and is defined as

$$W_J(H_1, \dots, H_k) = \{([H_1x, x], \dots, [H_kx, x])/[x, x] : x \in \mathbb{C}^n, [x, x] \neq 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{k \times 1}.$$

Given a linear operator T acting on $\mathcal{K}$, the set

$$W_J(T) = \{[Tx, x]/[x, x] : x \in \mathcal{K}, [x, x] \neq 0\},$$

is called the J -numerical range of T . Its boundary lies on an algebraic curve. The method to compute the equation of the boundary is given in [15]. The J -numerical range can be viewed as one of generalized numerical ranges (cf. [8, 11]). In view of the representation $T = T_1 + iT_2$, where $JT_1 = \frac{JT + T^*J}{2}$ and $JT_2 = \frac{JT - T^*J}{2i}$ are Hermitian matrices, $W_J(T)$ is the J -joint numerical range of (T_1, T_2) .

In the sequel, we also consider the sets

$$\begin{aligned} W_J^\pm(H) &= W_J^\pm(H_1, \dots, H_n) \\ &= \{\pm([H_1x, x], \dots, [H_kx, x]) : x \in \mathbb{C}^n, [x, x] = \pm 1\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{k \times 1}. \end{aligned}$$

When $J = I$, $W_J(H) = W_J^+(H)$ reduces to the usual joint numerical range

$$W(H) = \{x^*H_1x, \dots, x^*H_kx : x \in \mathbb{C}^n, x^*x = 1\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{k \times 1},$$

which has attracted the attention of several researchers (e.g., see [4, 7] and references therein). This concept is useful in theoretical and applied subjects, in particular, control systems (e.g. see [9] and their references).

For $JH \in \mathcal{H}_n^k$, the following properties can be easily checked.

$$(P1) \quad W_J(H) = W_J^+(H) \cup W_J^-(H).$$

$$(P2) \quad W_{(-J)}^+(-H) = W_J^-(H).$$

Having in mind property (P2), we can focus our study on $W_J^+(H)$ and translate the results on this set to $W_J^-(H)$ and $W_J(H)$. We observe that $W_J^\pm(H)$ need not be closed or bounded, however it is always connected. In fact, since $\{x \in \mathbb{C}^n : x^*Jx = 1\}$ is connected, the same happens with the set

$$V_J = \{xx^* : x \in \mathbb{C}^n, x^*Jx = 1\}.$$

Thus, $W_J^+(H)$ is the image of V_J under the linear map $\phi_H : \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k \times 1}$ defined by

$$\phi_H(X) = (\operatorname{tr}(JH_1X), \dots, \operatorname{tr}(JH_kX)), \quad X \in \mathcal{H}_n,$$

where $\operatorname{tr} Y$ denotes the trace of the matrix Y .

We recall that a subset S in $\mathbb{R}^{k \times 1}$ has affine dimension m if there exists $v \in S$ such that the linear span of $S - v$ has dimension m . Having in mind that $\operatorname{rank}(\phi_H)$ equals the dimension of $\operatorname{span}\{H_1, \dots, H_k\}$ and $V_H \subseteq \mathcal{H}_2$ has real affine dimension 4, we conclude that $W_J^+(H)$ has affine dimension at most 4.

In studies of the numerical range and its generalizations, a useful technique is performing a convenient reduction to the 2×2 case. For instance, in results of convexity [1], this approach is useful, as well as when devising an effective procedure for generating the numerical range of an arbitrary n by n complex matrix reducing the general case to the bi-dimensional one. The following theorem was obtained in [13] and treats this case.

THEOREM 1.1. *Suppose $J, JH_1, \dots, JH_k \in \mathcal{H}_2$, $H = (H_1, \dots, H_k)$ and $\operatorname{span}\{J, JH_1, \dots, JH_k\}$ has dimension $m \leq 4$. Then $W_J^+(H)$ has affine dimension $m - 1$ and $W_J^+(H)$ is: a singleton if $m = 1$; a open or closed half line or a straight line if $m = 2$; a closed one-component hyperbola with interior, an open two-dimensional half plane, or a two-dimensional plane if $m = 3$; a closed one-component hyperboloid without interior if $m = 4$.*

As a simple consequence of this result, we can conclude that for $J, JH_1, \dots, JH_k \in \mathcal{H}_2$ and $H = (H_1, \dots, H_k)$, the set $W_J^+(H)$ is convex if and only if $\operatorname{span}\{J, JH_1, \dots, JH_k\}$ has dimension less than 4.

Since the works of Toeplitz [16], it is known that the joint numerical range is not, in general, convex. There exists a vast literature on the (non)convexity of $W(H)$. The joint numerical range of a triple of 2×2 Hermitian matrices is typically not convex. The situation is analogous if $k \geq 4$ with the non convexity of $W(H_1, \dots, H_k)$ (cf. [10]). As a consequence, there has been great emphasis on the study of sufficient conditions for the convexity of $W(H_1, \dots, H_k)$ with $k > 3$. Interestingly, if $H_1, H_2, H_3 \in \mathcal{H}_n$ where $n > 2$, then $W(H_1, H_2, H_3)$ is convex (cf. [1, 4]) In view of this fact, it seems natural to ask whether $W_J(H_1, H_2, H_3)$ is convex.

Besides this introductory section, this note consists of two more sections. In Section 2, an example answers negatively the above question. In Section 3, a necessary and sufficient condition for convexity of the J -joint numerical range is obtained.

2. Preliminary examples of non-convexity. Let us consider

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, H_1 = JH_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$H_2 = JH_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, H_3 = JH_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & i & 0 \\ -i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We recall that

$$W_J^+(H_1, H_2, H_3) = \left\{ \left(\frac{\langle JH_1\xi, \xi \rangle}{\langle J\xi, \xi \rangle}, \frac{\langle JH_2\xi, \xi \rangle}{\langle J\xi, \xi \rangle}, \frac{\langle JH_3\xi, \xi \rangle}{\langle J\xi, \xi \rangle} \right) : \xi \in \mathbb{C}^3, \langle \xi, \xi \rangle = 1, \langle J\xi, \xi \rangle > 0 \right\},$$

where $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle = \eta^* \xi$ for $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{C}^3$. Since the equation

$$\langle A(c\xi), (c\xi) \rangle = \langle A\xi, \xi \rangle$$

holds for any linear operator A , any unit vector ξ and any complex number c with modulus 1, we conclude that the set $W(J, H_1, H_2, H_3)$ coincides with the following set

$$\{(\langle J\xi, \xi \rangle, \langle H_1\xi, \xi \rangle, \langle H_2\xi, \xi \rangle, \langle H_3\xi, \xi \rangle) : \xi \in \Omega\}$$

for

$$\Omega = \{(\cos \phi \cos \psi \exp(i\theta), \cos \phi \sin \psi \exp(i\eta), \sin \phi)^T : \\ 0 \leq \phi < \pi/4, 0 \leq \psi \leq 2\pi, 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi, 0 \leq \eta \leq 2\pi\}.$$

For the vectors $\xi \in \Omega$, the following relations hold

$$\begin{aligned} \langle J\xi, \xi \rangle &= \cos(2\phi), \\ \langle H_1\xi, \xi \rangle &= \frac{\cos(2\phi) + 1}{2} \cos(2\psi), \\ \langle H_2\xi, \xi \rangle &= \frac{\cos(2\phi) + 1}{2} \sin(2\psi) \cos(\theta - \eta), \\ \langle H_3\xi, \xi \rangle &= \frac{\cos(2\phi) + 1}{2} \sin(2\psi) \sin(\theta - \eta). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we may assume that $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$ and $\eta = 0$ for the determination of the set $W_J^+(H_1, H_2, H_3)$. Since

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{2} \frac{\cos(2\phi) + 1}{\cos(2\phi)} : 0 \leq \phi < \pi/4 \right\} = [1, +\infty),$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} W_J^+(H_1, H_2, H_3) \\ &= \{(t \cos(2\psi), t \sin(2\psi) \cos \theta, t \sin(2\psi) \sin \theta) : 1 \leq t, 0 \leq \psi \leq 2\pi, 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi\} \\ &= \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \geq 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the connected set $W_J^+(H_1, H_2, H_3)$ is not convex, since the two points $(0, 0, 1)$, $(0, 0, -1)$ belong to it but their midpoint $(0, 0, 0)$ does not belong to $W_J^+(H_1, H_2, H_3)$.

By a similar process, we can prove that $W_J^-(H_1, H_2, H_3) = \mathbb{R}^3$, and so $W_J(H_1, H_2, H_3) = \mathbb{R}^3$. We can also construct a 3-tuple of Hermitian matrices (H_1, H_2, H_3) on a 4-dimensional Krein space of type $(2, 2)$ for which $W_J^+(H_1, H_2, H_3)$ is not convex. Indeed, let us now consider $\tilde{J} = I_2 \oplus -I_2$ and the direct sums of the Pauli matrices with 2×2 zero blocks,

$$\tilde{H}_1 = \tilde{J}\tilde{H}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \oplus \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\tilde{H}_2 = \tilde{J}\tilde{H}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \oplus \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tilde{H}_3 = \tilde{J}\tilde{H}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{bmatrix} \oplus \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let

$$\tilde{\xi} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta \cos \phi \cos \psi e^{i\alpha} \\ \cos \theta \cos \phi \sin \psi e^{i\beta} \\ \cos \theta \sin \phi e^{i\gamma} \\ \sin \theta \end{bmatrix},$$

for $\theta, \phi, \psi, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in [0, 2\pi]$. We find

$$\tilde{\xi}^* \tilde{J} \tilde{\xi} = \frac{1}{4}(-2 + 2 \cos(2\phi) + 2 \cos(2\theta) + 4 \cos(2\phi) \cos(2\theta)),$$

$$\tilde{\xi}^* \tilde{H}_1 \tilde{\xi} = \frac{1}{4} \cos(2\psi)(1 + \cos(2\phi) + \cos(2\theta) + \cos(2\phi) \cos(2\theta)),$$

$$\tilde{\xi}^* \tilde{H}_2 \tilde{\xi} = \frac{1}{4} \cos(\alpha - \beta) \sin(2\psi)(1 + \cos(2\phi) + \cos(2\theta) + \cos(2\phi) \cos(2\theta)),$$

$$\tilde{\xi}^* \tilde{H}_3 \tilde{\xi} = \frac{1}{4} \sin(\beta - \alpha) \sin(2\psi)(1 + \cos(2\phi) + \cos(2\theta) + \cos(2\phi) \cos(2\theta)).$$

For

$$R(\theta, \phi) = \left| \frac{1 + \cos(2\phi) + \cos(2\theta) + \cos(2\phi) \cos(2\theta)}{-2 + 2 \cos(2\phi) + 2 \cos(2\theta) + 4 \cos(2\phi) \cos(2\theta)} \right|,$$

and

$$D(\theta, \phi) = (1 + \cos(2\phi) + \cos(2\theta) + \cos(2\phi) \cos(2\theta)),$$

we have

$$\{R(\theta, \phi) : \theta, \phi \in [0, 2\pi], D(\theta, \phi) < 0\} = [0, +\infty[$$

and

$$\{R(\theta, \phi) : \theta, \phi \in [0, 2\pi], D(\theta, \phi) > 0\} = \left[\frac{2}{3}, +\infty\right[.$$

Thus, we get

$$W_J^+(\tilde{H}_1, \tilde{H}_2, \tilde{H}_3) = \left\{ (x, y, z) : x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \geq \frac{2}{3} \right\}, \quad W_J^-(\tilde{H}_1, \tilde{H}_2, \tilde{H}_3) = \mathbb{R}^3.$$

3. Convexity. A linear operator H on $\mathcal{K}$ is said to be J -Hermitian if it satisfies

$$[H\xi, \eta] = [\xi, H\eta]$$

for $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{K}$.

THEOREM 3.1. Assume that $\mathcal{K}$ is a Krein space with dimension $n \geq 3$ and (H_1, H_2, H_3) is an ordered triple of J -Hermitian operators on $\mathcal{K}$. If the joint numerical range $W(J, JH_1, JH_2, JH_3)$ is convex, then:

(1) The J -joint numerical ranges

$$W_J^+(H_1, H_2, H_3) = \left\{ \left(\frac{[H_1\xi, \xi]}{[\xi, \xi]}, \frac{[H_2\xi, \xi]}{[\xi, \xi]}, \frac{[H_3\xi, \xi]}{[\xi, \xi]} \right) : \xi \in \mathcal{K}, [\xi, \xi] > 0 \right\},$$

$$W_J^-(H_1, H_2, H_3) = \left\{ \left(\frac{[H_1\xi, \xi]}{[\xi, \xi]}, \frac{[H_2\xi, \xi]}{[\xi, \xi]}, \frac{[H_3\xi, \xi]}{[\xi, \xi]} \right) : \xi \in \mathcal{K}, [\xi, \xi] < 0 \right\},$$

are convex.

(2) For $(x_1, y_1, z_1) \in W_J^+(H_1, H_2, H_3)$ and $(x_2, y_2, z_2) \in W_J^-(H_1, H_2, H_3)$, we have

$$\{\lambda(x_1, y_1, z_1) + (1 - \lambda)(x_2, y_2, z_2) : 1 \leq \lambda\} \subset W_J^+(H_1, H_2, H_3),$$

$$\{\lambda(x_1, y_1, z_1) + (1 - \lambda)(x_2, y_2, z_2) : \lambda \leq 0\} \subset W_J^-(H_1, H_2, H_3).$$

Proof. (1) We consider the Hermitian matrices K_j defined by

$$K_j = JH_j$$

for $j = 1, 2, 3$. We assume that $W(J, K_1, K_2, K_3)$ is convex. Let $(x_1, y_1, z_1), (x_2, y_2, z_2) \in W_J^+(H_1, H_2, H_3)$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$. There exist $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\xi^* \xi = 1$, $\eta^* \eta = 1$ and

$$(t, X_1, Y_1, Z_1) = (\xi^* J \xi, \xi^* K_1 \xi, \xi^* K_2 \xi, \xi^* K_3 \xi),$$

$$(s, X_2, Y_2, Z_2) = (\eta^* J \eta, \eta^* K_1 \eta, \eta^* K_2 \eta, \eta^* K_3 \eta),$$

with

$$(x_1, y_1, z_1) = \left(\frac{X_1}{t}, \frac{Y_1}{t}, \frac{Z_1}{t} \right), \quad (x_2, y_2, z_2) = \left(\frac{X_2}{s}, \frac{Y_2}{s}, \frac{Z_2}{s} \right).$$

We claim that $(x_3, y_3, z_3) = \lambda(x_1, y_1, z_1) + (1 - \lambda)(x_2, y_2, z_2) \in W_J^+(H_1, H_2, H_3)$. For

$$\mu = \frac{\lambda s}{(1 - \lambda)t + \lambda s},$$

which satisfies $0 < \mu < 1$, let consider $(u, X_3, Y_3, Z_3) = \mu(t, X_1, Y_1, Z_1) + (1 - \mu)(s, X_2, Y_2, Z_2)$, which is obviously in $W(J, K_1, K_2, K_3)$. It follows that

$$\left(1, \frac{X_3}{u}, \frac{Y_3}{u}, \frac{Z_3}{u} \right) = \frac{\mu t}{\mu t + (1 - \mu)s} (1, x_1, y_1, z_1) + \frac{(1 - \mu)s}{\mu t + (1 - \mu)s} (1, x_2, y_2, z_2),$$

or, since $\lambda = \frac{\mu t}{\mu t + (1 - \mu)s}$,

$$\left(1, \frac{X_3}{u}, \frac{Y_3}{u}, \frac{Z_3}{u} \right) = \lambda(1, x_1, y_1, z_1) + (1 - \lambda)(1, x_2, y_2, z_2).$$

Thus,

$$(x_3, y_3, z_3) = \left(\frac{X_3}{u}, \frac{Y_3}{u}, \frac{Z_3}{u} \right).$$

Clearly, there exists $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\zeta^* \zeta = 1$ and

$$(u, X_3, Y_3, Z_3) = (\zeta^* J \zeta, \zeta^* K_1 \zeta, \zeta^* K_2 \zeta, \zeta^* K_3 \zeta),$$

which proves the claim. Thus, the convexity of $W_J^+(H_1, H_2, H_3)$ is proved under the assumption that $W(J, K_1, K_2, K_3)$ is convex. Similarly, if $W(J, K_1, K_2, K_3)$ is convex, then $W_J^-(H_1, H_2, H_3)$ is also convex.

(2) Let $(x_1, y_1, z_1) \in W_J^+(H_1, H_2, H_3)$, $(x_2, y_2, z_2) \in W_J^-(H_1, H_2, H_3)$ and $1 \leq \lambda < +\infty$. There exist $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\xi^* \xi = 1$, $\eta^* \eta = 1$ and

$$(t, X_1, Y_1, Z_1) = (\xi^* J \xi, \xi^* K_1 \xi, \xi^* K_2 \xi, \xi^* K_3 \xi),$$

$$(s, X_2, Y_2, Z_2) = (\eta^* J \eta, \eta^* K_1 \eta, \eta^* K_2 \eta, \eta^* K_3 \eta),$$

with

$$(x_1, y_1, z_1) = \left(\frac{X_1}{t}, \frac{Y_1}{t}, \frac{Z_1}{t} \right), \quad (x_2, y_2, z_2) = \left(\frac{X_2}{s}, \frac{Y_2}{s}, \frac{Z_2}{s} \right).$$

Notice that $t > 0$, $s < 0$. We claim that $(x_3, y_3, z_3) = \lambda(x_1, y_1, z_1) + (1-\lambda)(x_2, y_2, z_2) \in W_J^+(H_1, H_2, H_3)$. For

$$\mu = \frac{\lambda s}{(1-\lambda)t + \lambda s},$$

which satisfies $0 < \mu < 1$, let consider $(u, X_3, Y_3, Z_3) = \mu(t, X_1, Y_1, Z_1) + (1-\mu)(s, X_2, Y_2, Z_2)$, which is in $W(J, K_1, K_2, K_3)$ by the assumption of the convexity of this set. It follows that

$$\left(1, \frac{X_3}{u}, \frac{Y_3}{u}, \frac{Z_3}{u} \right) = \frac{\mu t}{\mu t + (1-\mu)s} (1, x_1, y_1, z_1) + \frac{(1-\mu)s}{\mu t + (1-\mu)s} (1, x_2, y_2, z_2),$$

or, since $\lambda = \frac{\mu t}{\mu t + (1-\mu)s}$,

$$\left(1, \frac{X_3}{u}, \frac{Y_3}{u}, \frac{Z_3}{u} \right) = \lambda(1, x_1, y_1, z_1) + (1-\lambda)(1, x_2, y_2, z_2).$$

Thus,

$$(x_3, y_3, z_3) = \left(\frac{X_3}{u}, \frac{Y_3}{u}, \frac{Z_3}{u} \right).$$

Clearly, there exists $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\zeta^* \zeta = 1$ and

$$(u, X_3, Y_3, Z_3) = (\zeta^* J \zeta, \zeta^* K_1 \zeta, \zeta^* K_2 \zeta, \zeta^* K_3 \zeta),$$

which proves the claim. We have shown that $\{\lambda(x_1, y_1, z_1) + (1-\lambda)(x_2, y_2, z_2) : 1 \leq \lambda\} \subset W_J^+(H_1, H_2, H_3)$. Similarly we can show that $\{\lambda(x_1, y_1, z_1) + (1-\lambda)(x_2, y_2, z_2) : \lambda \leq 0\} \subset W_J^-(H_1, H_2, H_3)$. $\square$

DEFINITION 3.2. The set $W_J(H_1, H_2, H_3)$ is said to be quasi-convex if the following conditions hold together:

(1) If $(x_1, y_1, z_1), (x_2, y_2, z_2) \in W_J^+(H_1, H_2, H_3)$, then

$$\{\lambda(x_1, y_1, z_1) + (1 - \lambda)(x_2, y_2, z_2) : 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1\} \subset W_J^+(H_1, H_2, H_3);$$

(2) If $(x_1, y_1, z_1), (x_2, y_2, z_2) \in W_J^-(H_1, H_2, H_3)$, then

$$\{\lambda(x_1, y_1, z_1) + (1 - \lambda)(x_2, y_2, z_2) : 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1\} \subset W_J^-(H_1, H_2, H_3);$$

(3) If $(x_1, y_1, z_1) \in W_J^+(H_1, H_2, H_3)$ and $(x_2, y_2, z_2) \in W_J^-(H_1, H_2, H_3)$, then

$$\{\lambda(x_1, y_1, z_1) + (1 - \lambda)(x_2, y_2, z_2) : 1 \leq \lambda\} \subset W_J^+(H_1, H_2, H_3),$$

and

$$\{\lambda(x_1, y_1, z_1) + (1 - \lambda)(x_2, y_2, z_2) : \lambda \leq 0\} \subset W_J^-(H_1, H_2, H_3).$$

Next we show that the converse of Theorem 3.1 holds.

THEOREM 3.3. *Assume that $\mathcal{K}$ is a Krein space with dimension $n \geq 3$ and (H_1, H_2, H_3) is an ordered triple of J -Hermitian operators on $\mathcal{K}$. If the J -joint numerical range $W_J(H_1, H_2, H_3)$ is quasi-convex, then the joint numerical range $W(J, JH_1, JH_2, JH_3)$ is convex.*

Proof. Suppose that $W_J(H_1, H_2, H_3)$ is quasi-convex. Assume that $s(1, x_1, y_1, z_1), t(1, x_2, y_2, z_2) \in W(J, JH_1, JH_2, JH_3)$, $s, t \neq 0$. First consider the case $s, t > 0$, so that consider $(x_1, y_1, z_1), (x_2, y_2, z_2) \in W_J^+(H_1, H_2, H_3)$. Then there exist $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\xi^* \xi = 1, \eta^* \eta = 1$ satisfying

$$s(1, x_1, y_1, z_1) = (\xi^* J \xi, \xi^* K_1 \xi, \xi^* K_2 \xi, \xi^* K_3 \xi),$$

$$t(1, x_2, y_2, z_2) = (\eta^* J \eta, \eta^* K_1 \eta, \eta^* K_2 \eta, \eta^* K_3 \eta).$$

For $(x_3, y_3, z_3) = \lambda(x_1, y_1, z_1) + (1 - \lambda)(x_2, y_2, z_2)$, let $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n$ be such that $\zeta^* \zeta = 1$ and satisfy the following relation

$$u(1, x_3, y_3, z_3) = (\zeta^* J \zeta, \zeta^* K_1 \zeta, \zeta^* K_2 \zeta, \zeta^* K_3 \zeta).$$

Clearly,

$$u(1, x_3, y_3, z_3) = \mu s(1, x_1, y_1, z_1) + (1 - \mu)t(1, x_2, y_2, z_2),$$

for $\mu = \lambda t / (\lambda t + (1 - \lambda)s)$.

The cases $s, t < 0$ and $s < 0, t > 0$ are treated similarly except for the case $\lambda s + (1 - \lambda)t = 0$. Since $W(J, JH_1, JH_2, JH_3)$ is compact, the result follows by using a limiting process. $\square$

Let (K_0, K_1, K_2, K_3) be a 4-tuple of 3×3 Hermitian matrices. The following question arises in a natural way: Is the joint numerical range $W(K_0, K_1, K_2, K_3)$ convex? Following [10], we can provide an example of non convexity. Let

$$K_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad K_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$K_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad K_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & i & 0 \\ -i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then $W(K_0, K_1, K_2, K_3)$ is expressed as

$$W(K_0, K_1, K_2, K_3) = \{(t, (t+1)/2x, (t+1)/2y, (1+t)/2z) : -1 \leq t \leq 1, x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1\},$$

and so $W(K_0, K_1, K_2, K_3)$ lies on the hyper surface

$$x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - \frac{(t+1)^2}{4} = 0.$$

Gutkin, Jonckheere and Karow's [10] Theorems 5.1 and 5.5 provide a sufficient condition for the joint range $W(K_0, K_1, K_2, K_3)$ to be convex. We consider the characteristic equation

$$\det(\lambda I_3 - (tK_0 + xK_1 + yK_2 + zK_3)) = 0$$

for every point $(t, x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^4$ on the unit sphere $t^2 + x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1$. If the eigenvalues $\lambda_1(t, x, y, z), \lambda_2(t, x, y, z), \lambda_3(t, x, y, z)$ are simple for every point $(t, x, y, z) \in \mathbb{S}^3$, then the additional condition concerning the eigenspace of the first eigenvalue of $tK_0 + xK_1 + yK_2 + zK_3$ in Theorem 5.1 in [10] is automatically satisfied, and so $W(K_0, K_1, K_2, K_3)$ is convex.

We shall provide an example of a 4-tuple of Hermitian matrices satisfying this condition. Let

$$K_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad K_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -i & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$K_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 1/\sqrt{2} & 0 & -1/\sqrt{2} \\ 0 & -1/\sqrt{2} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad K_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & i/\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ -i/\sqrt{2} & 0 & i/\sqrt{2} \\ 0 & -i/\sqrt{2} & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then we have

$$\det(\lambda I_3 - (tK_0 + xK_1 + yK_2 + zK_3)) = \lambda(\lambda^2 - (t^2 + x^2 + y^2 + z^2)).$$

Thus, the eigenvalues of $tK_0 + xK_1 + yK_2 + zK_3$ are $\{1, 0, -1\}$ for every point (t, x, y, z) of the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^3$. We observe that the matrices in this example belong to the basis of the real vector space of 3×3 Hermitian traceless matrices known as Gell-Mann matrices, which play an important role in quark physics. We have many examples of convex numerical ranges $W(JH_0, JH_1, JH_2, JH_3)$ by a small perturbation of Gell-Mann matrices.

REFERENCES

- [1] Y.H. Au-Yeung and N.K. Tsing. An extension of the Hausdorff -Toeplitz theorem on the numerical range. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 89:215–218, 1983.
- [2] N. Bebiano, R. Lemos, J. da Providência, and G. Soares. On the geometry of numerical ranges in spaces with indefinite inner product. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 399:17–34, 2005.
- [3] N. Bebiano, H. Nakazato, A. Nata, and J. da Providência. The boundary of the Krein space tracial numerical range, an algebraic approach and a numerical algorithm. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*, 189:539–551, 2010.
- [4] P. Binding and C.K. Li. Joint numerical ranges of Hermitian matrices and simultaneous diagonalization. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 151:157–168, 1991.
- [5] N. Bebiano and J. da Providência. Numerical range of quadratic operators in spaces with an indefinite metric. *Electron. J. Linear Algebra*, 26:141–157, 2013.
- [6] N. Bebiano and J. da Providência. Indefinite higher-rank numerical ranges. *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, 60:1009–1026, 2012.
- [7] M.-T. Chien and H. Nakazato. Strict convexity of the joint c -numerical range. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 438:1305–1321, 2013.
- [8] W.-S. Cheung and N.-K. Tsing. The C -numerical range of matrices is star-shaped. *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, 41:245–250, 1996.
- [9] M.K.H. Fan and A.L. Tits. m -form numerical range and the computation of the structured singular value. *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control*, 33:284–289, 1988.
- [10] E. Gutkin, E.A. Jonckheere, and M. Karow. Convexity of the joint numerical range: topological and differential geometrical viewpoints. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 376:143–171, 2004.
- [11] C.K. Li. Some convexity theorems for the generalized numerical ranges. *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, 40:235–240, 1996.
- [12] C.K. Li and L. Rodman. Numerical ranges of operators in spaces with an indefinite inner metric. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 126:973–982, 1998.
- [13] C.K. Li and L. Rodman. H-Joint numerical ranges. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, 66:105–117, 2002.
- [14] C.K. Li, N.K. Tsing, and F. Uhlig. Numerical ranges of an operator on an indefinite inner product space. *Electron. J. Linear Algebra*, 1:1–17, 1996.
- [15] P.J. Psarrakos. Numerical range of linear pencils. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 317:127–141, 2000.
- [16] O. Toeplitz. Das algebraische Analogon zu einer Satze von Fejée. *Math. Z.*, 2:187–197, 1918.