ON SOME PROPERTIES OF THE PSEUDOSPECTRAL RADIUS*

G. KRISHNA KUMAR† AND S.H. LUI‡

Abstract. Pseudospectra provide an analytical and graphical alternative for investigating nonnormal matrices and operators, give a quantitative estimate of departure from non-normality and give information about stability. In this paper, we prove that pseudospectral radius is sub-additive and sub-multiplicative for a commuting pair of matrices over the complex field, extending the same result for spectral radius. We discuss the same result for a non-commutative pair of matrices. We also give an analogue of the spectral radius formula for pseudospectrum.

Key words. Pseudospectrum, Pseudospectral radius, Spectral radius.

AMS subject classifications. 15A27, 15A42, 15A60, 47A10, 47A11, 65F15, 65F35.

1. Introduction. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$. Denote the spectrum of A by $\Lambda(A)$ and the spectral radius of A by r(A). Let $\|\cdot\|$ denote the matrix 2-norm. For $\epsilon \geq 0$, the ϵ -pseudospectrum of A is defined as

$$\Lambda_{\epsilon}(A) := \{ \lambda \in \Lambda(A+E) : ||E|| < \epsilon \},$$

while the ϵ -pseudospectral radius of A is defined as

$$r_{\epsilon}(A) := \sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \Lambda_{\epsilon}(A)\}.$$

The goal of this paper is to extend some of the properties of r to r_{ϵ} . Let A and B be any square matrices. Three well-known properties of r are

- 1. r(AB) = r(BA),
- 2. $r(A) = \lim_{k \to \infty} ||A^k||^{1/k}$, 3. $r(A) = r^{1/k}(A^k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

We shall see that corresponding results for the pseudospectral radius are

1.
$$r_{\epsilon}(AB) \leq r_{\epsilon+\delta}(BA)$$
, where $\delta = ||BA - AB||$,

^{*}Received by the editors on July 5, 2013. Accepted for publication on April 30, 2014. Handling Editor: Panayiotis Psarrakos.

[†]Department of Mathematics, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada, R3T 2N2 (krishna.math@gmail.com). Current address: Department of Mathematics, BJM Govt. College Chavara, Sankaramangalam PO, Kollam, Kerala, India, Pin Code - 691 583.

[‡]Department of Mathematics, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada, R3T 2N2 (luish@cc.umanitoba.ca). This work was in part supported by a grant from NSERC.

G. Krishna Kumar and S.H. Lui

2.
$$r_{\epsilon}(A) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \sup_{\|E\| \le \epsilon} \|(A+E)^k\|^{1/k},$$

3. $\max\{1, r(A)\} \le \lim_{k \to \infty} r_{\epsilon}^{1/k}(A^k).$

3.
$$\max\{1, r(A)\} \leq \lim_{k \to \infty} r_{\epsilon}^{1/k}(A^k)$$

Suppose AB = BA for some $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$. Then it is well-known that r is subadditive and sub-multiplicative, namely,

$$r(A+B) \le r(A) + r(B)$$
 and $r(AB) \le r(A)r(B)$.

We shall show that r_{ϵ} is also sub-additive and sub-multiplicative, with the latter requiring some additional restrictions. A similar result in case of condition spectrum and condition spectral radius can be proved ([3]).

In Section 2, we prove the three properties of the pseudospectral radius mentioned above. In Section 3, we show sub-additivity and sub-multiplicativity of the pseudospectral radius for commuting matrices, to be followed by those for non-commuting matrices in the final section.

2. Some properties of pseudsospectral radius.

THEOREM 2.1. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$, $\delta = \|BA - AB\|$ and $\epsilon \geq 0$. Then

$$r_{\epsilon}(AB) \le r_{\epsilon+\delta}(BA).$$

Proof. Let $z \in \mathbb{C}$ so that $|z| = r_{\epsilon}(AB)$. Then there is some $E \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$ with $||E|| \le \epsilon$ so that $z \in \Lambda(AB + E) = \Lambda(BA + (AB - BA) + E)$. This implies that $z \in \Lambda_{\epsilon+\delta}(BA)$ and so $r_{\epsilon}(AB) \leq r_{\epsilon+\delta}(BA)$. \square

Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\beta \neq 0$, and $\epsilon > 0$. The following properties ([7]) will be used in this paper:

$$r(A) \le r_{\epsilon}(A) - \epsilon \le ||A||$$
 and $\Lambda_{\epsilon}(\alpha + \beta A) = \alpha + \beta \Lambda_{\frac{\epsilon}{|\beta|}}(A)$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$ and $\epsilon \geq 0$. Then

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \sup_{\|E\| \le \epsilon} \|(A+E)^k\|^{1/k} = r_{\epsilon}(A).$$

Proof. Let $r_{\epsilon}(A) = |z|$ for some $z \in \Lambda_{\epsilon}(A) = \Lambda(A+E)$, where $E \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$ so that $||E|| \le \epsilon$. Let (A+E)u = zu for some eigenvector u with |u| = 1. Then

$$||(A+E)^k|| \ge |(A+E)^k u| = |z|^k = r_{\epsilon}^k(A),$$

On Some Properties of the Pseudospectral Radius

and so,

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \sup_{\|E\| \le \epsilon} \|(A+E)^k\|^{1/k} \ge r_{\epsilon}(A).$$

Let $\delta > 0$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there is some $E_k \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$ so that $||E_k|| \leq \epsilon$, and

$$\|(A+E_k)^k\|^{1/k} > L_k - \delta$$
 and $L_k = \sup_{\|E\| \le \epsilon} \|(A+E)^k\|^{1/k}$.

Since $||E_k|| \le \epsilon$ for every k, there is some $E_\infty \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$ so that $||E_\infty|| \le \epsilon$ and some subsequence E_{n_i} so that $E_{n_i} \to E_\infty$. Now

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \sup_{\|E\| \le \epsilon} \|(A+E)^k\|^{1/k} < \lim_{k \to \infty} \lim_{j \to \infty} \|(A+E_{n_j})^k\|^{1/k} + \delta$$

$$= \lim_{k \to \infty} \|(A+E_{\infty})^k\|^{1/k} + \delta$$

$$= r_{\epsilon}(A) + \delta.$$

Since δ is arbitrary, we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \sup_{\|E\| \le \epsilon} \|(A+E)^k\|^{1/k} \le r_{\epsilon}(A).$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. \Box

Theorem 2.3. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$. Then

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} r_{\epsilon}^{1/k}(A^k) \ge \max\{r(A), 1\}.$$

Proof. For any $\epsilon \geq 0$, since $r_{\epsilon}(A) \geq r(A) + \epsilon$, we have that for every k > 0,

$$r_{\epsilon}(A^k) \ge r(A^k) + \epsilon = r^k(A) + \epsilon.$$

Suppose $r(A) \geq 1$. Then

$$r_{\epsilon}^{1/k}(A^k) \ge (r^k(A) + \epsilon)^{1/k} = r(A) \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{r^k(A)}\right)^{1/k} \to r(A)$$

as $k \to \infty$.

Suppose r(A) < 1. Then

$$r_{\epsilon}^{1/k}(A^k) \ge \epsilon^{1/k} \left(1 + \frac{r^k(A)}{\epsilon}\right)^{1/k} \to 1$$

as $k \to \infty$.

Combine the results of the above two paragraphs to obtain

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} r_{\epsilon}^{1/k}(A^k) \ge \max\{r(A), 1\}. \quad \Box$$

- 3. Sub-additivity and sub-multiplicativity for commuting matrices. Let I be the identity matrix and $\mathcal{I} = \{\alpha I, \ \alpha \in \mathbb{C}\}$. The following result is a modification of one on page 18 in [1].
- LEMMA 3.1. Let Γ denote a bounded semigroup of $\mathbb{C}^{N\times N}$ containing I, and Γ contains no other scalar multiples of I. Let $\epsilon \geq 0$. Then there exists a function $p: \mathbb{C}^{N\times N} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfying the following conditions:
 - 1. $r_{\epsilon}(A) \epsilon \leq p(A)$ for all $A \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$.
 - 2. $p(S) \leq 1$ for all $S \in \Gamma$.
 - 3. $p(A+B) \leq p(A) + p(B)$ for all $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N} \setminus \mathcal{I}$.
 - 4. $p(AB) \le p(A)p(B)$ for all $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$.

Proof. Define $q: \mathbb{C}^{N \times N} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ by,

$$q(A) = \begin{cases} \sup\{\|SA\| : S \in \Gamma\} & \text{if } A \not\in \mathcal{I}; \\ |\alpha| & \text{if } A = \alpha I, \text{ some } \alpha \in \mathbb{C}. \end{cases}$$

Then q satisfies

$$||A|| \le q(A) \le K||A|| \text{ for all } A \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}, \tag{3.1}$$

where $K = \sup\{||S|| : S \in \Gamma\}$. Since $I \in \Gamma$,

$$q(\alpha A) = |\alpha| q(A) \text{ for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } A \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N},$$
 (3.2)

$$q(AB) \le q(A)q(B) \text{ for all } A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}.$$
 (3.3)

Define $p: \mathbb{C}^{N \times N} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ as

$$p(A) = \sup\{q(AX) : X \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N} \text{ and } q(X) \le 1\}.$$

Claim: p(A)=q(A) for all $A\in\mathbb{C}^{N\times N}$. Since $q(I)=1,\ q(A)\leq p(A)$ for all $A\in\mathbb{C}^{N\times N}$. Also

$$\begin{split} p(A) &= \sup\{q(AX): X \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N} \text{ and } q(X) \leq 1\} \\ &\leq \sup\{q(A)q(X): X \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N} \text{ and } q(X) \leq 1\} \\ &= q(A). \end{split}$$

This shows the claim. Now we are ready to prove the four conditions of p.

- 1. From the above results, it follows that $r_{\epsilon}(A) \epsilon \leq ||A|| \leq q(A) = p(A)$.
- 2. Recall p(I) = q(I) = 1. For $S_0 \in \Gamma \setminus \{I\}$,

$$q(S_0A) = \sup\{\|SS_0A\| : S \in \Gamma\}$$

 $\leq \sup\{\|SA\| : S \in \Gamma\}$ (since Γ is a semigroup)
 $= q(A)$.

On Some Properties of the Pseudospectral Radius

From the definition of $p(S_0)$ it follows that

$$p(S_0) = \sup_{q(X) \le 1} q(S_0 X) \le \sup_{q(X) \le 1} q(X) \le 1.$$

3. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N} \setminus \mathcal{I}$. Since p(A) = q(A) for all $A \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$, it is sufficient to prove that $q(A+B) \leq q(A) + q(B)$. There are two cases to consider, depending on whether A+B is a scalar multiple of I or not.

Case 1: A + B is a scalar multiple of I.

$$q(A + B) = ||A + B||$$

 $\leq ||A|| + ||B||$
 $\leq q(A) + q(B)$ by (3.1).

Case 2: A + B is not a scalar multiple of I.

$$q(A + B) = \sup\{||S(A + B)|| : S \in \Gamma\}$$

 $\leq \sup\{||SA|| : S \in \Gamma\} + \sup\{||SB|| : S \in \Gamma\}$
 $= q(A) + q(B).$

4. Using (3.3) and p(A) = q(A) for all $A \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$, it follows $q(AB) \leq q(A)q(B)$, and consequently, $p(AB) \leq p(A)p(B)$. \square

THEOREM 3.2. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$ such that AB = BA. Then for $\epsilon \geq 0$,

$$r_{\epsilon}(A+B) \le r_{\epsilon}(A) + r_{\epsilon}(B).$$

Proof. If $\epsilon=0$, the result is well known. See [1], for instance. Henceforth, assume $\epsilon>0$. If both A and B are scalar multiples of I, then the result of the theorem holds trivially. Suppose A or B is a scalar multiple of I. Without loss of generality, assume that $A=\alpha I$ for some $\alpha\in\mathbb{C}$. Then

$$\Lambda_{\epsilon}(A+B) = \Lambda_{\epsilon}(\alpha I + B) = \alpha + \Lambda_{\epsilon}(B) \subseteq \Lambda_{\epsilon}(A) + \Lambda_{\epsilon}(B).$$

Consequently,

$$r_{\epsilon}(A+B) \le r_{\epsilon}(A) + r_{\epsilon}(B).$$

Consider the case where both A and B are not scalar multiples of I. For any $\delta > 0$, let

$$U = \frac{A}{r_{\epsilon}(A) - \epsilon + \delta}$$
 and $V = \frac{B}{r_{\epsilon}(B) - \epsilon + \delta}$.

Then both U, V are not scalar multiples of I and r(U) < 1, r(V) < 1 and UV = VU. Thus, the set $\{U^iV^j: i, j \geq 0\}$ is a semigroup under multiplication. We now show that it is bounded.

Since r(U) < 1, there is some s so that r(U) < s < 1. Given any t satisfying 0 < t < 1 - s, since $r(U) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \|U^n\|^{1/n}$, there is some N so that for all $n \ge N$,

$$||U^n||^{1/n} < r(U) + t.$$

This implies that

$$||U^n|| < (s+t)^n < 1, \quad n \ge N.$$

This shows that $\{\|U^n\|: n \geq 0\}$ is bounded. Similarly, $\{\|V^n\|: n \geq 0\}$ is bounded. Since U and V commute, $\{U^iV^j: i, j \geq 0\}$ is bounded.

From Lemma 3.1, it follows that there exists a function $p: \mathbb{C}^{N \times N} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfying all four conditions of the lemma. In particular,

$$p\left(\frac{A}{r_{\epsilon}(A) - \epsilon + \delta}\right) \le 1$$
 and $p\left(\frac{B}{r_{\epsilon}(B) - \epsilon + \delta}\right) \le 1$.

This gives

$$p(A) \le r_{\epsilon}(A) - \epsilon + \delta$$
 and $p(B) \le r_{\epsilon}(B) - \epsilon + \delta$.

Thus.

$$r_{\epsilon}(A+B) - \epsilon \le p(A+B) \le p(A) + p(B) \le (r_{\epsilon}(A) - \epsilon + \delta) + (r_{\epsilon}(B) - \epsilon + \delta).$$

Choosing $\delta = \epsilon/2$,

$$r_{\epsilon}(A+B) < r_{\epsilon}(A) + r_{\epsilon}(B)$$
. \square

Next, we show the sub-multiplicativity of r_{ϵ} . We first examine some trivial cases. The case $\epsilon = 0$ is the classical case. Henceforth, assume $\epsilon > 0$.

- 1. Suppose $A = \alpha I$, $B = \beta I$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$. A simple calculation yields $r_{\epsilon}(AB) = |\alpha\beta| + \epsilon$, $r_{\epsilon}(A)r_{\epsilon}(B) = |\alpha\beta| + \epsilon(|\alpha| + |\beta|) + \epsilon^2$. Thus, r_{ϵ} is sub-multiplicative iff $|\alpha| + |\beta| + \epsilon \ge 1$ iff $r_{\epsilon}(A) + r_{\epsilon}(B) 1 \ge \epsilon$.
- 2. Suppose A = 0. Then for any $B \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$,

$$r_{\epsilon}(AB) = r_{\epsilon}(0) = \epsilon$$
 and $r_{\epsilon}(A)r_{\epsilon}(B) = r_{\epsilon}(B)\epsilon$.

Hence, in this case, r_{ϵ} is sub-multiplicative iff $r_{\epsilon}(B) \ge 1$ iff $r_{\epsilon}(A) + r_{\epsilon}(B) - 1 \ge \epsilon$.

On Some Properties of the Pseudospectral Radius

3. Suppose $A = \alpha I$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and B is arbitrary. Then $r_{\epsilon}(AB) = |\alpha| r_{\epsilon/|\alpha|}(B)$, $r_{\epsilon}(A)r_{\epsilon}(B) = (|\alpha| + \epsilon)r_{\epsilon}(B)$. Thus, r_{ϵ} is multiplicative iff

$$r_{\epsilon/|\alpha|}(B) \le \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{|\alpha|}\right) r_{\epsilon}(B).$$

Now we proceed to the non-trivial case.

Theorem 3.3. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N} \setminus \mathcal{I}$ such that AB = BA. For $0 \le \epsilon \le r_{\epsilon}(A) + r_{\epsilon}(B) - 1$,

$$r_{\epsilon}(AB) \le r_{\epsilon}(A) \, r_{\epsilon}(B).$$

Proof. For $\delta > 0$, argue as in the previous theorem to obtain a function $p : \mathbb{C}^{N \times N} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfying the four conditions in Lemma 3.1. In particular,

$$p(A) \le r_{\epsilon}(A) - \epsilon + \delta$$
 and $p(B) \le r_{\epsilon}(B) - \epsilon + \delta$.

Thus,

$$r_{\epsilon}(AB) - \epsilon \le p(AB) \le p(A)p(B) \le (r_{\epsilon}(A) - \epsilon + \delta)(r_{\epsilon}(B) - \epsilon + \delta).$$

Since $\delta > 0$ is arbitrary,

$$r_{\epsilon}(AB) \leq (r_{\epsilon}(A) - \epsilon)(r_{\epsilon}(B) - \epsilon) + \epsilon.$$

= $r_{\epsilon}(A)r_{\epsilon}(B) - \epsilon(r_{\epsilon}(A) + r_{\epsilon}(B) - 1) + \epsilon^{2}.$
\leq $r_{\epsilon}(A)r_{\epsilon}(B),$

because $r_{\epsilon}(A) + r_{\epsilon}(B) - 1 \ge \epsilon$.

Using the property $r(A) + \epsilon \le r_{\epsilon}(A)$, a simple sufficient condition for the restriction $\epsilon \le r_{\epsilon}(A) + r_{\epsilon}(B) - 1$ in the above theorem is $1 - r(A) - r(B) \le \epsilon$.

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \alpha \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. It is shown in [6] that for $\epsilon \geq 0$, $\Lambda_{\epsilon}(A) = D(1, \sqrt{|\alpha|\epsilon + \epsilon^2})$, where D(z, r) is the closed disk of radius r with center at z. Thus, $r_{\epsilon}(A) = 1 + \sqrt{|\alpha|\epsilon + \epsilon^2}$. We now show an example where r_{ϵ} is not sub-multiplicative for all ϵ sufficiently small.

Example 3.1. Let
$$A = \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \alpha \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
. Then $A^2 = \frac{1}{9} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2\alpha \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. We have
$$\Lambda_{\epsilon}(A) = \frac{\Lambda_{3\epsilon}(3A)}{3} \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda_{\epsilon}(A^2) = \frac{\Lambda_{9\epsilon}(9A^2)}{9},$$

G. Krishna Kumar and S.H. Lui

349

and so,

$$r_{\epsilon}(A) = \frac{1}{3} \left(1 + \sqrt{3|\alpha|\epsilon + 9\epsilon^2} \right)$$
 and $r_{\epsilon}(A^2) = \frac{1}{9} \left(1 + \sqrt{18|\alpha|\epsilon + 81\epsilon^2} \right)$.

With B = A, the above theorem says that $r_{\epsilon}(A^2) \leq r_{\epsilon}^2(A)$ provided $2r_{\epsilon}(A) - 1 \geq \epsilon$. A calculation shows that the latter condition is equivalent to

$$|\alpha| \ge \frac{1}{12\epsilon} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{9\epsilon}{4}.$$

This suggests that sub-multiplicativity may not hold for ϵ small. Indeed, choosing $\alpha = 1$, we find that

$$r_{\epsilon}(A^2) = \frac{1 + 3\sqrt{2\epsilon + 9\epsilon^2}}{9} = \frac{1 + 3\sqrt{2}\sqrt{\epsilon} + O(\epsilon)}{9},$$

while

$$r_{\epsilon}^2(A) = \frac{1 + 2\sqrt{3\epsilon + 9\epsilon^2} + 3\epsilon + 9\epsilon^2}{9} = \frac{1 + 2\sqrt{3}\sqrt{\epsilon} + O(\epsilon)}{9}.$$

Since $3\sqrt{2} \approx 4.24...$ and $2\sqrt{3} \approx 3.46...$, it follows that r_{ϵ} is not sub-multiplicative for all ϵ sufficiently small.

Next, we show that the results of the above theorems do not hold if the matrices are not commutative.

Example 3.2. Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. It is easy to check that $AB \neq BA$ and

$$r_{\epsilon}(A+B) = 1 + \epsilon$$

and so $r_{\epsilon}(A+B) \leq r_{\epsilon}(A) + r_{\epsilon}(B)$ iff $\epsilon \geq 1/3$.

Example 3.3. Take A and B as in the above example. Then

$$r_{\epsilon}(A) = \sqrt{\epsilon + \epsilon^2} = r_{\epsilon}(B), \qquad r_{\epsilon}(AB) = 1 + \epsilon.$$

Hence $r_{\epsilon}(AB) \leq r_{\epsilon}(A)r_{\epsilon}(B)$ iff $\epsilon \geq 1$. The condition for sub-multiplicativity in Theorem 3.3 is $\epsilon \geq 1/3$.

Remark 3.1. Consider Example 3.2. We have

$$r_{\epsilon}(A+B) \le r_{\epsilon}(A) + r_{\epsilon}(B)$$
 for all $\epsilon \ge 1/3$,

and

$$r_{\epsilon}(AB) \leq r_{\epsilon}(A)r_{\epsilon}(B)$$
 for all $\epsilon \geq 1$.

Thus, the converse of the result is not true: The matrices need not be commutative to satisfy sub-additivity (Theorem 3.2) and sub-multiplicativity (Theorem 3.3) if ϵ is suitably chosen.

Remark 3.2. The results proved in this section are also true for a pair of commuting elements from a complex unital Banach algebra.

4. Sub-multiplicativity and sub-additivity for non-commuting matrices. The goal of the present section is to extend the results of the previous section to matrices which do not commute.

Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$ such that A, B are non-commutative. In this case, we need to look for a pair (A', B') which is not a scalar multiple of I and close to (A, B) such that the pair (A', B') is commutative. Define

$$\rho := \min_{\substack{A', B' \notin \mathcal{I} \\ A'B' = B'A'}} \max \left\{ \|A - A'\|, \|B - B'\| \right\}.$$

Since the map $A \mapsto \Lambda_{\epsilon}(A)$ is upper semi-continuous, $A \mapsto r_{\epsilon}(A)$ is a continuous map, we have

$$|r_{\epsilon}(A) - r_{\epsilon}(A')| \le f(A, A', \epsilon, \rho), \tag{4.1}$$

$$|r_{\epsilon}(B) - r_{\epsilon}(B')| \le g(B, B', \epsilon, \rho),$$
 (4.2)

$$|r_{\epsilon}(A+B) - r_{\epsilon}(A'+B')| \le h(A, A', B, B', \epsilon, \rho), \tag{4.3}$$

$$|r_{\epsilon}(AB) - r_{\epsilon}(A'B')| \le k(A, A', B, B', \epsilon, \rho) \tag{4.4}$$

for some continuous functions f, g, h, k. Consider an arbitrary $\delta > 0$ and let

$$U := \frac{A'}{r_{\epsilon}(A') - \epsilon + \delta}$$
 and $V := \frac{B'}{r_{\epsilon}(B') - \epsilon + \delta}$.

Then both U, V are not scalar multiples of I and r(U) < 1, r(V) < 1 and UV = VU. Thus, the set $\{U^iV^j: i, j \geq 0\}$ is a bounded semigroup under multiplication. From Lemma 3.1, it follows that there exists a function $p: \mathbb{C}^{N\times N} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfying all four conditions of the lemma. In particular,

$$p\left(\frac{A'}{r_{\epsilon}(A') - \epsilon + \delta}\right) \le 1$$
 and $p\left(\frac{B'}{r_{\epsilon}(B') - \epsilon + \delta}\right) \le 1$.

This gives

$$p(A') < r_{\epsilon}(A') - \epsilon + \delta$$
 and $p(B') < r_{\epsilon}(B') - \epsilon + \delta$.

G. Krishna Kumar and S.H. Lui

351

Thus,

$$r_{\epsilon}(A+B) - \epsilon \leq r_{\epsilon}(A'+B') - \epsilon + h \leq p(A'+B') + h \leq p(A') + p(B') + h$$

$$\leq (r_{\epsilon}(A') - \epsilon + \delta) + (r_{\epsilon}(B') - \epsilon + \delta) + h$$

$$\leq (r_{\epsilon}(A) + f - \epsilon + \delta) + (r_{\epsilon}(B) + g - \epsilon + \delta) + h$$

$$= r_{\epsilon}(A) + r_{\epsilon}(B) + f + g + h - \epsilon. \tag{4.5}$$

In the last equality, we have taken $\delta = \epsilon/2$. Whenever A, B commute, we have f = g = h = 0 and we end up with the result proved in Theorem 3.2.

We also have

$$r_{\epsilon}(AB) - \epsilon \leq r_{\epsilon}(A'B') + k - \epsilon \leq p(A'B') + k$$

$$\leq p(A')p(B') + k \leq (r_{\epsilon}(A') - \epsilon + \delta)(r_{\epsilon}(B') - \epsilon + \delta) + k$$

$$\leq (r_{\epsilon}(A) + f - \epsilon + \delta)(r_{\epsilon}(B) + g - \epsilon + \delta) + k$$

$$= (r_{\epsilon}(A) + f - \epsilon)(r_{\epsilon}(B) + g - \epsilon) + k. \tag{4.6}$$

The last equality follows from setting $\delta = 0$. Again, whenever A, B commute, we have f = g = k = 0 and recover the result proved in Theorem 3.3.

We now look into the case where $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$ are almost commutative, i.e., $||AB - BA|| \leq \theta$ for some sufficiently small $\theta > 0$. The following results are available in the literature for almost commuting matrices.

- 1. In [5], the author find $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$ such that $||AB BA|| \leq \theta$ for some $\theta \geq 0$ and A, B may not be near to any commuting pair.
- 2. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$ such that $||A|| \leq 1$, $||B|| \leq 1$ and $||AB BA|| \leq \theta$ for some $\theta \geq 0$. Using non-standard analysis, the authors in [4] proved that there exists a commuting pair A', B' with $||A'|| \leq 1$, $||B'|| \leq 1$ such that $||A A'|| \leq f_N(\theta)$ and $||B B'|| \leq f_N(\theta)$. It is shown that the constant $f_N(\theta)$ is dependent on the pair A, B and N, the order of the matrices, such that $f_N(\theta) \to 0$ as $\theta \to 0$.

Thus, (1), (2) together show that finding a quantity independent of the order of the matrix and depending only on the constant θ is not possible. In case A is self-adjoint, it is possible to find a constant which is independent of the order of the matrices.

3. In [2], the authors proved the following result. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$ with $A = A^*$ and $||AB - BA|| \le \frac{2\theta^2}{N-1}$ for some small $\theta \ge 0$. Then there exist $A', B' \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$ with $A'^* = A'$ such that A'B' = B'A', $||A - A'|| \le \theta$ and $||B - B'|| \le \theta$.

LEMMA 4.1. Let A be a square matrix and ϵ , c be non-negative reals. Then

$$r_{\epsilon}(A) + c < r_{\epsilon+c}(A)$$
.

On Some Properties of the Pseudospectral Radius

Proof. Let $z = \alpha e^{i\theta}$ with $\alpha \geq 0$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ so that $|z| = r_{\epsilon}(A)$. Then there is some non-zero vector u and matrix E with $||E|| \leq \epsilon$ so that (A + E)u = zu. Thus,

$$(A + E + ce^{i\theta}I)u = (\alpha + c)e^{i\theta}u,$$

meaning that $(\alpha + c)e^{i\theta} \in \Lambda(A + E + ce^{i\theta}I)$, or $\alpha + c \leq r_{\epsilon+c}(A)$.

The following theorem extends the result proved in Section 3 to almost commuting matrices. We make use of the above results available in the literature.

THEOREM 4.2. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$ such that $||A|| \leq 1$, $||B|| \leq 1$ and $||AB - BA|| \leq \theta$ for some $\theta \geq 0$. Then there exist functions g, h such that for ϵ fixed, both $g(\theta, \epsilon)$ and $h(\theta, \epsilon)$ go to zero whenever θ goes to zero and

$$r_{\epsilon}(A+B) \le r_{\epsilon+g(\theta,\epsilon)}(A) + r_{\epsilon+g(\theta,\epsilon)}(B), \quad \epsilon \ge 0.$$

For all $\epsilon > 0$ satisfying $r_{\epsilon+h(\theta,\epsilon)}(A) + r_{\epsilon+h(\theta,\epsilon)}(B) \ge \epsilon + 1 + h(\theta,\epsilon)/\epsilon$, then

$$r_{\epsilon}(AB) \leq r_{\epsilon+h(\theta,\epsilon)}(A) \ r_{\epsilon+h(\theta,\epsilon)}(B).$$

Proof. From [4], there exists $f(\theta)$ such that $||A - A'|| \le f(\theta)$, $||B - B'|| \le f(\theta)$ and $f(\theta)$ goes to zero as θ goes to zero. (To simplify the notation, we have suppressed the dependence of all functions on N.) Since the map $A \mapsto r_{\epsilon}(A)$ is continuous, equations (4.1) to (4.4) imply

$$|r_{\epsilon}(A) - r_{\epsilon}(A')| \leq \tilde{g}(\theta, \epsilon),$$

$$|r_{\epsilon}(B) - r_{\epsilon}(B')| \leq \tilde{g}(\theta, \epsilon),$$

$$|r_{\epsilon}(A+B) - r_{\epsilon}(A'+B')| \leq \tilde{g}(\theta, \epsilon),$$

$$|r_{\epsilon}(AB) - r_{\epsilon}(A'B')| \leq \tilde{g}(\theta, \epsilon)$$

for some $\tilde{g}(\theta, \epsilon)$ with $\tilde{g}(\theta, \epsilon)$ going to zero whenever θ goes to zero for ϵ fixed. The last two assertions follow from the fact that matrix addition and multiplication are continuous operations. From (4.5),

$$r_{\epsilon}(A+B) < r_{\epsilon}(A) + r_{\epsilon}(B) + 3\tilde{q}(\theta,\epsilon).$$

By Lemma 4.1,

$$r_{\epsilon}(A+B) \le r_{\epsilon+g(\theta,\epsilon)}(A) + r_{\epsilon+g(\theta,\epsilon)}(B),$$

where $g = 3\tilde{g}/2$. Of course, $g(\theta, \epsilon)$ goes to zero whenever θ goes to zero with ϵ fixed.

G. Krishna Kumar and S.H. Lui

Using (4.6) and Lemma 4.1, there is some function h, with the property that for any fixed ϵ , $h(\theta, \epsilon) \to 0$ whenever $\theta \to 0$, so that

$$\begin{split} r_{\epsilon}(AB) &\leq (r_{\epsilon}(A) + h(\theta, \epsilon) - \epsilon) \ (r_{\epsilon}(B) + h(\theta, \epsilon) - \epsilon) + h(\theta, \epsilon) + \epsilon \\ &\leq (r_{\epsilon + h(\theta, \epsilon)}(A) - \epsilon) \ (r_{\epsilon + h(\theta, \epsilon)}(B) - \epsilon) + h(\theta, \epsilon) + \epsilon \\ &= r_{\epsilon + h(\theta, \epsilon)}(A) \ r_{\epsilon + h(\theta, \epsilon)}(B) - \epsilon (r_{\epsilon + h(\theta, \epsilon)}(A) + r_{\epsilon + h(\theta, \epsilon)}(B) - \epsilon - 1 - h(\theta, \epsilon)/\epsilon) \\ &\leq r_{\epsilon + h(\theta, \epsilon)}(A) \ r_{\epsilon + h(\theta, \epsilon)}(B), \end{split}$$

since
$$r_{\epsilon+h(\theta,\epsilon)}(A) + r_{\epsilon+h(\theta,\epsilon)}(B) - \epsilon - 1 - h(\theta,\epsilon)/\epsilon \ge 0$$
. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] F.F. Bonsall and J. Duncan. Complete Normed Algebra. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973.
- [2] C. Pearcy and A. Shields. Almost Commuting Matrices. Journal of Functional Analysis, 33:332–338, 1979.
- [3] G. Krishna Kumar and S.H. Kulkarni. A new norm in a commutative Banach algebra. Under preparation.
- [4] W.A.J. Luxemburg and R.F. Taylor. Almost commuting matrices are near commuting matrices, Indagationes Mathematicae, 32:96–98, 1970.
- [5] M.-D. Choi. Almost commuting matrices need not be nearly commuting. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 102:529–533, 1988.
- [6] J.L.M. Van Dorsselaer. Pseudospectra for matrix pencils and stability of equilibria. BIT Numerical Mathematics, 37(4):835–845, 1997.
- [7] L.N. Trefethen and M. Embree. Spectra and Pseudospectra. Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2005.

353