

REPRESENTATIONS FOR THE DRAZIN INVERSE OF BLOCK CYCLIC MATRICES*

M. CATRAL[†] AND P. VAN DEN DRIESSCHE[‡]

Abstract. A formula for the Drazin inverse of a block k -cyclic ($k \geq 2$) matrix A with nonzeros only in blocks $A_{i,i+1}$, for $i = 1, \dots, k \pmod k$ is presented in terms of the Drazin inverse of a smaller order product of the nonzero blocks of A , namely $B_i = A_{i,i+1} \cdots A_{i-1,i}$ for some i . Bounds on the index of A in terms of the minimum and maximum indices of these B_i are derived. Illustrative examples and special cases are given.

Key words. Drazin inverse, Block cyclic matrix, Index.

AMS subject classifications. 15A09.

1. Introduction. We consider k -cyclic ($k \geq 2$) block real or complex matrices of the form

$$(1.1) \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A_{12} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A_{23} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & A_{k-1,k} \\ A_{k1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $A_{12}, \dots, A_{k1}$ are block submatrices and the diagonal zero blocks are square. It is easily verified that for any matrix A of the form (1.1), the Moore-Penrose inverse $A^\dagger$ of A is given by

$$(1.2) \quad A^\dagger = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & A_{k1}^\dagger \\ A_{12}^\dagger & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A_{23}^\dagger & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & A_{k-1,k}^\dagger & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

*Received by the editors on February 6, 2012. Accepted for publication on April 15, 2012.
 Handling Editor: Leslie Hogben.

[†]Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Xavier University, Cincinnati, OH 45207, USA (catralm@xavier.edu).

[‡]Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Victoria, Victoria BC V8W 3R4, Canada (pvdd@math.uvic.ca).

where $A_{ij}^\dagger$ denotes the Moore-Penrose inverse of the block submatrix A_{ij} . Note that if each of the blocks A_{ij} is square and invertible, then (1.2) gives the formula for the usual inverse A^{-1} of A . We present a block formula for another type of generalized inverse, the Drazin inverse, of matrices of the form (1.1). Unlike the Moore-Penrose inverse, the Drazin inverse is defined only for square matrices.

Let A be a real or complex square matrix. The *Drazin inverse* of A is the unique matrix A^D satisfying

$$(1.3) \quad AA^D = A^D A$$

$$(1.4) \quad A^D AA^D = A^D$$

$$(1.5) \quad A^{q+1}A^D = A^q,$$

where $q = \text{index } A$, the smallest nonnegative integer q such that $\text{rank } A^{q+1} = \text{rank } A^q$. If $\text{index } A = 0$, then A is nonsingular and $A^D = A^{-1}$. If $\text{index } A = 1$, then $A^D = A^\#$, the *group inverse* of A . See [1], [2], [6] and references therein for applications of the Drazin inverse.

THEOREM 1.1. [2, Theorem 7.2.3] *Let A be a square matrix with $\text{index } A = q$. If p is a nonnegative integer and X is a matrix satisfying $XAX = X$, $AX = XA$, and $A^{p+1}X = A^p$, then $p \geq q$ and $X = A^D$.*

The problem of finding explicit representations for the Drazin inverse of a general 2×2 block matrix of the form

$$(1.6) \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

in terms of its blocks was posed by Campbell and Meyer in [2] and special cases of this problem were the focus of several recent papers, including [3]–[10], [13], [14] and [15]. In [4] and [14], representations for 2×2 block matrices of the form (1.6) with A_{11} and A_{22} being square zero diagonal blocks were presented. Such block matrices were called bipartite (or 2-cyclic), and in this article, we extend the results given in [4] to general block k -cyclic matrices as defined in (1.1).

2. Drazin inverse formula for block cyclic matrices. Let A be a block k -cyclic matrix of the form given in (1.1). For our Drazin inverse formula we introduce some notation that is also used in writing powers of A . For $i = 2, \dots, k-1$, let B_i be the square matrix defined by

$$(2.1) \quad B_i = A_{i,i+1} \cdots A_{k-1,k} A_{k1} A_{12} \cdots A_{i-1,i},$$

with $B_1 = A_{12} A_{23} \cdots A_{k-1,k} A_{k1}$ and $B_k = A_{k1} A_{12} \cdots A_{k-1,k}$, i.e., subscripts are taken mod k . For ease of notation, we define the matrix product

$$(2.2) \quad A_{i \rightarrow j} := A_{i,i+1} A_{i+1,i+2} \cdots A_{j-1,j},$$

for $j \neq i$. Whenever it arises, we use the convention $A_{i \rightarrow i} = I$, an identity matrix. For example, if $k = 4$ then $A_{2 \rightarrow 3} = A_{23}$, $A_{3 \rightarrow 2} = A_{34}A_{41}A_{12}$, $A_{2 \rightarrow 1} = A_{23}A_{34}A_{41}$, and by (2.1) $B_3 = A_{34}A_{41}A_{12}A_{23}$. Observe that $B_i = A_{i \rightarrow j}A_{j \rightarrow i}$, for any $j \in \{1, \dots, k\} \setminus \{i\}$.

LEMMA 2.1. For A given in (1.1) and with the notation above, for $p \geq 0$,

$$(2.3) \quad A^{kp} = \begin{bmatrix} B_1^p & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & B_2^p & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & B_k^p \end{bmatrix},$$

$$(2.4) \quad A^{kp+1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & B_1^p A_{1 \rightarrow 2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & B_2^p A_{2 \rightarrow 3} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & B_{k-1}^p A_{k-1 \rightarrow k} \\ B_k^p A_{k \rightarrow 1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$A^{kp+2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & B_1^p A_{1 \rightarrow 3} & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ B_{k-1}^p A_{k-1 \rightarrow 1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & B_k^p A_{k \rightarrow 2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and so on, until

$$(2.5) \quad A^{kp+k-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & B_1^p A_{1 \rightarrow k} \\ B_2^p A_{2 \rightarrow 1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B_3^p A_{3 \rightarrow 2} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & B_k^p A_{k \rightarrow k-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

LEMMA 2.2. For all $i \neq j$, $B_i^k A_{i \rightarrow j} = A_{i \rightarrow j} B_j^k$.

Proof. $B_i^k A_{i \rightarrow j} = (A_{i \rightarrow j} A_{j \rightarrow i})^k A_{i \rightarrow j} = A_{i \rightarrow j} A_{j \rightarrow i} (A_{i \rightarrow j} A_{j \rightarrow i})^{k-1} A_{i \rightarrow j} = A_{i \rightarrow j} (A_{j \rightarrow i} A_{i \rightarrow j})^k = A_{i \rightarrow j} B_j^k$. $\square$

LEMMA 2.3. For all $i \neq j$, $B_i^D A_{i \rightarrow j} = A_{i \rightarrow j} B_j^D$. Hence, if $\ell \neq i, j$ satisfies $A_{i \rightarrow j} = A_{i \rightarrow \ell} A_{\ell \rightarrow j}$, then $B_i^D A_{i \rightarrow j} = A_{i \rightarrow j} B_j^D = A_{i \rightarrow \ell} B_\ell^D A_{\ell \rightarrow j}$.

Proof. $B_i^D A_{i \rightarrow j} = (A_{i \rightarrow j} A_{j \rightarrow i})^D A_{i \rightarrow j} = A_{i \rightarrow j} (A_{j \rightarrow i} A_{i \rightarrow j})^D = A_{i \rightarrow j} B_j^D$, where the second equality is due to [4, Lemma 2.4]. $\square$

With the above notation, we now give a formula for the Drazin inverse of a k -cyclic matrix A given by (1.1).

THEOREM 2.4. *Let A be as in (1.1) with associated matrices B_i defined as in (2.1) and $A_{i \rightarrow j}$ defined in (2.2). Then, for all $i = 1, \dots, k$,*

$$(2.6) \quad A^D = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & A_{1 \rightarrow i} B_i^D A_{i \rightarrow k} \\ A_{2 \rightarrow i} B_i^D A_{i \rightarrow 1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A_{3 \rightarrow i} B_i^D A_{i \rightarrow 2} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & A_{k \rightarrow i} B_i^D A_{i \rightarrow k-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Moreover, if $\text{index } B_i = s_i$, then $\text{index } A \leq ks_i + k - 1$.

Proof. Denote the matrix on the right hand side of (2.6) by X . Performing block multiplication gives

$$\begin{aligned} AX &= \begin{bmatrix} A_{12} A_{2 \rightarrow i} B_i^D A_{i \rightarrow 1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & A_{23} A_{3 \rightarrow i} B_i^D A_{i \rightarrow 2} & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & A_{k1} A_{1 \rightarrow i} B_i^D A_{i \rightarrow k} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} A_{12} A_{2 \rightarrow i} A_{i \rightarrow 1} B_1^D & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & A_{23} A_{3 \rightarrow i} A_{i \rightarrow 2} B_2^D & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & A_{k1} A_{1 \rightarrow i} A_{i \rightarrow k} B_k^D \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

(by Lemma 2.3)

$$= \begin{bmatrix} B_1 B_1^D & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & B_2 B_2^D & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & B_k B_k^D \end{bmatrix},$$

and by using Lemma 2.3 again

$$\begin{aligned}
 XA &= \begin{bmatrix} A_{1 \rightarrow i} B_i^D A_{i \rightarrow k} A_{k1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & A_{2 \rightarrow i} B_i^D A_{i \rightarrow 1} A_{12} & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & A_{k \rightarrow i} B_i^D A_{i \rightarrow k-1} A_{k-1,k} \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} B_1^D A_{1 \rightarrow i} A_{i \rightarrow k} A_{k1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & B_2^D A_{2 \rightarrow i} A_{i \rightarrow 1} A_{12} & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & B_k^D A_{k \rightarrow i} A_{i \rightarrow k-1} A_{k-1,k} \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} B_1^D B_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & B_2^D B_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & B_k^D B_k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 B_1^D & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & B_2 B_2^D & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & B_k B_k^D \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= AX,
 \end{aligned}$$

since $B_i^D B_i = B_i B_i^D$ by (1.3). Also, block-multiplying X with AX gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 XAX &= X(AX) \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & A_{1 \rightarrow k} B_k^D B_k B_k^D \\ A_{2 \rightarrow 1} B_1^D B_1 B_1^D & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A_{3 \rightarrow 2} B_2^D B_2 B_2^D & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & A_{k \rightarrow k-1} B_{k-1}^D B_{k-1} B_{k-1}^D & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= X, \text{ by Lemma 2.3 and since } B_i^D B_i B_i^D = B_i^D \text{ by (1.4).}
 \end{aligned}$$

Let i be any integer in $\{1, \dots, k\}$ and suppose that $\text{index } B_i = s_i = s$. Then using (2.3) and Lemma 2.2,

$$\begin{aligned}
 A^{ks+k} X &= A^{k(s+1)} X \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & A_{1 \rightarrow i} B_i^{s+1} B_i^D A_{i \rightarrow k} \\ A_{2 \rightarrow i} B_i^{s+1} B_i^D A_{i \rightarrow 1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A_{3 \rightarrow i} B_i^{s+1} B_i^D A_{i \rightarrow 2} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & A_{k \rightarrow i} B_i^{s+1} B_i^D A_{i \rightarrow k-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since index $B_i = s$, it follows by (1.5) that $B_i^{s+1} B_i^D = B_i^s$. Thus, using Lemma 2.2 and $A_{\ell \rightarrow i} A_{i \rightarrow j} = A_{\ell \rightarrow j}$ for $\ell \neq j$,

$$\begin{aligned} A^{ks+k} X &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & A_{1 \rightarrow k} B_k^s \\ A_{2 \rightarrow 1} B_1^s & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A_{3 \rightarrow 2} B_2^s & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & A_{k \rightarrow k-1} B_{k-1}^s & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= A^{ks+k-1}, \end{aligned}$$

from (2.5) by using Lemma 2.2. By Theorem 1.1, index $A \leq ks+k-1$ and $X = A^D$. $\square$

Thus, the Drazin inverse of a k -cyclic matrix is reduced to calculating the Drazin inverse of the smallest order Drazin inverse of any of the matrix products B_i .

COROLLARY 2.5. *If A of the form in (1.1) is nonnegative and has at least one $B_i^D \geq 0$, then A^D is nonnegative.*

The following example illustrates Theorem 2.4 and Corollary 2.5.

EXAMPLE 2.6. Let

$$A = \left[\begin{array}{c|cc|c} 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c|c|c} 0 & A_{12} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & A_{23} \\ \hline A_{31} & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right].$$

Then $B_1 = A_{12} A_{23} A_{31} = 1$, $B_2 = A_{23} A_{31} A_{12} = \frac{1}{2} J_2$ (where J_2 is 2×2 all ones matrix) and $B_3 = A_{31} A_{12} A_{23} = 1$. Note that index $B_1 = 0$ and $B_1^D = B_1^{-1} = 1$. Using Theorem 2.4,

$$A^D = \left[\begin{array}{c|c|c} 0 & 0 & B_1^D A_{12} A_{23} \\ \hline A_{23} A_{31} B_1^D & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & A_{31} B_1^D A_{12} & 0 \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c|cc|c} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{array} \right] = A^2.$$

In fact, $\text{rank } A = \text{rank } A^2$, hence $A^D = A^\# = A^2$ agreeing with Theorem 2.2 in [11].

3. Index of A in relation to the indices of the block products. With A as in (1.1), for $j \geq 0$, by (2.3) and (2.4),

$$(3.1) \quad \text{rank } A^{kj} = \text{rank } B_1^j + \text{rank } B_2^j + \cdots + \text{rank } B_k^j$$

$$(3.2) \quad \text{rank } A^{kj+1} = \text{rank } B_1^j A_{12} + \text{rank } B_2^j A_{23} + \cdots + \text{rank } B_k^j A_{k1}.$$

The following rank inequality is used throughout the proof of Lemma 3.2 and can be found in standard linear algebra texts (see, e.g., [12, page 13]).

LEMMA 3.1. (Frobenius Inequality) *If U is $m \times n$, V is $n \times p$ and W is $p \times q$, then*

$$\text{rank } UV + \text{rank } VW \leq \text{rank } V + \text{rank } UVW.$$

LEMMA 3.2. *Let A be as in (1.1) with associated matrices B_i defined in (2.1), and let $s = \text{index } B_i \geq 1$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Then $\text{rank } A^{ks-k+1} < \text{rank } A^{ks-k}$.*

Proof. Let $s = \text{index } B_i$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. From (3.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank } A^{ks-k+1} &= \text{rank } A^{k(s-1)+1} \\ &= \text{rank } B_1^{s-1} A_{12} + \text{rank } B_2^{s-1} A_{23} + \text{rank } B_3^{s-1} A_{34} + \dots \\ &\quad + \text{rank } B_k^{s-1} A_{k1}, \end{aligned}$$

where the terms can be reordered as

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{rank } B_i^{s-1} A_{i,i+1} + \text{rank } B_{i+1}^{s-1} A_{i+1,i+2} + \dots + \text{rank } B_k^{s-1} A_{k1} + \text{rank } B_1^{s-1} A_{12} + \dots \\ (3.3) \quad &+ \text{rank } B_{i-1}^{s-1} A_{i-1,i}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.2, the first two terms in the expression in (3.3) can be written as

$$\text{rank } A_{i,i+1} B_{i+1}^{s-1} + \text{rank } B_{i+1}^{s-1} A_{i+1,i+2},$$

and using the Frobenius inequality (Lemma 3.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank } B_i^{s-1} A_{i,i+1} + \text{rank } B_{i+1}^{s-1} A_{i+1,i+2} &\leq \text{rank } B_{i+1}^{s-1} + \text{rank } A_{i,i+1} B_{i+1}^{s-1} A_{i+1,i+2} \\ &= \text{rank } B_{i+1}^{s-1} + \text{rank } B_i^{s-1} A_{i \rightarrow i+2}, \end{aligned}$$

where the equality is again due to Lemma 2.2. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank } A^{ks-k+1} &\leq \text{rank } B_{i+1}^{s-1} + \text{rank } B_i^{s-1} A_{i \rightarrow i+2} + \text{rank } B_{i+2}^{s-1} A_{i+2,i+3} + \dots \\ (3.4) \quad &+ \text{rank } B_{i-1}^{s-1} A_{i-1,i}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2.2 and the Frobenius inequality again to the second and third terms on the righthand side of the inequality in (3.4) gives

$$\text{rank } B_i^{s-1} A_{i \rightarrow i+2} + \text{rank } B_{i+2}^{s-1} A_{i+2,i+3} \leq \text{rank } B_{i+2}^{s-1} + \text{rank } A_{i \rightarrow i+3} B_{i+3}^{s-1}.$$

Continuing in this manner gives

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank } A^{ks-k+1} &\leq \text{rank } B_{i+1}^{s-1} + \text{rank } B_{i+2}^{s-1} + \dots + \text{rank } B_{i-1}^{s-1} + \\ (3.5) \quad &\text{rank } A_{i \rightarrow i-1} B_{i-1}^{s-1} A_{i-1,i}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.2, the last term on the righthand side of the inequality in (3.5) becomes

$$\text{rank } B_i^{s-1} A_{i \rightarrow i-1} A_{i-1, i} = \text{rank } B_i^{s-1} B_i = \text{rank } B_i^s < \text{rank } B_i^{s-1},$$

since $\text{index } B_i = s$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank } A^{ks-k+1} &< \text{rank } B_{i+1}^{s-1} + \text{rank } B_{i+2}^{s-1} + \cdots + \text{rank } B_k^{s-1} + \text{rank } B_1^{s-1} + \cdots \\ &\quad + \text{rank } B_{i-1}^{s-1} + \text{rank } B_i^{s-1} \\ &= \text{rank } A^{k(s-1)} = \text{rank } A^{ks-k}, \end{aligned}$$

where the equality follows from (3.1). $\square$

THEOREM 3.3. *Let A be as in (1.1) with associated matrices B_i defined in (2.1). Then, the following statements hold.*

- (i) *If $\text{index } B_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$, then A is nonsingular and $\text{index } A = 0$.*
- (ii) *If $\text{index } B_i = s_i \geq 1$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, then $\text{index } A \geq ks_i - k + 1$.*

Proof. The first statement follows immediately from (2.3) and (3.1). For the second statement, let $\text{index } B_i = s_i \geq 1$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Then $\text{rank } A^{ks_i-k+1} < \text{rank } A^{ks_i-k}$, by Lemma 3.2. From the strict inequality, $\text{index } A \geq ks_i - k + 1$. $\square$

The next result follows immediately from Theorem 3.3(ii).

COROLLARY 3.4. *Let A be as in (1.1) with associated matrices B_i defined in (2.1). If $\text{index } A \leq 1$, then $\text{index } B_i \leq 1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$. That is, if the group inverse $A^\#$ exists, then the group inverses $B_i^\#$ exist for all $i = 1, \dots, k$.*

Note however that the converse to Corollary 3.4 is false (see, e.g., [4, Example 4.3]).

REMARK 3.5. If A of the form (1.1) is nonnegative and all matrices with the same $+, 0$ sign pattern as A that have $\text{index } 1$ have at least one $B_i^\#$ nonnegative, then these group inverses are nonnegative (Corollary 2.5) and A is *conditionally S^2GI* in the notation of Zhou et al. [15].

COROLLARY 3.6. *Let A be as in (1.1) with associated matrices B_i defined in (2.1), and let $s = \min_{1 \leq i \leq k} \text{index } B_i$ and $s' = \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \text{index } B_i > 0$. Then $ks' - k + 1 \leq \text{index } A \leq ks + k - 1$. If $s' = 0$, then $\text{index } A = 0$.*

Corollary 3.6 leads to a result about the indices of B_i that is of independent interest.

THEOREM 3.7. *Let A be as in (1.1) with associated matrices B_i defined in (2.1), and let $s_\ell = \text{index } B_\ell$ for $\ell \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Then $|s_i - s_j| \leq 1$ for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$.*

Proof. Let $s = \min_{1 \leq i \leq k} \text{index } B_i$ and $s' = \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \text{index } B_i$, and suppose that $s' = s + t$ where $t \geq 0$. By Corollary 3.6,

$$k(s + t) - k + 1 \leq \text{index } A \leq ks + k - 1.$$

It follows that

$$k(s + t) - k + 1 \leq ks + k - 1,$$

or equivalently,

$$k(t - 2) + 2 \leq 0.$$

As $k \geq 2$, the inequality above is possible only if $t \leq 1$. Thus, $s' - s = t \leq 1$ and $|\text{index } B_i - \text{index } B_j| \leq 1$ for all i, j . $\square$

The next result gives tight bounds on $\text{index } A$ in terms of the minimum index of the block products B_i . The proof is immediate from Corollary 3.6 and Theorem 3.7.

THEOREM 3.8. *Let A be as in (1.1) with associated matrices B_i defined in (2.1), and let $s = \min_{1 \leq i \leq k} \text{index } B_i$. Then, exactly one of the following holds:*

- (i) $\text{index } B_i = s$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$, or
- (ii) $\text{index } B_i = s + 1$ for some $i = 1, \dots, k$.

If (i) holds, then $ks - k + 1 \leq \text{index } A \leq ks + k - 1$. If (ii) holds, then $ks + 1 \leq \text{index } A \leq ks + k - 1$.

The above result generalizes bounds found in [4, Section 3] and shows that if $k = 2$ and (ii) holds, then $\text{index } A = 2s + 1$.

We now give examples that illustrate Theorem 3.8.

EXAMPLE 3.9. Let A be the matrix in Example 2.6. Using the notation in Theorem 3.8, $s = 0 = \text{index } B_1 = \text{index } B_3$ and $\text{index } B_2 = 1 = s + 1$. Applying the result with $k = 3$ gives the bounds $1 \leq \text{index } A \leq 2$. Since $\text{rank } A = \text{rank } A^2$, $\text{index } A = 1 = ks + 1$, which is the lower bound of Theorem 3.8, case (ii).

EXAMPLE 3.10. Let

$$A = \left[\begin{array}{c|ccc|ccc} 0 & 1 & -1 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & & 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c|cc|c} 0 & A_{12} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & A_{23} \\ \hline A_{31} & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right].$$

Then $B_1 = 3, B_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $B_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$. Note that $\text{index } B_1 = 0$ and $B_1^D = B_1^{-1} = \frac{1}{3}$. Using Theorem 2.4,

$$A^D = \left[\begin{array}{c|ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3} \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right].$$

Using the notation in Theorem 3.8, $s = 0 = \text{index } B_1$ and $\text{index } B_2 = \text{index } B_3 = 1 = s + 1$. Applying the theorem with $k = 3$ gives the bounds $1 \leq \text{index } A \leq 2$. It can be computed that $\text{index } A = 2 = ks + k - 1$, which is the upper bound of Theorem 3.8, case (ii).

EXAMPLE 3.11. Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & B & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & I \\ I & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where B is a square matrix and I is an identity matrix of the same order as B . Note that $B_i = B$ for all i . Suppose that $\text{index } B = s$. Then $\text{index } A = ks$, the midpoint of the interval $[ks - k + 1, ks + k - 1]$ in Theorem 3.8 case (i), and from Theorem 2.4

$$A^D = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & B^D B \\ B^D & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B^D B & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & B^D B & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

EXAMPLE 3.12. Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & F & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & F & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & F \\ F & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where F is a square matrix. Then $\text{index } A = \text{index } F$ and $B_i = F^k$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Setting $\text{index } A = \ell$ and $\text{index } B_i = s$ gives $s = \lceil \frac{\ell}{k} \rceil$. Thus, $\text{index } A$ can take any value in the interval $[ks - k + 1, ks]$, which is half the range given in Theorem 3.8 case (i).

Examples 3.11 and 3.12 have B_i , and thus $\text{index } B_i$, the same for all i . The following result determines $\text{index } A$ in this case, and the necessary and sufficient conditions reduce to the result of [4, Theorem 3.5] for $k = 2$.

THEOREM 3.13. Let A be a block k -cyclic matrix of the form in (1.1) with associated matrices B_i defined in (2.1), and suppose that $s = \min_{1 \leq i \leq k} \text{index } B_i \geq 1$.

Then $\text{index } A = ks$ if and only if

- (i) $\text{index } B_i = s$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$, and
- (ii) $\text{rank } B_j^s < \text{rank } B_j^{s-1} A_{j \rightarrow j-1}$ for some $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$.

If (i) holds, then $\text{rank } B_i^s = \text{rank } B_j^s$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, k$. If (i) holds but (ii) does not hold, then $\text{index } A < ks$.

Proof. Suppose that $\text{index } A = ks$. Then $\text{rank } A^{ks} < \text{rank } A^{ks-1}$. It follows, using (2.3), (2.5) and (3.1), that $\sum_{i=1}^k \text{rank } B_i^s < \sum_{i=1}^k \text{rank } B_i^{s-1} A_{i \rightarrow i-1}$. Thus, $\text{rank } B_j^s < \text{rank } B_j^{s-1} A_{j \rightarrow j-1}$ for some $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, hence (ii) holds. Suppose on the contrary that (i) does not hold. Then, for some $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, $\text{index } B_j = s + 1$ (by Theorem 3.8). Thus, $\text{rank } B_j^s > \text{rank } B_j^{s+1}$, hence by (2.3) $\text{rank } A^{ks} = \sum_{i=1}^k \text{rank } B_i^s > \sum_{i=1}^k \text{rank } B_i^{s+1} = \text{rank } A^{k(s+1)}$. This implies that $\text{rank } A^{ks} > \text{rank } A^{ks+k}$, so $\text{index } A > ks$, a contradiction. Hence, (i) and (ii) must hold.

For the reverse implication, suppose that (i) and (ii) hold. Then $\text{rank } A^{ks} = \sum_{i=1}^k \text{rank } B_i^s < \sum_{i=1}^k \text{rank } B_i^{s-1} A_{i \rightarrow i-1} = \text{rank } A^{k(s-1)+(k-1)} = \text{rank } A^{ks-1}$, where the strict inequality is due to (ii). Thus, $\text{index } A \geq ks$. Note that since $\text{rank } B_i^s \geq \text{rank } B_i^s A_{i \rightarrow j} \geq \text{rank } B_i^{s+1}$ and $\text{rank } B_i^s A_{i \rightarrow j} = \text{rank } A_{i \rightarrow j} B_j^s$ (by Lemma 2.2), it follows using (i) that $\text{rank } B_i^{s+1} = \text{rank } B_i^s = \text{rank } B_i^s A_{i \rightarrow j} = \text{rank } A_{i \rightarrow j} B_j^s = \text{rank } B_j^{s+1}$ for all i, j . Thus, $\text{rank } A^{ks} = \sum_{i=1}^k \text{rank } B_i^s = \sum_{i=1}^k \text{rank } B_i^s A_{i \rightarrow i+1} = \text{rank } A^{ks+1}$, using (3.1) and (3.2). Hence, $\text{rank } A^{ks} = \text{rank } A^{ks+1}$, and so $\text{index } A \leq ks$. This

proves that $\text{index } A = ks$. The last two statements of the theorem follow from the proof above. $\square$

The result of Theorem 3.13 is illustrated by Example 3.11; since $\text{rank } B_2^s < \text{rank } B_2^{s-1} A_{2 \rightarrow 1} = \text{rank } B_2^{s-1}$, it follows that $\text{rank } A = ks$. Example 3.12 also illustrates Theorem 3.13, since $\text{rank } A^{ks} = \text{rank } A^{ks+1}$ and $\text{rank } F^{ks} < \text{rank } F^{k(s-1)} F^{k-1} = \text{rank } F^{ks-1}$ if and only if $\text{index } F = \text{index } A = ks$; otherwise $\text{index } A < ks$.

Acknowledgement. The research of PvdD was supported in part by an NSERC Discovery grant. The authors thank D.D. Olesky for helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Ben-Israel and T.N.E. Greville. *Generalized Inverses: Theory and Applications*, second edition. Springer, New York, 2003.
- [2] S.L. Campbell and C.D. Meyer. *Generalized Inverses of Linear Transformations*. Pitman, London, 1979; Dover, New York, 1991.
- [3] N. Castro-González, E. Dopazo, and J. Robles. Formulas for the Drazin inverse of special block matrices. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 174:252–270, 2006.
- [4] M. Catral, D.D. Olesky, and P. van den Driessche. Block representations of the Drazin inverse of a bipartite matrix. *Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra*, 18:98–107, 2009.
- [5] D.S. Cvetković-Ilić. A note on the representation for the Drazin inverse of 2×2 block matrices. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 429:242–248, 2008.
- [6] D.S. Cvetković-Ilić, J. Chen, and Z. Xu. Explicit representations of the Drazin inverse of block matrix and modified matrix. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 57(4):355–364, 2009.
- [7] C. Deng and Y. Wei. A note on the Drazin inverse of an anti-triangular matrix. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 431:1910–1922, 2009.
- [8] C. Deng and Y. Wei. Representations for the Drazin inverse of 2×2 block operator matrix with singular Schur complement. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 435:2766–2783, 2011.
- [9] E. Dopazo and M.F. Martínez-Serrano. Further results on the representation of the Drazin inverse of a 2×2 block matrix. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 432:1896–1904, 2010.
- [10] R. Hartwig, X. Li, and Y. Wei. Representations for the Drazin inverse of a 2×2 block matrix. *SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications*, 27:757–771, 2006.
- [11] E. Haynsworth and J.R. Wall. Group inverses of certain nonnegative matrices. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 25:271–288, 1979.
- [12] R.A. Horn and C.R. Johnson. *Matrix Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, New York, 1985.
- [13] X. Li and Y. Wei. A note on the representations for the Drazin inverse of 2×2 block matrices. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 423:332–338, 2007.
- [14] Q. Xu, Y. Wei, and C. Song. Explicit characterization of the Drazin index. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 436:2273–2298, 2012.
- [15] J. Zhu, C. Bu, and Y. Wei. Group inverse for block matrices and some related sign analysis. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 2011. Available at <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/03081087.2011.625498>.